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Abstract. When \( A \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) and \( B \in \mathcal{B}(Y) \) are given we denote by \( M_C \) an operator acting on the Banach space \( X \oplus Y \) of the form
\[
M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X).
\]
In this note we examine the relation of Weyl's theorem for \( A \oplus B \) and \( M_C \) through local spectral theory.

1. Introduction

Throughout this note let \( X \) and \( Y \) be Banach spaces, let \( \mathcal{B}(X, Y) \) denote the set of bounded linear operators from \( X \) to \( Y \), and abbreviate \( \mathcal{B}(X, X) \) to \( \mathcal{B}(X) \). If \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) we shall write \( N(T) \) and \( R(T) \) for the null space and range of \( T \). Also, let \( \alpha(T) := \dim N(T) \), \( \beta(T) := \dim X/R(T) \), and let \( \sigma(T), \sigma_d(T), \sigma_a(T) \) and \( \pi_0(T) \) denote the spectrum, defect spectrum, approximate point spectrum and point spectrum of \( T \), respectively. An operator \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) is called upper semi-Fredholm if \( R(T) \) is closed with finite dimensional null space and lower semi-Fredholm if \( R(T) \) is closed with its range of finite co-dimension. If \( T \) is both upper semi- and lower semi-Fredholm, we call it Fredholm. The index of a Fredholm operator \( T \) is the integer \( i(T) := \alpha(T) - \beta(T) \). An operator \( T \) is called Weyl if it is Fredholm of index zero and is called Browder if it is Fredholm of “finite ascent and descent”. The essential spectrum \( \sigma_e(T) \), the Weyl spectrum \( \omega(T) \) and the Browder spectrum \( \sigma_b(T) \) of \( T \) are defined by (\cite{6, 7}):
\[
\sigma_e(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Fredholm} \},
\omega(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Weyl} \},
\sigma_b(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Browder} \},
\]
evidently
\[
\sigma_e(T) \subseteq \omega(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T) = \sigma_e(T) \cup \text{acc} \sigma(T),
\]
where we write \( \text{acc} K \) for the accumulation points of \( K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \).

If we write \( \text{iso} K := K \setminus \text{acc} K \), then we let
\[
\pi_00(T) := \{ \lambda \in \text{iso} \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(T - \lambda) < \infty \}
\]
de note the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.
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To say that “Weyl’s theorem holds” for an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ on a Banach space $X$ \cite{SLv} is to claim that

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T) = \pi_{00}(T).$$

The complement in the spectrum of the Weyl spectum is precisely the isolated points of the spectrum which are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

In this note we try to relate Weyl’s theorem for an upper triangular operator matrix

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$$

to the same thing for the diagonal matrix $M_0$, extending the work of Lee \cite{Lee1, Lee2} on Hilbert spaces; our main tool is a local spectral theory.

2. Main results

We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. If $(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix})$ is Fredholm, then $M_C$ is Fredholm for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$. Hence, in particular, we have

\begin{equation}
\sigma_e(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_e(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}) = \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Suppose that $(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix})$ is Fredholm. Then $A$ and $B$ are both Fredholm and $i \left( \begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix} \right) = i(A) + i(B)$. Observe that

\begin{equation}
M_C = \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right).
\end{equation}

Since $(\begin{smallmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{smallmatrix})$ is invertible for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$, and since $(\begin{smallmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{smallmatrix})$ and $(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix})$ are both Fredholm, it follows that $M_C$ is Fredholm. The set inclusions in (2.1.1) are evident from the first assertion. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. Suppose that $\sigma_*(A) \subseteq \sigma_*(M_C)$ or $\sigma_*(B) \subseteq \sigma_*(M_C)$. Then $\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(M_C)$, where $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_e\}$.

**Proof.** Since $\sigma(M_C) \subseteq [\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)]$ by \cite{Bea}, it is sufficient to show the opposite set inclusion. Suppose that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \sigma(M_C)$ or $\sigma(B) \subseteq \sigma(M_C)$, and assume to the contrary that $\sigma(M_C) \neq \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$. Then there exists a $\lambda$ such that $\lambda \in [\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)] \setminus \sigma(M_C)$. It follows from \cite{Bea Theorem 5} that $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B)$, which implies that $\lambda \in \sigma(M_C)$, a contradiction. Therefore $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) = \sigma(M_C)$.

Now we show that $\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B) = \sigma_e(M_C)$. Since $\sigma_e(M_C) \subseteq [\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)]$ by Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to show that $[\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)] \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C)$. Suppose that $\sigma_e(A) \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C)$ or $\sigma_e(B) \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C)$, and assume to the contrary that $\sigma_e(M_C) \neq \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$. Then there is a $\lambda$ such that $\lambda \in [\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)] \setminus \sigma_e(M_C)$. Observe that if $M_C$ is Fredholm, then $(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix})$ is lower semi-Fredholm and $(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix})$ is upper semi-Fredholm, respectively. Therefore $A$ is upper semi-Fredholm and $B$ is lower semi-Fredholm. From these observations, we notice that if $M_C$ is Fredholm, then $A$ is Fredholm if and only if $B$ is Fredholm. Hence $\lambda \in \sigma_e(A) \cap \sigma_e(B)$, a contradiction. Therefore $\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B) = \sigma_e(M_C)$. This completes the proof. \( \square \)
We say that \( T \in B(X) \) has the single valued extension property (SVEP) if for every open set \( U \) of \( C \) the only analytic function \( f : U \longrightarrow X \) which satisfies the equation

\[
(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = 0
\]

is the constant function \( f \equiv 0 \) on \( U \). In this case, for \( x \in X \) there is a maximal analytic function \( f_x : U_x \longrightarrow X \) satisfying \( (T - \lambda)f_x(\lambda) = x \) on \( U_x \). Set \( \sigma_T(x) := \mathbb{C} \setminus U_x \). Then \( \sigma_T(x) \) is called the local spectrum at \( x \). Recall from the local spectral theory of operators (\(^\text{[10]}\)) that if \( T \in B(X) \) and \( F \) is a closed subset of the complex plane \( C \), then we can define the spectral manifolds \( \chi_T(F) \) as follows:

\[
\chi_T(F) : = \{ x \in X : \text{there exists an analytic } X\text{-valued function } f : \mathbb{C} \setminus F \longrightarrow X \text{ such that } (T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = x \}.
\]

If \( T \) has SVEP, then we have \( \chi_T(F) = \{ x \in X : \sigma_T(x) \subseteq F \} \).

In general, \( \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) \neq \sigma(M_C) \). Consider the following example: let \( U \subseteq B(I_2) \) be the unilateral shift, \( A = U \), and \( B = U^* \). Then \( \sigma(M_0) = \sigma(U) \cup \sigma(U^*) \) is the closed unit disk and \( \sigma(M_{U - U^*}) = \sigma \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & U \\ -U^* & 0 \end{array} \right) \) is the unit circle. Therefore \( \sigma(M_0) \neq \sigma(M_{U - U^*}) \). Notice that \( U^* \) does not have SVEP. In \(^\text{[8]}\), M. Houimdi and H. Zguitti proved that if \( B \in B(Y) \) has SVEP, then \( \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) = \sigma(M_C) \) for every \( C \in B(Y, X) \). Using Lemma 2.2, we can extend this result as follows:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( A \in B(X) \) and \( B \in B(Y) \). Suppose that \( A^* \) or \( B \) has SVEP. Then for every \( C \in B(Y, X) \),

1. \( \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) = \sigma(M_C) \).
2. \( \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B) = \sigma_e(M_C) \).

**Proof.** (1) Suppose that \( A^* \) or \( B \) has SVEP. It follows from \(^\text{[4]}\) Corollary 7 and Theorem 2 that \( \sigma_e(A) = \sigma(A) \) or \( \sigma_e(B) = \sigma(B) \). Therefore we have that \( \sigma(A) \subseteq \sigma(M_C) \) or \( \sigma(B) \subseteq \sigma(M_C) \) by \(^\text{[5]}\) Theorem 2, and hence \( \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) = \sigma(M_C) \) for every \( C \in B(Y, X) \) by Lemma 2.2.

(2) Since \( \sigma_e(M_C) \subseteq [\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)] \) by Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to show that \([\sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)] \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C) \). Without loss of generality, we assume that \( 0 \notin \sigma_e(M_C) \). Then \( M_C \) is Fredholm. Since \( M_C \) is Fredholm, it follows from \(^\text{[2.1]}\) that \( A \) is upper semi-Fredholm and \( B \) is lower semi-Fredholm, respectively. Suppose that \( A^* \) has SVEP. Then it follows from \(^\text{[1]}\) Theorem 2.8 that \( i(A) \geq 0 \). But \( A \) is upper semi-Fredholm, hence \( \alpha(A) < \infty \). Therefore \( \beta(A) < \infty \), and so \( A \) is Fredholm. Therefore \( 0 \notin \sigma_e(A) \), and so \( \sigma_e(A) \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C) \). It follows from Lemma 2.2 that \( \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B) = \sigma_e(M_C) \) for every \( C \in B(Y, X) \). Now suppose that \( B \) has SVEP. Then \( i(B) \leq 0 \) by \(^\text{[1]}\) Theorem 2.6. Since \( B \) is lower semi-Fredholm, \( \beta(B) < \infty \). Therefore \( \alpha(B) < \infty \), and hence \( B \) is Fredholm. So \( 0 \notin \sigma_e(B) \), and hence \( \sigma_e(B) \subseteq \sigma_e(M_C) \). It follows from Lemma 2.2 that \( \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B) = \sigma_e(M_C) \) for every \( C \in B(Y, X) \). \( \square \)

If \( (K_n) \) is a sequence of compact subsets of \( C \), then by the definition, its limit inferior is \( \liminf K_n = \{ \lambda \in C : \text{there are } \lambda_n \in K_n \text{ with } \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \} \) and its limit superior is \( \limsup K_n = \{ \lambda \in C : \text{there are } \lambda_n \in K_n \text{ with } \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \} \). If \( \liminf K_n = \limsup K_n \), then \( K_n \) is defined by this common limit. A mapping \( f \), defined on \( B(X) \), whose values are compact subsets of \( C \), is said to be upper (lower) semi-continuous at \( T \), provided that if \( T_n \longrightarrow T \) (in norm topology), then
\[ \lambda \]

Therefore since \( \lambda \) is invertible, \( \lambda \) is continuous at \( T \) and hence Weyl’s theorem holds for \( A \oplus B \). It follows from Lemma 2.4 that \( M \) is Browder. Therefore \( \lambda \) is Browder. Therefore \( \lambda \) is continuous at \( T \). And hence, since \( \lambda \) is isoloid, \( \dim N \) is finite dimensional. Now we consider two cases:

Case 1: Suppose that \( \lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma(B) \). Since \( N(A - \lambda) \) is finite dimensional and \( B - \lambda \) is invertible, \( N(A - \lambda) \oplus N(B - \lambda) \) is finite dimensional. On the other hand, since \( \lambda \in \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) \), \( \lambda \in \sigma(A) \). Since \( A \) is isoloid, \( \dim N(A - \lambda) > 0 \). Therefore \( \lambda \in \tau_0(A \oplus B) \). Since Weyl’s theorem holds for \( A \oplus B, \lambda \in \sigma(A \oplus B) \setminus \omega(A \oplus B) \). But \( A \) and \( B \) have SVEP, hence \( A - \lambda \) and \( B - \lambda \) are both Browder by [2, Theorem 2.2]. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that \( M - \lambda \) is Browder. Therefore \( \lambda \in \sigma(M) \setminus \omega(M) \).

Case 2: Suppose that \( \lambda \in \sigma(B) \). Since \( \lambda \in \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) \), \( \lambda \in \sigma(B) \). It follows from [13, Theorem 3.1] that

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

on \( \gamma_B(\{\lambda\}) \oplus K(B - \lambda) \) with \( \sigma(B_1) = \{\lambda\} \) and \( \sigma(B_2) = \sigma(B) \setminus \{\lambda\} \).

Since \( \gamma_B(\{\lambda\}) \) is finite dimensional, \( B - \lambda \) is Weyl. Therefore \( N(B - \lambda) \) is finite dimensional, and hence \( N(A - \lambda) \oplus N(B - \lambda) \) is finite dimensional. On the other hand, since \( B \) is isoloid, \( \dim N(B - \lambda) > 0 \). Therefore \( \lambda \in \tau_0(A \oplus B) \). Since Weyl’s theorem holds for \( A \oplus B, \lambda \in \sigma(A \oplus B) \setminus \omega(A \oplus B) \). But \( A \) and \( B \) have SVEP, hence \( A - \lambda \) and \( B - \lambda \) are both Browder by [2, Theorem 2.2]. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that \( M - \lambda \) is Browder. Therefore \( \lambda \in \sigma(M) \setminus \omega(M) \). This completes the proof.
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