

## EXISTENCE OF $C^\infty$ LOCAL SOLUTIONS OF THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION

SAOUSSEN KALLEL-JALLOULI

(Communicated by David S. Tartakoff)

ABSTRACT. We prove the  $C^\infty$  local solvability of the  $n$ -dimensional complex Monge-Ampère equation  $\det(u_{i\bar{j}}) = K(z) f(z, u, \nabla u)$ ,  $f > 0$ , in a neighborhood of any point  $z_0$  where  $K(z_0) = 0$  but  $dK(z_0) \neq 0$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Our motivation to study equations of Monge-Ampère type comes from their close connection with problems in complex analysis (see [1], [5]), in differential geometry (see [2], [6], [7], [10], [11], [12]) and in physics (see [4]).

Here we consider the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(1.1) \quad \det(u_{i\bar{j}}) = K(z) f(z, u, \nabla u)$$

in a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $f(z, u, p)$  is a positive  $C^\infty$  function for  $(z, u, p) \in V \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $K \in C^\infty(V, \mathbb{R})$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we denote  $x = (\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Im} z) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $u_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}$ .

We study the existence of a local smooth solution to the problem (1.1) when

$$(1.2) \quad K(z_0) = 0 \text{ and } dK(z_0) \neq 0.$$

The main result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Under condition (1.2), equation (1.1) in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has a  $C^\infty$  local solution in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ .*

### 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let us recall the result of G.Nakamura and Y.Maeda ([8], [9]).

Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $U$  a neighborhood of  $x_0$ ,  $u_0 \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ , a nonlinear partial differential equation of order  $q$  with  $C^\infty$  coefficients in  $U$  and

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(x, D^\alpha u)_{|\alpha| \leq q} = g,$$

we can state

---

Received by the editors March 6, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Mxx, 39B42.

*Key words and phrases.* Complex Monge-Ampère equation, real principal type symbol.

**Theorem 2** ([8], Th. 4). *Assume that the linearized equation of (2.1) is of real principal type at  $u_0$  and  $x_0$ . Then there exist an open neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $x_0$ ,  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that for every  $g \in C^\infty(\omega)$  satisfying*

$$\left\| g - \Phi(x, D^\alpha u_0)_{|\alpha| \leq q} \right\|_{s_0} \leq \eta,$$

*there exists  $u \in C^\infty(\omega, \mathbb{R})$ , as a solution to equation (2.1). (Here  $\|\cdot\|_{s_0}$  is the Sobolev norm. The neighborhood  $\omega$  and the constants  $s_0, \eta$  are independent from  $u_0$ , where  $\omega$  can be chosen small enough.)*

Recall that a differential operator  $P$  is said to be of real principal type at  $x_0 \in V$  if its principal symbol  $p$  is real and has no null bicharacteristics trapped over  $x_0$ .

Using condition (1.2) and invariance of equation (1.1) under rotation, we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $z_0 = 0$  and

$$(2.2) \quad K(z) = x_n + \mathcal{O}\left(|z|^2\right).$$

**Step 1.** Determination of  $u_0$ .

Set  $g(x, u, \nabla u) = K(z) f(z, u, \nabla u)$ , and let  $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Given an integer  $N \geq s_0 + n + 1$ , we consider the analytic Cauchy problem

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \det(u_{i\bar{j}}) - \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|<N} \frac{1}{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)!} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_u^\beta \partial_p^\gamma g(0) x^\alpha u^\beta (\nabla u)^\gamma = 0, \\ u|_{x_n=0} = \frac{1}{2} |x'|^2, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}|_{x_n=0} = h(x') \end{cases}$$

where  $h$  is an analytic function such that  $h(0) = 0$ .

The coefficient of  $\partial_{x_n}^2 u$  in the left-hand side of equation (2.3) is equal to

$$\det(u_{i\bar{j}})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$$

which is equal to 1 at the origin (from initial data). Then (2.3) is a noncharacteristic Cauchy problem in a neighborhood of zero, and the existence of an analytic solution  $u^\circ$  in a neighborhood of  $x_0 = 0$  follows from the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem.

From the Taylor formula and using (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(u_{i\bar{j}}^\circ) - g(x, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ) &= \det(u_{i\bar{j}}^\circ) - \sum_{|\delta|<N} \frac{1}{\delta!} \partial_{(x,u,p)}^\delta g(0) (x, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ)^\delta \\ &\quad - \left( g(x, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ) - \sum_{|\delta|<N} \frac{1}{\delta!} \partial_{(x,u,p)}^\delta g(0) (x, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ)^\delta \right) = \mathcal{O}\left(|x|^{N-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce for  $|\alpha| < N$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_x^\alpha \left[ \det(u_{i\bar{j}}^\circ) - g(x, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ) \right] = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad x \rightarrow 0.$$

**Step 2.** One technical lemma.

Let us denote

$$(2.5) \quad F[u] = F(x, u, \nabla u, D^2 u) = \det(u_{i\bar{j}}).$$

**Lemma 1.** For  $1 \leq i, j, a, b \leq n$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad F \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{a\bar{b}} \partial u_{i\bar{j}}} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{a\bar{b}}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i\bar{j}}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i\bar{b}}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{a\bar{j}}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is similar to that given by C.Zuily in [13].

If we denote by  $H$  the matrix  $(u_{i\bar{j}})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , the matrix of cofactors is then  $\tilde{H} = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i\bar{j}}}\right)$ . By differentiating the identity

$${}^t\tilde{H}H = \det H \cdot Id = F \cdot Id$$

with respect to  $u_{a\bar{b}}$ , we get

$$({}^t\tilde{H})'H + {}^t\tilde{H}H' = (F \cdot Id)'$$

Multiplying this equality on the right by  ${}^t\tilde{H}$  and using that  $H {}^t\tilde{H} = F \cdot Id$ , we find

$$\underbrace{F({}^t\tilde{H})'}_{(1)} = \underbrace{(F \cdot Id)'}_{(2)} {}^t\tilde{H} - \underbrace{{}^t\tilde{H}H'H}_{(3)}.$$

The  $(i, j)$  term of the matrix (1) is given by

$$F \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{a\bar{b}}} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{j\bar{i}}} \right) = F \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{j\bar{i}} \partial u_{a\bar{b}}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $(F \cdot Id)'$  is the diagonal matrix  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{a\bar{b}}} \cdot Id$ , the general term of the matrix (2) is given by  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{a\bar{b}}} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{j\bar{i}}}$ .

The general term of the matrix  $H'$  is  $\alpha_{rs} = \delta_r^a \cdot \delta_b^s$ , where  $\delta_j^i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i = j \\ 0, & \text{for } i \neq j \end{cases}$ .

Then, the general term of  $H {}^t\tilde{H}$  is

$$\beta_{kl} = \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha_{ks} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{l\bar{s}}} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{l\bar{b}}} \cdot \delta_k^a.$$

Finally, the  $(i, j)$  term of the matrix (3) is given by

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{l\bar{i}}} \beta_{lj} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{a\bar{i}}} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{j\bar{b}}}.$$

□

**Step 3.** The linearized equation of (1.1) at  $u^\circ$  is of real principal type at the origin.

The principal symbol of this linearized equation is

$$(2.7) \quad p(x, \xi) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i\bar{j}}} [u^\circ] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j.$$

When  $\xi = (\xi', \xi'') = \eta + i\zeta$ ,  $(\xi', \xi'') \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $p(x, \xi)$  is a real symbol, and we have seen in Step 1 that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} (0, u^\circ(0), \nabla u^\circ(0), D^2 u^\circ(0)) = \det \left( u_{i\bar{j}}^\circ \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} = 1.$$

Thus, if we denote the matrix  $\left(u_{ij}^\circ\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$  by  $A$ , the matrix of cofactors is then

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}} \partial u_{i\bar{j}}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}.$$

Using the identity  $\tilde{A} = (\det A) A^{-1}$  and the initial condition  $A(0) = Id$ , we can deduce

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{i\bar{j}} \partial u_{n\bar{n}}} (0, u^\circ(0), \nabla u^\circ(0), D^2 u^\circ(0)) \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j > 0, \quad \forall \xi' \neq 0.$$

Then using (2.6) we get  
(2.7')

$$p(x, \xi) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ] \left\{ \left| \xi_n + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{j\bar{n}}} \xi_j}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ]} \right|^2 + \frac{F[u^\circ]}{\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ]\right)^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}} \partial u_{i\bar{j}}} [u^\circ] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \right\}.$$

We can deduce from (2.9) that  $p(x, \xi) = 0$  if and only if one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} F[u^\circ](x) < 0, \\ \left| \xi_n + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{j\bar{n}}} \xi_j}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ]} \right|^2 = \frac{-F[u^\circ]}{\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ]\right)^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}} \partial u_{i\bar{j}}} [u^\circ] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \end{cases}$$

or

$$(2.11) \quad F[u^\circ](x) = 0 \text{ and } \xi_n = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ]\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{j\bar{n}}} [u^\circ] \xi_j.$$

\* First case. When (2.10) holds, then we have  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_n}(x, \xi) \neq 0$ .

\* Second case. Consider now the case when (2.11) holds.

For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , using (2.11), we get by differentiating (2.7') with respect to  $x_k$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ](0) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(0, \xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \det \left(u_{ij}^\circ\right) \right]_{|x=0} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}} \partial u_{i\bar{j}}} [u^\circ](0) \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j.$$

From (2.9),  $q(0, \xi) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}} \partial u_{i\bar{j}}} [u^\circ] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j > 0$ .

Using (2.4) and the definition of  $g(x, u, \nabla u)$ , we have

$$\det \left(u_{ij}^\circ\right) = K(z) f(z, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ) + \mathcal{O}(|z|^2), \quad z \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $K(z) = x_n + \mathcal{O}(|z|^2)$ ,  $f(z, u^\circ, \nabla u^\circ) > 0$  and  $K(0) = 0$ . Then

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ](0) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(0, \xi) = 0, \quad \forall k \in [1, 2n] \setminus \{n\} \cap \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ](0) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_n}(0, \xi) = f(0, u^\circ(0), \nabla u^\circ(0)) q(0, \xi). \end{cases}$$

Consider the bicharacteristic  $(x(t), \eta(t), \zeta(t))$  descended from the point  $(0, \xi_0)$ . We have  $x(0) = 0, \xi(0) = \xi_0$  and  $\dot{x}(0) = 0$ . Using (2.12), we get

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\zeta}_k(0) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \dot{\eta}_k(0) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ \dot{\eta}_n(0) = - \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ](0) \right]^{-1} f(0) q(0, \xi_0). \end{cases}$$

We can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_n(0) &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_n} (x(t), \eta(t), \zeta(t))|_{t=0} \\ &= \dot{\eta}_n(0) \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \eta_n^2} (0, \xi_0) \\ &= 2\dot{\eta}_n(0) \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{n\bar{n}}} [u^\circ](0). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\ddot{x}_n(0) = -2f(0) q(0, \xi_0) < 0 \quad \text{when } \xi_0 \neq 0.$$

Thus,  $p$  is a real principal type symbol at 0.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper is the result of conversations the author had with Professor Claude Zuily.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E.Bedford, B.A.Taylor, Variational properties of the complex Monge-Ampère equation I. Dirichlet principle, *Duke Math. J.*, Vol. 45, No.2, 375-403, 1978. MR **80a**:32011
- [2] E.Calabi, A construction of nonhomogenous Einstein metrics, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 27, 17-24, 1975. MR **52**:816
- [3] B. Guan, On the existence and regularity of hypersurfaces of prescribed Gauss curvature with boundary, *Indiana University Math. J.*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 1995. MR **96h**:53045
- [4] P. Guan, X.J.Wang, On a Monge-Ampère equation arising in geometric optics, *J. Differential geometry*, 48, 205-222, 1998. MR **99e**:35051
- [5] N.Kerzman, A Monge-Ampère equation in complex analysis, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math.* vol. 30, 1977, 161-167. MR **56**:12333
- [6] L.Lempert, R.Szöke, Global solutions of the homogeneous complex Monge-Ampère equation and complex structures on the tangent bundle of Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* 290, 689-712, 1991. MR **92m**:32022
- [7] G.Nakamura, Local isometric embedding of two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with nonpositive Gaussian curvature, *Tokyo J. Math.* Vol. 10, No. 1, 1987. MR **89b**:53008
- [8] G.Nakamura and Y.Maeda, Local isometric embedding problem of Riemannian 3-manifold into  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 62, Ser. A, 257-259, 1986. MR **88b**:53026
- [9] G.Nakamura and Y.Maeda, Local smooth isometric embeddings of low-dimensional Riemannian manifolds into Eucliden spaces, *Trans. AMS.* 313, No.1, 1989. MR **90f**:58171
- [10] L.Nirenberg, Monge-Ampère equations and some associated problems in geometry, *Proc. Int. Cong. Math.*, Vancouver, 2, 275-279, 1974. MR **56**:677
- [11] A.Pogorelov, *The Minkowski Multidimensional Problem*, Wiley, New York, 1978. MR **57**:17572

- [12] S.T.Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I, *Comm. Pure and App. Math.*, Vol. 31, 339-411, 1978. MR **81d**:53045
- [13] C.Zuily, Sur la régularité des solutions non strictement convexes de l'équation de Monge-Ampère réelle, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. Ser.*, volume "15", number "4", 529-554, 1988. MR **91e**:35095

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, MATHÉMATIQUES, CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE, 1060 TUNIS,  
TUNISIE

*E-mail address:* Saoussen.Kallel@fst.rnu.tn