

DENSITY OF IRREGULAR WAVELET FRAMES

WENCHANG SUN AND XINGWEI ZHOU

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. We show that if an irregular multi-generated wavelet system forms a frame, then both the time parameters and the logarithms of scale parameters have finite upper Beurling densities, or equivalently, both are relatively uniformly discrete. Moreover, if generating functions are admissible, then the logarithms of scale parameters possess a positive lower Beurling density. However, the lower Beurling density of the time parameters may be zero. Additionally, we prove that there are no frames generated by dilations of a finite number of admissible functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wavelet systems that form frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ have a wide variety of applications. An important problem in practice is therefore to determine conditions for wavelet systems to be frames. Many results, including necessary conditions and sufficient conditions, have been established during the past ten years. For example, see [3]-[10], [12]-[13], [15], [17]-[19], and [21]. In [4], Christensen, Deng and Heil studied the density of Gabor frames and proved that for a Gabor system $\{e^{ib_n x} g(x - a_n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the time-frequency parameters (a_n, b_n) must possess a lower Beurling density no less than $\frac{1}{2\pi}$. For the case of wavelet systems, however, no similar result has been found.

In this paper, we study density conditions for irregular multi-generated wavelet systems of the form $\{s_{\ell,j}^{1/2} \psi_{\ell}(s_{\ell,j} \cdot -t_{\ell,k}) : j \in \mathbb{J}_{\ell}, k \in \mathbb{K}_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq r\}$ to be frames, where r is a fixed positive integer, $\psi_{\ell} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $s_{\ell,j} > 0, t_{\ell,k} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{J}_{\ell}, \mathbb{K}_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{Z}$. We call $s_{\ell,j}$ scale parameters and $t_{\ell,k}$ time parameters for a wavelet system. For any $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, let $S_{\ell} = \{s_{\ell,j} : j \in \mathbb{J}_{\ell}\}$ and $T_{\ell} = \{t_{\ell,k} : k \in \mathbb{K}_{\ell}\}$. Since S_{ℓ} and T_{ℓ} are sequences, repetitions of points are allowed. Let $S = \{s_{\ell,j} : 1 \leq \ell \leq r, j \in \mathbb{J}_{\ell}\}$, i.e., S is the sequence obtained by amalgamating S_1, \dots, S_r . We write $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^r S_{\ell}$ for simplicity. $T = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^r T_{\ell}$ is defined similarly. Let $\ln S = \{\ln s : s \in S\}$. For any $s > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, define $\tau(s, t)f = s^{1/2} f(s \cdot -t)$.

With these symbols, the wavelet system $\{s_{\ell,j}^{1/2} \psi_{\ell}(s_{\ell,j} \cdot -t_{\ell,k}) : j \in \mathbb{J}_{\ell}, k \in \mathbb{K}_{\ell}, 1 \leq \ell \leq r\}$ can be denoted by $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{\tau(s, t)\psi_{\ell} : s \in S_{\ell}, t \in T_{\ell}\}$. We show that if a

Received by the editors February 3, 2003 and, in revised form, May 7, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42C40, 41A58.

Key words and phrases. Wavelet frames, density, Beurling density.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10171050 and 10201014), the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation (TY10126007), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education, and the Liuhui Center for Applied Mathematics.

wavelet system forms a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\ln S$ and T are relatively uniformly discrete, or equivalently, $\ln S$ and T have finite upper Beurling densities. Moreover, we prove that if ψ_ℓ are admissible, then $\ln S$ possesses a positive lower Beurling density. We also give an example to show that the lower Beurling density of T may be zero. Additionally, we prove that there are no frames generated by dilations of a finite number of admissible functions.

Notation and Definitions. The Fourier transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ix\omega} dx$.

We call a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ admissible if $C_\psi := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2}{|\omega|} d\omega < +\infty$.

$C_c(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : f \text{ is continuous and compactly supported}\}$.

$\|f\|$ denotes the L^2 -norm for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

$\#S$ denotes the number of elements in a set or a sequence S .

$[x] = \max\{n : n \leq x, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\lceil x \rceil = \min\{n : n \geq x, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

A sequence $\Gamma = \{\gamma_i : i \in I\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called δ -uniformly discrete if $|\gamma_i - \gamma_j| \geq \delta > 0$ for any $i, j \in I, i \neq j$. Γ is called relatively uniformly discrete if it is a finite union of uniformly discrete sequences. The lower and upper Beurling densities of Γ are defined respectively by

$$D^-(\Gamma) = \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \#([x - R, x + R] \cap \Gamma)}{2R},$$

$$D^+(\Gamma) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \#([x - R, x + R] \cap \Gamma)}{2R}.$$

It was shown in [4, Lemma 2.3] that a sequence is relatively uniformly discrete if and only if it has a finite upper Beurling density.

A family of functions $\{f_j : j \in \mathbb{J}\}$ belonging to a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be a frame if there exist positive constants A and B such that $A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} |\langle f, f_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The numbers A and B are called the lower and upper frame bounds, respectively.

Remark. After submitting this paper, we learned that Heil and Kutyniok [12] have simultaneously derived some interesting results on the density of weighted wavelet frames of the form $\{w(a, b)^{1/2} \tau(a, b) \psi : (a, b) \in \Lambda\}$, where $w(a, b)$ is a weight function and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence. However, their results are distinct from ours, and, in particular, we consider multi-generated wavelet systems. We also studied the density of wavelet frames with arbitrary sampling points in [19].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\psi_\ell \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, S_ℓ and T_ℓ be real sequences, and let S_ℓ consist of positive numbers, $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. Denote $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r S_\ell$ and $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r T_\ell$ by S and T , respectively.*

- (1) *If $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{\tau(s, t) \psi_\ell : s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell\}$ possesses a finite upper frame bound for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then both $\ln S$ and T have finite upper Beurling densities, or equivalently, both are relatively uniformly discrete.*
- (2) *If $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{\tau(s, t) \psi_\ell : s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\sup S = +\infty$. Moreover, if ψ_ℓ is admissible, $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \inf S = 0, \quad \inf T = -\infty, \quad \sup T = +\infty,$$

and there is some constant $\Delta > 1$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \#([\Delta^j, \Delta^{j+1}] \cap S) \geq 1, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which, in particular, implies that

$$(2.3) \quad D^-(\ln S) \geq \frac{1}{\ln \Delta}.$$

Corollary 2.2. *Let ψ_ℓ, S_ℓ and T_ℓ be defined as in Theorem 2.1. If $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{\tau(s, t)\psi_\ell : s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r S_\ell$ is an infinite sequence.*

Moreover, if ψ_ℓ is admissible, $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, then $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r T_\ell$ is also an infinite sequence.

Remark. Olson and Zalik [16] proved that there does not exist any Riesz basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ generated by translations of a single function. Moreover, Christensen, Deng and Heil [4] proved that there are no frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ generated by translations of finitely many functions, which coincides with the first part of Corollary 2.2. The corollary above also shows that if generating functions ψ_ℓ satisfy a very weak condition, i.e., they are admissible, then there are no frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ generated by dilations of finitely many functions.

By (2.3), $\ln S$ possesses a positive lower Beurling density. So S cannot be “too discrete” for $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{\tau(s, t)\psi_\ell : s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell\}$ to be a frame. Does the same thing occur for T ? The answer is, surprisingly, no! In fact, we have the following.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $\psi(x)$ is a nonzero, two times continuously differentiable and real-valued function, $x\psi(x), \psi'(x), x\psi'(x), x\psi''(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{\psi}(0) = 0$. Then there are increasing sequences $\{s_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{t_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $\{\tau(s_j, t_k)\psi : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and*

$$(2.4) \quad D^-(\{t_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}) = 0.$$

Remark. It is easy to see that (2.4) is equivalent to $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}(t_{k+1} - t_k) = +\infty$. By [14, Lemma 8], (2.4) implies that for $\{\tau(s_j, t_k)\psi : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be a frame, $\{e^{it_k\omega} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ may not be a frame for any $L^2[-r, r], r > 0$. The following is an explicit example.

Example 2.1. Define $\hat{\psi}(\omega) = |\omega|^{\frac{1}{4}}(1 - |\omega|)$ for $|\omega| \leq 1$ and 0 for others. Let $\Lambda = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \leq 2 \text{ or } 2^{2l} \leq k \leq 2^{2l+1} \text{ for some } l \geq 1\}$. Then $\{\tau(2^j, \frac{k}{2})\psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $D^-(\frac{1}{2}\Lambda) = 0$.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

We need only to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, since Corollary 2.2 is an obvious consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1(1). Let B be the upper frame bound. We will prove that $\ln S$ and T are relatively uniformly discrete. It suffices to show that $\ln S_\ell$ and T_ℓ are relatively uniformly discrete for any $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Fix some $1 \leq \ell \leq r, s_0 \in S_\ell, t_0 \in T_\ell$. Let $f = \alpha\tau(s_0, t_0)\psi_\ell$, where the constant α is chosen so that $\|f\| = 1$. Then

$$c := |\langle f, \tau(s_0, t_0)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 > 0.$$

It is easy to check that $\langle f, \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle$ is continuous with respect to s and t . Hence there is some $a_\ell > 1$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad |\langle f, \tau(ss_0, t_0)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 > \frac{c}{2}, \quad \forall a_\ell^{-1} < s < a_\ell.$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &= B\|\tau(a_\ell^j/s_0, 0)f\|^2 \geq \sum_{s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell} |\langle \tau(a_\ell^j/s_0, 0)f, \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in S_\ell} |\langle \tau(a_\ell^j/s_0, 0)f, \tau(s, t_0)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 = \sum_{s \in S_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(ss_0/a_\ell^j, t_0)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.1) that $\#\{s \in S_\ell : \frac{1}{a_\ell} < \frac{s}{a_\ell} < a_\ell\} \leq p := \lfloor \frac{2B}{c} \rfloor$. Hence there are at most p elements of S_ℓ in each interval $[a_\ell^j, a_\ell^{j+1}), j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, we can split S_ℓ into at most $2p$ subsequences $S_{\ell, n}, 1 \leq n \leq 2p$, such that $S_{\ell, 2n-1} \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [a_\ell^{2j-1}, a_\ell^{2j}), S_{\ell, 2n} \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [a_\ell^{2j}, a_\ell^{2j+1})$, and $\#(S_{\ell, n} \cap [a_\ell^j, a_\ell^{j+1})) \leq 1, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$|\ln s - \ln s'| \geq \ln a_\ell, \quad \forall s, s' \in S_{\ell, n}, s \neq s'.$$

Hence $\ln S_{\ell, n}$ is $\ln a_\ell$ -uniformly discrete, and so $\ln S_\ell$ is relatively uniformly discrete.

Next we will prove that T_ℓ is relatively uniformly discrete. By continuity, there is some $b_\ell > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad |\langle f, \tau(s_0, t_0 + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 > \frac{c}{2}, \quad |t| < b_\ell.$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $s_0x_k + kb_\ell = t_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B &= B\|f(\cdot + x_k)\|^2 \geq \sum_{s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell} |\langle f(\cdot + x_k), \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f(\cdot + x_k), \tau(s_0, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 = \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(s_0, s_0x_k + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(s_0, t_0 + (t - kb_\ell))\psi_\ell \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), we have $\#\{t \in T_\ell : |t - kb_\ell| < b_\ell\} \leq q := \lfloor \frac{2B}{c} \rfloor$. Hence we can split T_ℓ into at most $2q$ subsequences $T_{\ell, m}, 1 \leq m \leq 2q$ such that

$$T_{\ell, 2m-1} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [(2k-1)b_\ell, 2kb_\ell), \quad T_{\ell, 2m} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [2kb_\ell, (2k+1)b_\ell),$$

and

$$\#(T_{\ell, m} \cap [kb_\ell, (k+1)b_\ell)) \leq 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence T_ℓ is relatively uniformly discrete. □

Before proving Theorem 2.1(2), we introduce some preliminary results.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $b > 0$, there is some constant $C_b > 0$ such that for any $c < d$, any $f \in L^2[c, d]$, and any b -uniformly discrete sequence $\{t_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_c^d f(x)e^{it_k x} dx \right|^2 \leq 4\pi^2 [d-c] C_b \int_c^d |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Proof. By [1, Lemma 42], there is some constant C_b such that for any b -uniformly discrete sequence $\{t_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $f \in L^2[0, 1]$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_0^1 f(x)e^{it_k x} dx \right|^2 \leq 4\pi^2 C_b \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

If $d - c \leq 1$, then (3.3) follows by a change of variable of the form $x \rightarrow x + c$. For the case of $d - c > 1$, let $n = \lceil d - c \rceil$. Then $\Delta := (d - c)/n \leq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_c^d f(x) e^{it_k x} dx \right|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \int_{c+l\Delta}^{c+(l+1)\Delta} f(x) e^{it_k x} dx \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{c+l\Delta}^{c+(l+1)\Delta} f(x) e^{it_k x} dx \right|^2 \leq n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} 4\pi^2 C_b \int_{c+l\Delta}^{c+(l+1)\Delta} |f(x)|^2 dx \\ & = \lceil d - c \rceil \cdot 4\pi^2 C_b \int_c^d |f(x)|^2 dx. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, let $a > 1, b > 0$ be constants, and let C_b be defined as in Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [1, a]$ and $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$. Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{s_j > M, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \tau(s_j, t_{j,k}) \psi \rangle|^2 \leq a C_b \|f\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{\frac{a}{M}} \frac{|\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2}{\omega} d\omega,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{s_j < \frac{1}{M}, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \tau(s_j, t_{j,k}) \psi \rangle|^2 \leq 2(a - 1) C_b \|f\|_\infty^2 \int_M^{+\infty} |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega,$$

whenever $\{\ln s_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is $\ln a$ -uniformly discrete, $\{t_{j,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is b -uniformly discrete, $M > a - 1$ for (3.4) and $M > \frac{1}{a-1}$ for (3.5).

Proof. Since $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [1, a]$ and $\frac{a}{s_j} - \frac{1}{s_j} \leq \frac{a-1}{M} < 1$ for any $s_j > M > a - 1$, we derive from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_j > M, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \tau(s_j, t_{j,k}) \psi \rangle|^2 = \sum_{s_j > M, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\omega) s_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\hat{\psi}\left(\frac{\omega}{s_j}\right)} e^{it_{j,k} s_j^{-1} \omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\ & = \sum_{s_j > M, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{s_j}}^{\frac{a}{s_j}} s_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(s_j \omega) \overline{\hat{\psi}(\omega)} e^{it_{j,k} \omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{s_j > M} C_b \int_{\frac{1}{s_j}}^{\frac{a}{s_j}} \left| s_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(s_j \omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \leq \sum_{s_j > M} C_b \int_{\frac{1}{s_j}}^{\frac{a}{s_j}} \frac{a}{\omega} \|f\|_\infty^2 |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\ln s_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is $\ln a$ -uniformly discrete, the intervals $[\frac{1}{s_j}, \frac{a}{s_j})$ are mutually disjoint. Hence (3.4) holds.

Noting that $\lceil \frac{a-1}{s_j} \rceil \leq \frac{2(a-1)}{s_j}$ for any $s_j < \frac{1}{M} < a - 1$, using Lemma 3.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_j < \frac{1}{M}, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \tau(s_j, t_{j,k}) \psi \rangle|^2 = \sum_{s_j < \frac{1}{M}, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{s_j}}^{\frac{a}{s_j}} s_j^{1/2} \hat{f}(s_j \omega) \overline{\hat{\psi}(\omega)} e^{it_{j,k} \omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{s_j < \frac{1}{M}} \frac{2(a-1)}{s_j} C_b \int_{\frac{1}{s_j}}^{\frac{a}{s_j}} \left| s_j^{1/2} \hat{f}(s_j \omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \\ & \leq \sum_{s_j < \frac{1}{M}} 2(a-1) C_b \int_{\frac{1}{s_j}}^{\frac{a}{s_j}} \|f\|_\infty^2 |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \leq 2(a-1) C_b \|f\|_\infty^2 \int_M^{+\infty} |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

For any $f, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$(T_\psi f)(s, t) = \langle f, |s|^{1/2} \psi(s \cdot -t) \rangle, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $(T_\psi f)(s, t) = \langle |s|^{-1/2} f(\frac{\cdot+t}{s}), \psi \rangle$ for any $s \neq 0$, we see from wavelet theory that if f is admissible, then

$$(3.6) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi f)(s, t)|^2 dt ds = C_f \|\psi\|^2 < +\infty.$$

The following lemma is a consequence of the Wirtinger inequality [11].

Lemma 3.3. *If $f(x)$ is differentiable on $[a, b]$, $f, f' \in L^2[a, b]$ and there is some $c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) = 0$, then $\int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx \leq (\frac{2 \max\{c-a, b-c\}}{\pi})^2 \int_a^b |f'(x)|^2 dx$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $\hat{f}(\omega)$ is compactly supported and continuously differentiable and that $\hat{f}(0) = 0$. For any $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\varepsilon, b > 0$ and $M, a > 1$, there is some constant $N = N(\varepsilon, M, a, b, \psi, f) > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \left| \langle f, s_j^{1/2} \psi(s_j \cdot -t_{j,k}) \rangle \right|^2 < \varepsilon$$

for any s_j and $t_{j,k}$ for which $\{\ln s_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is $\ln a$ -uniformly discrete and $\{t_{j,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is b -uniformly discrete.

Proof. Since \hat{f} is compactly supported, $f \in C^\infty$. Noting that

$$(T_\psi f)(s, t) = \langle s^{-1/2} f(\frac{\cdot+t}{s}), \psi \rangle,$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (T_\psi f)(s, t) = \frac{1}{s} (T_\psi f')(s, t), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{1}{s} (T_\psi f)(s, t) = -\frac{1}{s^2} (T_\psi \tilde{f})(s, t), \quad s > 0, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{3}{2}f(x) + xf'(x)$.

For any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $Q_{j,k} = [s_j a^{-1/2}, s_j a^{1/2}] \times [t_{j,k} - \frac{s_j b}{2M}, t_{j,k} + \frac{s_j b}{2M}]$. Then the Lebesgue measure of $Q_{j,k} \cap Q_{j',k'}$ equals zero whenever $(j, k) \neq (j', k')$ and $s_j, s_{j'} \leq M$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that for any $N > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi f)(s, t) - (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k})|^2 dt ds \\ &= \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \int_{s_j a^{-1/2}}^{s_j a^{1/2}} \frac{ds}{s^2} \int_{t_{j,k} - \frac{s_j b}{2M}}^{t_{j,k} + \frac{s_j b}{2M}} |(T_\psi f)(s, t) - (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k})|^2 dt \\ &\leq \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \int_{s_j a^{-1/2}}^{s_j a^{1/2}} \frac{ds}{s^2} \cdot \frac{s_j^2 b^2}{\pi^2 M^2} \int_{t_{j,k} - \frac{s_j b}{2M}}^{t_{j,k} + \frac{s_j b}{2M}} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi f')(s, t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \frac{ab^2}{\pi^2 M^2} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi f')(s, t)|^2 dt ds \\ &\leq \frac{ab^2}{\pi^2 M^2} \iint_{s \in [\frac{1}{M\sqrt{a}}, M\sqrt{a}], |t| > N-b} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi f')(s, t)|^2 dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k})|^2 dt ds \\
 & \leq \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{2}{s^2} (|(T_\psi f)(s, t)|^2 + |(T_\psi f)(s, t) - (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k})|^2) dt ds \\
 & \leq \iint_{s \in [\frac{1}{M\sqrt{a}}, M\sqrt{a}], |t| > N-b} \left(\frac{2}{s^2} |(T_\psi f)(s, t)|^2 + \frac{ab^2}{\pi^2 M^2} \cdot \frac{2}{s^2} |(T_\psi f')(s, t)|^2 \right) dt ds \\
 & := P.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \left| \frac{1}{s} (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k}) - \frac{1}{s_j} (T_\psi f)(s_j, t_{j,k}) \right|^2 dt ds \\
 & = \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \int_{t_{j,k} - \frac{s_j b}{2M}}^{t_{j,k} + \frac{s_j b}{2M}} dt \int_{s_j a^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{s_j a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left| \frac{1}{s} (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k}) - \frac{1}{s_j} (T_\psi f)(s_j, t_{j,k}) \right|^2 ds \\
 & \leq \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \frac{4s_j^2(\sqrt{a}-1)^2}{\pi^2} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{1}{s^4} |(T_\psi \tilde{f})(s, t_{j,k})|^2 dt ds \\
 & \leq \sum_{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{|t_{j,k}| > N} \frac{4a(\sqrt{a}-1)^2}{\pi^2} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{1}{s^2} |(T_\psi \tilde{f})(s, t_{j,k})|^2 dt ds \\
 & \leq \iint_{s \in [\frac{1}{M\sqrt{a}}, M\sqrt{a}], |t| > N-b} \frac{8a(\sqrt{a}-1)^2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} \left(|(T_\psi \tilde{f})(s, t)|^2 + \frac{ab^2}{\pi^2 M^2} |(T_\psi(\tilde{f}'))(s, t)|^2 \right) dt ds \\
 & := Q,
 \end{aligned}$$

where (3.7) is used in the last inequality. Putting (3.7) and (3.8) together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M] \\ |t_{j,k}| > N}} |\langle f, \tau(s_j, t_{j,k})\psi \rangle|^2 = \frac{M\sqrt{a}}{b(a-1)} \sum_{\substack{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M] \\ |t_{j,k}| > N}} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \frac{1}{s_j^2} |(T_\psi f)(s_j, t_{j,k})|^2 dt ds \\
 & = \frac{M\sqrt{a}}{b(a-1)} \sum_{\substack{s_j \in [\frac{1}{M}, M] \\ |t_{j,k}| > N}} \iint_{Q_{j,k}} \left| \frac{1}{s} (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k}) - \left(\frac{1}{s} (T_\psi f)(s, t_{j,k}) - \frac{1}{s_j} (T_\psi f)(s_j, t_{j,k}) \right) \right|^2 dt ds \\
 & \leq \frac{M\sqrt{a}}{b(a-1)} (2P + 2Q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \hat{f} is continuously differentiable and $\hat{f}(0) = 0$, it is easy to check that all of f, f', \tilde{f} , and $(\tilde{f})'$ are admissible. By (3.6), all of $\frac{1}{s}(T_\psi f)(s, t)$, $\frac{1}{s}(T_\psi f')(s, t)$, $\frac{1}{s}(T_\psi \tilde{f})(s, t)$, and $\frac{1}{s}(T_\psi(\tilde{f}'))(s, t)$ are square integrable on \mathbb{R}^2 . Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} (P + Q) = 0,$$

which completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (2). Let A and B be the lower and upper frame bounds, respectively. By (i), there exist constants $a_\ell > 1, b_\ell > 0$ and positive integers p and q such that $S_\ell = \bigcup_{n=1}^{2p} S_{\ell,n}, T_\ell = \bigcup_{m=1}^{2q} T_{\ell,m}$, $\ln S_{\ell,n}$ is $\ln a_\ell$ -uniformly discrete and $T_{\ell,m}$ is b_ℓ -uniformly discrete. Let $a = \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq r} a_\ell$ and $b = \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq r} b_\ell$. Then $\ln S_{\ell,n}$ is $\ln a$ -uniformly discrete and $T_{\ell,m}$ is b -uniformly discrete for any $1 \leq \ell \leq r, 1 \leq n \leq 2p$, and $1 \leq m \leq 2q$.

Put $\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a-1}}\chi_{[1,a]}(\omega)$. If $\alpha = \sup S < +\infty$, then (3.5) implies that for δ small enough,

$$\sum_{s \in S_{\ell,n}, t \in T_{\ell,m}} |\langle f, \tau(s\delta, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \leq 2C_b \int_{\frac{1}{\delta\alpha}}^{+\infty} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 d\omega, \quad \forall \ell, n, m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A\|f\|^2 &= A\|\tau(1/\delta, 0)f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell} |\langle \tau(\frac{1}{\delta}, 0)f, \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^{2p} \sum_{m=1}^{2q} \sum_{s \in S_{\ell,n}, t \in T_{\ell,m}} |\langle f, \tau(s\delta, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \leq 8pqC_b \sum_{\ell=1}^r \int_{\frac{1}{\delta\alpha}}^{+\infty} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get $A = 0$, which is impossible. Hence $\sup S = +\infty$.

In what follows we assume that ψ_ℓ is admissible, $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Assume that $\beta = \inf S > 0$. By (3.4), for any $0 < \delta < \frac{\beta}{a-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\|f\|^2 &= A\|\tau(\delta, 0)f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell, t \in T_\ell} |\langle \tau(\delta, 0)f, \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^{2p} \sum_{m=1}^{2q} \sum_{s \in S_{\ell,n}, t \in T_{\ell,m}} |\langle f, \tau(s/\delta, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \leq 4pq \cdot \frac{aC_b}{a-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \int_0^{\frac{\delta a}{\beta}} \frac{|\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2}{|\omega|} d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we get $A = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\inf S = 0$.

Next we will prove that $\inf T = -\infty$. Choose some $f \neq 0$ such that \hat{f} is continuously differentiable and $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [1, a]$. Since $\ln S_{\ell,n}$ is $\ln a$ -uniformly discrete and $sx + T_{\ell,m} := \{sx + t : t \in T_{\ell,m}\}$ is b -uniformly discrete for any ℓ, n, m and sx , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that for any $M > \max\{a-1, \frac{1}{a-1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s \in S_{\ell,n} \setminus [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_{\ell,m}} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx+t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq aC_b \|\hat{f}\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{\frac{a}{M}} \frac{|\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2}{\omega} d\omega + 2(a-1)C_b \|\hat{f}\|_\infty^2 \int_M^{+\infty} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is some $M > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{s \in S_{\ell,n} \setminus [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_{\ell,m}} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx+t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 < \frac{1}{4pqr} \cdot \frac{A}{2} \|f\|^2, \quad \forall x, l, m, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell \setminus [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx+t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 < \frac{A}{2} \|f\|^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell} \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell} \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f(\cdot + x), \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \geq A\|f\|^2.$$

Hence,

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell \cap [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 > \frac{A}{2}\|f\|^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.4, there is some $N > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{s \in S_{\ell, n} \cap [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_{\ell, n} \cap \{|sx+t|>N\}} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 < \frac{1}{4pqr} \cdot \frac{A}{2}\|f\|^2, \quad \forall x, \ell, m, n.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell \cap [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_\ell \cap \{|sx+t|>N\}} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 < \frac{A}{2}\|f\|^2, \quad \forall x.$$

If $t_0 := \inf T > -\infty$, then there is some $x_0 > 0$ such that $\frac{x_0}{M} + t_0 > N$. Thus $sx_0 + t \geq \frac{x_0}{M} + t_0 > N$ for any $s \geq \frac{1}{M}$ and $t \in T$. By setting $x = x_0$ in the inequality above, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{s \in S_\ell \cap [\frac{1}{M}, M]} \sum_{t \in T_\ell} |\langle f, \tau(s, sx_0 + t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 < \frac{A}{2}\|f\|^2,$$

which contradicts (3.9). Similarly we can prove that $\sup T = +\infty$.

At last, we will prove (2.2). We argue by contradiction and assume that for any $\Delta > 1$, there is some $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\#(S \cap [\Delta^{j_0}, \Delta^{j_0+1}]) = 0$. Let $\alpha = \Delta^{j_0+1/2}$. Then $\frac{s}{\alpha} > \Delta^{1/2}$ or $\frac{s}{\alpha} < \Delta^{-1/2}$ for any $s \in S$.

Let $\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a-1}}\chi_{[1, a]}(\omega)$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that for Δ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} A\|f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{\substack{s \in S_\ell \\ t \in T_\ell}} |\langle \tau(\alpha, 0)f, \tau(s, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq 2p \\ 1 \leq m \leq 2q}} \sum_{\substack{s \in S_{\ell, n} \\ t \in T_{\ell, m}}} |\langle f, \tau(\frac{s}{\alpha}, t)\psi_\ell \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^r 4pq \left(\frac{aC_b}{a-1} \int_0^{\frac{a}{\Delta^{1/2}}} \frac{|\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2}{|\omega|} d\omega + 2C_b \int_{\Delta^{1/2}}^{+\infty} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right). \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\Delta \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $A = 0$, which contradicts the hypotheses. □

Lemma 3.5 ([18, Theorem 2.4]). *Let ψ be defined as in Theorem 2.3. Let $a > 1, b > 0$ be constants such that*

$$\Delta := \frac{2b}{\pi}C_{\psi'}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2(a-1)}{\pi}C_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{4b(a-1)}{\pi^2}C_{(\tilde{\psi})}^{\frac{1}{2}} < C_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \frac{3}{2}\psi(x) + x\psi'(x)$. Then $\{\tau(s_{j,k}, t_{j,k})\psi : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounds $\frac{1}{2b(a-1)}(C_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Delta)^2$ and $\frac{a^2}{2b(a-1)}(C_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta)^2$ for any $s_{j,k}$ and $t_{j,k}$ satisfying $(\frac{1}{s_{j,k}}, \frac{t_{j,k}}{s_{j,k}}) \in [a^{-j}, a^{-j+1}] \times [a^{-j}kb, a^{-j}(k+1)b]$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let a, b, Δ be defined as in Lemma 3.5. Take some integer $n_1 > \frac{1}{a-1}$. Let

$$(3.10) \quad n_{2l} = \lfloor an_{2l-1} \rfloor, \quad n_{2l+1} = \lceil an_{2l} \rceil, \quad l \geq 1.$$

It is easy to check that $n_{l+1} > n_l$ for any $l \geq 1$ and $n_{2l} - n_{2l-1} > (a-1)n_{2l-1} - 1 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$. Let

$$(3.11) \quad \Lambda = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \leq n_1 \text{ or } n_{2l} \leq k \leq n_{2l+1} \text{ for some } l \geq 1\}.$$

Then we have $D^-(a^{-1}b\Lambda) = 0$. To prove this theorem, it suffices to prove that $\{\tau(a^{j-1}, a^{-1}bk)\psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $k \notin \Lambda$, then there is some $l \geq 1$ such that $n_{2l-1} < k < n_{2l}$. Since $\frac{n_{2l}}{a} \leq n_{2l-1} < n_{2l} \leq \frac{n_{2l+1}}{a}$ thanks to (3.10), there is a unique $m_k \in [n_{2l}, n_{2l+1}] \cap \Lambda$ such that

$$\frac{m_k}{a} \in (k, k + \frac{1}{a}] \subset (k, k + 1).$$

Obviously, $m_k \neq m_{k'}$ if $k \neq k'$. Let

$$(s_{j,k}, t_{j,k}) = \begin{cases} (a^{j-1}, a^{-1}bk), & j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda, \\ (a^j, a^{-1}m_k b), & j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \notin \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Then $(\frac{1}{s_{j,k}}, \frac{t_{j,k}}{s_{j,k}}) \in [a^{-j}, a^{-j+1}] \times [a^{-j}bk, a^{-j}b(k+1)]$ for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \tau(a^{j-1}, a^{-1}bk)\psi \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \tau(a^{j-1}, a^{-1}bk)\psi \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \tau(a^j, a^{-1}bk)\psi \rangle|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \tau(s_{j,k}, t_{j,k})\psi \rangle|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2(a-1)b} (C_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Delta)^2 \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$, using Lemma 3.5 again, we get $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda} |\langle f, \tau(a^{j-1}, a^{-1}kb)\psi \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{2(a-1)b} (C_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta)^2 \|f\|^2$. Hence $\{\tau(a^{j-1}, a^{-1}kb)\psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

To conclude this paper, let us check Example 2.1. Since $\hat{\psi}$ is absolutely continuous and compactly supported, it is easy to see that ψ meets the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. Moreover, it can be checked that

$$C_\psi = \frac{32}{15}, \quad C_{\psi'} = \frac{32}{315}, \quad C_{\tilde{\psi}} = \frac{6}{5}, \quad C_{(\tilde{\psi})'} = \frac{18}{35},$$

and $\Delta < C_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $a = 2$ and $b = 1$. Let $n_l = 2^l, l \geq 1$. Then (3.11) turns out to be $\Lambda = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \leq 2 \text{ or } 2^{2l} \leq k \leq 2^{2l+1} \text{ for some } l \geq 1\}$. Hence $\{\tau(2^{j-1}, \frac{k}{2})\psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda\}$ and so $\{\tau(2^j, \frac{k}{2})\psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \Lambda\}$ are frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the referee very much for valuable and elaborate suggestions which helped us to improve this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Benedetto, *Irregular sampling and frames*, in “Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications (C. K. Chui, editor), Academic Press, Boston, 1992, pp. 445–507. MR **93c**:42030
- [2] A. Beurling, *Balayage of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms*, The Collected Works of Arne Beurling, Vol. 2, Harmonic Analysis, L. Carleson, P. Malliavin, J. Neuberger, and J. Wermer, editors, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1989, pp. 341–365. MR **92k**:01046b
- [3] O. Christensen, *Frames, Riesz bases, and discrete Gabor/wavelet expansions*, Bulletin (new series) of Amer. Math. Soc., **38** (2001), 273–291. MR **2002c**:42040
- [4] O. Christensen, B. Deng, and C. Heil, *Density of Gabor frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **7** (1999), 292–304. MR **2000j**:42043
- [5] C. K. Chui and X. L. Shi, *Orthonormal wavelets and tight frames with arbitrary real dilations*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **9** (2000), 243–264. MR **2002a**:42025
- [6] I. Daubechies, *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **36** (1990), 961–1005. MR **91e**:42038
- [7] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992. MR **93e**:42045
- [8] H. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal., **86** (1989), 307–340. MR **91g**:43011
- [9] K. Gröchenig, *Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames*, Monatsh. Math., **112** (1991), 1–42. MR **92m**:42035
- [10] K. Gröchenig, *Irregular sampling of wavelet and short-time Fourier transforms*, Constr. Approx., **9** (1993), 283–297. MR **94m**:42077
- [11] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952. MR **13**:727e
- [12] C. Heil and G. Kutyniok, *Density of weighted wavelet frames*, J. Geom. Anal., **13** (2003), 479–493.
- [13] C. Heil and D. Walnut, *Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms*, SIAM Review, **31** (1989), 628–666. MR **91c**:42032
- [14] S. Jaffard, *A density criterion for frames of complex exponentials*, Michigan Math. J., **38** (1991), 339–348. MR **92i**:42001
- [15] P. Olsen and K. Seip, *A note on irregular discrete wavelet transforms*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **38** (1992), 861–863.
- [16] T. E. Olson and R. Z. Zalik, *Nonexistence of a Riesz basis of translates*, in “Approximation Theory”, pp. 401–408, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 138, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992. MR **93b**:41001
- [17] W. Sun and X. Zhou, *Irregular wavelet frames*, Science in China, series A, **43** (2000), 122–127. MR **2000m**:42035
- [18] W. Sun and X. Zhou, *Irregular wavelet/Gabor frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **13** (2002), 63–76. MR **2003i**:42057
- [19] W. Sun and X. Zhou, *Density and stability of wavelet frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **15** (2003), 117–133.
- [20] R. Young, *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 93, Academic Press, New York, 1980. MR **81m**:42027
- [21] X. Zhou and Y. Li, *A class of irregular wavelet frames*, Chinese Science Bulletin, **42** (1997), 1420–1424.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA
E-mail address: sunwch@nankai.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA
E-mail address: xwzhou@nankai.edu.cn