

## AN EXAMPLE IN HOLOMORPHIC FIXED POINT THEORY

MONIKA BUDZYŃSKA

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

ABSTRACT. If  $B$  is the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , then a holomorphic self-mapping  $f$  of  $B$  has a fixed point if and only if  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f^n(x)\| < 1$  for some  $x \in B$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There is an old problem in the fixed point theory of holomorphic mappings to find an example of a complex infinite-dimensional Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  such that its open unit ball  $B$  is holomorphically nonequivalent to the Cartesian product of a finite number of Hilbert balls  $\prod_{j=1}^m B_{H_j}$  and each holomorphic self-mapping  $f$  of  $B$  has a fixed point if and only if  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f^n(x)\| < 1$  for some  $x \in B$ . In this paper we show that the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , has the claimed properties. Finally, let us recall that the Hilbert ball  $B_H$  has the same properties [7], [8], [12]. However, if  $J$  is an infinite set of indices,

$$l^\infty(H) = \left\{ x = \{x_j\}_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} H : \sup_{j \in J} \|x_j\| < \infty \right\},$$

and  $B_H^\infty$  the open unit ball in  $l^\infty(H)$  with the supremum norm, then  $B_H^\infty$  is not holomorphically equivalent to the Cartesian product of a finite number of Hilbert balls  $\prod_{j=1}^m B_{H_j}$  and there exists a holomorphic self-mapping  $f$  of  $B_H^\infty$  without a fixed point and with  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f^n(x)\| < 1$  for each  $x \in B_H^\infty$  [14], [15].

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

All Banach spaces will be complex. Throughout this paper  $B$  denotes an open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  (i.e.,

$$\|(w, z)\| = [\|w\|_2^p + \|z\|_2^p]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$w, z \in l^2$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the standard norm in  $l^2$ ), where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . By  $k_B$  we denote the Kobayashi distance on  $B$  [10], [14]. We now recall several useful

---

Received by the editors March 28, 2001 and, in revised form, April 3, 2001 and March 29, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A10, 46G20, 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed points, holomorphic mappings,  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mappings, the Kobayashi distance, strict convexity, uniform convexity.

properties of the Kobayashi distance  $k_B$ , which are common for all bounded and convex domains in reflexive Banach spaces.

The Kobayashi distance  $k_B$  is locally equivalent to the norm [9].

If  $x, y, w, z \in B$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$k_B(sx + (1-s)y, sw + (1-s)z) \leq \max\{k_B(x, w), k_B(y, z)\}.$$

Hence each open (closed)  $k_B$ -ball in the metric space  $(B, k_B)$  is convex [16].

A subset  $C$  of  $B$  is said to lie strictly inside  $B$  if  $\text{dist}_{\|\cdot\|}(C, \partial B) > 0$ .

The basic fact about subsets, which lie strictly inside  $B$ , is the following: a subset  $C$  of  $B$  is  $k_B$ -bounded if and only if  $C$  lies strictly inside  $B$  (Proposition 23 in [9]).

We can say more about linear convexity of balls in  $(B, k_B)$ . Since the open unit ball  $B$  in  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is strictly convex, then each  $k_B$ -ball is also strictly convex in a linear sense [1], [21] (see also [20]).

A mapping  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is  $k_B$ -nonexpansive if

$$k_B(f(x), f(y)) \leq k_B(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in B$ . Each holomorphic self-mapping  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is  $k_B$ -nonexpansive [2], [5], [7].

If  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is  $k_B$ -nonexpansive, then for each  $0 < t < 1$  and  $a \in B$  the mapping  $f_{t,a} = (1-t)a + tf$  is a contraction and therefore for each  $x \in B$  the sequence  $\{f_{t,a}^n(x)\}$  tends to a unique fixed point  $y_{t,a}$  in  $B$ . Additionally, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \|y_{t,a} - f(y_{t,a})\| = 0$  [3].

For  $k_B$ -nonexpansive  $f : B \rightarrow B$  we call a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset B$  an approximating sequence if  $\lim_n k_B(x_n, f(x_n)) = 0$  [7].

The open unit ball  $B_H$  in a Hilbert space is called the Hilbert ball [2], [7], [18].

Finally, we recall the result due to W. Kaup and H. Upmeyer [11].

The complex Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are isometrically isomorphic if and only if their open unit balls  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  (respectively) are holomorphically equivalent, i.e., there exists a biholomorphic mapping from the open unit ball  $B_1$  onto the unit ball  $B_2$ . In the other case we say that the balls  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are holomorphically nonequivalent.

### 3. LOCAL UNIFORM CONVEXITY OF $k_B$ -BALLS

First we observe that the open unit ball  $B$  in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , is holomorphically nonequivalent to the Cartesian product of a finite number of Hilbert balls  $\prod_{j=1}^m B_{H_j}$  [11] (see also [19]). The next important property of  $B$  will be stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $B$  is the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , then the metric space  $(B, k_B)$  is locally linearly uniformly convex, i.e., for each  $z \in B$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < 2$  we have*

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} k_B(z, x) \leq R \\ k_B(z, y) \leq R \\ k_B(x, y) \geq \epsilon R \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow k_B\left(z, \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \leq (1 - \delta_0(z, R, \epsilon))R$$

and

$$\delta(R_1, R_2, R_3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \inf\{\delta_0(z, R, \epsilon) : \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_2, \|z\| \leq R_1, R_2 \leq R \leq R_3\} > 0$$

for all  $0 < R_1, 0 < R_2 \leq R_3$  and  $0 < \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 < 2$ .

*Proof.* Choose three points  $x = (x^1, x^2)$ ,  $y = (y^1, y^2)$  and  $z = (z^1, z^2)$  in  $B$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be the standard basis in the Hilbert space  $l^2$ . Then, it is easy to observe that there exists a linear isometry  $T : l^2 \times l^2 \rightarrow l^2 \times l^2$  such that

$$Tx, Ty, Tz \in \text{lin}\{(e_1, 0), (e_2, 0), (e_3, 0), (0, e_1), (0, e_2), (0, e_3)\} \cap B.$$

Put

$$B_1 = \text{lin}\{(e_1, 0), (e_2, 0), (e_3, 0), (0, e_1), (0, e_2), (0, e_3)\} \cap B.$$

The set  $B_1$  is the open unit ball in a Cartesian product

$$X_1 = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3$$

furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm and  $B_1$  is strictly convex. Since

$$k_B(u, w) = k_{B_1}(u, w)$$

for all  $u, w \in B_1$ , we get

$$k_B(x, z) = k_{B_1}(Tx, Tz), \quad k_B(y, z) = k_{B_1}(Ty, Tz)$$

and

$$k_B(x, y) = k_{B_1}(Tx, Ty).$$

Therefore we may restrict our further considerations to the six-dimensional Banach space  $X_1$ . Then each  $k_{B_1}$ -ball is strictly convex in a linear sense and it is obvious that, by a compactness argument, the metric space  $(B_1, k_{B_1})$  is locally linearly uniformly convex. This implies the same property of  $(B, k_B)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* The construction (given in Theorem 3.1) of the domain which has the locally linearly uniformly convex Kobayashi distance can be generalized but the way of this generalization will be a subject of another paper.

#### 4. FIXED POINTS OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS

In this section we will use the asymptotic center method [4], [6], [7]. Let  $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$  be a  $k_B$ -bounded net in the open unit ball  $B$  in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , and let  $C$  be a nonempty,  $k_B$ -closed and convex subset of  $B$ . Consider the functional  $r(\cdot, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) : B \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $r(x, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) = \limsup_{t \in T} k_B(x, x_t)$ . Recall that a point  $z$  in  $C$  is said to be an asymptotic center of the net  $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$  with respect to  $C$  if  $r(z, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) : x \in C\}$ . The infimum of  $r(\cdot, \{x_t\}_{t \in T})$  over  $C$  is called the asymptotic radius of  $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$  with respect to  $C$  and denoted by  $r(C, \{x_t\}_{t \in T})$ . Let us observe that the function  $r(\cdot, \{x_t\}_{t \in T})$  is quasi-convex, i.e.,

$$r((1-s)x + sy, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) \leq \max(r(x, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}), r(y, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}))$$

for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $B$  and  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Every  $k_B$ -bounded net  $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$  in  $B$  has a unique asymptotic center with respect to any nonempty,  $k_B$ -closed and convex subset  $C$  of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$  be a  $k_B$ -bounded net in  $B$ . Hence the net  $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$  lies strictly inside  $B$  and therefore

$$0 < \frac{\sup_{t \in T} \|x_t\| + 1}{2} = R < 1.$$

Next, the sets

$$C_n = \{x \in C : r(x, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) \leq r(C, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}) + \frac{1}{n}\}$$

are nonempty, convex and weakly compact since the function  $r(\cdot, \{x_t\}_{t \in T})$  is continuous and quasi-convex. Hence  $r(\cdot, \{x_t\}_{t \in T})$  attains its minimum in  $C$ . Now, all we have to show is that

$$r\left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}\right) < \max(r(x, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}), r(y, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}))$$

for every  $x \neq y$ . To this end, let  $r_0 = \max(r(x, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}), r(y, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}))$ . Then for each  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  there exists  $t_\epsilon \in T$  such that

$$k_B(x, x_t) \leq r_0 + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad k_B(y, x_t) \leq r_0 + \epsilon$$

for all  $t \geq t_\epsilon$ . Since, by Theorem 3.1, the open unit ball  $B$  in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  (furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm) has the Kobayashi distance  $k_B$  which is locally uniformly convex, we get

$$k_B\left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y, x_t\right) \leq \left(1 - \delta\left(R, r_0, r_0 + 1, \frac{k_B(x, y)}{r_0 + 1}, \frac{k_B(x, y)}{r_0 + 1}\right)\right)(r_0 + \epsilon)$$

for all  $t \geq t_\epsilon$  and finally

$$r\left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y, \{x_t\}_{t \in T}\right) \leq \left(1 - \delta\left(R, r_0, r_0 + 1, \frac{k_B(x, y)}{r_0 + 1}, \frac{k_B(x, y)}{r_0 + 1}\right)\right)r_0 < r_0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , and let  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a  $k_B$ -nonexpansive mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  has a fixed point;
- (ii) there exists a point  $x$  in  $B$  such that the sequence of iterates  $\{f^n(x)\}$  is  $k_B$ -bounded;
- (iii) the sequence of iterates  $\{f^n(x)\}$  is  $k_B$ -bounded for all  $x$  in  $B$ ;
- (iv) there exists a  $k_B$ -bounded approximating sequence  $\{x_n\}$  for  $f$ ;
- (v) there exists a closed and  $f$ -invariant  $k_B$ -ball;
- (vi) there exists a nonempty, closed, convex,  $k_B$ -bounded and  $f$ -invariant subset  $C$  of the ball  $B$ .

*Proof.* To prove this theorem it is sufficient to apply the asymptotic center method and the following facts:

- (1) each nonempty, closed, convex,  $k_B$ -bounded and  $f$ -invariant subset  $C$  of the ball  $B$  contains a  $k_B$ -bounded approximating sequence for  $f$ ;
- (2) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $k_B$ -bounded approximating sequence for  $f$ , then

$$r(f(y), \{x_n\}) \leq r(y, \{x_n\})$$

for each  $y \in B$ ;

(3) if  $x \in B$  has the  $k_B$ -bounded sequence of iterates  $\{f^n(x)\}$ , then

$$r(f(y), \{f^n(x)\}) \leq r(y, \{f^n(x)\})$$

for each  $y \in B$ ;

(4) by Proposition 4.1 every  $k_B$ -bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $B$  has a unique asymptotic center with respect to any nonempty,  $k_B$ -closed and convex subset  $C$  of  $B$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Theorem 4.1 is valid for holomorphic self-mappings of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Each holomorphic self-mapping of  $B$  is  $k_B$ -nonexpansive.  $\square$

*Remark 4.1.* Note that in the case of the open unit ball  $B_H$  of a Hilbert space  $H$  the analogous theorem and corollary are valid [7], [8], [12], but not in the case of  $B_H^\infty$  [14], [15].

Next we need the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . A mapping  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is said to be asymptotically regular if

$$\lim_n k_B(f^{n+1}(x), f^n(x)) = 0$$

for each  $x \in B$ .

*Remark 4.2.* In the case of the Hilbert ball  $B_H$ , when we consider averaged  $k_{B_H}$ -nonexpansive self-mappings, the asymptotically regular mappings appear in a natural way [14], [17].

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1 we get

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$  and let a mapping  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be  $k_B$ -nonexpansive and asymptotically regular. If  $f$  is fixed-point-free, then  $\lim_n \|f^n(x)\| = 1$  for each  $x \in B$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a subsequence  $\{f^{n_j}(x)\}$  of  $\{f^n(x)\}$  such that

$$\sup_j \|f^{n_j}(x)\| < 1.$$

Then  $\{f^{n_j}(x)\}$  is a  $k_B$ -bounded approximating sequence for  $f$  and, by Theorem 4.1, the mapping  $f$  has a fixed point, contrary to our assumption. Therefore  $\lim_n \|f^n(x)\| = 1$  for each  $x \in B$  as claimed.  $\square$

Now we will investigate semigroups and their orbits.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . A family  $S = \{F_t\}$ , where either  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+ = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s \geq 0\}$  or  $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , of self-mappings of  $B$  is called a (one-parameter) semigroup if  $F_{s+t} = F_s \circ F_t$ ,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  ( $s, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ), and  $F_0 = I_B$ , where  $I_B$  is the identity operator on  $B$ . A semigroup  $S = \{F_t\}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , is said to be (strongly) continuous if the function  $F_{(\cdot)}(x) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X$  is continuous in  $t$  for each  $x \in B$ . If  $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  we say that the semigroup  $S$  is discrete.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $S = \{F_t\}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+ = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s \geq 0\}$  (or  $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) be a continuous (or discrete) semigroup acting on  $B$ . A point  $x \in B$  is said to be a stationary point of  $S$  if  $F_t(x) = x$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (or  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in the Cartesian product  $l^2 \times l^2$  furnished with the  $l^p$ -norm, where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $S = \{F_t\}$  be a continuous or discrete semigroup of  $k_B$ -nonexpansive self-mappings of  $B$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) there is  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $F_{t_0}$  has a fixed point in  $B$ ;
- (ii) each  $F_t$  has a fixed point;
- (iii) there is a stationary point of the semigroup  $S$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Therefore it is enough to show that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Indeed, let  $x \in B$  be a fixed point of  $F_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0$ . Then  $x$  is a periodic point of  $S$ , i.e.,

$$F_{t+t_0}(x) = F_t(F_{t_0}(x)) = F_t(x)$$

for all  $t$ . But the set  $\{F_t(x) : 0 \leq t \leq t_0\}$  is a compact subset of  $B$  and therefore it is  $k_B$ -bounded. Applying the asymptotic center method to the  $k_B$ -bounded net  $\{F_t(x)\}$  and Proposition 4.1 it is easy to show that there exists a common fixed point of  $S$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* In the case of the Hilbert ball  $B_H$  the analogous theorem can be found in [13] (see also [14]).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is greatly indebted to the referee for their valuable remarks and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Budzyńska & T. Kuczumow, A strict convexity of the Kobayashi distance, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol. 4 (Ed. Y. J. Cho), Nova Science Publishers, Inc., to appear.
- [2] S. Dineen, The Schwarz Lemma, Oxford University Press, 1989. MR **91f**:46064
- [3] C. J. Earle & R. S. Hamilton, A fixed point theorem for holomorphic mappings, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., 61-65 (1968). MR **42**:918
- [4] M. Edelstein, The construction of an asymptotic center with a fixed point property, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78, 206-208 (1972). MR **45**:1005
- [5] T. Franzoni & E. Vesentini, Holomorphic maps and invariant distances, North-Holland, 1980. MR **82a**:32032
- [6] K. Goebel & W. A. Kirk, Topics in metric fixed point theory, Cambridge University Press, 1990. MR **92c**:47070
- [7] K. Goebel & S. Reich, Uniform convexity, hyperbolic geometry and nonexpansive mappings, Marcel Dekker, 1984. MR **86d**:58012
- [8] K. Goebel, T. Sękowski & A. Stachura, Uniform convexity of the hyperbolic metric and fixed points of holomorphic mappings in the Hilbert ball, Nonlinear Analysis 4, 1011-1021 (1980). MR **82f**:32039
- [9] L. A. Harris, Schwarz-Pick systems of pseudometrics for domains in normed linear spaces, Advances in Holomorphy, North Holland, 345-406 (1979). MR **80j**:32043
- [10] M. Jarnicki & P. Pflug, Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis, Walter de Gruyter, 1993. MR **94k**:32039

- [11] W. Kaup & H. Upmeyer, Banach spaces with biholomorphically equivalent unit balls are isomorphic, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 58, 129-133 (1976). MR **54**:10690
- [12] T. Kuczumow, Fixed points of holomorphic mappings in the Hilbert ball, Colloq. Math. 55, 101-107 (1988). MR **90f**:47088
- [13] T. Kuczumow, S. Reich & D. Shoikhet, The existence and non-existence of common fixed points for commuting families of holomorphic mappings, Nonlinear Analysis 43, 45-49 (2001). MR **2001h**:47094
- [14] T. Kuczumow, S. Reich & D. Shoikhet, Fixed points of holomorphic mappings: a metric approach, Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory (Eds. W. A. Kirk and B. Sims), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001, 437-515.
- [15] T. Kuczumow, S. Reich & A. Stachura, Holomorphic retracts of the open ball in the  $l_\infty$ -product of Hilbert spaces, Recent advances in metric fixed point theory (T. Domínguez Benavides, Ed.), Universidad de Sevilla, Serie: Ciencias, Núm. 48, 99-110 (1996). MR **98b**:46061
- [16] T. Kuczumow & A. Stachura, Iterates of holomorphic and  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mappings in convex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Adv. in Math. 81, 90-98 (1990). MR **91d**:32037
- [17] S. Reich, Averaged mappings in the Hilbert ball, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 109, 199-206 (1985). MR **87b**:47061
- [18] W. Rudin, Function theory on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Springer, 1980. MR **82i**:32002
- [19] T. Sękowski & A. Stachura, Holomorphic non-equivalence of balls in Banach spaces  $l_p$  and  $L_2$  from the geometrical point of view, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 50, 213-218 (1996). MR **98f**:58019
- [20] J.-P. Vigué, La métrique infinitésimale de Kobayashi et la caractérisation des domaines convexes bornés, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 78, 867-876 (1999). MR **2001i**:32022
- [21] J.-P. Vigué, Stricte convexité des domaines bornés et unicité des géodésiques complexes, Bull. Sci. Math. 125, 297-310 (2001). MR **2002b**:32024

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UMCS, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND

*E-mail address:* monikab@golem.umcs.lublin.pl