

## TRIANGULAR $G_a$ ACTIONS ON $\mathbf{C}^4$

JAMES K. DEVENEY, DAVID R. FINSTON, AND PETER VAN ROSSUM

(Communicated by Bernd Ulrich)

ABSTRACT. Every locally trivial action of the additive group of complex numbers on four-dimensional complex affine space that is given by a triangular derivation is conjugate to a translation. A criterion for a proper action on complex affine  $n$ -space to be locally trivial is given, along with an example showing that the hypotheses of the criterion are sharp.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G_a$  denote the additive group of complex numbers, and  $X$  a complex affine variety. By an action of  $G_a$  on  $X$  we will mean an algebraic action. It is well known that every such action can be realized as the exponential of some locally nilpotent derivation  $D$  of the coordinate ring  $\mathbf{C}[X]$  and that every locally nilpotent derivation gives rise to an action. The ring  $C_0$  of  $G_a$  invariants in  $\mathbf{C}[X]$  is equal to the ring of constants of the generating derivation.

Given an action  $\sigma : G_a \times X \rightarrow X$ , let  $\bar{\sigma} : G_a \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  denote the graph morphism and  $\hat{\sigma} : \mathbf{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[X, t]$  (resp.  $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathbf{C}[X \times X] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[X, t]$ ) denote the induced maps on coordinate rings.

The action is said to be proper if  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a proper morphism (i.e., if  $\mathbf{C}[X, t]$  is integral over the image of  $\bar{\sigma}$ ). The action is said to be equivariantly trivial if there is a variety  $Y$  for which  $X$  is  $G_a$  equivariantly isomorphic to  $G_a \times Y$ , the action on  $G_a \times Y$  being given by  $g * (y, h) = (y, g + h)$ . The action is locally trivial if there is a cover of  $X$  by  $G_a$  stable affine open subsets  $X_i$  on which the action is equivariantly trivial. Equivariant triviality of an action on  $X$  is equivalent with the existence of a regular function  $s \in \mathbf{C}[X]$  for which  $Ds = 1$ . Such a function is called a slice and, if one exists,  $\mathbf{C}[X] = C_0[s]$ . If  $X$  is factorial, i.e., its coordinate ring is a unique factorization domain, then local triviality is equivalent with the intersection of the kernel and image of  $D$  generating the unit ideal in  $\mathbf{C}[X]$ .

The affine cancellation problem can be phrased in terms of  $G_a$  actions on  $X = \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ : If the action is equivariantly trivial, is then  $Y \cong \mathbf{C}^n$ ? The answer is affirmative for  $n = 2$ , and for  $n = 3$  provided the ring of invariants contains a coordinate function [16, Cor. 4.5.5]. It has recently been shown that the ring of  $G_a$  invariants is finitely generated for actions on  $\mathbf{C}^4$  whose generating derivation is triangulable (triangulable actions) [2]. These positive results suggest that a more complete understanding of actions on  $\mathbf{C}^4$  is within reach. In section 1 we show that

---

Received by the editors July 25, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14L30; Secondary 20G20.

*Key words and phrases*. Additive group, slice, geometric quotient, locally trivial.

locally trivial triangulable actions on  $\mathbf{C}^4$  are in fact equivariantly trivial, admitting a geometric quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^3$ . Thus the example of Winkelmann [14] of a locally trivial, but not equivariantly trivial, triangular action on  $\mathbf{C}^5$  is optimal.

Locally trivial actions are proper, and proper actions on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  are locally trivial provided that  $\mathbf{C}[X]$  is a flat ring extension of  $C_0$  [4, Theorem 2.8]. This need not always be the case as shown in [5]. On the other hand, Holmann [12] showed that any proper holomorphic action on a complex manifold admits a quotient that is a manifold, while Popp [11, Lecture 3] showed that this quotient admits the structure of an algebraic space if the action is algebraic and the manifold is a smooth variety. Based on these results, we give in section 3 a ring-theoretic criterion for a proper action on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  to be locally trivial and indicate where the hypotheses fail for the example in [5] of a nonlocally trivial proper action on  $\mathbf{C}^5$ .

## 2. LOCALLY TRIVIAL TRIANGULAR ACTIONS ON $\mathbf{C}^4$

From [4, Theorem 2.8] we know in general that the quotient of a locally trivial action on an affine factorial variety  $X$  exists as a quasiffine variety  $Y \subset \mathbf{Spec} R$ , where  $R$  is the subring of  $C_0$  constructed as follows: Let  $\delta(a_1), \dots, \delta(a_n) \in C_0$  generate the unit ideal in  $\mathbf{C}[X]$ , and set  $R_i = \mathbf{C}[X, \frac{1}{\delta(a_i)}]^{G_a}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{C}[X, \frac{1}{\delta(a_i)}] = R_i[\frac{a_i}{\delta(a_i)}]$  so that  $R_i$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{C}$  algebra, say  $R_i = \mathbf{C}[b_{i1}, \dots, b_{im}, \frac{1}{\delta(a_i)}]$ , with  $b_{ij} \in C_0$ . The ring  $R = \mathbf{C}[b_{ij}, \delta(a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m]$  is the required subring of  $C_0$ .

It is easy to see that  $C_0$  is the factorial closure of  $R$  (i.e., the intersection of all unique factorization domains containing  $R$ ), and we ask whether  $C_0$  is the integral closure of  $R$ . Of course a positive answer would solve Hilbert's 14<sup>th</sup> problem for locally trivial  $G_a$  actions. Since  $Y$  is a geometric quotient,  $C_0$  is the ring of global sections of its structure sheaf. With  $I$  denoting the ideal defining the complement of  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{Spec} R$ , and  $F$  the quotient field of  $R$ , the ring  $C_0$  is isomorphic to  $T_I R = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{\alpha \in F \mid \alpha I^n \subset R\}$ , the ideal transform of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . A fuller discussion of these notions can be found in [6].

Consider a locally trivial  $G_a$  action on  $\mathbf{C}^4$  generated by the locally nilpotent derivation of  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$  defined by  $\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} x_4 &\mapsto p(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ x_3 &\mapsto q(x_1, x_2), \\ x_2 &\mapsto r(x_1), \\ x_1 &\mapsto 0. \end{aligned}$$

It was recently shown [2] that  $C_0$  is finitely generated for any triangular action on  $\mathbf{C}^4$ . In the special case under consideration, we show that  $Y \cong \mathbf{Spec} C_0$ . Since the quotient  $Y$  is then affine, the action is equivariantly trivial (locally trivial actions with quotient  $Y$  correspond to elements of  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(Y))$ , which is 0 with  $Y$  affine), and van Rossum's thesis [16] then shows that  $Y \cong \mathbf{C}^3$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G_a$  act locally trivially on  $X = \mathbf{C}^4$  via a triangular derivation as above. Then the action is equivariantly trivial with quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^3$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $Z = \mathbf{Spec} C_0$ , and denote by  $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$  the  $G_a$  equivariant morphism induced by the ring inclusion  $C_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ . By hypothesis,  $x_1 \in C_0$  and is prime, so that for each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\pi_\lambda$ , the restriction of  $\pi$  to the hyperplane  $X_\lambda$

defined by  $x_1 - \lambda$ , is a  $G_a$  equivariant morphism to the surface  $Z_\lambda \subset Z$  defined there by  $x_1 - \lambda$ . The assertion is proved by showing that  $\pi_\lambda$  is surjective for all  $\lambda$ .

It suffices to consider only those  $\lambda$  for which  $x_1 - \lambda$  divides  $r(x_1)$ , since otherwise  $\frac{x_2}{r(x_1)}$  defines a slice on  $X_\lambda$ . Assume that  $r(0) = 0$ , and we consider  $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Z_0$ . Note that  $X_0 \cong \mathbf{C}^3$  so that the action on  $X_0$  has a slice and  $\pi_0$  is an orbit map, but  $Z_0$  is not a priori the quotient (which is the open image of  $\pi_0$ ). Indeed,  $Z_0 \cong \mathbf{Spec} C_0/(x_1)$ , and  $C_0/(x_1) \subset [C[X]/(x_1)]^{G_a}$ , the latter being the ring of functions defined on the image of  $\pi_0$  in  $Y_0$ , and  $X_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec} [C[X]/(x_1)]^{G_a}$  is clearly surjective. Denote by  $I$  the ideal in  $C_0/(x_1)$  defining  $Y_0 - \text{im}(\pi_0)$ . We have  $T_I(C_0/(x_1)) \cong [C[X]/(x_1)]^{G_a} \cong \mathbf{C}^{[2]}$ , a polynomial ring in two variables.

Lemma 2.5 of [2] can be interpreted in this context as saying that  $C_0/(x_1) = R[u]$ , where  $u$  is transcendental over some subring  $R$ , i.e., that the algorithm [1] to construct the ring of invariants terminates with the adjunction of an element transcendental over a subring. Denote by  $\hat{R}$  the integral closure of  $R$  in its quotient field. Note that  $\hat{R}[u] \subset T_I(R[u])$  since both are integrally closed. Moreover,  $\hat{R}$  is Dedekind and rational since the quotient field,  $qf(\hat{R}[u]) \cong \mathbf{C}^{(2)} \cong qf(R)(u)$ . By the generalized Luroth theorem,  $qf(R)$  is rational. Since  $\hat{R}$  is a subring of a polynomial ring,  $\hat{R} \cong \mathbf{C}^{[1]}$ .

Note that  $T_{I\hat{R}[u]}(\hat{R}[u]) \cong T_I(R[u]) \cong \mathbf{C}^{[2]}$ . If  $ht(I\hat{R}[u]) = 1$ , then  $I\hat{R}[u]$  is principal, say  $I\hat{R}[u] = (f)$ . But then  $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathbf{C}^{[2]}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $ht(I\hat{R}[u]) = 2$ , which implies that  $T_{I\hat{R}[u]}(\hat{R}[u]) = \hat{R}[u]$ . Finally, we obtain the chain of surjections:

$$X_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec} [C[X]/(x_1)]^{G_a} = \mathbf{Spec} \hat{R}[u] \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec} R[u] = Z_0.$$

That the quotient is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^3$  follows from a special case of [16, Cor. 4.5.5].  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* It appears to be true that every fixed point free action on  $\mathbf{C}^3$  has a slice (S. Kaliman, preprint), while Winkelmann produced an example of a locally trivial triangular derivation on  $\mathbf{C}^5$  that has no slice, and there is an example of a triangular proper action on  $\mathbf{C}^5$  that is not locally trivial. The situation for  $\mathbf{C}^4$  is not so clear, but the next section proposes an avenue of attack on the proper triangular case.

### 3. PROPER ACTIONS

Consider a proper  $G_a$  action on  $X = \mathbf{C}^n$  generated by the locally nilpotent derivation  $D$ . Assume that the ring of invariants  $C_0$  is finitely generated defining the affine variety  $Y = \mathbf{Spec} C_0$ . Let  $\pi : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow Y$  as above be the morphism induced by the ring inclusion  $C_0 \subset \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and let  $I$  denote the ideal  $C_0 \cap \text{im} D$  ( $I = C_0$  if and only if the action is equivariantly trivial). Assuming that the action is not equivariantly trivial, in particular  $n \geq 4$ , denote by  $Z$  the closed subset of  $Y$  defined by  $I$ . From [7] we know that every irreducible component of  $Z$  has codimension exactly two and that  $\pi|_{X - \pi^{-1}Z} : X - \pi^{-1}Z \rightarrow Y - Z$  is a principal  $G_a$  bundle. The action is locally trivial if and only if  $\pi^{-1}Z = \emptyset$ .

From Holmann [12]: we know that the space of orbits carries the structure of an analytic space  $X/G_a$  (in fact,  $X/G_a$  is a manifold) and from Popp [11] that  $X/G_a$  is an algebraic space. The simplicity of our context enables us to make this even more explicit. The orbit  $G_a x$  of any point  $x \in X$  is isomorphic to a line. As such it is a coordinate line in some coordinate system,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $X$ , say

$G_a x$  is the  $x_1$ -axis, and we can take  $x$  to be the origin. If  $H_x$  is the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$ , then it is clear that the morphism  $G_a \times H_x \cong \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow X = \mathbf{C}^n$  given by  $\rho : (\lambda, y) \mapsto \sigma(\lambda, y)$  is étale in an affine neighborhood  $U$  of  $(\lambda, x)$  (the principal open subset defined by the Jacobian determinant  $d$  of the regular mapping). Indeed,  $\rho$  is  $G_a$  equivariant with respect to the action on  $G_a \times H_1$  given by  $(\mu, (\lambda, y)) \mapsto (\mu + \lambda, y)$ . Thus  $d \in \mathbf{C}[G_a \times H_x]^{G_a} = \mathbf{C}[H_x]$ , and  $U = G_a \times U_x$  with  $U_x$  the principal open subset of  $H_x$  defined by  $d$ . Identifying  $U_x$  with the 0 section of the trivial  $G_a$  bundle, and therefore the quotient of  $U$  with respect to the  $G_a$  action, the restriction  $\rho|_{U_x} : U_x \rightarrow X/G_a$  gives an étale morphism. The images of finitely many such morphisms  $\rho|_{U_{x_i}} : U_{x_i} \rightarrow X/G_a$  cover  $X/G_a$ . That  $\coprod_i U_{x_i} \xrightarrow{\rho|_{U_{x_i}}} X/G_a$  provides an affine étale covering making  $X/G_a$  an algebraic space is explained in [11, p. 39].

This description of the quotient as an algebraic space uses the complex structure. An alternative realization of the quotient of a variety  $X$  by a proper action of an algebraic group  $G$  as an algebraic space, valid in any characteristic, is given by Seshadri. Indeed, the construction is similar, differing in that Seshadri builds a variety  $Z$  finite over  $X$  from affine varieties analogous to  $U$  above. The action of  $G$  extends to  $Z$  and is locally trivial. The quotient  $W$  is separated but need not be quasiprojective. However,  $\mathbf{C}(Z)/\mathbf{C}(X)$  is Galois with group  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  acts on  $W$ , and the quotient of  $X$  by  $G$  is the algebraic space  $W/\Gamma$ . For the purposes of this paper the first description of the quotient is more convenient. For example, we can give a nice description of the stalks of the structure sheaf of the algebraic space  $X/G_a$ .

For a local ring  $R$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , denote by  $R^h$  the henselization of  $R$  and by  $\widehat{R}$  its completion at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Recall that for  $R$  equal to the localization of an affine domain,  $R^h$  is the algebraic closure of  $R$  in  $\widehat{R}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $z \in X/G_a$ . Then  $O_{z, X/G_a} \cong \varinjlim (O(U \times_{X/G_a} X)^{G_a}) \cong [\varinjlim (O(U \times_{X/G_a} X))]^{G_a} \cong (\mathbf{C}[x_2, \dots, x_n]_{(x_2, \dots, x_n)})^h$ , where the limit is taken over all étale open subsets  $U \rightarrow X/G_a$  of  $X/G_a$ .*

*Proof.* The isomorphism between  $O_{z, X/G_a}$  and  $(\mathbf{C}[x_2, \dots, x_n]_{(x_2, \dots, x_n)})^h$  is clear from the above construction of  $X/G_a$ . It is also clear that  $\varinjlim (O(U \times_{X/G_a} X)^{G_a})$  maps injectively into  $[\varinjlim (O(U \times_{X/G_a} X))]^{G_a}$ . Take  $\bar{h} \in (\varinjlim O(U \times_{X/G_a} X))^{G_a}$ . Because the action is proper, we can find an étale open  $V \rightarrow X/G_a$  of  $X/G_a$  with  $V$  affine and  $V \times_{X/G_a} X$  equivariantly isomorphic to  $V \times \mathbf{C}$  with  $\bar{h}$  represented by some element  $h \in O(V \times \mathbf{C})$ . Because  $\bar{h}$  is  $G_a$  invariant, for each  $\lambda \in G_a$  there is an open subset  $V_\lambda \times \mathbf{C} \subset V \times \mathbf{C}$  with  $\lambda(h)|_{V_\lambda \times \mathbf{C}} = h|_{V_\lambda \times \mathbf{C}}$ . But then the cyclic subgroup of  $G_a$  generated by  $\lambda$  stabilizes  $h$  on  $V_\lambda \times \mathbf{C}$ . The stabilizer of  $h$  is an algebraic subset of  $G_a$  and is therefore all of  $G_a$  for any  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Thus  $h \in O(V \times \mathbf{C})^{G_a}$  and the image of  $h$  in  $\varinjlim (O(U \times_{X/G_a} X)^{G_a})$  is the desired preimage of  $\bar{h}$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.** *The action on  $X = \mathbf{C}^5$  determined by the locally nilpotent derivation of  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z]$ , namely*

$$\delta : x_2 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto 0, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto 0, \quad z \mapsto (1 + x_1 y_2^2),$$

*is proper. Its quotient is an algebraic space that is not a scheme [5]. In particular,  $W$ , as in Seshadri's construction above, is not quasiprojective.*

The ring of invariants  $C_0$  is generated by the five polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= x_1, \\ c_2 &= x_2, \\ c_3 &= x_1y_2 - x_2y_1, \\ c_4 &= 3y_1z - x_1y_2^3 - 3y_2, \\ c_5 &= \frac{x_1^2c_4 + c_3^3 + 3x_1c_3}{y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $Y = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5]$ , and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the morphism defined by the rings inclusion. One checks that the  $C_0$  ideal  $\sqrt{C_0 \cap \text{im}(\delta)} = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$  has height 2, and that  $[C_0 \cap \text{im}(\delta)]\mathbf{C}[X] = (x_1, y_1)$ . The singular locus  $S$  of  $Y$  is one dimensional, properly contained in the zeros locus  $Z$  of  $(c_1, c_2, c_3)$ , and  $\pi(\pi^{-1}(Z)) \subset S$ .  $\pi|_{X-\pi^{-1}(Z)}$  is a quotient morphism, but fibers over points in  $S$  are all two dimensional.

In general, for a proper action with finitely generated  $C_0$ , the universal property for geometric quotients yields a morphism of algebraic spaces  $\bar{\pi} : X/G_a \rightarrow Y$  that is an isomorphism outside of a closed subset of codimension 2 in  $X/G_a$  and  $Y$  (the zero loci of  $(c_1, c_2)$  in the respective spaces). Note that  $\mathbf{C}[Y]$  is a unique factorization domain (UFD), so that if  $X/G_a$  had the structure of a variety,  $\bar{\pi}$  would be an isomorphism into its image [18, Prop. 1, p. 289]. In our example, however, the completions (and henselizations) of the local rings over points in  $S$  do not retain the unique factorization domain property.

To see this, we rely on the paper [15] where it is shown that the localization of the UFD  $A = \mathbf{C}[X, Y, Z, T]/(XY - ZT + X^3 + Y^3)$  at the maximal ideal generated by the classes of  $X, Y, Z, T$  does not remain a UFD upon completion. In fact, the completion  $\hat{A}$  is shown to be isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}[[X, Y, Z, T]]/(XY - ZT)$ , i.e.,  $X^3 + Y^3 \in (XY - ZT) \mathbf{C}[[X, Y, Z, T]]$ . In the example above,  $\mathbf{C}[Y] \cong \mathbf{C}[C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5]/(C_2C_5 - C_1^2C_4 - C_3^3 - 3C_1C_3)$ . With a simple change of variables (replacing  $C_3$  by  $3C_3$ ) and the observation that  $C_1^3 + C_3^3 \in (C_2C_5 - C_1C_3)\mathbf{C}[[C_1, C_2, C_3, C_5]]$ , we can realize the completion of  $\mathbf{C}[Y]$  at the maximal ideal  $(C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5)$  as isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}[[C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5]] / (C_2C_5 - C_1K)$  for some  $K$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be the localization of a finitely generated domain over  $\mathbf{C}$  at the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then the henselization  $A^h$  of  $A$  is a unique factorization domain if and only if the completion  $\hat{A}$  of  $A$  is.*

*Proof.* In this context, the henselization and strict henselization of  $A$  are equal and  $\hat{A}^h \cong \hat{A}$  [19, p. 38]. From [3] (proof of Theorem 1), we have  $C(A^h) = C(\hat{A})$ , where  $C(-)$  denotes the divisor class group.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G_a$  act properly on  $X = \mathbf{C}^n$  with geometric quotient the algebraic space  $X/G_a$ . Assume that  $C_0 = \mathbf{C}[X]^{G_a}$  is finitely generated defining the affine variety  $Y$ . Denote by  $q$  the morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  induced by the ring inclusion, by  $\pi$  the quotient morphism  $X \rightarrow X/G_a$ , and by  $\bar{\pi}$  the canonical morphism  $X/G_a \rightarrow Y$ . For  $z \in X/G_a$ , let  $K_z$  be the quotient field of the stalk at  $z$  of the structure sheaf of  $X/G_a$ , and let  $F_{\bar{\pi}(z)}$  be the quotient field of the henselization of  $C_{0, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\pi}(z)}}$ . Then  $\bar{\pi}$  induces an isomorphism of  $F_{\bar{\pi}(z)}$  and  $K_z$ .*

*Proof.* If the action is locally trivial in the Zariski topology, then there is nothing to show (note that since  $Y$  is a variety,  $O_{\bar{\pi}(z), Y}$  is the henselization of  $C_{0, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\pi}(z)}}$ ). If the

action is not Zariski locally trivial, then it is nevertheless geometrically irreducible in codimension one (GICO) [7], i.e., the intersection of the kernel and image of the generating derivation  $\delta$  lies in no height one prime ideal of  $\mathbf{C}[X]$  (or of  $C_0$ ). As a consequence, there is a closed subset  $Z$  of codimension precisely 2 in  $Y$  so that  $\pi^{-1}(Z)$  has codimension 2 in  $X$ , and  $q : X - \pi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Y - Z$  is a principal  $G_a$  bundle, locally trivial in the Zariski topology with quotient  $Y - Z$  [6, (and Theorem 4.2 below) below]. By the uniqueness of geometric quotients,  $Y - Z \cong X/G_a - \bar{\pi}^{-1}Z$ . The result follows by appealing to an affine étale covering of  $X/G_a$  enabling the reduction to an affine neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$ . A rational function on  $U$  representing an element of  $K_z$  is clearly in the function field of  $Y$  at  $\pi(z)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Consider a proper action of  $G_a$  on  $X = \mathbf{C}^n$  with finitely generated ring of invariants  $C_0$  defining the affine variety  $Y$  and morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$ . The action is locally trivial with quasiaffine quotient if and only if for each  $x \in X$  the completion of the local ring of  $q(x)$  on  $Y$  is a unique factorization domain.*

*Proof.* If the action is locally trivial, then  $q$  is a flat morphism (in the Zariski topology) whose image is in the smooth locus of  $Y$ .

To prove the converse, the argument is essentially that of [18, p. 289, Proposition 1]. Let  $y = q(x)$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$  the corresponding maximal ideal of  $C_0$  and  $A = C_{0\mathfrak{m}}$ . If  $y$  is a smooth point of  $Y$ , then the action is locally trivial in an affine neighborhood of  $q^{-1}(y)$  [7]; so assume that  $Y$  is singular at  $y$ . From Lemma 3.2, we know that  $A^h$  is a unique factorization domain. Since the action is proper,  $X/G_a$  exists as an algebraic space. Let  $\bar{\pi}(z) = y$  for  $z \in X/G_a$ , and denote by  $B = \mathcal{O}_{z, X/G_a}$ . Since  $X/G_a$  is smooth but  $Y$  is singular at  $y$ , we can view  $A^h$  as a proper subring of  $B$ . If  $\varphi \in B - A$ , from Lemma 3.3,  $\varphi = \frac{r}{s}$ ,  $r, s \in A^h$ . By choosing a suitable affine neighborhood of  $y$ , we can assume that there is a morphism of affine varieties  $\bar{\pi} : V \rightarrow W$ , subvarieties  $W_1, W_2$  of  $W$  (the zero loci of  $r$  and  $s$ ), whose intersection has pure codimension 2 in  $W$ , and a subvariety  $V_1$  of  $V$  (the zero locus of  $s$ ) of codimension 1. Since  $r = \varphi s$ , every component of  $V_1$  maps to  $W_1 \cap W_2$ . In particular, the set of points at which  $\bar{\pi}$  is not an isomorphism has codimension 1, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Problem 1.** *For which actions does  $C_{0,\mathfrak{m}}$  remain a unique factorization domain upon completion? In particular, does this hold for proper actions on  $C^4$ ?*

#### 4. REMARKS

Related to Lemma 3.2 we have the following.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0 and  $A$  an affine  $k$  algebra satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1)  *$A$  is a unique factorization domain;*
- (2) *with  $T$  denoting an indeterminate,  $A[[T]]$  is a unique factorization domain (i.e.,  $A$  has discrete divisor class group, e.g.  $A$  is a regular UFD);*
- (3)  *$G_a = G_a(k)$  acts on  $A$  via the locally nilpotent derivation  $d$  with kernel  $A^d$ .*

*Then  $A^d$  satisfies Serre’s  $S_3$  condition.*

*Proof.* Consider the extension  $D$  of the derivation to  $A[[T]]$ , defined by  $D \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d(a_i) T^i$ , and the extension of the  $G_a$  action by  $\sigma_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp(td)(a_i) T^i$  for each element  $t \in k$ . It is straightforward to check that

$A[[T]]^{G_a} = A[[T]]^D = A^d[[T]] = A^{G_a}[[T]]$ . Moreover,  $A[[T]]^{G_a}$  is factorially closed in  $A[[T]]$ . Indeed, suppose that  $a \in A[[T]]^{G_a}$  has the factorization  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$  in  $A[[T]]$ . Then  $G_a$  permutes the ideals  $(a_i)$  inducing a homomorphism from  $G_a$  to the symmetric group on  $k$  letters. However, only the trivial homomorphism exists, so that  $\sigma_t(a_i) = \lambda(t)a_i$  where  $\lambda : G_a \rightarrow A[[T]]^*$ . Comparing coefficients of  $T^j$ , we find that  $(\lambda(t) - 1)a_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{t^j}{j!} d^j(a_i)$ , where  $N + 1$  is the least power of  $d$  annihilating  $a_i$ . Note that  $d^N$  annihilates  $\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{t^j}{j!} d^j(a_i)$ . Unless  $\lambda(t) = 1$ , we obtain a contradiction. Thus each  $a_i \in A[[T]]^{G_a}$ , and therefore this ring is a unique factorization domain. Thus  $A^d$  has discrete divisor class group and consequently satisfies Serre's condition  $S_3$  [13].  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth factorial quasiprojective variety. Suppose that  $G_a$  acts algebraically on  $X$  and that  $O(X)^{G_a}$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $\dim X \leq 5$ , then  $O(X)^{G_a}$  is Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* Since  $O(X)^{G_a}$  has dimension at most 4 and satisfies  $S_3$ , [17, Corollary 1.8] shows that all of its localizations are Gorenstein.  $\square$

The proposition also enables a strengthening of [6, Theorem 3.1] by removing the Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis on the ring of invariants.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth factorial complex affine variety of dimension  $n \geq 4$  with a GICO  $G_a$  action generated by the locally nilpotent derivation  $\delta$  of  $O(X)$ . If  $O(X)^{G_a}$  is finitely generated and the height of the ideal  $\text{image}(\delta) \cap O(X)^{G_a}$  is at least 3, then the action is equivariantly trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $O(X)^{G_a}$  minimal over  $\text{image}(\delta) \cap O(X)^{G_a}$ . Set  $R = O(X)_P^{G_a}$ , denote the closed point of  $\mathbf{Spec} R$  by  $M$ , and let  $U = \mathbf{Spec} R - \{M\}$ . The Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis was used to show that  $\text{Ext}^1(O_U, O_U) \cong H_M^2(W, O_W) = 0$ . But this follows from the  $S_3$  condition.  $\square$

Greuel and Pfister have conjectured [8] that any proper action of a unipotent group on an affine scheme  $X$  lifts to locally trivial action on some étale covering of  $X$ . If by étale covering one means a finite étale morphism, then the conjecture fails for  $X = \mathbf{C}^n$  and the connected unipotent group by the simple connectivity of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  [10]. Indeed, suppose  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ , with  $q_i : X_i \cong X$  for each  $i$ . Connectivity implies that each orbit will lie in exactly one  $X_i$  so that the action is locally trivial on  $X_i$  and  $q_i$  is  $G_a$  equivariant (i.e., the action was already locally trivial on  $X$ ). On the other hand, if one drops the finiteness requirement, then section 3 indicates why the conjecture does hold for  $X = \mathbf{C}^n$  and proper  $G_a$  actions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. van den Essen: *An algorithm to compute the invariant ring of a  $G_a$  action on an affine variety*, J. Symbolic Computation 16 (1993) 531-555. MR 95c:14064
- [2] D. Daigle and G. Freudenberg: *Triangular derivations of  $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$* , J. Algebra 241 (2001) 328-339. MR 2002g:13058
- [3] V. I. Danilov: *On rings with discrete divisor class group*, Math. USSR Sbornik 17 (1972) 228-236. MR 46:5311
- [4] J. K. Deveney, D. R. Finston, and M. Gehrke:  *$G_a$  actions on  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Comm. Alg. 22 (1994) 4977-4988. MR 95e:14038
- [5] J. K. Deveney and D. R. Finston: *A proper  $G_a$  action on  $\mathbf{C}^5$  which is not locally trivial*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995) 651-655. MR 95j:14065

- [6] J. K. Deveney and D. R. Finston:  *$G_a$  invariants and slices*, Comm. Alg. 30 (2002) 1437-1447. MR 2003c:14073
- [7] J. K. Deveney and D. R. Finston: *Regular  $G_a$  invariants*, Osaka J. Math. 39 (2002) 275-282. MR 2003e:14037
- [8] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister: *Geometric quotients of unipotent group actions II*. Singularities (Oberwolfach, 1996), 27-36, Progr. Math. 162, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998. MR 99k:14078
- [9] J. K. Deveney and D. R. Finston: *Local triviality of proper  $G_a$  actions*, J. Algebra 221 (1999) 692-704. MR 2001b:14095
- [10] D. Wright: *On the Jacobian conjecture*. Illinois J. Math. 25 (1981) 423-440. MR 83a:12032
- [11] H. Popp: *Moduli Theory and Classification Theory of Algebraic Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 620, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977. MR 57:6024
- [12] H. Holmann: *Komplexe Räume mit komplexen Transformationsgruppen*, Math. Ann. 150 (1963) 327-360. MR 27:776
- [13] J. Lipman: *Unique factorization in complete local rings*, Proc Sympos. Pure Math. 29 (1974) 531-546. MR 51:10325
- [14] J. Winkelmann: *On free holomorphic  $C$  actions on  $C^n$  and homogeneous Stein manifolds*, Math. Ann. 286 (1990) 593-612. MR 90k:32094
- [15] E. Halanay: *Un exemple de  $in_{el}$  factorial al carui completat nu este factorial*, St. Cerc. Mat. 30 (1978) 495-497. MR 80a:13017
- [16] P. van Rossum: *Tackling Problems on Affine Space with Locally Nilpotent Derivations on Polynomial Rings*, Thesis, Catholic University Nijmegen, 2001.
- [17] R. Hartshorne and A. Ogus: *On the factoriality of local rings of small embedding codimension*, Comm Alg. 1 (1974) 415-437. MR 50:322
- [18] D. Mumford: *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 1358, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1999. MR 2001b:14001
- [19] S. Milne: *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980. MR 81j:14002

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY, 1015 W. MAIN ST., RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23284

*E-mail address:* `jdeveney@atlas.vcu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO 88003

*E-mail address:* `dfinston@nmsu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO 88003

*E-mail address:* `petervr@nmsu.edu`