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#### Abstract

Motivated by a sum packing problem of Erdős, Bohman discussed an extremal geometric problem which seems to have an independent interest. Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $H \cap\{0, \pm 1\}^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}$. The problem is to determine $$
f(n) \triangleq \max _{H}\left|H \cap\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}\right|
$$

Bohman (1996) conjectured that $$
f(n)=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{n}+\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{2})^{n}
$$

We show that for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ we have $c_{1}(2,538)^{n}<f(n)<$ $c_{2}(2,723)^{n}$-disproving the conjecture. We also consider a more general question of the estimation of $|H \cap\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\}|$, when $H \cap\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}=$ $\left\{0^{n}\right\}, m>k>1$.


## 1. Introduction and statement of the result

Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ so that $H \cap\{0, \pm 1\}^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}$. Let

$$
f(n)=\max _{H}\left|H \cap\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}\right| .
$$

The problem (of determination of $f(n)$ ) was raised by Bohman [1] in connection with a subset sum problem of Erdős [2].

A set $S$ of positive integers $b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{n}$ has distinct subset sums if all sums of subsets are distinct. Erdős [2] asked for the value of

$$
g(n) \triangleq \min \left\{a_{n}: S \text { has distinct subset sums, }|S|=n\right\}
$$

A long-standing conjecture of Erdős claims that $g(n) \geq c 2^{n}$ for some constant $c$. In [1] Bohman explained the relationship between functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, and noticed that studying the function $f(n)$ might be helpful for further investigation of the problem of Erdős.

Suppose a hyperplane $H$ defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} x_{i}=0 ; a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]satisfies $H \cap\{0, \pm 1\}^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}$. This clearly means that $\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\}$ has distinct subset sums. A simple example of such a set with $a_{n-1} \leq 2^{n-1}$ is $\left\{1,2,2^{2}, \ldots, 2^{n-1}\right\}$. For more complicated examples see [1], [3].

For $f(n)$, Bohman [1] conjectured that

$$
f(n)=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{n}+\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{2})^{n}
$$

showing that this number can be achieved, taking $a_{i}=2^{i}(i=0, \ldots, n-1)$ in (1.1).
Let us consider now the hyperplanes defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{i} \lambda_{i} x_{i}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ are odd integers.
One can easily see that the set $\left\{\lambda_{0}, 2 \lambda, \ldots, 2^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}\right\}$ has distinct subset sums.
Let $f^{*}(n)$ denote the maximum possible number of solutions $x^{n} \in\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$ of equation (1.2) over all choices of odd integers $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$.
Theorem 1. For some constants $c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}$

$$
c^{\prime}(2,538)^{n}<f^{*}(n)<c^{\prime \prime}(2,547)^{n}
$$

Clearly this means that $f(n)>c_{1}(2,538)^{n}$ and the conjecture of Bohman fails. Our next goal is to give an upper bound for $f(n)$. A simple upper bound is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n) \leq 3^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $X$ be the set of solutions $x^{n} \in\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$ of equation (1.1). Then observe that for any $u^{n}, v^{n} \in\{0,1\}^{n}, u^{n} \neq v^{n}$, we have $\left(X+u^{n}\right) \cap\left(X+v^{n}\right)=$ $\varnothing$. This implies that $\left|X+\{0,1\}^{n}\right|=|X|\left|\{0,1\}^{n}\right|=|X| 2^{n}$. On the other hand, $\left\{X+\{0,1\}^{n}\right\} \subset\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2,3\}^{n}$. Hence $|X| 2^{n} \leq 6^{n}$ and thus (1.3). The next result improves bound (1.3).

Theorem 2. For some constant $c$,

$$
f(n)<c(2,723)^{n}
$$

Conjecture 1. For some constant $c$,

$$
f(n) \sim c \beta^{n}
$$

where $\beta$ is the largest real root of the equation $z^{8}-8 z^{6}+10 z^{4}+1=0(\beta=$ $2,5386 \ldots)$. The construction attaining this number is given in section 2 .

We also consider a more general problem. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be finite and $F=$ $\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$. Then

$$
f(n, Q, F) \triangleq \max \left\{\left|H \cap Q^{n}\right|: H \text { is a hyperplane and } H \cap F^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}\right\}
$$

In some cases we succeed in giving the exact answer.
Theorem 3. (i) Let $Q=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\}, F=\{0, \pm 1, \cdots \pm k\}$ and $k+1 \mid 2 m+1$. Then

$$
f(n, Q, F)=\left(\frac{2 m+1}{k+1}\right)^{n-1}
$$

(ii) Let $Q=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(m-1), m\}, F=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$ and $k+1 \mid 2 m$. Then

$$
f(n, Q, F)=\left(\frac{2 m}{k+1}\right)^{n-1}
$$

An interesting case is

$$
Q=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(k+1)\}, \quad F=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}, \quad k \geq 1
$$

Note that for $k=1$ we have Bohman's problem. It can be shown that

$$
(1+\sqrt{2})^{n} \leq f(n, Q, F) \leq 3^{n}
$$

The upper bound is derived exactly as above for $k=1$. For the lower bound consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}+(k+1) x_{1}+\cdots+(k+1)^{n-1} x_{n-1}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X \subset Q^{n}$ denote the set of solutions of (1.4). Clearly, $X \cap F^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}$. On the other hand, one can show that $|X|=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{n}+\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{2})^{n}($ as for $k=1)$. We believe that Bohman's conjecture is true for $k \geq 2$, that is,

Conjecture 2. For $Q=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(k+1)\}, F=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$ and $k \geq 2$ (or a weaker condition for $k>k_{0}$ ) one has

$$
f(n, Q, F)=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{n}+\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{2})^{n} .
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We start with an auxiliary statement. Let $f_{\lambda}^{*}(n)$ denote the maximum number of solutions $x^{n}=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{i} \lambda_{i} x_{i}=\lambda \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over all choices of odd integers $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ and a given integer $\lambda$. Remember that $f_{0}^{*}(n)=f^{*}(n)$.

## Lemma 1.

$$
f^{*}(n) \geq \frac{1}{25} f_{\lambda}^{*}(n)
$$

Proof. Suppose we have an optimal equation (2.1). That is, for the solutions of (2.1), $X \subset\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$, we get $|X|=f_{\lambda}^{*}(n)$.

For an integer $\mu$ consider the equation

$$
(2 \mu+1) y+2 z+4 \lambda_{0} x_{0}+\cdots+2^{n+1} \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}=0
$$

Then taking $y=-2, z=1$, we come to equation $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{i} \lambda_{i} x_{i}=\mu$, which implies that $f^{*}(n+2) \geq \max _{\mu} f_{\mu}(n)$. On the other hand, clearly

$$
\max _{\mu} f_{\mu}(n) \geq \frac{1}{25} f_{\lambda}^{*}(n+2)
$$

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}+2 x_{1}+\cdots+2^{n-1} x_{n-1}=\lambda \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X(\lambda)$ be the set of all solutions (from $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$ ) of (2.2). With the help of this lemma we can get a lower bound using an average argument. There are $5^{n}$ vectors $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$. On the other hand, there are $4\left(2^{n}-1\right)+1$
possible values for $\lambda$ for which equation (2.2) has solutions. Hence there exists a $\lambda$ such that

$$
|X(\lambda)| \geq \frac{5^{n}}{4\left(2^{n}-1\right)+1}
$$

This together with Lemma 1 implies that $f(n) \geq c(2,5)^{n}$ for some constant $c$, which actually disproves the conjecture of Bohman. However, we can improve this bound constructively.

Lower bound. As above let $X(\lambda)=H \cap\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$, where $H$ is the hyperplane defined by (2.2).

Also, let $h_{\lambda}(n)$ denote the number of solutions of (2.2), that is, $h_{\lambda}(n)=|X(\lambda)|$.
Suppose that $\lambda=2 s$, where $s$ is an integer. Then observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2 s}(n)=h_{s-1}(n-1)+h_{s}(n-1)+h_{s+1}(n-1) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly, if $\lambda=2 s+1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2 s+1}(n)=h_{s}(n-1)+h_{s+1}(n-1) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a positive integer $n$, define

$$
S_{n}= \begin{cases}2^{n-1}+2^{n-3}+\cdots+2^{3}+2, & \text { if } 2 \mid n  \tag{2.5}\\ 2^{n-1}+2^{n-3}+\cdots+2^{2}+1, & \text { if } 2 \nmid n\end{cases}
$$

Claim. For $2 \mid n$ and some constant $c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{S_{n}}(n)>c(2,538)^{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{S_{n}}(n)=h_{S_{n-1}-1}(n-1)+h_{S_{n-1}}(n-1)+h_{S_{n-1}+1}(n-1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{S_{n-1}-1}(n-1) & =h_{S_{n-2}-1}(n-2)+h_{S_{n-2}}(n-2)+h_{S_{n-2}+1}(n-2), \\
h_{S_{n-1}}(n-1) & =h_{S_{n-2}}(n-2)+h_{S_{n-2}+1}(n-2)  \tag{2.8}\\
h_{S_{n-1}+1}(n-1) & =h_{S_{n-2}}(n-2)+h_{S_{n-2}+1}(n-2)+h_{S_{n-2}+2}(n-2)
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $h_{S_{n}}(n)$ can be represented by linear combinations of the functions $h_{S_{n-i}-1}(n-i), h_{S_{n-i}}(n-i), h_{S_{n-i}+1}(n-i), h_{S_{n-i}+2}(n-i)$.

In view of (2.7) and (2.8) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s_{n}}(n)= & h_{S_{n-1}+1}(n-1)+h_{S_{n-1}}(n-1)+h_{S_{n-1}}(n-1) \\
= & h_{S_{n-2}-1}(n-2)+3 h_{S_{n-2}}(n-2)+3 h_{S_{n-2}+1}(n-2) \\
& +h_{S_{n-2}+2}(n-2) \\
= & 4 h_{S_{n-3}-1}(n-3)+8 h_{S_{n-3}}(n-3)+7 h_{S_{n-3}+1}(n-3) \\
& +h_{S_{n-3}+2}(n-3) \\
= & 4 h_{S_{n-4}-1}(n-4)+19 h_{S_{n-4}}(n-4)+20 h_{S_{n-4}+1}(n-4) \\
& +8 h_{S_{n-4}+2}(n-4) \\
& \ldots \\
= & a_{i} h_{S_{n-i}-1}(n-i)+b_{i} h_{S_{n-i}}(n-i)+c_{i} h_{S_{n-i}+1}(n-i) \\
& +d_{i} h_{S_{n-i}+2}(n-i) \\
& \ldots \\
= & a_{n-1} h_{S_{1}-1}(1)+b_{n-1} h_{S_{1}}(1)+c_{n-1} h_{S_{1}+1}(1)+d_{n-1} h_{S_{1}+2}(1) \\
= & a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+c_{n-1}+d_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain the following recurrences for the coefficients $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}$ in (2.9):

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2 i}=a_{2 i-1} \\
& b_{2 i}=a_{2 i-1}+b_{2 i-1}+c_{2 i-1} \\
& c_{2 i}=a_{2 i-1}+b_{2 i-1}+c_{2 i-1}+d_{2 i-1}  \tag{2.10}\\
& d_{2 i}=c_{2 i-1}+d_{2 i-1} \\
& a_{2 i+1}=a_{2 i}+b_{2 i} \\
& \quad b_{2 i+1}=a_{2 i}+b_{2 i}+c_{2 i}+d_{2 i}  \tag{2.11}\\
& c_{2 i+1}=b_{2 i}+c_{2 i}+d_{2 i} \\
& d_{2 i+1}=d_{2 i}(i=1,2, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

Here are the first ten values of $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}$.

| $a_{i}:$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 23 | 23 | 144 | 144 | 921 | 921, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $b_{i}:$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 51 | 121 | 328 | 777 | 2113 | 5003, |
| $c_{i}:$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 47 | 129 | 305 | 832 | 1969 | 5363, |
| $d_{i}:$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 55 | 55 | 360 | 360 | 2329. |

From (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain by elementary algebraic transformations the following recurrences:

$$
t_{i+8}=8 t_{i+6}-10 t_{i+4}-t_{i} \text { for } t_{i} \in\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 i+8}=8 c_{2 i+6}-10 c_{2 i+4}-c_{2 i} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial values $c_{2}=3, c_{4}=20, c_{6}=129, c_{8}=832$.
The characteristic equation of (2.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{8}-8 z^{6}+10 z^{4}+1=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a largest real root $\beta=2,5386 \ldots$.

Thus $c_{2 i}$ can be estimated from below by $c_{2 i} \geq c \beta^{2 i}>c(2.538)^{2 i}$, for some constant $c$ definable from the initial values of $c_{2 i}$.

Furthermore, in view of (2.9) and (2.10) for $n=2 k$ we have

$$
h_{S_{n}}(n)=a_{2 k-1}+b_{2 k-1}+c_{2 k-1}+d_{2 k-1}=c_{n}
$$

which implies that $h_{S_{n}}(n)>c(2,538)^{n}$.
Thus we have proved that $f_{S_{n}}^{*}(n)>(2,538)^{n}$. This with Lemma 1 completes the proof of the lower bound.

Upper bound. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0} x_{0}+2 \lambda_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+2^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}=\lambda \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish the three cases:
$(\alpha) \lambda \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ : Then denote by $h_{\alpha}(n)$ the maximum possible number of solutions (from $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$ of equation (2.14)).
( $\beta$ ) $\lambda \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ : The corresponding notation for this case is $h_{\beta}(n)$.
$(\gamma) \lambda \equiv 1$ or $3(\bmod 4)$ : The corresponding notation for this case is $h_{\gamma}(n)$.
Then one can easily observe that the following recurrence relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\alpha}(n) & \leq h_{\alpha}(n-1)+h_{\beta}(n-1)+h_{\gamma}(n-1) \\
h_{\beta}(n) & \leq \max \left\{h_{\alpha}(n-1), h_{\beta}(n-1)\right\}+2 h_{\gamma}(n-1)  \tag{2.15}\\
h_{\gamma}(n) & \leq \max \left\{h_{\alpha}(n-1), h_{\beta}(n-1)\right\}+h_{\gamma}(n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

We also have that $h_{\alpha}(1)=h_{\beta}(1)=h_{\gamma}(1)=1$.
Now introduce the functions $g_{\alpha}(n), g_{\beta}(n)$, and $g_{\gamma}(n)$, so that $g_{\alpha}(1)=g_{\beta}(1)=$ $g_{\gamma}(1)=1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\alpha}(n) & =g_{\alpha}(n-1)+g_{\beta}(n-1)+g_{\gamma}(n-1) \\
g_{\beta}(n) & =\max \left\{g_{\alpha}(n-1), g_{\beta}(n-1)\right\}+2 g_{\gamma}(n-1) \\
g_{\gamma}(n) & =\max \left\{g_{\alpha}(n-1), g_{\beta}(n-1)\right\}+g_{\gamma}(n-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, we have that $g_{\alpha}(n) \geq h_{\alpha}(n), g_{\beta}(n) \geq h_{\beta}(n), g_{\gamma}(n) \geq h_{\gamma}(n)$.
Observe also that for $n \geq 3$ we have $g_{\alpha}(n)>g_{\beta}(n)>g_{\gamma}(n)$.
Hence, finally, we come to the recurrences

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\alpha}(n) & =g_{\alpha}(n-1)+g_{\beta}(n-1)+g_{\gamma}(n-1) \\
g_{\beta}(n) & =g_{\alpha}(n-1)+2 g_{\gamma}(n-1)  \tag{2.16}\\
g_{\gamma}(n) & =g_{\alpha}(n-1)+g_{\gamma}(n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.16) we obtain the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha}(n)=2 g_{\alpha}(n-1)+g_{\alpha}(n-2)+g_{\alpha}(n-3) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial values $g_{\alpha}(1)=1, g_{\alpha}(2)=3, g_{\alpha}(3)=8$.
Now to estimate the function $f^{*}(n)$ it remains to solve recurrence (2.17), since $f^{*}(n) \leq g_{\alpha}(n)$. The latter gives the estimation

$$
g_{\alpha}(n) \leq c^{\prime \prime}(2,547)^{n}
$$

for some constant $c^{\prime \prime}$ definable from the initial values. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ has distinct subset sums. Let $X$ denote the set of all solutions $x^{n} \in\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}^{n}$ of the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}=\lambda$.

Consider two mappings $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ from $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ to $\{0, \pm 1\} \varphi_{0}(-2)=\varphi_{1}(-2)$ $=-1, \varphi_{0}(2)=\varphi_{1}(2)=1, \varphi_{0}( \pm 1)=\varphi_{1}( \pm 1)=0$, and $\varphi_{0}(0)=-1, \varphi_{1}(0)=1$.

Next for $x^{n} \in X$ define

$$
\varphi\left(x^{n}\right)=\left\{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right): \varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Claim 1. For $x^{n}, y^{n} \in X, x^{n} \neq y^{n}$,

$$
\varphi\left(x^{n}\right) \cap \varphi\left(y^{n}\right)=\varnothing
$$

Proof. Suppose the opposite. Then it is not hard to verify that $x^{n}-y^{n} \in\{0, \pm 2\}^{n} \backslash$ $\left\{0^{n}\right\}$, a contradiction.

Let us define

$$
\alpha\left(x^{n}\right)=\text { the number of zero coordinates in } x^{n}
$$

Claim 2. For any $x^{n} \in X$,

$$
\left|\varphi\left(x^{n}\right)\right|=2^{\alpha\left(x^{n}\right)}
$$

Proof. This immediately follows from the definition of $\varphi\left(x^{n}\right)$.
Combining Claims 1 and 2, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x^{n} \in X} 2^{\alpha\left(x^{n}\right)} \leq 3^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the mapping $\Psi: X \rightarrow\{0, \pm 1\}^{n}$, defined by $\Psi\left(x^{n}\right)=\left(\Psi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \Psi_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$, where

$$
\Psi_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}-1, & \text { if } x_{i}=-2,-1 \\ 1, & \text { if } x_{i}=2,1 \\ 0, & \text { if } x_{i}=0 ; i=1, \ldots, n\end{cases}
$$

Claim 3. For $x^{n}, z^{n} \in X, x^{n} \neq z^{n}$ holds for $\Psi\left(x^{n}\right) \neq \Psi\left(z^{n}\right)$.
Proof. Assuming the opposite, we will get $x^{n}-z^{n} \in\{0, \pm 1\}^{n} \backslash\left\{0^{n}\right\}$, a contradiction.

Note (and this is important for us) that $\Psi$ leaves the zero coordinates fixed. This with (3.1) implies that

$$
\sum_{y^{n} \in \Psi(X)} 2^{\alpha\left(y^{n}\right)} \leq 3^{n}
$$

Since $|X|=|\Psi(X)|$, we can bound $|X|$ by the maximum cardinality of a set $Y \subset\{0, \pm 1\}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y^{n} \in Y} 2^{\alpha\left(y^{n}\right)} \leq 3^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
Y_{i}=\left\{y^{n} \in Y: \alpha\left(y^{n}\right)=i\right\}, i=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

Note that $\left|Y_{i}\right| \leq 2^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}$.

Now (3.2) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|Y_{i}\right| 2^{i} \leq 3^{n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that to maximize $|Y|=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|Y_{i}\right|$ we have to take

$$
\left|Y_{i}\right|= \begin{cases}\binom{n}{i} 2^{n-i}, & \text { if } i \leq \ell(n) \\ 0, & \text { if } i>\ell(n)\end{cases}
$$

where $\ell(n)$ is the maximal index for which we get

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\ell(n)} 2^{n-i}\binom{n}{i} 2^{i} \leq 3^{n}
$$

This gives (using a standard technique) that $\ell(n) \geq\lfloor 0,1402 n\rfloor$. Correspondingly, we get an estimation for $|Y|$ and consequently for $|X|$,

$$
|X| \leq|Y|<c \frac{3^{n}}{2^{0,14 n}}<c(2,723)^{n}
$$

for some constant $c$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $Q=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\}, F=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$ with $\alpha=(2 m+1) /(k+1)$.
(a) First we will show that $f(n, Q, F) \leq \alpha^{n-1}$. Let $H$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $H \cap F^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}$ and $H \cap Q^{n}=X$ with $|X|=f(n, Q, F)$.
Define $Q_{j}=\{a \in Q: a \equiv j(\bmod \alpha)\}, j=0,1, \ldots, \alpha-1$.
Then consider the mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}^{n}$, defined by the transformation of coordinates, $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$, where $\varphi_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)=j(i=1, \ldots, n)$ if $x_{i} \in Q_{j} ; j \in\{0, \ldots, \alpha-1\}$. Observe that $\varphi$ is an injection. Hence $|X|=|\varphi(X)|$.

Note that now

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{span} \varphi(X)) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{span}(X))=n-1
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X|=|\varphi(X)| \leq \alpha^{n-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Next, we will show that bound (4.2) can be achieved by taking the hyperplane $H$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}+(k+1) x_{1}+\cdots+(k+1)^{n-1} x_{n-1}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $H \cap F^{n}=\left\{0^{n}\right\}$. Moreover, we claim that for any $-m \leq \lambda \leq m$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i}(k+1)^{i}=\lambda \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly $\alpha^{n-1}$ solutions $x^{n} \in Q^{n}$. This can be shown using induction on $n$.
The case $n=1$ is trivial.

Induction step from $\boldsymbol{n}-1$ to $\boldsymbol{n}$ : Clearly, $x_{0} \in\{a:-m \leq a \leq m, a \equiv \lambda$ $\bmod (k+1)\}$. Thus $x_{0}$ can take $\alpha$ many values $x_{0} \in[-m, m]$. For each $x_{0}$ we come to the equation

$$
x_{1}+(k+1) x_{2}+\cdots+(k+1)^{n-2} x_{n-1}=\frac{\lambda-x_{0}}{k+1}
$$

with $\left|\frac{\lambda-x_{0}}{k+1}\right| \leq \frac{2 m}{k+1} \leq m$. Hence we get the result by the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 in the case (i). The case (ii) can be proved similarly.
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