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Abstract. We use Lévy’s theorem on invariance of planar Brownian motion
under conformal maps and the support theorem for Brownian motion to show
that the range of a non-constant polynomial of a complex variable consists of
the whole complex plane. In particular, we obtain a probabilistic proof of the
fundamental theorem of algebra.

1. Introduction

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is a well-established result in mathemat-
ics, and there are several proofs of it in the mathematical literature. In the present
paper we give a new probabilistic proof of it, as follows:

Theorem 2.4. If \( f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), \( f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 z + a_0 \) (\( a_n \neq 0 \),
a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \) is a polynomial of degree \( n \geq 1 \), then \( f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \).
In particular, the equation \( f(z) = 0 \) has at least one root in \( \mathbb{C} \).

The purpose of this paper is two-fold: on the one hand, we show how probabilistic
methods can be used in order to give a simple proof of this particular result, and
on the other hand, we present a method which might be suitable for deriving new
properties about the range of analytic functions.

Probabilistic methods have proved to be a useful tool in Analysis. One could,
for example, refer to monographs such as [2] and [3]: among others, they contain
probabilistic proofs of analytic results such as: Privalov’s and Plessner’s Theorem
(3, pp. 132 – 134), Koebe’s 1/4 Theorem (2, pp. 323 – 326) and Picard’s
Theorem (3, pp. 139 - 142 or 2, pp. 320 – 322), to mention just a few well-
known results related to the range of analytic functions (incidentally, both proofs
of Picard’s Theorem mentioned above have gaps; see the web site of the second
author for a revised proof). For some recent activity in this area, one could see for
example [4].

The present proof can also be modified to give a proof of Picard’s Theorem in the
particular case of a \( p \)-valued entire function (see the remark preceding Theorem 2.5),
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and shows explicitly the reason for which the p-valuedness is a sufficient condition for an entire function to omit no values in $\mathbb{C}$.

While interesting in their own, probabilistic methods shed a new perspective on the subject and are able sometimes to provide answers to open problems in Analysis (see for example a partial resolution of the Hot Spots conjecture by probabilistic arguments in [5]).

2. A PROBABILISTIC PROOF OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

2.1. Preliminaries. We begin by reviewing some ingredients needed for the proof.

Recall that a complex map $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called entire if it is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$.

For a given integer $p \geq 1$, a complex map $f : D \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be $p$-valued if for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ there are at most $p$ solutions in $D$ to the equation $f(z) = w$, and there exists $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the equation $f(z) = w_0$ has exactly $p$ roots in $D$.

We recall the following result on local correspondence for analytic maps (see [1], p. 131):

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that $f(z)$ is analytic at $z_0$, $f(z_0) = w_0$ and that $f(z) - w_0$ has a zero of order $n$ at $z_0$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $w$ with $|w - w_0| < \delta$ the equation $f(z) = w$ has exactly $n$ roots in the disk $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$.

We will need the following support theorem for Brownian motion (see [2], p. 59, for a proof), showing that with positive probability the paths of a Brownian motion follow the graph of a continuous function:

**Theorem 2.2.** If $\varphi : [0, t] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous ($d \geq 1$), $B_t$ is a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion starting at $B_0 = \varphi(0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $c > 0$ such that

\[
P^{\varphi(0)}(\sup_{s \leq t} \|B_s - \varphi(s)\| < \varepsilon) > c,
\]

where $c$ can be taken to depend only on $t$, $\varepsilon$ and the modulus of continuity of $\varphi$.

Also, we will need Lévy’s theorem on conformal invariance of planar Brownian motion, showing that the image of a planar Brownian motion under an entire map is a time change of another Brownian motion (see [2], p. 310, for a proof). We have:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be an entire map and $B_t$ a 2-dimensional Brownian motion starting at $B_0 = x$. Then $f(B_{\alpha_t})$ is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion starting at $f(B_0) = f(x)$, where

\[
\alpha_t = \inf\{s : A_s \geq t\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_t = \int_0^t |f'(B_s)|^2 \, ds.
\]

Recall that given a domain $D$, a closed curve $\gamma \subset D$ is said to be homotopic to zero in $D$ if the curve $\gamma$ can be deformed continuously in $D$ to a constant curve. It is known that the homotopy is a topological property (it is preserved under continuous mappings).

We denote by $D(z, r)$ the open disk centered at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ of radius $r > 0$. 
2.2. Main results. We are now ready to prove the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, as follows:

**Theorem 2.4.** If \( f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \), \( f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 z + a_0 \) (\( a_n \neq 0, a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \)) is a polynomial of degree \( n \geq 1 \), then \( f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \).

In particular, the equation \( f(z) = 0 \) has at least one root in \( \mathbb{C} \).

The idea of the proof is that if \( f \) omits a certain value in \( \mathbb{C} \), then by the support theorem, a planar Brownian motion will wind around this value with positive probability; if we choose this Brownian motion to be the image under \( f \) of a Brownian motion in the domain of \( f \), this will allow us to construct (on a set of positive probability) a closed curve \( \gamma \) in the domain of \( f \) that is homotopic to zero, while its image \( \Gamma = f(\gamma) \) is not, thus obtaining a contradiction. Here are the details:

**Proof.** Assume \( f \) never assumes the value \( w_0 \in \mathbb{C} \), that is, the equation \( f(z) = w_0 \) has no roots in \( \mathbb{C} \).

Since \( f \) is a (non-constant) polynomial of degree \( n \geq 1 \), there exists \( p \leq n \) such that \( f \) is a \( p \)-valent function. Therefore, for any \( w \in \mathbb{C} \), the equation \( f(z) = w \) has at most \( p \) roots in \( \mathbb{C} \), and there exists \( w_1 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that the equation \( f(z) = w_1 \) has exactly \( p \) roots in \( \mathbb{C} \).

By replacing \( f(z) \) with

\[
(2.2) \quad \tilde{f}(z) = \frac{f(z) - w_0}{w_1 - w_0},
\]

if necessary, we may assume that \( w_0 = 0 \) and \( w_1 = 1 \), that is, the equation \( f(z) = 0 \) has no roots in \( \mathbb{C} \), and the equation \( f(z) = 1 \) has exactly \( p \) roots in \( \mathbb{C} \).

Let \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m \) be the distinct roots of \( f(z) = 1 \) in \( \mathbb{C} \), with multiplicities \( n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m \) (and therefore \( n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_m = p \)).

By the continuity of \( f \), we can choose \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough so that it satisfies

\[
(2.3) \quad |f(z) - 1| < \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for all } z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D(z_i, \varepsilon).
\]

By choosing a smaller \( \varepsilon > 0 \), if necessary, and using Theorem 2.1 it follows that there exist \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_m > 0 \) such that for \( |w - 1| < \delta_i \), the equation \( f(z) = w \) has exactly \( n_i \) roots in \( |z - z_i| < \varepsilon \), for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Since \( n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_m = p \) and \( f \) is \( p \)-valent (thus \( f(z) = w \) cannot have more than \( p \) roots in \( \mathbb{C} \)), it follows that these roots are all the roots of the equation \( f(z) = w \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). We obtained the following:

\[
(2.4) \quad |f(z) - 1| < \delta \Rightarrow z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D(z_i, \varepsilon),
\]

where \( \delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_m\} \); without loss of generality, we can also assume \( \delta < \frac{1}{4} \).

Consider now a Brownian motion \( B_t \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) starting at \( B_0 = z_1 \).

Since \( f \) is entire, by Theorem 2.3 it follows that \( W_t = f(B_t) = f(z_1) = 1 \) where the time change \( \alpha_t \) is the inverse of the nondecreasing process

\[
(2.5) \quad A_t = \int_{0}^{t} |f'(B_s)|^2 \, ds.
\]
The support theorem for Brownian motion (Theorem 2.2) shows that with positive probability we have:

\[ |W_t - e^{2\pi it}| < \delta, \text{ for all } t \in [0, m]. \] (2.6)

Fix now an \( \omega \) in the sample space \( \Omega \) for which (2.6) holds. Since \( |f(B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)) - 1| = |W_j(\omega) - 1| = |W_j(\omega) - e^{2\pi i j}| < \delta \) for all \( j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\} \), and using (2.4), it follows that \( B_{\alpha_j}(\omega) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m D(z_j, \epsilon), \) for all \( j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\} \).

The box principle shows that there exist \( 0 \leq j < k \leq m \) such that \( B_{\alpha_j}(\omega), B_{\alpha_k}(\omega) \in D(z_l, \epsilon), \) for some \( 1 \leq l \leq m \).

Consider the closed curve \( \gamma \) formed by concatenation of \([z_l, B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)], B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), \) \( j \leq t \leq k \) and \([B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), z_l], \) and let \( \Gamma = f(\gamma) \) be the image of \( \gamma \) under \( f \) (we denoted by \( [x, y] \) the straight line segment with endpoints \( x \) and \( y \)).

By construction \([z_l, B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)], B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), z_l] \subset D(z_l, \epsilon) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m D(z_l, \epsilon) \), and using (2.4) it follows that \( f([z_l, B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)]), f([B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), z_l]) \subset D(1, \frac{1}{4}) \). By the choice of \( \omega \) it follows that \( W_I(\omega) = f(B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)), j \leq t \leq k, \) lies in the \( \delta \)-tube about the unit circle centered at the origin, and since \( \delta < \frac{1}{4} \), it follows that the index of the curve \( \Gamma, \) with respect to 0 is the same as the index of the curve \( e^{2\pi it}, j \leq t \leq k, \) with respect to this point:

\[ n(\Gamma, 0) = n\left(e^{2\pi it} \right)_{j \leq t \leq k}, 0) = k - j \neq 0, \] (2.7)

which shows that \( \Gamma \) is not homotopic to zero in \( f(\mathbb{C}) \) (recall that \( 0 \notin f(\mathbb{C}) \)).

However, this contradicts the fact that \( \Gamma = f(\gamma) \) is the image under the continuous function \( f \) of the curve \( \gamma \), which is homotopic to zero in the domain \( \mathbb{C} \) of \( f \) (and should be therefore also homotopic to zero in the range of \( f \)).

The contradiction obtained shows that \( f \) cannot omit the value 0, and therefore (see the remarks at the beginning of the proof) the range of \( f \) must consist of all of \( \mathbb{C} \), that is, \( f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \).

A careful examination of the proof above shows that we did not explicitly use the fact that \( f \) is a polynomial; the only part where we have used the fact that \( f \) is a polynomial was in showing that \( f \) is a \( p \)-valent (entire) function in \( \mathbb{C} \). Therefore, the above proof also shows the following:

**Theorem 2.5.** If \( f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is an entire \( p \)-valent function \( (p \geq 1) \), then \( f \) does not omit any value in \( \mathbb{C} \).

Unfortunately, the class of \( p \)-valent entire functions coincides with the class of polynomials of degree \( p \), so the above theorem is equivalent to Theorem 2.4. However, one could use the ideas in the proof above and apply them, for example, to the (larger) class of \( p \)-valent analytic functions in the unit disk, in order to obtain similar statements about the range of such functions.
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