

## ON THE ALGEBRA OF FUNCTIONS $\mathcal{C}^k$ -EXTENDABLE FOR EACH $k$ FINITE

WIESŁAW PAWLUCKI

(Communicated by David Preiss)

ABSTRACT. For each positive integer  $l$  we construct a  $\mathcal{C}^l$ -function of one real variable, the graph  $\Gamma$  of which has the following property: there exists a real function on  $\Gamma$  which is  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -extendable to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , for each  $k$  finite, but it is not  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -extendable.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a locally closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e. closed in an open subset  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the following  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of functions:

$$\mathcal{C}^k(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \tilde{f} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ of class } \mathcal{C}^k : \tilde{f}|_X = f\},$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of functions which can be called *almost  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions* on  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k(X) = \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k(X).$$

Obviously, we have

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}^k(X), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A fundamental question concerning singularities of the set  $X$  is the following:

*When does  $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ ?*

The answer is affirmative in the following cases:

1) Clearly, when  $X$  is open, it means when  $X = G$ . More generally, if  $X$  is a closed  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -submanifold of  $G$ .

2) When  $X = \overline{\text{int} X} \cap G$ , because then  $\mathcal{C}^k(X)$  is naturally isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathcal{E}^k(X)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -Whitney fields on  $X$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) (cf. [W]), and consequently,

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k(X) = \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}^k(X) = \mathcal{E}^\infty(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X).$$

More generally, when  $X \subset M$ ,  $M$  is a closed  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -submanifold of  $G$  and  $X$  is the closure of its interior in  $M$ .

3) When  $n = 1$  (cf. [M]).

---

Received by the editors October 13, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26E10; Secondary 32S05, 32B20.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -function, extension, Whitney field.

This research was partially supported by the KBN grant 5 PO3A 005 21 and the European Community IHP-Network RAAG (HPRN-CT-2001-00271).

©2004 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

4) When  $X$  is a closed *semianalytic* subset of  $G$ . Not all *subanalytic* subsets have this property, and this property distinguishes an important class of subanalytic sets (cf. [BMP]).

In [P] the author gave an example of a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  on which there are almost  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions that are not  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ . Simplifying and clarifying the construction from [P], here we will prove the following.

**Theorem.** *For each positive integer  $l$  there exists a function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^l$  such that  $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(\tilde{\varphi}) \neq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{\varphi})$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  stands for the graph of the function  $\varphi$ .*

PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $(a_\nu)_\nu \subset \mathbb{R}$  be such that

- (I)  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_\nu > \dots, a_\nu \rightarrow 0 (\nu \rightarrow \infty)$ ;
- (II)  $\varphi | \mathbb{R} \setminus (\{a_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \cup \{0\}) : \mathbb{R} \setminus (\{a_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \cup \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  ( $\mathbb{N}^*$  (resp.  $\mathbb{N}$ ) will denote the set of positive (resp. non-negative) integers);
- (III)  $\varphi | (a_{\nu+1}, a_{\nu-1})$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\nu$  but not  $\mathcal{C}^{\nu+1}$  ( $a_0 := +\infty$ );
- (IV)  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi^{(k)}(x)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x) = \varphi(0)$ .

**Lemma.** *Fix  $\nu$ . If  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathcal{C}^{\nu+1}$ -functions in a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f = g$  in  $U \cap \tilde{\varphi}$ , then*

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)).$$

*Proof of the Lemma.* Put  $\omega(x) := f(x, \varphi(x)) = g(x, \varphi(x))$ , for  $x$  near  $a_\nu$ . Then

$$\omega^{(k)}(x) = P_k(\{\frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial x^i \partial y^j}(x, \varphi(x))\}_{i+j \leq k}, \varphi'(x), \dots, \varphi^{(k-1)}(x)) + \varphi^{(k)}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \varphi(x)),$$

for  $x$  near  $a_\nu, x \neq a_\nu$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $P_k$  is a polynomial depending only on  $k$ .

In particular,

$$\omega^{(\nu+1)}(x) = P_{\nu+1}(\{\frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial x^i \partial y^j}(x, \varphi(x))\}_{i+j \leq \nu+1}, \varphi'(x), \dots, \varphi^{(\nu)}(x)) + \varphi^{(\nu+1)}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \varphi(x)).$$

$\forall k = 0, \dots, \nu \exists \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{x \rightarrow a_\nu} \varphi^{(k)}(x) = \alpha_k$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a_\nu} \varphi^{(\nu+1)}(x)$  does not exist in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Two cases:

- (1) There are two sequences  $(b_n)_n, (c_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  converging to  $a_\nu$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) = \beta, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{(\nu+1)}(c_n) = \gamma, \beta \neq \gamma.$$

- (2) There is a sequence  $(b_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  converging to  $a_\nu$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) = \pm \infty.$$

In case (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) &= P_{\nu+1}(\{\frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial x^i \partial y^j}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu))\}_{i+j \leq \nu+1}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu) + \beta \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{(\nu+1)}(c_n) &= P_{\nu+1}(\{\frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial x^i \partial y^j}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu))\}_{i+j \leq \nu+1}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu) + \gamma \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\omega^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) - \omega^{(\nu+1)}(c_n)]}{\beta - \gamma} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)).$$

In case (2),

$$\omega^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) = \text{sequence with a finite limit} + \varphi^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(b_n, \varphi(b_n)).$$

Since  $\varphi^{(\nu+1)}(b_n) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega^{(\nu+1)}(b_n)}{\varphi^{(\nu+1)}(b_n)} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)).$$

□

To finish the proof of the theorem first take a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda^{(k)}(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi^{(k)}(0)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (by Borel's theorem), and then define

$$f(x, y) := \frac{y - \lambda(x)}{x}, \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \varphi \setminus \{(0, \varphi(0))\}, \quad \text{and } f(0, \varphi(0)) := 0.$$

Fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $(x, y) \neq (0, \varphi(0))$ ,  $f(x, y) = \psi(x)$ , where

$$\psi(x) := \frac{\varphi(x) - \lambda(x)}{x}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{and } \psi(0) := 0.$$

$\psi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  on the set  $(-\infty, a_{k-1}) \setminus \{0\}$ , due to the properties (II)-(IV). On the other hand, by l'Hôpital's rule,

$$\forall p, q \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi^{(p)}(x) - \lambda^{(p)}(x)}{x^q} = 0.$$

This implies in an easy way that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \psi^{(p)}(x) = 0$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Consequently,  $\psi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -function on  $(-\infty, a_{k-1})$ , which can be treated as a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -function on  $(-\infty, a_{k-1}) \times \mathbb{R}$  not depending on  $y$ . On the other hand,  $\frac{y - \lambda(x)}{x}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function on  $(a_k, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ , so it suffices now to glue smoothly these two functions along the strip  $(a_k, a_{k-1}) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

To check that  $f$  cannot be extended to a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , suppose that such an extension  $F$  exists. Then from the Lemma

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)) = \frac{\partial(\frac{y - \lambda(x)}{x})}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)) = \frac{1}{a_\nu} \rightarrow +\infty,$$

but, of course,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(a_\nu, \varphi(a_\nu)) \rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(0, \varphi(0)),$$

a contradiction.

*Remark.* It follows from [G] (the author is indebted to Rémi Soufflet for this reference) that the function  $\varphi$  in our theorem can be chosen in such a way that the germ of  $\varphi$  at 0 belongs to a Hardy field of germs of real functions at 0.

## REFERENCES

- [BMP] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman and W. Pawłucki, *Composite differentiable functions*, Duke Math. J. **83** (1996), 607–620. MR 1390657 (97k:32011)
- [G] D. Gokhman, *Functions in a Hardy field not ultimately  $C^\infty$* , Complex Variables Theory Appl. (1) **32** (1997), 1–6. MR 1448476 (98e:26024)
- [M] J. Merrien, *Prolongateurs de fonctions différentiables d'une variable réelle*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **45** (1966), 291–309. MR 0207937 (34:7750)
- [P] W. Pawłucki, *Examples of functions  $C^k$ -extendable for each  $k$  finite, but not  $C^\infty$ -extendable. Singularities Symposium - Łojasiewicz 70*, Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw **44** (1998), 183–187. MR 1677379 (99m:32008)
- [W] H. Whitney, *Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **36** (1934), 63–89. MR 1501735

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIwersYTETU Jagiellońskiego, ul. Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków,  
POLAND

*E-mail address:* `Wieslaw.Pawlucki@im.uj.edu.pl`