

## A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF BOLLOBÁS AND LEADER

HONG BING YU

(Communicated by David E. Rohrlich)

**ABSTRACT.** By using Scherk's lemma we give a simple combinatorial proof of a theorem due to Bollobás and Leader. For any sequence of elements of an abelian group of order  $k$ , calling the sum of  $k$  terms of the sequence a  $k$ -sum, if  $0$  is not a  $k$ -sum, then there are at least  $r - k + 1$   $k$ -sums.

In [3] Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv proved an elegant result in Combinatorial Number Theory: let  $a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}$  be a sequence of elements of an abelian group  $G$  of order  $k$ . Then some  $k$ -sum is  $0$  (in  $G$ ), where (here and below) a  $t$ -sum is a sum of the form  $a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_t}$  ( $i_1 < \dots < i_t$ ).

There are many proofs and refinements of this result in the literature (see for example [1] and [5, Chap. 2]). Recently, Bollobás and Leader [2] established the following interesting result, which clearly implies the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem by taking  $r = k - 1$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group of order  $k$ , and let  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{k+r}\}$  be a sequence of elements of  $G$ . Then if  $0$  is not a  $k$ -sum, the number of  $k$ -sums of  $A$  is at least  $r + 1$ .*

The proof of the theorem given in [2, pp.30–32] is difficult and complicated. In this note we shall present a simple combinatorial proof of this result. Our argument is based upon the following result of Scherk on addition of subsets of an abelian group (see [6] for a short proof; cf. also [4, Theorem 15' of Chap. 1]).

**Lemma.** *Let  $B$  and  $C$  be two subsets of an abelian group of order  $k$ . Suppose  $0 \in B \cap C$  and suppose that if  $b + c = 0$  with  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ , then  $b = c = 0$ . Then  $|B + C| \geq \min(k, |B| + |C| - 1)$ , where (here and below)  $B + C$  consists of all the elements  $b + c$  with  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ .*

Now we prove the theorem. Translating (which does not affect  $k$ -sums), we may assume that  $0$  is the most repeated value in  $A$ . Let  $L$  be the subsequence of all  $0$ 's in  $A$ , and let  $l$  be the cardinality of  $L$ . Then  $l \leq k - 1$ . Clearly, we can take a subsequence  $S$  of  $A \setminus L$  summing to  $0$  with maximal cardinality  $s$  and with  $s \leq k - 1$  ( $S$  may be empty). Then

$$(*) \quad l + s \leq k - 1,$$

---

Received by the editors December 5, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B50, 20D60.

The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

for, otherwise,  $S$  with  $k - s$  0's of  $L$  added would be a subsequence of  $A$  with length  $k$  summing to 0. Hence the cardinality of  $A \setminus L \cup S$  is at least  $r + 1$ . Taking a subsequence  $T$  of  $A \setminus L \cup S$  with length  $r$ , and denoting by  $h$  the greatest number of times that any element occurs in  $T$ , then  $h \leq l$  by the definition of  $l$ . We partition the elements of the sequence  $T$  into  $h$  sets (not sequences)  $X_1, \dots, X_h$ , and let  $X'_i = X_i \cup \{0\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h$ ). We note that  $0 \notin T$  and that  $T$  has no  $j$ -sum being 0 for any  $1 < j \leq h$ . For, otherwise, adding such a  $j$ -terms sequence to  $S$  would give us a subsequence  $S'$  of  $A \setminus L$  summing to 0, but  $s'$ , the cardinality of  $S'$ , satisfies that  $s < s' \leq h + s \leq l + s \leq k - 1$  (by (\*)), contradicting the choice of  $S$ . Then, by repeatedly applying Scherk's lemma, we have

$$|X'_1 + \dots + X'_h| \geq |X_1| + \dots + |X_h| + 1 = r + 1$$

(recalling that the cardinality of  $T$  is  $r$ ). In other words,  $T$  with  $h$  zeros from  $L$  appended has at least  $r + 1$   $h$ -sums. By adding the remaining  $k + r - (r + h)$  elements of  $A$  to each of these  $h$ -sums, we obtain at least  $r + 1$   $k$ -sums of  $A$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to the referee for the suggestion in the revision of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Alon and M. Dubiner, Zero-sum sets of prescribed size, in: "Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty", János Bolyai Math. Soc., 1993, pp.33–50. MR **94j**:11016
2. B. Bollobás and I. Leader, The number of  $k$ -sums modulo  $k$ , J. Number Theory **78** (1999), 27–35. MR **2000i**:11036
3. P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, and A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory, Bull. Res. Council Israel(F) **10** (1961), 41–43.
4. H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, "Sequences", Vol.I, Oxford Univ. Press, 1966. MR **35**:1565
5. M. B. Nathanson, "Additive Number Theory. Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets", Volume 165 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1996. MR **98f**:11011
6. P. Scherk, Solution to Problem 4466, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 46–47.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, ANHUI, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address*: yuhb@ustc.edu.cn