

TRANSITIVE FAMILIES OF PROJECTIONS IN FACTORS OF TYPE II_1

JON P. BANNON

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. We introduce a notion of transitive family of subspaces relative to a type II_1 factor, and hence a notion of transitive family of projections in such a factor. We show that whenever \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II_1 and \mathcal{M} is generated by two self-adjoint elements, then $\mathcal{M} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ contains a transitive family of 5 projections. Finally, we exhibit a free transitive family of 12 projections that generate a factor of type II_1 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex, separable Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a factor of type II_1 . If S is a non-empty set, we say that a family of norm-closed subspaces $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in S}$ of \mathcal{H} is transitive relative to \mathcal{M} if for each $i \in S$, the projection P_i of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_i lies in \mathcal{M} and only the scalar operators leave all of the \mathcal{H}_i invariant. In this case, we also say that the family $\{P_i\}_{i \in S}$ is a transitive family of projections relative to \mathcal{M} . When $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$, a transitive family cannot contain only two nontrivial projections P and Q , since in this case $(P - Q)^2$ commutes with both P and Q , and therefore leaves the ranges of both invariant. In this paper we first prove that if \mathcal{M} is a type II_1 factor and is generated by two self-adjoint elements, then there is a transitive family of five projections relative to $\mathcal{M} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. This leads us to the question of whether or not there is a transitive family of three or four projections relative to some factor of type II_1 ? To shed light on this question we consider free families of projections. A family $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of projections in a factor of type II_1 is free if each P_i has trace $\frac{1}{2}$ and the P_i are free with respect to the trace (in the sense of Voiculescu, see [7] and [1]). We shall exhibit a free transitive family of twelve projections.

In $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, a family of norm-closed subspaces is transitive if the only bounded operators on \mathcal{H} that leave every subspace in the family invariant are scalars. Transitive families of subspaces were first considered by Paul Halmos in his 1970 paper, “Ten problems in Hilbert space” [3]. In this paper Halmos studied medial subspace lattices, which are families of subspaces that contain $\{0\}$, \mathcal{H} , and at least two nontrivial subspaces of \mathcal{H} , with the additional property that any pair of nontrivial subspaces K_1, K_2 in the lattice are topologically complementary (that is, $K_1 \cap K_2 = \{0\}$

Received by the editors November 18, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L54; Secondary 47A62.

Key words and phrases. II_1 factor, transitive family, free product.

©2004 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

and $\overline{\text{span}}\{K_1, K_2\} = \mathcal{H}$). Halmos constructed a finite-dimensional example of a transitive medial subspace lattice having five nontrivial elements and raised the question of how small a transitive medial subspace lattice could be. In 1971, Harrison, Radjavi and Rosenthal found that, in a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, there is a transitive medial subspace lattice having four nontrivial elements [4]. It has become apparent since that the construction of a medial subspace lattice having three elements is a difficult problem. In fact, even finding a transitive family of three nontrivial norm-closed subspaces is hard. Lambrou and Longstaff have shown that in finite (≥ 3) dimensional \mathcal{H} , the smallest possible cardinality of a transitive family of subspaces is four [6]. Hadwin, Longstaff and Rosenthal have (when $\dim \mathcal{H}$ is infinite) found a transitive family of two norm-closed subspaces and a linear manifold and have shown that the existence of a three-element transitive family of norm-closed subspaces would follow from the existence of two dense operator ranges in \mathcal{H} such that the only bounded operators leaving both of the ranges invariant are scalars [2].

We note that the questions considered in this paper are closely related to the generator question of von Neumann algebras, which asks if every von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space is generated by two self-adjoint elements. The last example in this note shows that free families of projections that generate factors can be transitive.

We wish to thank professor Liming Ge for his encouragement and guidance.

2. MAIN RESULTS

For basic information about von Neumann algebras, we refer the reader to [5].

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex, separable Hilbert space, let I denote the identity in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and let $I \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a factor of type II_1 . Let S be a nonempty set. A family $\{P_i\}_{i \in S}$ of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is transitive relative to \mathcal{M} if each P_i is in \mathcal{M} and the only operators $T \in \mathcal{M}$ that satisfy $(I - P_i)TP_i = 0$ for all $i \in S$ are scalars.

Remark 1. When there is no danger of confusing which factor we are considering, we say that a family of projections $\{P_i\}_{i \in S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is transitive when $\{P_i\}_{i \in S}$ is transitive relative to \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 1. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex, separable Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a factor of type II_1 such that \mathcal{M} is generated, as a von Neumann algebra, by three projections P_1, P_2 and P_3 . Then the family $\{P_1, I - P_1, P_2, I - P_2, P_3, I - P_3\}$ is transitive relative to \mathcal{M} .

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{M}$ leaves the ranges of each of these projections invariant, then $TP_i - P_iT = P_iTP_i + (I - P_i)TP_i - P_iTP_i - P_iT(I - P_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. It follows that $T \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}' = \mathbb{C}I$. \square

We now extend an idea of Halmos [3].

Proposition 2. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex, separable Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a factor of type II_1 such that \mathcal{M} is generated, as a von Neumann algebra, by two self-adjoint elements A, B . There is a transitive family of 5 projections relative to $\mathcal{M} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. We realize $\mathcal{M} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ as $M_2(\mathcal{M})$ acting on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Let I denote the identity in \mathcal{M} , and I_2 the identity in $M_2(\mathcal{M})$. Now each of the projections

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}I \\ \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}I \end{bmatrix}$$

lies in $M_2(\mathcal{M})$. If an operator $T \in M_2(\mathcal{M})$ leaves the ranges of each of these three projections invariant, then T must have the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $T_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. We consider the matrix

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}I \\ \frac{1}{2}A & \frac{1}{2}A \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{M}).$$

Letting $\lambda = \|A_1 A_1^*\|^{-1}$, we note that there is the following equality of range projections $R(\lambda A_1 A_1^*) = R(A_1 A_1^*) = R(A_1)$. Now notice that $\lambda A_1 A_1^*$ is a positive element of norm 1, and therefore $0 \leq \lambda A_1 A_1^* \leq I_2$, and by Lemma 5.15 in the first volume of [5], the sequence $\{(\lambda A_1 A_1^*)^{\frac{1}{n}}\}$ converges in the strong-operator topology to $R(\lambda A_1 A_1^*)$ and therefore $R(A_1) \in M_2(\mathcal{M})$ since $M_2(\mathcal{M})$ is a von Neumann algebra. Note that the range of the operator A_1 is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ since it is the graph of the bounded operator A . Now if T leaves the ranges of P_1, P_2, P_3 , and A_1 invariant, then for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ it must be that

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ Ax \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 x \\ T_1 Ax \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 x \\ AT_1 x \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that $T_1 A = AT_1$.

Similarly, we consider

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}I \\ \frac{1}{2}B & \frac{1}{2}B \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{M})$$

and see that $R(B_1) \in M_2(\mathcal{M})$, and if T leaves the ranges of P_1, P_2, P_3 and B_1 invariant, then $T_1 B = BT_1$. Hence T_1 commutes with both generators of the factor \mathcal{M} , and hence $T_1 \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}' = \mathbb{C}I$. Thus the family of projections

$$\{P_1, P_2, P_3, R(A_1), R(B_1)\} \subseteq M_2(\mathcal{M})$$

is transitive. □

Corollary 1. *There is a transitive family of 5 projections relative to the hyperfinite II_1 factor \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. It is well known that $\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{R} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and that \mathcal{R} is generated by two self-adjoint elements. From the above proposition, $\mathcal{R} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ contains a transitive family of five projections. It follows that \mathcal{R} contains a transitive family of five projections. □

We now exhibit a free transitive family of projections.

Let $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be groups, and let e_i be the identity of G_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\ast_{i=1}^n G_i$ denote the group free product of the G_i , and let e denote its identity element. Recall that elements in $\ast_{i=1}^n G_i$ are given, in reduced form, by elements in the set

$\{e\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3} \dots g_{i_k} : i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}; i_j \neq i_{j+1} \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, (n-1)\}; g_{i_j} \in G_{i_j} \setminus \{e_{i_j}\}\}$.

Let G denote the group free product $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \dots * \mathbb{Z}_2}_{12 \text{ times}}$, and let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{12}\}$ denote the canonical set of generators of G . This group is I.C.C.; therefore the group von Neumann algebra \mathcal{L}_G acting on $l_2(G)$ is a factor of type II_1 . Recall that each element in \mathcal{L}_G has the form L_x , where $x \in l_2(G)$ and the action on a function $y \in l_2(G)$ is defined by $(L_x y)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} x(gh^{-1})y(h)$. With $g \in G$, let x_g be the function in $l_2(G)$ that takes the value 1 on g and 0 on every other group element. To avoid excessive use of subscripts, we everywhere write L_g in place of L_{x_g} . Since $L_{a_i}^2 = I$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 12\}$, it is evident that $P_i = \frac{I+L_{a_i}}{2}$ is a projection in \mathcal{L}_G , and the family $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{12}\}$ is free with respect to the trace on \mathcal{L}_G .

Theorem 1. *In \mathcal{L}_G , the family $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_{12}\}$ is transitive.*

Proof. Suppose that $L_f \in \mathcal{L}_G$ is a solution to the system $(I - P_i)TP_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 12$), and therefore

$$(I - L_{a_i})L_f(I + L_{a_i}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 12).$$

Let both sides of this equation act on x_e to obtain

$$L_f x_e = L_{a_i} L_f x_e - L_f L_{a_i} x_e + L_{a_i} L_f L_{a_i} x_e \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 12).$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_f x_e)(g) &= \sum_{h \in G} f(gh^{-1})x_e(h) = f(g), \\ (L_{a_i}(L_f x_e))(g) &= (L_{a_i} f)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} x_{a_i}(gh^{-1})f(h) = f(a_i g), \\ (L_f(L_{a_i} x_e))(g) &= (L_f x_{a_i})(g) = \sum_{h \in G} f(gh^{-1})x_{a_i}(h) = f(ga_i), \\ (L_{a_i}(L_f L_{a_i} x_e))(g) &= (L_{a_i} L_f x_{a_i})(g) \\ &= \sum_{h \in G} x_{a_i}(gh^{-1})\left(\sum_{k \in G} f(hk^{-1})x_{a_i}(k)\right) = f(a_i g a_i). \end{aligned}$$

From these it follows that for all $g \in G$,

$$f(g) = f(a_i g) - f(ga_i) + f(a_i g a_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 12).$$

By the triangle inequality, we see that

$$|f(g)| \leq |f(a_i g)| + |f(ga_i)| + |f(a_i g a_i)| \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 12),$$

and by the well-known inequality $(x_1 + \dots + x_k)^2 \leq k(x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2)$ for non-negative real k , we see that

$$|f(g)|^2 \leq 3(|f(a_i g)|^2 + |f(ga_i)|^2 + |f(a_i g a_i)|^2) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 12).$$

With $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ given, let $S_{ij} = \{g \in G : g \text{ begins with } a_i \text{ and ends with } a_j \text{ in its reduced form in the free product}\}$. With $g \in S_{ij}$, let $\{b_{ij}^1, \dots, b_{ij}^{10}\} = \mathbb{A} \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(g)|^2 &\leq 3(|f(b_{ij}^1 g)|^2 + |f(g b_{ij}^1)|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^1 g b_{ij}^1)|^2), \\ |f(g)|^2 &\leq 3(|f(b_{ij}^2 g)|^2 + |f(g b_{ij}^2)|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^2 g b_{ij}^2)|^2), \\ &\vdots \\ |f(g)|^2 &\leq 3(|f(b_{ij}^{10} g)|^2 + |f(g b_{ij}^{10})|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^{10} g b_{ij}^{10})|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these inequalities, we obtain that

$$10|f(g)|^2 \leq 3 \sum_{k=1}^{10} (|f(b_{ij}^k g)|^2 + |f(gb_{ij}^k)|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^k gb_{ij}^k)|^2).$$

Summing over $g \in S_{ij}$, we have

$$\sum_{g \in S_{ij}} |f(g)|^2 \leq \frac{3}{10} \sum_{g \in S_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{10} (|f(b_{ij}^k g)|^2 + |f(gb_{ij}^k)|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^k gb_{ij}^k)|^2).$$

Suppose that $g_1 \neq g_2$ are elements in S_{ij} . Note that by construction, all elements of the form $b_{ij}^k g_1$, $b_{ij}^k g_1 b_{ij}^l$ or $g_2 b_{ij}^k$ are in reduced form in the free product, for $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$. Consider any $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$. Since $g_1 \neq g_2$, it follows that $b_{ij}^{k_1} g_1 \neq b_{ij}^{k_2} g_2$, $b_{ij}^{k_1} g_1 b_{ij}^{k_2} \neq b_{ij}^{k_3} g_2 b_{ij}^{k_4}$, $b_{ij}^{k_1} g_1 \neq g_2 b_{ij}^{k_2}$, $b_{ij}^{k_1} g_1 \neq b_{ij}^{k_2} g_2 b_{ij}^{k_3}$, and $g_1 b_{ij}^{k_1} \neq b_{ij}^{k_2} g_2 b_{ij}^{k_3}$. Therefore the right-hand sum above can have no repeated terms, meaning for any $g_0 \in G$, the term $|f(g_0)|^2$ shows up at most once on the right-hand side of the inequality. We sum over all i, j to obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{12} \sum_{g \in S_{ij}} |f(g)|^2 \leq \frac{3}{10} \sum_{i,j=1}^{12} \sum_{g \in S_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{10} (|f(b_{ij}^k g)|^2 + |f(gb_{ij}^k)|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^k gb_{ij}^k)|^2).$$

Let $S = \sum_{i,j=1}^{12} \sum_{g \in S_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{10} (|f(b_{ij}^k g)|^2 + |f(gb_{ij}^k)|^2 + |f(b_{ij}^k gb_{ij}^k)|^2)$. We now note that

there can be repeated terms in S . We shall list the ways that a given term $|f(g_0)|^2$ may be repeated in the sum S . Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$ and that g_0 begins with a and ends with b in its reduced form. Each occurrence of the term $|f(g_0)|^2$ in S corresponds to an appearance of $|f(g_0)|^2$ on the right side of an inequality of the form $|f(g')|^2 \leq 3(|f(a_i g')|^2 + |f(g' a_i)|^2 + |f(a_i g' a_i)|^2)$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{A}$ and g' is one of the group elements $ag_0, g_0 b$ or $ag_0 b$. If $a \neq b$, then there can only be two occurrences of $|f(g_0)|^2$ in the sum S , one coming from the inequality

$$|f(ag_0)|^2 \leq 3(|f(g_0)|^2 + |f(ag_0 a)|^2 + |f(g_0 a)|^2),$$

and one from the inequality

$$|f(g_0 b)|^2 \leq 3(|f(bg_0 b)|^2 + |f(g_0)|^2 + |f(bg_0)|^2).$$

If $a = b$, then $|f(g_0)|^2$ may occur three times, once in

$$|f(ag_0)|^2 \leq 3(|f(g_0)|^2 + |f(ag_0 a)|^2 + |f(g_0 a)|^2),$$

again in the inequality

$$|f(g_0 a)|^2 \leq 3(|f(ag_0 a)|^2 + |f(g_0)|^2 + |f(ag_0)|^2),$$

and finally, in the inequality

$$|f(ag_0 a)|^2 \leq 3(|f(g_0 a)|^2 + |f(ag_0)|^2 + |f(g_0)|^2).$$

We therefore note that any term $|f(g_0)|^2$ in S may occur at most three times. We call the number of times the term $|f(g_0)|^2$ appears in the sum S the multiplicity of $|f(g_0)|^2$ in S .

Let script \mathcal{T} denote $\{t : t \text{ is a term in } S\}$. Then, since all terms in S are non-negative, $S = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} n_t t$ where n_t is the multiplicity of the term t in S .

We now have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} |f(g)|^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{12} \sum_{g \in S_{ij}} |f(g)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{10} S = \frac{3}{10} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} n_t t \leq \frac{9}{10} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} t \\ &\leq \frac{9}{10} \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} |f(g)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} |f(g)|^2$ is necessarily zero and $f(g) = 0$ when $g \neq e$. We have now that only $f(e)$ may be nonzero, and hence L_f must be a scalar. It follows that the family $\{P_1, \dots, P_{12}\}$ is transitive. \square

Remark 2. The number of projections in the above theorem may be reduced. We believe that 4 such free projections should form a transitive family, but new techniques may be needed to prove this.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.M. Ching, "Free products of von Neumann algebras", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 178 (1973), 147-163. MR0326405 (48:4749)
- [2] D.W. Hadwin, W.E. Longstaff and Peter Rosenthal, "Small transitive lattices", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 87 (1983), 121-124. MR0677246 (85e:47002)
- [3] P.R. Halmos, "Ten Problems in Hilbert Space", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1970) 887-933. MR0270173 (42:5066)
- [4] K.J. Harrison, Heydar Radjavi and Peter Rosenthal, "A Transitive Medial Subspace Lattice", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 28 (1971), 119-121. MR0283609 (44:839)
- [5] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose, "Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras", vols. I and II, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1983 and 1986. MR0719020 (85j:46099); MR0859186 (88d:46106)
- [6] M.S. Lambrou, W.E. Longstaff, "Small transitive families of subspaces in finite dimensions", *Lin. Alg. Appl.* 357 (2002), 229-245. MR1935237 (2003m:47012)
- [7] Voiculescu, D.V.; Dykema K.J.; Nica, A., "Free random variables. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups.", CRM monograph series, I. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. MR1217253 (94c:46133)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DURHAM, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03872

E-mail address: `jpbannon@math.unh.edu`