

## ON THE INVARIANCE OF CLASSES $\Phi BV, \Lambda BV$ UNDER COMPOSITION

PAMELA B. PIERCE AND DANIEL WATERMAN

(Communicated by Andreas Seeger)

ABSTRACT. The necessary and sufficient condition for  $g \circ f$  to be in the class  $\Phi BV, \Lambda BV$  for every  $f$  of that class whose range is in the domain of  $g$  is that  $g$  be in Lip 1.

Several different classes of functions arise naturally in the study of the convergence of Fourier series [W1]–[W4], [S], [Y]. We concern ourselves here with two such classes:  $\Lambda BV$  and  $\Phi BV$ . Waterman [W1] defined the class  $\Lambda BV$  as follows: Suppose  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$  is an increasing sequence such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda_n = \infty$ . We say that  $f \in \Lambda BV$  on  $[a, b]$  if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(I_n)|/\lambda_n < \infty$  for every set  $\{I_n\}$  of nonoverlapping intervals in  $[a, b]$ . If  $\{\lambda_n\} = \{n\}$ , the resulting class is called  $HBV$ , the functions of *harmonic bounded variation*.

To define the class  $\Phi BV$ , we let  $\varphi$  be a convex function with domain  $[0, \infty)$  having the following three properties:

1.  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ ;
2.  $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ;
3.  $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Another property which is sometimes assumed is:

4. there exists  $a > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\varphi(2x)}{\varphi(x)} \leq \delta \quad \text{for } x \in (0, a].$$

The last condition above is usually called the condition  $\Delta_2$  (often called  $\Delta_2$  for small values).

Let  $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m = b\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ . We use the notation  $I_n = [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ , and we write  $f(I_n) = f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})$ . Since  $\varphi$  may be represented as an integral of a nondecreasing function, clearly  $\varphi$  itself is a strictly increasing continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

We say that  $f \in \Phi BV$  [MO] on an interval  $[a, b]$  if there exists a constant  $M$  such that whenever  $P = \{I_n\}$  is an arbitrary partition of  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$\sum_P \varphi(|f(I_n)|) < M.$$

---

Received by the editors October 21, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26A45, 42A16.

*Key words and phrases*. Bounded variation, Fourier series.

Alternatively we may require the infinite sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(|f(I_n)|)$  to be finite whenever  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a collection of *nonoverlapping* intervals in  $[a, b]$ . These two definitions have been shown to be equivalent. We will henceforth omit all reference to the interval  $[a, b]$  and simply write  $\Phi BV$  to denote this class. We see the importance of the condition  $\Delta_2$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Musielak and Orlicz). *The class  $\Phi BV$  is linear if and only if  $\Delta_2$  is satisfied.*

In what follows we shall assume that  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ .

$GW$  represents the class of functions (necessarily having only simple discontinuities) that have a convergent Fourier series for every change of variable, and the class  $UGW$  is defined analogously with respect to uniform convergence. Chaika and Waterman [CW] proved the following.

**Theorem** (Chaika and Waterman).  *$g \circ f$  is in one of the classes  $GW, UGW$  or  $HBV$  for each  $f$  of that class whose range is in the domain of  $g$  if and only if  $g \in \text{Lip } 1$ .*

Joseph [J] had proved an analogous theorem for the class  $BV$ .

We are interested now in necessary and sufficient conditions for a function  $f$  to be preserved as a member of the classes  $\Lambda BV, \Phi BV$  when it is composed with a function  $g$  on the left. We prove here the following extension of the theorem of Chaika and Waterman:

**Theorem.**  *$g \circ f$  is in the class  $\Lambda BV$  or  $\Phi BV$  for each  $f$  of that class whose range is in the domain of  $g$  if and only if  $g \in \text{Lip } 1$ .*

*Proof.* We observe that the continuity of  $g$  is necessary. Suppose  $g$  is discontinuous. We may suppose  $g(0) = 0, g(t_n) \geq 1$ , where  $t_n \searrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n < 1$ . We will define a function  $f \in BV$  such that  $g \circ f \notin \Lambda BV \cup \Phi BV$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  converging downward to 0. Let  $f$  be 0 at 0 and on  $[a_1, 1]$ . On each interval  $[a_{n+1}, a_n]$  we define  $f$  to be a tent function such that  $f(a_{n+1}) = f(a_n) = 0$  and  $f((a_{n+1} + a_n)/2) = t_n$ . Note that  $f \in BV$  and therefore  $f \in \Lambda BV$  and  $f \in \Phi BV$ . Let  $I_n = [(a_n + a_{n+1})/2, a_n]$ . Then  $(g \circ f)(I_n) = g(t_n) \geq 1$ . Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(g \circ f)(I_n)|}{\lambda_n} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} = \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|g \circ f(I_n)|) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(1) = \infty.$$

Let us now suppose  $g \in \text{Lip } 1$  and  $I_n$  is a collection of nonoverlapping intervals in the domain of  $f$ . Then there is a  $c > 0$  such that for any interval  $I_n$ ,  $|g \circ f(I_n)| < c|f(I_n)|$ . Thus if  $f$  is in  $\Lambda BV$  or  $\Phi BV$ , then so is  $g \circ f$ .

Now we assume that  $g$  is continuous but  $g \notin \text{Lip } 1$ , and the range of  $f$  is in the domain of  $g$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that the domain of  $g$  contains  $[0, a]$  for some positive  $a$  and that there is a sequence of disjoint intervals  $J_n = [p_n, q_n]$  in the domain of  $g$ , with  $p_n, q_n \searrow 0$ , and a sequence of positive numbers  $c_n \rightarrow \infty, c_1 > 1$ , such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_n} < \infty, \quad |J_n| c_n \leq g(J_n),$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(q_n) < \infty.$$

Since  $g$  is continuous and  $|J_n| \rightarrow 0$ , we see that  $|g(J_n)| \rightarrow 0$  implying  $c_n|J_n| \rightarrow 0$ . We may choose  $\{J_n\}$  so that  $\{c_n|J_n|\}$  is monotone.

We now construct a function  $f \in \Lambda BV$  such that  $g \circ f \notin \Lambda BV$ . To do this, we define a function  $L : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by setting

$$L(q) = \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \quad \text{for } q \in Z^+, \quad L(0) = 0,$$

and extending  $L$  to be linear on each interval  $[q - 1, q], q \in Z^+$ .

We observe that  $L$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $L(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $L^{-1}$  exists. There is no loss of generality if we assume that  $\lambda_1 \geq 1$ . Let  $k_n = [L^{-1}(1/(c_n|J_n|) + 1)]$ , where  $[t]$  denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to  $t$ . Then

$$k_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad L(k_n) > \frac{1}{c_n|J_n|} \quad \text{and} \quad g(J_n)L(k_n) > \frac{g(J_n)}{c_n|J_n|} \geq 1.$$

There is a  $C > 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{c_n} \leq |J_n|L(k_n) < C \frac{1}{c_n}.$$

For this  $C$  we then have

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |J_n|L(k_n) < C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_n} < \infty.$$

For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $I_{n,1}, I_{n,2}, \dots, I_{n,k_n}$  be a collection of disjoint closed intervals in  $(2^{-n}, 2^{-(n-1)})$  with  $I_{n,m}$  to the left of  $I_{n,m+1}$  for each  $m$ .

Let  $f$  be defined on each  $I_{n,m}$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, k_n; n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) to be the increasing linear map of  $I_{n,m}$  onto  $J_n$ . Set  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ . Define  $f$  to be linear on each of the component intervals of the remainder of  $[0, 1]$  and continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . We now claim that  $f \in \Lambda BV$  while  $g \circ f \notin \Lambda BV$ .

To see that  $g \circ f \notin \Lambda BV$  we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\Lambda} \left( g \circ f, \left[ \frac{\pi}{2^n}, \frac{\pi}{2^{n-1}} \right] \right) &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \frac{(g \circ f)(I_{n,m})}{\lambda_m} \\ &= g(J_n)L(k_n) \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $g \circ f$  were in  $\Lambda BV$ , then the right continuity of  $g \circ f$  at 0 would imply  $V_{\Lambda}(g \circ f, [0, \epsilon]) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  (see [W2]). Hence  $g \circ f \notin \Lambda BV$ .  $\square$

To see that  $f \in \Lambda BV$  we first recall a definition of Banach:

**Definition.** If  $f$  is a continuous function, then  $\mathcal{N}(y) = \mathcal{N}(f; y) = \text{card}\{x|f(x) = y\}$ . (This function  $\mathcal{N}$  has been called the Banach indicatrix of  $f$ .)

This notion is easily extended to regulated functions, i.e., those functions with only simple discontinuities, by adjoining a vertical line connecting  $f(x+)$  and  $f(x-)$  at each point  $x$  of discontinuity (see [W1]).  $\mathcal{N}(y)$  is then defined to be the number of intersection points of this “extended” graph of  $f$  and the horizontal line of height

$y$ . The following result of Waterman [W2], which generalizes a result of Goffman [G], will prove useful:

**Theorem.** *If  $\inf f = A$  and  $\sup f = B$ ,  $f$  has only simple discontinuities, and  $L(x)$  is an increasing function such that*

$$L(n) \sim \sum_1^n \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $\int_A^B L(\mathcal{N}(y)) dy < \infty$  implies that  $f$  is in the class  $\Lambda BV$ .

The importance of the class of functions satisfying  $\int_A^B L(\mathcal{N}(y)) dy < \infty$  was first observed by Garsia and Sawyer [GS] for continuous functions and  $L(x) = \log(x)$ .

Now we need only observe

$$\begin{aligned} \int L(\mathcal{N}(f; y)) dy &\leq q_1 L(2) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |J_n| L(2k_n) \\ &< q_1 L(2) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |J_n| L(k_n) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

by (1). Hence  $f \in \Lambda BV$ , and we have the portion of the desired result which is concerned with  $\Lambda BV$ .

To complete the proof for  $\Phi BV$ , we now define a function  $P$  by setting

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(c_n |J_n|)} \quad \text{for } n \in Z^+, \quad P(0) = 0,$$

and extending  $P$  to be linear on each interval  $[k-1, k]$ ,  $k \in Z^+$ . Then  $P$  is a one-to-one increasing mapping of  $[0, \infty)$  onto  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $k_n = [P(n) + 1]$ . Thus  $k_n > P(n) = 1/(\varphi(c_n |J_n|))$ .

Let  $f$  be defined as in the construction for  $\Lambda BV$  but with this definition of  $k_n$ . We now claim that  $f \in \Phi BV$  while  $g \circ f \notin \Phi BV$ .

To see that  $g \circ f \notin \Phi BV$  we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \varphi(|(g \circ f)(I_{n,m})|) &= \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \varphi(|g(J_n)|) \\ &= k_n \varphi(|g(J_n)|) \\ &\geq k_n \varphi(c_n |J_n|) \\ &> \frac{\varphi(c_n |J_n|)}{\varphi(c_n |J_n|)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

So for this collection  $\{I_{n,m}\}$  of intervals, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \varphi(|(g \circ f)(I_{n,m})|) &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

implying  $g \circ f \notin \Phi BV$ .

We now show that  $f \in \Phi BV$ . Let  $\{0 = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m = 1\}$  be an arbitrary partition of  $[0, 1]$ . We will find an upper bound for the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|).$$

Consider the contribution of an arbitrary term,  $\varphi(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|)$ . For  $i = 1$  we have

$$\varphi(|f(x_1) - f(0)|) = \varphi(|f(x_1)|) \leq \varphi(q_1)$$

and similarly for  $i = m$  we get  $\varphi(|0 - f(x_{m-1})|) \leq \varphi(q_1)$ . For  $i \neq 1, m$  we have the following cases:

**Case 1.** There exists  $n$  such that  $f(x_i), f(x_{i-1}) \in J_n$ . If  $I_{n,m} = [a_{n,m}, b_{n,m}]$ , then  $x_i, x_{i-1} \in [a_{n,1}, b_{n,k_n}]$ . Clearly,

$$\varphi(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|) < \varphi(|J_n|).$$

We observe that increasing the number of partition points along intervals of monotonicity does not increase the sum, and hence the contribution of all such terms is bounded by  $2k_n \varphi(|J_n|)$ .

**Case 2.** There does not exist an  $n$  such that  $f(x_i), f(x_{i-1}) \in J_n$ . Then there is a smallest integer  $j$  satisfying  $f(x_i) \leq q_j$ .

Suppose there are  $r$  such intervals having this same smallest integer  $j$  satisfying the above. If these intervals are

$$[x_{i_0}, x_{i_0+1}], [x_{i_0+1}, x_{i_0+2}], \dots, [x_{i_0+r-1}, x_{i_0+r}],$$

we then have, since  $\varphi$  is convex,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^r \varphi(|f(x_{i_0+l}) - f(x_{i_0+l-1})|) &\leq \varphi(|f(x_{i_0+r}) - f(x_{i_0})|) \\ &\leq \varphi(q_j). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the above situation can arise at most once for each index  $j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

By combining all of the above information we show that an upper bound for  $\sum_1^m \varphi(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} M &= 2\varphi(q_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2k_n \varphi(|J_n|) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(q_n) \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly I, II, and III are independent of the choice of the partition. Obviously I is finite and  $q_n$  was chosen so that III is finite. Since  $c_n \geq 1$ , it follows that

$\varphi(c_n|J_n|) > c_n\varphi(|J_n|)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n \varphi(|J_n|) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [P(n) + 1] \varphi(|J_n|) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(c_n|J_n|)} + 1 \right) \varphi(|J_n|) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\varphi(|J_n|)}{c_n \varphi(|J_n|)} + \varphi(|J_n|) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|J_n|) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(q_n) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f \in \Phi BV$ , and the proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [CW] M. Chaika and D. Waterman, *On the invariance of certain classes of functions under composition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1974), 345–348. MR **48**:8704
- [G] C. Goffman, *Everywhere convergence of Fourier series*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970), 107–112. MR **42**:4941
- [GW] C. Goffman and D. Waterman, *Functions whose Fourier series converge for every change of variable*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 80–86. MR **36**:4245
- [GS] A. Garsia and S. Sawyer, *On some classes of continuous functions with convergent Fourier series*, J. of Math. and Mech. **13** (1964), 586–601. MR **33**:7777
- [J] M. Josephy, *Composing functions of bounded variation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 354–356. MR **83c**:26009
- [MO] J. Musielak and W. Orlicz, *On generalized variations I*, Studia Math. **18** (1959), 11–41. MR **21**:3524
- [S] R. Salem, *Sur un test général pour la convergence uniforme des séries de Fourier*, Comptes Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris v. **207** (1938), 662–664.
- [W1] D. Waterman, *On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 107–117. MR **46**:9623
- [W2] D. Waterman, *On  $\Lambda$ -bounded variation*, Studia Math. **57** (1976), 33–45. MR **54**:5408
- [W3] D. Waterman, *On the summability of Fourier series of functions of  $\Lambda$ -bounded variation*, Studia Math. **55** (1976), 87–95. MR **53**:6212
- [W4] D. Waterman, *Fourier series of functions of  $\Lambda$ -bounded variation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 119–123. MR **80j**:42010
- [Y] L. C. Young, *Sur une généralisation de la notion de variation de puissance  $p$ -ième bornée au sens de M. Wiener, et sur la convergence des séries de Fourier*, Comptes Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris **204** (1937), 470–472.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, THE COLLEGE OF WOOSTER, WOOSTER, OHIO 44691

*E-mail address:* ppierce@acs.wooster.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY, BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33431-0991

*E-mail address:* fourier@earthlink.net