

LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS AND DERIVATIONS ON M_n

SANG OG KIM AND JU SEON KIM

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to give a short proof that 2-local derivations on M_n , the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over the complex numbers are derivations and to give a shorter proof that 2-local *-automorphisms on M_n are *-automorphisms.

A mapping ϕ of an algebra \mathcal{A} into itself is called a local automorphism (respectively, local derivation) if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an automorphism (respectively, local derivation) ϕ_A of \mathcal{A} , depending on A , such that $\phi(A) = \phi_A(A)$. These notions were introduced by Kadison [Kad] and Larson and Sourour [LaSo]. In fact, their definitions were stronger. They have assumed that these mappings are also linear. Larson and Sourour proved that every local derivation on $B(X)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space X , is a derivation, and provided that X is infinite dimensional, every surjective linear local automorphism of $B(X)$ is an automorphism. In [BrSe], they proved that the surjectivity assumption in the last result can be dropped if X is a separable Hilbert space.

It is easy to see that if we drop the assumption of linearity of the local maps, then the corresponding statements are no longer true. However, in [KoSl], they obtained the following result: If \mathcal{A} is a unital Banach algebra and if $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a map (no linearity is assumed) having the property that $\phi(I) = 1$ and for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a multiplicative linear functional $\phi_{A,B}$ on \mathcal{A} such that $\phi(A) = \phi_{A,B}(A)$ and $\phi(B) = \phi_{A,B}(B)$, then ϕ is linear and multiplicative.

Motivated by the above considerations, Šemrl [Sem] introduced the following definition.

Definition. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a 2-local automorphism (respectively, 2-local derivation) if for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ there is an automorphism (respectively, derivation) $\phi_{A,B} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, depending on A and B , such that $\phi(A) = \phi_{A,B}(A)$ and $\phi(B) = \phi_{A,B}(B)$.

Also, they showed the following result.

Theorem 1 ([Sem]). *Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Then every 2-local automorphism $\phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (no linearity, surjectivity or continuity of ϕ is*

Received by the editors September 10, 2002 and, in revised form, November 20, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B49, 15A60.

Key words and phrases. 2-local *-automorphism, 2-local derivation.

This work was supported by the Research Grant from Hallym University, Korea.

assumed) is an automorphism and every 2-local derivation $\theta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (no linearity or continuity of θ is assumed) is a derivation.

In [Sem, Remark] they say that they get the same results in the case that \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional by a long proof involving tedious computations. We found very recently that Molnár [Mol2] gave a short proof that every 2-local automorphism on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$ is an automorphism. It is the aim of this note to make a short proof that 2-local derivations on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$ are derivations and to make the proof shorter in the case of 2-local *-automorphisms (its definition is self-explanatory, i.e., the $\phi_{A,B}$ is a *-automorphism for every A and B). Note that the proof of the following Theorem 2 is similar to that in [Mol1].

Theorem 2. *Let M_n be the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over \mathbf{C} and $\phi : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ a 2-local *-automorphism. Then ϕ is a *-automorphism.*

Proof. By the well-known result that every *-automorphism of M_n is of the form $A \mapsto UAU^*$ for some unitary $U \in M_n$, for every $A, B \in M_n$ there is a unitary U in M_n such that

$$\phi(A) = UAU^* \text{ and } \phi(B) = UBU^*.$$

Then if we let tr be the usual trace functional, we have

$$\text{tr}(\phi(A)\phi(B)^*) = \text{tr}(AB^*).$$

Then for any $C \in M_n$,

$$\text{tr}[(\phi(A+B) - \phi(A) - \phi(B))\phi(C)^*] = \text{tr}[(A+B) - A - B]C^*] = 0$$

by the linearity of tr , and then we obtain that

$$\text{tr}[(\phi(A+B) - \phi(A) - \phi(B))(\phi(A+B) - \phi(A) - \phi(B))^*] = 0.$$

Consequently, it follows that ϕ is additive. Let A be an element of M_n and let λ be any scalar. If we use the 2-locality of ϕ to the elements A and λA , we have

$$\phi(\lambda A) = \phi_{A, \lambda A}(\lambda A) = \lambda \phi_{A, \lambda A}(A) = \lambda \phi(A).$$

Then ϕ is homogeneous, and hence ϕ is a linear map. Since the set of eigenvalues of $\phi(A)$ according to multiplicity is the same as that of $A \in M_n$ and $\phi(A^*) = \phi_{A, A^*}(A^*) = \phi_{A, A^*}(A)^* = \phi(A)^*$, there exists by [MaMo, Theorem 4] a unitary $U \in M_n$ such that ϕ is either of the form

$$\phi(A) = UAU^* \quad (A \in M_n)$$

or of the form

$$\phi(A) = UA^tU^* \quad (A \in M_n).$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\phi(A) = UA^tU^*$ for all $A \in M_n$. Take two matrices A and B in M_n such that $AB \neq 0$ and $BA = 0$. Then

$$0 \neq \phi_{A,B}(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B) = UA^tU^*UB^tU^* = U(BA)^tU^* = 0.$$

This shows that $\phi(A) = UAU^*$ ($A \in M_n$), completing the proof. \square

Now we consider 2-local derivations on M_n . We have to mention that the idea for the proof of the following Theorem 3 comes from that of [Sem].

Theorem 3. *Let M_n be the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over \mathbf{C} and $\phi : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ a 2-local derivation. Then ϕ is a derivation.*

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbf{C}^n . We define two matrices $A, N \in M_n$ by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2^2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{2^n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $T \in M_n$ commutes with A if and only if it is diagonal, and if U commutes with N , then U is of the form

$$Ue_k = \mu_k e_1 + \mu_{k-1} e_2 + \dots + \mu_1 e_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

for some $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \mid \mu_k \in \mathbf{C}\}$. That is, U is of the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \dots & \mu_n \\ 0 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_1 & \dots & \mu_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mu_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing ϕ by $\phi - \phi_{A,N}$, if necessary, we can assume that $\phi(A) = \phi(N) = 0$. Every derivation on M_n is inner. It follows that for every $T \in M_n$ there exist diagonal D and U of the above form, depending on T , such that

$$\phi(T) = TD - DT = TU - UT.$$

Let $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ be the system of matrix units of M_n . Then for any fixed i and j , we have $\phi(E_{ij}) = E_{ij}D - DE_{ij} = E_{ij}U - UE_{ij}$ for some $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and U of the above form. Since $E_{ij}D - DE_{ij} = (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)E_{ij}$ and $E_{ij}U - UE_{ij}$ has 0 as (i, j) -entry, it follows that $\phi(E_{ij}) = 0$. Noting that E_{ij} is the rank one operator $e_i \otimes e_j$, we then have for any $T \in M_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij}\phi(T)E_{ij} &= \phi_{E_{ij},T}(E_{ij}TE_{ij}) \\ &= \langle Te_i, e_j \rangle \phi_{E_{ij},T}(E_{ij}) \\ &= \langle Te_i, e_j \rangle \phi(E_{ij}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this equation it follows that $\langle \phi(T)e_i, e_j \rangle E_{ij} = 0$ and hence $\phi(T) = 0$, completing the proof. \square

REFERENCES

[BrSe] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents*, Studia Math. **113** (1995), 101–108. MR **96i**:47058
 [Kad] R. V. Kadison, *Local derivations*, J. Algebra **130** (1990), 494–509. MR **91f**:46092
 [KoSl] S. Kowalski and Z. Slodkowski, *A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **67** (1980), 215–223. MR **82d**:46070
 [LaSo] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of $B(X)$* , Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 51, Part 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (1990), 187–194. MR **91k**:47106

- [MaMo] M. Marcus and B. N. Moysl, *Linear transformations on algebras of matrices*, *Canad. J. Math.* **11** (1959), 61–66. MR **20**:6432
- [Mol1] L. Molnár, *2-local isometries of some operator algebras*, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **45** (2002), 349–352. MR **2003e**:47067
- [Mol2] L. Molnár, *Local automorphisms of operator algebras on Banach spaces*, arXiv:math.OA/0209059 (2002)
- [Sem] P. Šemrl, *Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 2677–2680. MR **98e**:46082

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HALLYM UNIVERSITY, CHUNCHEON 200-702, KOREA
E-mail address: sokim@hallym.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, 151-742, KOREA