

## COMPACT-COVERING MAPS AND $k$ -NETWORKS

HUAIPENG CHEN

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we show a characterization of compact-covering  $s$ -images of metric spaces and prove a theorem about them. Also we give a set theoretical assumption and under the assumption construct a counterexample which gives a negative answer to some questions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that an onto map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $s$ -map if  $f^{-1}(y)$  is separable for each  $y \in Y$  and a *compact-covering map* if every compact  $K \subset Y$  is the image of some compact  $C \subset X$ . An intrinsic characterization of quotient  $s$ -images of metric spaces has been given by T. Hoshina [4]. E. Michael and K. Nagami [11] asked that if a Hausdorff space  $Y$  is a quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space, must  $Y$  also be a compact-covering quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space? Also the question was mentioned by M. E. Rudin [13] and studied in [3, 5, 6, 8] and again in [10]. L. Foged in [2] gave a completely regular space  $X$  which has a point-countable base but no point-countable closed  $k$ -network. H. Chen in [1] constructed a counterexample which gives a negative answer to Michael-Nagami's question. But the space in the example is Hausdorff. So E. Michael in [9] (see also [1]) refined the problem by insisting that  $Y$  be regular—we call this Problem 1.1.

**Problem 1.1.** If a completely regular  $T_1$  (or paracompact) space  $Y$  is a quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space, must  $Y$  be a compact-covering quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space?

On the other hand, S. Lin in [7] asked the following question which was arranged as Problem 38 of the Problem Section in [14].

**Problem 1.2.** Suppose a regular  $T_1$  space  $Y$  is a quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space. Does  $Y$  have a point-countable closed  $k$ -network if every first countable closed subspace of  $Y$  is locally compact?

In this paper, we introduce a definition of strong  $k$ -networks, use it to characterize compact-covering  $s$ -images of metric spaces, and then prove a theorem about these spaces. Finally we give a set theoretical assumption and under the assumption construct a counterexample which gives a negative answer to the questions above.

---

Received by the editors January 25, 2000 and, in revised form, March 25, 2002.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C10, 54G20; Secondary 04A15.  
*Key words and phrases.*  $k$ -networks, compact-covering maps,  $\sigma'$ -sets.  
This work was supported by The Project-sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM.

2. A CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPACT-COVERING  $s$ -IMAGES  
OF METRIC SPACES

We recall that a cover  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $k$ -network for  $Y$  if, whenever  $K \subset U$  with  $K$  compact and  $U$  open in  $Y$ , then  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$  for some finite  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a subset of a space  $Y$ . A collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $Y$  is called a *full cover* of  $A$  if  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, and for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a closed set  $C(F)$  in  $Y$  with  $C(F) \subset F$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{C(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

Call a cover  $\mathcal{P}$  a *strong  $k$ -network* for  $Y$  if, whenever  $K \subset U$  with  $K$  compact and  $U$  open in  $Y$ , there is a full cover  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$  of  $K$  with  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following are equivalent for a Hausdorff space  $Y$ :*

1.  $Y$  is a compact-covering  $s$ -image of a metric space.
2.  $Y$  has a point-countable strong  $k$ -network.

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2). Let  $M$  be a metric space and  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  be an onto compact-covering  $s$ -map. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base. Then  $\mathcal{P} = f(\mathcal{B})$  is a point-countable  $k$ -network. Let  $K \subset O \subset Y$  satisfy  $K$  compact and  $O$  open and  $C \subset M$  be compact with  $f(C) = K$ . Then there is a full cover  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  of  $C$  with  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset f^{-1}(O)$ . Assume that  $B'_i$  contains a closed set  $H_i$  for each  $B'_i \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $C \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} H_i$ . Then  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} f(H_i \cap C) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} f(B'_i) \subset O$ . So  $\mathcal{P} = \{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a strong point-countable  $k$ -network.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  1). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a point-countable strong  $k$ -network of  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}$  with the discrete topology. Then the Tychonoff product  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$  is a metric space. Let  $M \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$  be all  $(B_n)$  such that there is a  $y \in Y$  with  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \{y\}$  and every neighborhood of  $y$  contains some  $B_n$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  be such that, for each  $(B_n) \in M$ ,  $f((B_n)) = y$  if  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \{y\}$ . We may show that  $f$  is an onto continuous  $s$ -map just as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 of [3]. Let  $\mathcal{C}_n = \{(\{B_1\} \times \{B_2\} \times \dots \times \{B_n\} \times \prod_{j > n} \mathcal{B}_j) \cap M : B_i \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for each } i \leq n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete base of  $M$ . In the following proof, we show that  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  is a compact-covering map.

Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $Y$ . Then  $K$  is a metric subset of  $Y$  by Theorem 3.3 in [3]. If  $K$  is a finite subset of  $Y$ , then there is a finite subset  $C$  of  $M$  with  $f(C) = K$ . So we assume that  $K$  is infinite in the following proof. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a full cover of  $K$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}(y) = \{B \in \mathcal{F} : y \in B\}$ .

**Claim 2.3.** *If  $y \in K$  and  $O$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  in  $Y$ , then there is a full cover  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  of  $K$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}(y) \subset O$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in W_1 \subset \overline{W_1} \subset W \subset \overline{W} \subset O \cap K$ , where both  $W$  and  $W_1$  are open in  $K$ . There is a full cover  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{B_{1i} : i \leq n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  of  $\overline{W}$  with  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_1 \subset O$ . Since the open set  $Y \setminus \overline{W_1}$  contains compact  $K \setminus W$ , then there is a full cover  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{B_{2i} : i \leq m\}$  of  $K \setminus W$  with  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_2 \subset Y \setminus \overline{W_1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  is a full cover of  $K$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}(y) \subset O$ .

Call a full cover  $\mathcal{F} = \{B_i : i \leq n\}$  of  $B$  an *irreducible full cover* if each  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}$  contains a closed set  $C_i$  in  $Y$  such that  $\{C_i : i \leq n\}$  is an irreducible cover of  $B$ . Notice that irreducible full covers do not mean irreducible covers.

**Claim 2.4.**  $|\{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{F} \text{ is an irreducible full cover of } K\}| = \aleph_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}(K) = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \text{Int}_K(B \cap K) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(K)$  is point-countable since  $\mathcal{B}$  is point-countable. Since  $K$  is compact metrizable, in particular, separable, the point-countability of  $\mathcal{B}$  implies that  $\mathcal{B}(K)$  is countable. Let  $(\mathcal{F}'_n)$  enumerate all finite unions of  $\mathcal{B}(K)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}' = \{B_i : i \leq n\}$  be an irreducible full cover of  $K$ . Then each  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}'$  contains a closed subset  $C_i$  in  $Y$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i : i \leq n\}$  is an irreducible cover of  $K$ . Because an open set  $K \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j$  is an open set of  $K$  included in  $C_i$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is irreducible,  $K \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{Int}_K(C_i \cap K) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}' \in (\mathcal{F}'_n)$ . So all irreducible full covers are *at most* countable.

On the other hand, since  $K$  is infinite, there is a cluster point  $y \in K$ . Let  $d$  be a metric of  $K$ . Let  $U_n = \{y' \in K : d(y, y') < 1/n\}$  for each  $n \in N$ . Let  $O_n$  be an open set of  $Y$  with  $K \cap O_n = U_n$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then there is a full cover  $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{B}$  of  $K$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n(y) \subset O_n$  for each  $n \in N$  by Claim 2.3. Since each finite cover contains an irreducible finite cover, then each  $\mathcal{F}_n$  contains an irreducible full cover  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . Note that  $y \in \text{Int}_K(K \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{H}_n(y))) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{H}_n(y) \subset O_n$  for each  $n \in N$ . Hence there must be *at least* countably infinitely many different  $\mathcal{F}_n$ 's.

Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  enumerate all irreducible full covers of  $K$ . Then  $\prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n$  is a compact subset of  $\prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{B}_n$ . For each  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{B_{ni} : i \leq i(n)\} \in (\mathcal{F}_n)$  and each  $B_{ni} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $C_{ni} \subset K \cap B_{ni}$  be such that  $\mathcal{C}_n = \{C_{ni} : i \leq i(n)\}$  is an irreducible closed cover of  $K$  by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . We assign this  $\mathcal{C}_n$  to the  $\mathcal{F}_n$  for each  $\mathcal{F}_n \in (\mathcal{F}_n)$ . Let

$$D = \{(B_{n,j(n)}) \in (\prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n) \cap M : j(n) \leq i(n) \text{ for each } n \in N, \text{ and } \bigcap_{n \in N} C_{n,j(n)} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

**Claim 2.5.**  $f(D) = K$ .

*Proof.* Pick an  $x = (B_n) \in D \subset M$ . Suppose  $f(x)$  is not in  $K$ . Then  $Y \setminus K$  is an open neighborhood of  $f(x)$ , so there is a  $B_n$  in  $(B_n)$  with  $f(x) \in B_n \subset Y \setminus K$  by the definition of the subspace  $M$ . It is a contradiction to the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , so  $f(D) \subset K$ .

Pick a  $y \in K$ . Then for each  $n \in N$ , there is a  $B_{n,j(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  with  $y \in C_{n,j(n)}$ . Let  $x = (B_{n,j(n)})$ . Then  $x \in \prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $y \in \bigcap_n B_n$ . Pick an open set  $O \subset Y$  with  $y \in O$ . Then, by Claim 2.3, there is a full cover  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $K$  with  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}(y) \subset O$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains an irreducible full cover  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $K$  and  $\mathcal{F}' \in (\mathcal{F}_n)$ . So there is an  $n \in N$  with  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}_n$ , and  $B_{n,j(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $C_{n,j(n)} \in \mathcal{C}_n$  and  $y \in C_{n,j(n)} \subset B_{n,j(n)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_n(y) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}(y) \subset O$ . This implies  $\bigcap_{n \in N} C_{n,j(n)} = \bigcap_{n \in N} B_{n,j(n)} = \{y\}$  and  $x \in M$ . Hence  $x \in D$  and  $f(x) = y \in f(D)$ .

**Claim 2.6.**  $D$  is a compact subset of  $\prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n$ .

*Proof.* We may pick an  $x = (B_n) \in \overline{D} \subset \prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n$  since  $\prod_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n$  is compact. Then  $(\{B_1\} \times \dots \times \{B_n\} \times \prod_{j > n} \mathcal{B}_j) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in N$ . Pick an  $x' \in (\{B_1\} \times \dots \times \{B_n\} \times \prod_{j > n} \mathcal{B}_j) \cap D$ . Then  $x' = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n, *, *, \dots) \in D$ . So  $C_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$  and  $C_i \subset B_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  for each  $i \leq n$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \leq n} C_i \neq \emptyset$  by the definition of  $D$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n \in N} B_n \supset \bigcap_{n \in N} C_n \neq \emptyset$  since each  $C_n$  is compact for  $x = (B_n)$ . Notice  $C_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$  and  $C_n \subset B_n \cap K$ . Pick a  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in N} C_n$ ; then  $y \in K$ . Pick an open set  $O \subset Y$  with  $y \in O$ . Then, just as the proof of Claim 2.5, there is a  $B_n \in (B_n)$  with  $y \in B_n \subset O$ . This implies  $\bigcap_{n \in N} C_n = \bigcap_{n \in N} B_n = \{y\}$  and  $x \in D$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.2 (continued).* If  $K$  is an infinite compact subset of  $Y$ , then there must be countably infinitely many finite subcollections of  $\mathcal{B}$  which are irreducible full covers of  $K$  by Claim 2.4. If  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  enumerates all the finite subcollections of  $\mathcal{B}$  which are irreducible full covers of  $K$ , then  $D$  is a compact subset of  $M$  by Claim 2.6. Then  $f(D) = K$  by Claim 2.5. So  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  is a compact-covering map.

**Corollary 2.7.** *The following are equivalent for a Hausdorff space  $Y$ :*

1.  $Y$  is a compact-covering quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space.
2.  $Y$  is a sequential space with a point-countable strong  $k$ -network.

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2).  $Y$  has a strong point-countable  $k$ -network by Theorem 2.2. Then  $Y$  is a sequential space since  $Y$  is a quotient image of a first countable space.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  1). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a point-countable strong  $k$ -network of  $Y$ . Then, by Theorem 2.2, there is a metric space  $M \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$  and an onto continuous  $s$ -map  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f$  is a compact-covering map. Since  $Y$  is a sequential space,  $f$  is a quotient map.

### 3. CLOSED $k$ -NETWORKS AND STRONG $k$ -NETWORKS

It is easy to see that each closed  $k$ -network is a strong  $k$ -network.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let a Hausdorff space  $Y$  have point-countable strong  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\overline{P}$  compact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $Y$  has a compact point-countable  $k$ -network.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a point-countable strong  $k$ -network of  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}$  with the discrete topology. Then there is a metric space  $M \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  is a compact-covering  $s$ -map by Theorem 2.2. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -discrete base of  $M$ , which was denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$  in Theorem 2.2, consisting of basic open sets. Then since each  $f(B)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , is contained in some element of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have that  $\overline{f(B)}$  is compact for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We use  $\mathcal{B}$  to construct a point-countable collection  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \Omega\}$  of compact subsets of  $M$  such that each  $\overline{f(B)}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , can be covered by a finite subcollection of  $\{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$  by a transfinite induction on  $\alpha \in \Omega$  for some ordinal  $\Omega$ . Let  $\prec$  be a well ordering of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

1. Take the first element  $B_0$  of  $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ . Then  $\overline{f(B_0)} = K_{00}$  is compact. So there is a compact subset  $C_{00}$  of  $M$  with  $f(C_{00}) = \overline{f(B_0)}$ . Pick a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{F}_{00}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  which is an irreducible cover of  $C_{00}$ . Then  $\bigcup \{\overline{f(B)} : B \in \mathcal{F}_{00}\} = K_{01}$  is compact. So there is a compact subset  $C_{01}$  of  $M$  with  $f(C_{01}) = K_{01}$ . Pick a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{F}_{01}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  which is an irreducible cover of  $C_{01}$ . Then  $\bigcup \{\overline{f(B)} : B \in \mathcal{F}_{01}\} = K_{02}$  is compact. Then, by induction, there is a countable collection  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{C_{0n} : n \in \omega\}$  of compact subsets of  $M$  and a countable collection  $\mathcal{O}_0 = \bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_{0n} : n \in \omega\}$  of open subsets of  $M$  such that  $C_{0n} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{0n}$  for each  $C_{0n} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

2. For some ordinal  $\alpha$ , assume that for each  $\beta < \alpha$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_\beta = \bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_{\beta n} : n \in \omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\beta = \{C_{\beta n} : n \in \omega\}$  such that for each  $B \in \mathcal{O}_\beta$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \bigcup_{\delta \leq \beta} \mathcal{C}_\delta$  with  $\overline{f(B)} \subset \bigcup \{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}'_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{O}_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{C}'_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{C}_\beta$ . Note that if  $C$  is a compact subset of  $M$  with  $C \subset \bigcup \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$ , then  $C$  can be covered by a finite subcollection of  $\{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'_\alpha\}$ .

Case 1. For each  $B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$ , there is a compact subset  $C$  of  $M$  with  $C \subset \bigcup \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$  and  $\overline{f(B)} = f(C)$ . In this case, we finish the induction step. Let  $\alpha = \Omega$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'_\alpha = \{C_\beta : \beta \in \Omega\}$  is the desired collection. Note that it follows from the note

above Case 1 that each  $\overline{f(B)}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , can be covered by a finite subcollection of  $\{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

Case 2. There is a  $B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$ , such that if compact subset  $C$  of  $M$  satisfies  $f(C) = \overline{f(B)}$ , then  $C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{O}'_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $B_\alpha$  be the first one in  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$  with respect to the order of  $(\mathcal{B}, <)$ .

A. Let  $C'_{\alpha 0}$  be a compact subset of  $M$  with  $f(C'_{\alpha 0}) = \overline{f(B_\alpha)}$  since  $f$  is compact-covering. Let  $\mathcal{F}'_{\alpha 0} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be an irreducible finite cover of  $C'_{\alpha 0}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha 0} = \mathcal{F}'_{\alpha 0} \setminus \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha 0} \neq \emptyset$  because of our assumption of Case 2. Take an open set  $U$  of  $C'_{\alpha 0}$  satisfying  $C'_{\alpha 0} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{O}'_\alpha \subset U \subset \overline{U} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{\alpha 0}$ . Put  $C_{\alpha 0} = \overline{U}$  and  $C_1 = C'_{\alpha 0} \setminus U$ . Then  $C_1$  is a compact set contained in  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$ . Hence by the note above Case 1, there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{C}'_{\alpha 1}$  of  $\mathcal{C}'_\alpha$  such that  $\{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'_{\alpha 1}\}$  covers  $C_1$ . Then  $\overline{f(B_\alpha)} = f(C_{\alpha 0}) \cup (\bigcup \{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'_{\alpha 1}\})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha 0} \cap \mathcal{O}'_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

B. Assume that we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha n}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha n}$ . Let  $C'_{\alpha n+1}$  be a compact subset of  $M$  with  $f(C'_{\alpha n+1}) = \bigcup \{\overline{f(B)} : B \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha n}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}'_{\alpha n+1} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be an irreducible finite cover of  $C'_{\alpha n+1}$ . Then, just as the proof of A of Case 2, let  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha n+1} = \mathcal{F}'_{\alpha n+1} \setminus \mathcal{O}'_\alpha$ . Then there is a compact subset  $C_{\alpha n+1}$  of  $C'_{\alpha n+1}$  and a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{C}'_{\alpha n+1}$  of  $\mathcal{C}'_\alpha$  such that  $f(C'_{\alpha n+1}) \subset f(C_{\alpha n+1}) \cup (\bigcup \{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'_{\alpha n+1}\})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha n+1} \cap \mathcal{O}'_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

Then, by induction, we have  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha n}$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha n}$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) such that for each  $B \in \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha n}$ ,  $\overline{f(B)} \subset f(C_{\alpha n+1}) \cup (\bigcup \{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'\})$  for some finite subcollection  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}'_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha n}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \{C_{\alpha n} : n \in \omega\}$ .

Then, by induction, for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$  we have  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  such that for each  $B \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha$ , there is a  $C_{\alpha n+1} \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  and a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{C}_\beta$  with  $\overline{f(B)} \subset f(C_{\alpha n+1}) \cup (\bigcup \{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'\})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}'_\alpha = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{O}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ .

**Claim 3.2.**  $\mathcal{K} = \{K = f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is point-countable.

*Proof.* Pick a  $y \in Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_y = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : f^{-1}(y) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\}$  since  $f$  is an  $s$ -map. Then  $f^{-1}(y) \cap B = \emptyset$  if  $B$  is not in  $\mathcal{B}_y$ . Assume  $B_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha(n)}$  for  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $f^{-1}(y) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{O}_\alpha) = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha \notin \{\alpha(n) : n \in \omega\}$  since  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_y = \emptyset$ . If  $C_{\alpha m} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{\alpha m}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha m} \subset \mathcal{O}_\alpha$ , then  $C_{\alpha m} \cap f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$  for each  $m \in \omega$ . So  $C_{\alpha m} \cap f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$  for each  $C_{\alpha m} \in \bigcup_{\alpha \notin \{\alpha(n) : n \in \omega\}} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \notin \{\alpha(n) : n \in \omega\}} \mathcal{C}_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha(n)}$  is countable. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is point-countable.

**Claim 3.3.**  $Y$  has a point-countable compact  $k$ -network.

*Proof.* Let  $M_1 = \bigoplus \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $M_1$  is a locally compact metric space. Let  $g : M_1 \rightarrow Y$  be the obvious map. Then  $g$  is a compact-covering  $s$ -map since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $k$ -network. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete base of  $M_1$  refining  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\{f(\overline{B}) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a point-countable compact  $k$ -network.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let a Hausdorff space  $Y$  be a compact-covering  $s$ -image of metric space. If  $Y$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\overline{P}$  compact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $Y$  has a point-countable compact  $k$ -network.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a metric space and  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  be an onto compact-covering  $s$ -map. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base of  $M$ . Pick an  $x \in M$ . Let  $f(x) = y$ . Let  $\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a decreasing open neighborhood base of  $x$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}_y = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : y \in P\} = \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$ .

Case 1. If  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ , then there is a  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_x$  with  $x \in B_n \subset f^{-1}(y)$ . So  $f(B_n) = \{y\} \subset P_n$  for some  $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_y$ .

Case 2. There is a sequence  $S$  which converges to  $x$  with  $S \subset M \setminus f^{-1}(y)$ . Suppose that there is a  $y_n \in f(B_n) \setminus \bigcup_{i \leq n} P_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Then there is an  $x_n \in B_n$  with  $f(x_n) = y_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $x$ ,  $S = \{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $f(x) = y$ . So there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \leq n} P_i$  contains  $S$  eventually, a contradiction. So there is an  $n$  with  $\overline{f(B_n)} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{i \leq n} P_i}$ .

Then for each  $x \in M$ , there is a  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B$  and  $\overline{f(B)}$  is compact. Then there is a base  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$  with  $\overline{f(B)}$  compact for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}'$ . Since  $f(\mathcal{B}')$  is a point-countable strong  $k$ -network by Theorem 2.2,  $Y$  has a point-countable compact  $k$ -network by Theorem 3.1.

We would like to ask whether or not the condition “compact-covering  $s$ -image” can be changed to “quotient  $s$ -image” even if the separation axiom is strengthened to regular  $T_1$ . So the following question is raised.

**Question 3.5.** *Let a regular  $T_1$  space  $Y$  be a quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space. Does  $Y$  have a point-countable compact  $k$ -network if  $Y$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\overline{P}$  compact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ?*

We can prove that Question 3.5 above is equivalent to Problem 1.2 in the Introduction.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let a regular  $T_1$  space  $Y$  be a quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space. Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $Y$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\overline{P}$  compact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
2. Every first countable closed subspace of  $Y$  is locally compact.

*Proof.* (2  $\Rightarrow$  1). Let  $M$  be a metric space and  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  be an onto quotient  $s$ -map. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base of  $M$  and  $\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a decreasing open neighborhood base of  $x$  for  $x \in M$ . Suppose that there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $\overline{f(B_n)}$  is not compact for each  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $\overline{f(B_n)}$  is not countably compact by Theorem 4.1 in [3] since  $Y$  is a quotient  $s$ -image of a metric space. So there is an infinitely countable discrete closed subset  $D_n \subset \overline{f(B_n)}$ . Let  $D = (\bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_n) \cup \{f(x)\}$ .

Let  $O$  be open in  $Y$  with  $y \in O$ . Then there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\overline{f(B_i)} \subset O$  for each  $i > n$  since  $Y$  is regular. So  $\bigcup_{i > n} D_i \cup \{y\} \subset O$ . Then  $D$  is first countable and has only one cluster point  $y$  in  $Y$ . So  $D$  is closed in  $Y$ .

But  $D$  is not locally compact since each neighborhood of  $y$  contains an infinitely countable discrete closed subset, a contradiction.

So there is a  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_x$  with  $\overline{f(B_n)}$  compact for each  $\mathcal{B}_x$ . Then there is a base  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$  with  $\overline{f(B)}$  compact for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}'$ . Since  $f$  is a quotient  $s$ -map, it is easy to check that  $f(\mathcal{B}')$  is a point-countable  $k$ -network by Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 2.1 of [3].

(1  $\Rightarrow$  2). Let  $B \subset Y$  be a first countable closed subspace. Pick a  $y \in B$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(y) = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : y \in P\} = \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$  and  $B = O_1 \supset O_2 \supset \dots$  be a neighborhood base of  $y$  in  $B$ . If, for each  $n \in \omega$ , there is a  $y_n \in O_n \setminus (\bigcup_{i \leq n} P_i)$ , then  $S = \{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $y$ . Since  $S \cup \{y\}$  is compact, then  $\bigcup_{i \leq m} P_i$  eventually contains  $S$ . So there is a  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}(y)$  such that  $P_i \cap S$  is infinite, a contradiction. This implies that there is an  $n$  with  $O_n \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} P_i$ . So  $B$  is locally compact since  $\overline{\bigcup_{i \leq n} P_i}$  is compact.

## 4. COUNTEREXAMPLES

L. Foged in [2] presented the following example.

**Example 4.1.** There is a completely regular space  $X$  which has a point-countable base but no point-countable closed  $k$ -network.

**Claim 4.2.** Any base  $\mathcal{B}$  of a regular  $T_1$  space is a strong  $k$ -network.

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be compact and  $U$  open with  $K \subset U$ . For each  $x \in K \subset U$ , there is a  $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B_x \subset U$ . Since  $X$  is regular,  $B_x$  contains a closed neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . So there is an open set  $U_x$  with  $x \in U_x \subset \overline{U_x} \subset B_x$ . Since  $\bigcup_{x \in K} U_x$  contains  $K$ , there is a finite subset  $\{x_i : i \leq n\}$  of  $K$  with  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} U_{x_i} \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} \overline{U_{x_i}} \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} B_{x_i} \subset U$ . Here  $U_{x_i} \subset \overline{U_{x_i}} \subset B_{x_i} \subset U$  for each  $i \leq n$ . So  $\mathcal{B}$  is also a strong  $k$ -network.

It follows from Claim 4.2 that Example 4.1 is a space with a point-countable strong  $k$ -network which does not have a point-countable closed  $k$ -network. We give an example of a regular  $T_1$  space with a point-countable  $k$ -network which does not have a point-countable strong  $k$ -network. It gives a negative answer to Problem 1.1 and Problem 1.2 in the Introduction. For this reason, we give a set theoretical assumption.

**Definition 4.3.** A subset  $W$  of the space  $R$  of real numbers with the usual topology is called a  $\sigma'$ -set if and only if for each  $G_\delta$ -set  $G$  of  $R$ , there is an  $F_\sigma$ -set  $F$  of  $R$  with  $G \cap W \subset F \subset G$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Each Sierpinski set is a  $\sigma'$ -set and each  $\sigma'$ -set is a  $\sigma$ -set.

*Proof.* Assume that  $W$  is a Sierpinski set. Then one can prove that  $W$  is a  $\sigma'$ -set just as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [12]. It is easy to see that each  $\sigma'$ -set is a  $\sigma$ -set.

**Example 4.5.** Suppose that there is an uncountable  $\sigma'$ -set. Then there is a quotient  $s$ -image  $X$  of a metric space such that:

1.  $X$  is a regular  $T_1$  sequential space and is the union of countably many compact metric subsets of  $X$ .
2.  $X$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\overline{P}$  compact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
3. Every first countable closed subspace of  $X$  is locally compact.
4.  $X$  is not a compact-covering  $s$ -image of any metric space.
5.  $X$  does not have a point-countable strong  $k$ -network.

**Construction.** Let  $i, j, l, m$  and  $n$  be the members of  $\omega$ . Let  $[a, b) = \{r : r \text{ is a real with } a \leq r < b\}$  and  $A \times B = \{\langle a, b \rangle : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ .

Pick an  $n \in \omega$ . For each  $m < 2^n$ , let  $l(n, m) = [m/2^n, (m+1)/2^n] \times \{1/2^{2n}\}$  and  $x(n, m) = \langle (2m+1)/2^{n+1}, 1/2^{2n+1} \rangle$ . Let  $T(n, m) \subset [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  be the triangle with side  $l(n, m)$  and vertex  $x(n, m)$ .

Let  $l'(n, m) = [m/2^n, (m+1)/2^n]$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_n = \{T(n, m) : m < 2^n\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \bigcup \{\mathcal{T}_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is a collection of compact subsets. Let  $C_1 = \{x(n, m) : n \in \omega \text{ and } m < 2^n\} \cup ([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \subset [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Then  $C_1$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{C_1\}$ .

Let  $W$  be an uncountable  $\sigma'$ -set of  $[0, 1]$  with  $W \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Here  $Q$  is the set of all rational numbers. Pick a  $y \in W$ . Then uniquely there is a sequence

$l'(1, m_1) \supset l'(2, m_2) \supset \dots \supset l'(n, m_n) \supset \dots$  with  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} l'(n, m_n) = \{y\}$ . Let  $p(y, n) = \langle y, 1/2^{2^n} \rangle$  and  $p(y, \omega) = \langle y, 0 \rangle$ . Then  $p(y, n) \in l(n, m) \subset T(n, m)$ . Let  $V(y, n)$  be the segment with endpoints  $x(n, m_n)$  and  $p(y, n)$ . Then  $V(y, n) \subset T(n, m)$  is a compact set. Let  $L'_y = \{p(y, \omega)\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \omega} V(y, n) \subset [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Then  $L'_y$  is compact. Let  $L_y = L'_y \setminus \{x(n, m_n) : n \in \omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_3 = \{L_y : y \in W\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{i < 3} \mathcal{K}_i$  and let  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{K}$  as a set.

Let  $M = \bigoplus \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $M$  is a metric space. Let  $X$  have the quotient topology induced by the obvious map  $f : M \rightarrow X$ . Then  $f$  is a two-to-one quotient map and  $X$  is a Hausdorff sequential space. So  $X$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network since  $f(\mathcal{K})$  is a point-countable cover which determines the topology of  $X$ , by Proposition 2.7 in [3] and by the implication (1.5)  $\rightarrow$  (1.4) in Diagram I of [3].

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C = \overline{f(K)} : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ .

**Claim 4.6.**  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of compact subsets of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Case 1.  $K = C_1$  or  $K = T(n, m)$ . Then  $K$  is compact in  $M$ . So  $f(K) = \overline{f(K)}$  is compact.

Case 2.  $K = \overline{f(L_y)} = L'_y$ . Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $K$ . If  $A \cap V(y, n)$  is infinite for some  $n$ , then  $A \cap V(y, n)$  has a cluster in the compact set  $V(y, n)$ . If  $A \cap V(y, n)$  is finite for each  $n$ , then  $p(y, \omega)$  is a cluster of  $A$  in  $f(L_y)$  since  $p(y, \omega)$  is in  $f(L_y)$ . So  $K = \overline{f(L_y)} = L'_y$  is compact by Theorem 4.1 of [3].

**Claim 4.7.** A set  $O$  is open if and only if  $O \cap C$  is open for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* If  $O$  is open, then  $O \cap C$  is open for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . On the other hand, if  $O$  is not open, then there is a convergence sequence  $S \cup \{x\}$  such that  $(S \cup \{x\}) \cap O$  is not open in  $S \cup \{x\}$  since  $X$  is a Hausdorff sequential space. Then there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{F} \subset f(\mathcal{K})$  with  $S \cup \{x\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}$  by Proposition 2.1 of [3]. Then there is a  $f(K) \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\overline{f(K)} \cap O$  not open in  $\overline{f(K)}$  since  $C = \overline{f(K)}$  is compact for each  $f(K) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Claim 4.8.**  $X$  is a regular Lindelöf space.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2$  is a countable cover of  $X$  by compact sets,  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, hence every open cover has a countable subcover. It suffices to show that  $X$  is a regular space. Let  $x \in X$  and  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Let  $I_0 = [0, 1] \times \{0\} \subset X$ . Since every point of  $X \setminus I_0$  in  $X$  has the usual Euclidean neighborhoods, we may assume that  $x \in I_0$ . Take a closed interval  $J$  of  $I_0$  with  $x \in J \subset U$  such that  $x$  is in the interior of the interval  $J$ . Then the pair  $\langle X \cap ([0, 1] \times J), J \rangle$  can be considered as similar to the pair  $\langle X, I_0 \rangle$ . Thus to show that  $X$  is a regular space, let  $O$  be an open set of  $X$  such that  $I_0 \subset O$ . If we can prove that there is an open neighborhood  $U$  with  $I_0 \subset U \subset \overline{U} \subset O$ , then  $X$  is regular  $T_1$ . Let  $B = X \setminus O$ . Then  $B \cap I_0 = \emptyset$ . Pick a  $p(y, \omega) \in I_0$  for each  $y \in W$ . Then there is an  $n(y) \in \omega$  with  $\{p(y, \omega)\} \cup (\bigcup_{n \geq n(y)} V(y, n)) \subset O$  since  $L'_y = \overline{f(L_y)}$  is compact by Claim 4.6. Let  $A_n = \{p(y, \omega) : V(y, n+i) \subset O \text{ for each } i \in \omega\}$ . Then  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset \dots$  and  $W = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ . Since  $I_0 \subset O$  and  $C_1 = \{x(n, m) : n \in \omega \text{ and } m < 2^n\} \cup ([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \subset [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is compact, then there is an  $n(O) \in \omega$  with  $D_1 = \{x(n, m) : \text{for each } n > n(O) \text{ and } m < 2^n\} \subset O$ . Giving an  $n > n(O)$ , take an open neighborhood  $O(x(n, m))$  of  $x(n, m)$  in  $X$  with

$O(x(n, m)) \subset T(n, m) \cap O$  and  $O(x(n, m)) \cap l(n, m) = \emptyset$  for each  $m < 2^n$ . Let

$$g_n : \bigcup_{m < 2^n} (T(n, m) \setminus O(x(n, m))) \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \{1/2^{2^n}\} = I_n$$

such that  $g_n(V(y, n) \setminus O(x(n, m))) = \{y\} \times \{1/2^{2^n}\}$  for each  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $g_n$  is a perfect map. Then  $g_n((\bigcup_{m < 2^n} T(n, m)) \cap B) = B_n \subset I_n$  is compact.

Let  $f_n : I_n \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \{0\} = I_0$  with  $f_n(\langle y, 1/2^{2^n} \rangle) = \langle y, 0 \rangle$ . If  $p(y, \omega) \in A_n$ , then  $V(y, n+i) \subset O$  and  $p(y, n+i) \in I_{n+i} \setminus B_{n+i} = O_{n+i}$ . Then  $G_n = \bigcap_{i \in \omega} f_{n+i}(O_{n+i}) \subset I_0$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set containing  $A_n$ . Since  $W$  is a  $\sigma'$ -set, then there is a collection  $\{K_{nm} : m \in \omega\}$  of compact subsets of  $I_0$  with  $G_n \cap W \subset \bigcup \{K_{nm} : m \in \omega\} \subset G_n$ . So  $\bigcup \{K_{nm} : m + n \leq l, \text{ and } n > n(O)\} \subset f_l(O_l)$  for each  $l > n(O)$ . Then  $f_l^{-1}(\bigcup \{K_{nm} : m + n \leq l, \text{ and } n > n(O)\}) \subset O_l = I_l \setminus B_l$  for each  $l > n(O)$ . Let  $T_l = \bigcup_{m < 2^l} T(l, m)$ , and let  $K_l = \bigcup \{V(x, l) : x \in f_l^{-1}(\bigcup \{K_{nm} : m + n \leq l \text{ and } n > n(O)\})\} \cup \{x(l, m) : m < 2^l\}$ . Then  $K_l$  is a compact subset with  $K_l \subset O \cap T_l$ . Then there is an open neighborhood  $U_l$  of  $K_l$  in  $X$  with  $U_l \subset \overline{U_l} \subset O \cap T_l$  since  $T_l$  is a closed open compact subset of  $X$ .

Let  $U = I_0 \cup \bigcup_{n > n(O)} U_n$ .

We can prove that  $U$  is an open set in  $Y$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $U \cap C$  is open in  $C$  for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  by Claim 4.7. To do it pick a  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Case 1.  $C = C_1 = \{x(n, m) : n \in \omega \text{ and } m < 2^n\} \cup ([0, 1] \times \{0\})$ . Then  $C \cap U = D_1 \cup I_0$  is open in  $C$ .

Case 2.  $C = T(n, m) \subset T_n$ . Then  $C \cap U = U_n \cap T(n, m)$  is open in  $T(n, m)$  since  $U_n$  is open in  $X$ . So it is open in  $C$ .

Case 3.  $C = L'_y = \{p(y, \omega)\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \omega} V(y, n)$ . By Case 2, it suffices to show that if  $p(y, \omega) \in C \cap U$ , then  $C \cap U$  is a neighborhood of  $p(y, \omega)$  in  $C$ . Then there is an  $m \in \omega$  with  $p(y, \omega) \in K_{n(y)m}$ . Then  $C \cap U \supset \bigcup_{l \geq n(y)+m} U_l \supset \bigcup_{l \geq n(y)+m} K_l \supset \bigcup_{l \geq n(y)+m} V(y, n)$ . Hence  $C \cap U$  is a neighborhood of  $p(y, \omega)$  in  $C$ , which implies that  $C \cap U$  is open in  $C = L'_y$ .

Notice that  $\overline{U} = \overline{I_0 \cup (\bigcup_{n > n(O)} U_n)} = I_0 \cup (\bigcup_{n > n(O)} \overline{U_n}) \subset O$  since  $I_0 \subset O$  and  $\overline{U_n} \subset O \cap T_n \subset O$  for each  $n > n(O)$ . Then  $X$  is regular  $T_1$ .

*Proof of 1-5 in Example 4.5.* We have already proved properties 1 and 2. Property 3 follows from 2 and Proposition 3.6. To show 4 and 5, it suffices to show that  $X$  does not have a point-countable compact  $k$ -network. Indeed, suppose that 4 (resp. 5) is not true. Then by property 2, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 (resp. by property 2 and Theorem 3.1),  $X$  has a point-countable compact  $k$ -network.

Now assume that  $X$  has a point-countable compact  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let

$$D = \{x(n, m) : n \in \omega \text{ and } m < 2^n\} = C_1 \setminus [0, 1] \times \{0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \cap D = \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is countable since  $D$  is countable and  $\mathcal{P}$  is point-countable. Let  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Pick an  $L'_y \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{P}_y$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $L'_y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}_y$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{y1} = \mathcal{P}_y \cap \mathcal{P}_1$ . Since  $D \cap L'_y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{y1}$  and  $p(y, \omega) \in \overline{D \cap L'_y}$ , we have  $p(y, \omega) \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{P}_{y1}}$ . Furthermore, since  $\bigcup (\mathcal{P}_y \setminus \mathcal{P}_1)$  is a compact set missing  $D$ , there is a sequence  $S_y \subset L'_y \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{P}_{y1}) \setminus D$  converging to  $p(y, \omega)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is countable and  $W$  is uncountable, there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and a countably infinite subset  $W'$  of  $W$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{y1} = \mathcal{F}$  for each  $y \in W'$ . Let  $W' = \{y_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Note that  $\{S_{y_n} : n \in \omega\}$  is a disjoint collection. Now we can take a sequence  $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$

such that  $z_n = \langle a_n, b_n \rangle \in S_{y_n}$  and  $b_n$  converges to 0. Let  $S = \{z_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then we can show that:

1.  $S$  is a discrete closed set since  $S \cap C$  is finite for each  $C \in \mathcal{C} = \{C = \overline{f(K)} : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ , and
2.  $S$  has at least a cluster point since  $S$  is an infinite subset of a compact set  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ .

It is a contradiction.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his sincere thanks to Professor Takao Hoshina for his encouragement. Also the author expresses his heartfelt thanks to the referee because not only did he give a lot of very helpful comments, but he also suggested that the author project the vertical triangles in the cube  $I^3$  in Example 4.5 of the previous version of this manuscript into the level triangles in the square  $I^2$  in Example 4.5 of this final version.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Chen, *Weak neighborhoods and Michael-Nagami's question*, Houston Jour. of Math., 25(1999), 297-309. MR **2000d**:54015
- [2] L. Foged, *Point-countable bases and  $k$ -networks*, Topology Appl., 69(1996), 101-114. MR **97b**:54036
- [3] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka. *Spaces determined by point-countable covers*, Pacific J. Math., 113(1984), 303-332. MR **85m**:54018
- [4] T. Hoshina, *On the quotient  $s$ -images of metric spaces*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A. 10(1970) 265-268. MR **43**:1115
- [5] S. Lin and C. Liu, *On spaces with point-countable  $cs$ -networks*, Topology Appl., 74(1996), 51-60. MR **98a**:54010
- [6] C. Liu, and M. Dai, *The compact-covering  $s$ -images of metric spaces, (Chinese)* Acta Mathematica Sinica, 39(1996), 41-44.
- [7] S. Lin, *On spaces with a  $k$ -network consisting of compact subsets*, Top. Proc., Vol. 20,(1995), 185-190.
- [8] Z. Li and J. Li, *On Michael-Nagami's problem*, Q and A in General Topology, 12(1994), 85-91. MR **95g**:54025
- [9] E. Michael, *Personal Letter*.
- [10] E. Michael, *Some problems*, Open Problems in Topology, North-Holland, 1990, 273-278.
- [11] E. Michael and K. Nagami, *Compact-covering images of metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 37(1973), 260-266. MR **46**:6269
- [12] A. W. Miller, *Special subsets of the real line*, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984. MR **86i**:54037
- [13] M. E. Rudin, *Lecture on set theoretic topology*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics no. 23. MR **51**:4128
- [14] **PROBLEM SECTION** in topology proceedings, Volume 20, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* hpchen@nankai.edu.cn