

G-STRUCTURE ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF HOPF ALGEBRAS

MARCO A. FARINATI AND ANDREA L. SOLOTAR

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. We prove that $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra, where A is a Hopf algebra. In case $A = D(H)$ is the Drinfeld double of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H , our results imply the existence of a Gerstenhaber bracket on $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$. This fact was conjectured by R. Taillefer. The method consists of identifying $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ as a Gerstenhaber subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$ (the Hochschild cohomology of A).

INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this paper is to prove that $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ has a structure of a G-algebra. The G-algebra structure is, roughly speaking, the existence of two products with compatibilities between them: one is associative graded commutative, and the other is a graded Lie bracket. We prove this result when H is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra (see Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.5). H_{GS}^\bullet is the cohomology theory for Hopf algebras defined by Gerstenhaber and Schack in [4]. In order to obtain commutativity of the cup product we prove a general statement on Ext groups over Hopf algebras (without any finiteness assumption).

When H is finite dimensional, the category of Hopf bimodules is isomorphic to a module category, over an algebra X (also finite dimensional) defined by Cibils and Rosso (see [2]), and this category is also equivalent to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules, which is isomorphic to the category of modules over the Hopf algebra $D(H)$ (the Drinfeld double of H). In [10], Taillefer has defined a natural cup product in $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H) = H_b^\bullet(H, H)$ (see [5] for the definition of H_b^\bullet). When H is finite dimensional, she proved that $H_b^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H)$, and using this isomorphism she showed that it is (graded) commutative. In a later work [11] she extended the result of commutativity of the cup product to arbitrary-dimensional Hopf algebras, and she conjectured the existence (and a formula) of a Gerstenhaber bracket.

Our method for giving a Gerstenhaber bracket is the following: under the equivalence of categories $X\text{-mod} \cong D(H)\text{-mod}$, the object H corresponds to $H^{coH} = k$. So $\text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_{D(H)}^\bullet(k, k)$ (isomorphism of graded algebras); according to Štefan [8] one knows that $\text{Ext}_{D(H)}^\bullet(k, k) \cong H^\bullet(D(H), k)$. In Theorem 1.8 we prove

Received by the editors August 27, 2002 and, in revised form, March 19, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16E40, 16W30.

Key words and phrases. Gerstenhaber algebras, Hopf algebras, Hochschild cohomology.

This research was partially supported by UBACYT X062 and Fundación Antorchas (proyecto 14022 - 47). Both authors are research members of CONICET (Argentina).

that, if A is an arbitrary Hopf algebra, then $H^\bullet(A, k)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$ —in particular, it is graded commutative—and the morphisms are defined at the complex level. In Theorem 2.1 we prove that the image of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, k)$ in $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, A)$ is stable under the brace operation (if M is an A -bimodule, $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, M)$ denotes the standard Hochschild complex whose homology is $H^\bullet(A, M)$); in particular, the image of $H^\bullet(A, k)$ is closed under the Gerstenhaber bracket of $H^\bullet(A, A)$. So, the existence of the Gerstenhaber bracket on $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ follows, at least in the finite-dimensional case, by taking $A = D(H)$. We did not know if this bracket coincides with the formula proposed in [11], but Taillefer, in a personal communication, told us that, using arguments as in [7], one can actually prove that the bracket given by us, in the finite-dimensional case, must agree with the bracket proposed by her. Nevertheless, the argument does not give a proof of existence in the infinite-dimensional case. So the problem, in that generality, remains open.

We also provide a proof that the algebra $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^\bullet(k, k)$ is graded commutative when \mathcal{C} is a braided monoidal category satisfying certain exactness hypotheses (see Theorem 1.4). This gives an alternative proof of the commutativity of the cup product in the arbitrary-dimensional case by taking $\mathcal{C} = {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

In this paper A will denote a Hopf algebra over a field k .

1. CUP PRODUCTS

This section has two parts. First we prove a generalization of the fact that the cup product on group cohomology $H^\bullet(G, k)$ is graded commutative. The general abstract setting is that of a braided (abelian) category with enough injectives satisfying an exactness condition (see Definition 1.2 below). The other part will concern the relation between self extensions of k and Hochschild cohomology of A with coefficients in k .

Let us recall the definition of a braided category:

Definition 1.1. The data $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k, c)$ is called a **braided** category with unit element k if

- (1) \mathcal{C} is an abelian category.
- (2) $-\otimes-$ is a bifunctor, bilinear, associative, and there are natural isomorphisms $k \otimes X \cong X \cong X \otimes k$ for all objects X in \mathcal{C} .
- (3) For all pair of objects X and Y , $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ is a natural isomorphism. The isomorphisms $c_{X,k} : X \otimes k \cong k \otimes X$ agree with the isomorphism of the unit axiom, and for all triples X, Y, Z of objects in \mathcal{C} , the Yang-Baxter equation is satisfied:

$$(\text{id}_Z \otimes c_{X,Y}) \circ (c_{X,Z} \otimes \text{id}_Y) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes c_{Y,Z}) = (c_{Y,Z} \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_Y \otimes c_{X,Z}) \circ (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z).$$

A data $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k)$ satisfying axioms 1 and 2, but not necessarily axiom 3 is called a **monoidal** category.

We will use the notion of exact functor for a monoidal structure.

Definition 1.2. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k)$ be an abelian monoidal category. We say that \otimes is exact if and only if the canonical morphism

$$H_*(X_*, d_X) \otimes H_*(Y_*, d_Y) \rightarrow H_*(X_* \otimes Y_*, d_{X \otimes Y})$$

is an isomorphism for all pairs of complexes in \mathcal{C} .

Example 1.3. Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k . Then $\mathcal{C} = {}_H\text{-mod}$ is a monoidal category with $\otimes = \otimes_k$, and this functor is clearly exact.

Theorem 1.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k, c)$ be a braided category with enough injectives and exact tensor product. Then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(k, k)$ is graded commutative.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof that $H^{\bullet}(G, k)$ is graded commutative (see for example [1], page 51, Vol. I). The proof is based on two points: first a definition of a cup product using the bifunctor \otimes , and second a lemma relating this construction and the Yoneda product of extensions.

Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow X'_q \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X'_1 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow 0$ be two extensions in \mathcal{C} . Then $N_* := (0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow 0)$ and $N'_* := (0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow X'_q \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X'_1 \rightarrow 0)$ are two complexes, quasi-isomorphic to N and N' respectively. By the Künneth formula, $N_* \otimes N'_*$ is a complex quasi-isomorphic to $N \otimes N'$. So “completing” this complex with $N \otimes N'$ (more precisely considering the mapping cone of the chain map $N_* \otimes N'_* \rightarrow N \otimes N'$) one has an extension in \mathcal{C} , beginning with $M \otimes M'$ and ending with $N \otimes N'$.

So, we have defined a cup product:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(N, M) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^q(N', M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p+q}(N \otimes N', M \otimes M').$$

We will denote this product by \otimes , and the Yoneda product by \smile . The lemma relating this product and the Yoneda one is the following:

Lemma 1.5. If $\eta \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(M, N)$ and $\xi \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^q(M', N')$, then

$$\eta \otimes \xi = (\eta \otimes \text{id}_{N'}) \smile (\text{id}_M \otimes \xi).$$

Proof of the Lemma. Interpreting the elements η and ξ as extensions, it is clear how to define a morphism of complexes $(\eta \otimes \text{id}_{N'}) \smile (\text{id}_M \otimes \xi) \rightarrow \eta \otimes \xi$, and by the Künneth formula, it is a quasi-isomorphism.

In the particular case that $M = M' = N = N' = k$, the lemma implies that $\eta \otimes \xi = \eta \smile \xi$ for all η and ξ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(k, k)$. Now the theorem is a consequence of the isomorphism $(X_* \otimes Y_*, d_{X \otimes Y}) \cong (Y_* \otimes X_*, d_{Y \otimes X})$, valid for every pair of complexes in \mathcal{C} , defined by

$$(-1)^{pq} c_{X, Y} : X_p \otimes Y_q \rightarrow Y_q \otimes X_p.$$

Note that the differentials are morphisms in the category \mathcal{C} . So the map defined above commutes with the differentials because of the bifunctoriality of the braiding. \square

Example 1.6. Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra. Then ${}_H\text{-mod}$ is braided with c the usual flip. When $H = k[G]$ we recover that $H^{\bullet}(G, k)$ is graded commutative. The other typical example is $H = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, the enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . It is known that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})}(k, k) = \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$, is graded commutative.

Example 1.7. Let H be an arbitrary Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and $\mathcal{C} = {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H . It is well known (see [6], p. 214) that the map $M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$ defined by $m \otimes n \mapsto m_{-1}n \otimes m_0$ is a braiding on ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. So $\text{Ext}_{{}^H_H\mathcal{YD}}(k, k)$ is graded commutative.

Theorem 1.8. If A is a Hopf algebra, then $\text{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k, k) \cong H^{\bullet}(A, k)$. Moreover, $H^{\bullet}(A, k)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $H^{\bullet}(A, A)$.

Proof. After Ştefan [8], since A is an A -Hopf Galois extension of k , $H^\bullet(A, M) \cong \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, M^{\text{ad}})$ for all A -bimodules M .

Here, M^{ad} denotes the left H -module with underlying vector space M , but with structure $h_{\text{ad}}m := h_1mS(h_2)$. The notation (S for the antipode, and the Sweedler-type summation) is the standard one.

In particular, $H^\bullet(A, k) = \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$. But one can give, for this particular case, an explicit morphism at the complex level. In order to do this, we will choose a specific resolution of k as a left A -module. Notice that, in particular, our argument will give an alternative proof of Ştefan’s result for this case.

Let $C_*(A, b')$ be the standard resolution of A as an A -bimodule, namely $C_n(A, b') = A \otimes A^{\otimes n} \otimes A$ and $b'(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \cdot a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1}$ ($a_i \in A$). This resolution splits on the right. So $(C_*(A) \otimes_A k, b' \otimes \text{id}_k)$ is a resolution of $A \otimes_A k = k$ as a left A -module. Using this resolution, $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ is the cohomology of the complex $(\text{Hom}_A(C_*(A) \otimes_A k, k), (b' \otimes_A \text{id}_k)^*) \cong (\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes*}, k), \partial)$. Under this isomorphism, the differential ∂ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\partial f)(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= \epsilon(a_1)f(a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i f(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \cdot a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) + (-1)^n f(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1})\epsilon(a_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the formula of the differential of the standard Hochschild complex computing $H^\bullet(A, k)$.

One can easily check that the cup product on $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ which, by Lemma 1.5 equals the Yoneda product, corresponds to the cup product on $H^\bullet(A, k)$. So this isomorphism is an algebra isomorphism.

Now we will give two multiplicative maps $H^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A)$ and $H^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, k)$. Consider the counit $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$. It is an algebra map, and so the induced map $\epsilon_* : H^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, k)$ is multiplicative. We will define a multiplicative section of this map.

Let $f : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow k$ be a Hochschild cocycle, and define $\widehat{f} : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A$ by the formula

$$\widehat{f}(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) := a_1^1 \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p)$$

where we have used the Sweedler-type notation with summation symbol omitted: $a_1^i \otimes a_2^i = \Delta(a^i)$, for $a^i \in A$.

Let us check that \widehat{f} is a Hochschild cocycle with values in A ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial(\widehat{f})(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) &= a^0 \widehat{f}(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} \widehat{f}(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \cdot a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + (-1)^{p+1} \widehat{f}(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p-1})a^p \\
 &= a^0 \cdot a_1^1 \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) + (-1)^{p+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^{p-1} \cdot f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p-1})a^p \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^i a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^i \cdot a_2^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using that f is a Hochschild cocycle with values in k , we know that

$$0 = \epsilon(a^0)f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} f(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i . a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + (-1)^{p+1} f(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p-1})\epsilon(a^p).$$

So, the summation term in $\partial(\widehat{f})$ can be replaced using the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^i a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p . f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^i . a_2^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) \\ &= -a_1^0 \dots a_1^p . \left(\epsilon(a_2^0)f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) + (-1)^{p+1} f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p-1})\epsilon(a_2^p) \right) \\ &= - \left(a^0 . a_1^1 \dots a_1^p . f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) + (-1)^{p+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^{p-1} . a^p f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p-1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and this finishes the computation of $\partial(\widehat{f})$.

Clearly $\epsilon \widehat{f} = f$; so ϵ_* is a split epimorphism. To check that $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ is multiplicative is straightforward:

Let $g : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow k$ be a cocycle and $\widehat{g} : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A$ the cocycle with values in A corresponding to g . We can check the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f \smile g}(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p+q}) &= a_1^1 \dots a_1^{p+q} . (f \smile g)(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p+q}) \\ &= a_1^1 \dots a_1^{p+q} . f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) g(a_2^{p+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p+q}) \\ &= (\widehat{f} \smile \widehat{g})(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p+q}). \end{aligned}$$

□

2. BRACE OPERATIONS

In this section we prove our main theorem, stating that the map $H^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A)$ is “compatible” with the brace operations, and as a consequence with the Gerstenhaber bracket. Note that the map $H^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A)$ is defined at the standard complex level. Let us define $\mathcal{C}^p(A, M) := \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes p}, M)$.

Theorem 2.1. *The image of the map $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, A)$ is stable under the brace operation. Moreover, if \widehat{f} and \widehat{g} are the images in $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, A)$ of two elements f and g belonging to $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(A, k)$, then $\widehat{f \circ_i g} = \widehat{f} \circ_i \widehat{g}$.*

Proof. Let us recall the definition of the brace operations (see [3]). If $F : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M$ and $G : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A$ and $1 \leq i \leq p$, then $F \circ_i G : A^{\otimes p+q-1} \rightarrow M$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (F \circ_i G)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \\ = F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes G(b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q) \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $f : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow k$, $g : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow k$ and $F = \widehat{f}$ and $G = \widehat{g}$, namely

$$F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) = a_1^1 \dots a_1^p . f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p)$$

and similarly for G and g . Then (denoting $(a \otimes b)$ by (a, b)),

$$\begin{aligned} & (F \circ_i G)(a^1, \dots, a^i, b^1, \dots, b^q, a^{i+1}, \dots, a^p) \\ &= F(a^1, \dots, a^i, G(b^1, \dots, b^q), a^{i+1}, \dots, a^p) \\ &= F(a^1, \dots, a^i, b_1^1 \dots b_1^q \cdot g(b_2^1, \dots, b_2^q), a^{i+1}, \dots, a^p) \\ &= a_1^1 \dots a_1^i \cdot b_1^1 \dots b_1^q \cdot a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^1, \dots, a_2^i, b_2^1 \dots b_2^q \cdot g(b_3^1, \dots, b_3^q), a_2^{i+1}, \dots, a_2^p) \\ &= \widehat{f \circ_i G}(a^1, \dots, a^i, b^1, \dots, b^q, a^{i+1}, \dots, a^p). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the brace operations define a “composition” operation $F \circ G = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{q(i-1)} F \circ_i G$, where $F \in \mathcal{C}^p(A, A)$ and $G \in \mathcal{C}^q(A, A)$. The Gerstenhaber bracket is defined as the commutator of this composition. So we have the desired corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *If A is a Hopf algebra, then $H^\bullet(A, k)$ is a Gerstenhaber subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$.*

Example 2.3. Let A be a Hopf algebra. Then $\text{Ext}_A^1(k, k) \cong \text{Der}(A, k) = \text{Prim}(A^*)$, where $\text{Prim}(A^*) = \{x \in A^* \text{ such that } m^*(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x\}$. It is easy to check that the Lie bracket given in the above theorem coincides with the commutator of the convolution product, viewing $\text{Der}(A, k)$ as a subset of A^* .

Example 2.4. Let G be a connected affine algebraic group and $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Ker}(\epsilon)/\text{Ker}(\epsilon)^2$ its tangent Lie algebra. One has that $HH^\bullet(\mathcal{O}(G), \mathcal{O}(G)) = \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^\bullet \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(G)) \cong \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$, where the Gerstenhaber structure here is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. Also $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^\bullet(k, k) = \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$, and it is generated (as an algebra) in degree one. So the bracket is determined by its values on $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^1(k, k) = \mathfrak{g}$, which is the bracket of \mathfrak{g} as a Lie algebra. This G -algebra structure is also well known.

Consider H a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra and $X = X(H)$ the algebra defined by Cibils and Rosso (see [2]). We can prove, at least in the finite-dimensional case, the conjecture of [11] that $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra:

Corollary 2.5. *Let H be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. Then $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra.*

Proof. The isomorphism $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H)$ was proved in [10].

Let A denote $D(H)$, the Drinfeld double of H . One knows that $X\text{-mod} \cong A\text{-mod}$ via $M \mapsto M^{coH}$. Then $\text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(H^{coH}, H^{coH}) = \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$, and this a Gerstenhaber subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$. □

REFERENCES

1. D. Benson, *Representations and cohomology, Vol. I, Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 99f:20001a
2. Claude Cibils and Marc Rosso, *Hopf bimodules are modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 128, no. 3, 225-231 (1998). MR 99i:16067
3. Murray Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Ann. Math. (2) 78, 267-288 (1963). MR 28:5102
4. Murray Gerstenhaber and Samuel D. Schack, *Bialgebra cohomology, deformations, and quantum groups*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, no. 1, 478-481 (1990). MR 90j:16062

5. Murray Gerstenhaber and Samuel D. Schack, *Algebras, bialgebras, quantum groups, and algebraic deformations*, Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics, Proc. AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conf., Amherst/MA (USA) 1990, Contemp. Math. 134, 51-92 (1992). MR 94b:16045
6. Susan Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 82, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993. MR 94i:16019
7. Stefan Schwede, *An exact sequence interpretation of the Lie bracket in Hochschild cohomology*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 498, 153-172 (1998). MR 99m:16012
8. Dragos Ştefan, *Hochschild cohomology on Hopf-Galois extensions*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **103**, no. 2, 221-233 (1995). MR 96h:16013
9. Rachel Taillefer, *Cohomology theories of Hopf bimodules and cup-product*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I, Math. 332, no. 3, 189-194 (2001). MR 2001m:16066
10. Rachel Taillefer, thesis, Université Montpellier 2 (2001).
11. Rachel Taillefer, *Injective Hopf bimodules, cohomologies of infinite dimensional Hopf algebras and graded-commutativity of the Yoneda product*. [ArXivMath math.KT/0207154](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0207154).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA PAB I. 1428, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: mfarinat@dm.uba.ar

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA PAB I. 1428, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: asolotar@dm.uba.ar