

## A PROBABILISTIC PROOF OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

MIHAI N. PASCU

(Communicated by Richard C. Bradley)

*I dedicate this paper to my dear friend M. K.*

**ABSTRACT.** We use Lévy's theorem on invariance of planar Brownian motion under conformal maps and the support theorem for Brownian motion to show that the range of a non-constant polynomial of a complex variable consists of the whole complex plane. In particular, we obtain a probabilistic proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is a well-established result in mathematics, and there are several proofs of it in the mathematical literature. In the present paper we give a new probabilistic proof of it, as follows:

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$  ( $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ) is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 1$ , then  $f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*In particular, the equation  $f(z) = 0$  has at least one root in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

The purpose of this paper is two-fold: on the one hand, we show how probabilistic methods can be used in order to give a simple proof of this particular result, and on the other hand, we present a method which might be suitable for deriving new properties about the range of analytic functions.

Probabilistic methods have proved to be a useful tool in Analysis. One could, for example, refer to monographs such as [2] and [3]; among others, they contain probabilistic proofs of analytic results such as: Privalov's and Plessner's Theorem ([3], pp. 132 – 134), Koebe's 1/4 Theorem ([2], pp. 323 – 326) and Picard's Theorem ([3], pp. 139 - 142 or [2], pp. 320 – 322), to mention just a few well-known results related to the range of analytic functions (incidentally, both proofs of Picard's Theorem mentioned above have gaps; see the web site of the second author for a revised proof). For some recent activity in this area, one could see for example [4].

The present proof can also be modified to give a proof of Picard's Theorem in the particular case of a  $p$ -valued entire function (see the remark preceding Theorem 2.5),

---

Received by the editors October 10, 2003 and, in revised form, February 4, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C15; Secondary 60J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Brownian motion, Lévy's theorem, support theorem.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant # 0203961 - DMS.

©2004 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

and shows explicitly the reason for which the  $p$ -valuedness is a sufficient condition for an entire function to omit no values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

While interesting in their own, probabilistic methods shed a new perspective on the subject and are able sometimes to provide answers to open problems in Analysis (see for example a partial resolution of the Hot Spots conjecture by probabilistic arguments in [5]).

## 2. A PROBABILISTIC PROOF OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

**2.1. Preliminaries.** We begin by reviewing some ingredients needed for the proof.

Recall that a complex map  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called entire if it is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For a given integer  $p \geq 1$ , a complex map  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be  $p$ -valued if for any  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  there are at most  $p$  solutions in  $D$  to the equation  $f(z) = w$ , and there exists  $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  for which the equation  $f(z) = w_0$  has exactly  $p$  roots in  $D$ .

We recall the following result on local correspondence for analytic maps (see [1], p. 131):

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic at  $z_0$ ,  $f(z_0) = w_0$  and that  $f(z) - w_0$  has a zero of order  $n$  at  $z_0$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, there exists a corresponding  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $w$  with  $|w - w_0| < \delta$  the equation  $f(z) = w$  has exactly  $n$  roots in the disk  $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$ .*

We will need the following support theorem for Brownian motion (see [2], p. 59, for a proof), showing that with positive probability the paths of a Brownian motion follow the graph of a continuous function:

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $\varphi : [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is continuous ( $d \geq 1$ ),  $B_t$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion starting at  $B_0 = \varphi(0)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $c > 0$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad P^{\varphi(0)}(\sup_{s \leq t} \|B_s - \varphi(s)\| < \varepsilon) > c,$$

where  $c$  can be taken to depend only on  $t$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and the modulus of continuity of  $\varphi$ .

Also, we will need Lévy's theorem on conformal invariance of planar Brownian motion, showing that the image of a planar Brownian motion under an entire map is a time change of another Brownian motion (see [2], p. 310, for a proof). We have:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire map and  $B_t$  a 2-dimensional Brownian motion starting at  $B_0 = x$ . Then  $f(B_{\alpha_t})$  is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion starting at  $f(B_0) = f(x)$ , where*

$$\alpha_t = \inf\{s : A_s \geq t\} \text{ and } A_t = \int_0^t |f'(B_s)|^2 ds.$$

Recall that given a domain  $D$ , a closed curve  $\gamma \subset D$  is said to be homotopic to zero in  $D$  if the curve  $\gamma$  can be deformed continuously in  $D$  to a constant curve. It is known that the homotopy is a topological property (it is preserved under continuous mappings).

We denote by  $D(z, r)$  the open disk centered at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  of radius  $r > 0$ .

**2.2. Main results.** We are now ready to prove the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, as follows:

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$  ( $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ) is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 1$ , then  $f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*In particular, the equation  $f(z) = 0$  has at least one root in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

The idea of the proof is that if  $f$  omits a certain value in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then by the support theorem, a planar Brownian motion will wind around this value with positive probability; if we choose this Brownian motion to be the image under  $f$  of a Brownian motion in the domain of  $f$ , this will allow us to construct (on a set of positive probability) a closed curve  $\gamma$  in the domain of  $f$  that is homotopic to zero, while its image  $\Gamma = f(\gamma)$  is not, thus obtaining a contradiction. Here are the details:

*Proof.* Assume  $f$  never assumes the value  $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , that is, the equation  $f(z) = w_0$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Since  $f$  is a (non-constant) polynomial of degree  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $p \leq n$  such that  $f$  is a  $p$ -valent function. Therefore, for any  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the equation  $f(z) = w$  has at most  $p$  roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and there exists  $w_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the equation  $f(z) = w_1$  has exactly  $p$  roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

By replacing  $f(z)$  with

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{f}(z) = \frac{f(z) - w_0}{w_1 - w_0},$$

if necessary, we may assume that  $w_0 = 0$  and  $w_1 = 1$ , that is, the equation  $f(z) = 0$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the equation  $f(z) = 1$  has exactly  $p$  roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m$  be the distinct roots of  $f(z) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , with multiplicities  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$  (and therefore  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m = p$ ).

By the continuity of  $f$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough so that it satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad |f(z) - 1| < \frac{1}{4} \text{ for all } z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(z_i, \varepsilon).$$

By choosing a smaller  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if necessary, and using Theorem 2.1, it follows that there exist  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_m > 0$  such that for  $|w - 1| < \delta_i$ , the equation  $f(z) = w$  has exactly  $n_i$  roots in  $|z - z_i| < \varepsilon$ , for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m = p$  and  $f$  is  $p$ -valent (thus  $f(z) = w$  cannot have more than  $p$  roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ ), it follows that these roots are all the roots of the equation  $f(z) = w$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We obtained the following:

$$(2.4) \quad |f(z) - 1| < \delta \Rightarrow z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(z_i, \varepsilon),$$

where  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_m\}$ ; without loss of generality, we can also assume  $\delta < \frac{1}{4}$ .

Consider now a Brownian motion  $B_t$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  starting at  $B_0 = z_1$ .

Since  $f$  is entire, by Theorem 2.3 it follows that  $W_t = f(B_{\alpha_t})$  is a Brownian motion starting at  $f(B_0) = f(z_1) = 1$ , where the time change  $\alpha_t$  is the inverse of the nondecreasing process

$$(2.5) \quad A_t = \int_0^t |f'(B_s)|^2 ds.$$

The support theorem for Brownian motion (Theorem 2.2) shows that with positive probability we have:

$$(2.6) \quad |W_t - e^{2\pi it}| < \delta, \text{ for all } t \in [0, m].$$

Fix now an  $\omega$  in the sample space  $\Omega$  for which (2.6) holds. Since  $|f(B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)) - 1| = |W_j(\omega) - 1| = |W_j(\omega) - e^{2\pi i \cdot j}| < \delta$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , and using (2.4), it follows that  $B_{\alpha_j}(\omega) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(z_i, \varepsilon)$ , for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ .

The box principle shows that there exist  $0 \leq j < k \leq m$  such that  $B_{\alpha_j}(\omega), B_{\alpha_k}(\omega) \in D(z_l, \varepsilon)$ , for some  $1 \leq l \leq m$ .

Consider the closed curve  $\gamma$  formed by concatenation of  $[z_l, B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)]$ ,  $B_{\alpha_t}$  ( $j \leq t \leq k$ ) and  $[B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), z_l]$ , and let  $\Gamma = f(\gamma)$  be the image of  $\gamma$  under  $f$  (we denoted by  $[x, y]$  the straight line segment with endpoints  $x$  and  $y$ ).

By construction  $[z_l, B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)], [B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), z_l] \subset D(z_l, \varepsilon) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(z_i, \varepsilon)$ , and using (2.3) it follows that  $f([z_l, B_{\alpha_j}(\omega)]), f([B_{\alpha_k}(\omega), z_l]) \subset D(1, \frac{1}{4})$ . By the choice of  $\omega$  it follows that  $W_t(\omega) = f(B_{\alpha_t}(\omega))$ ,  $j \leq t \leq k$ , lies in the  $\delta$ -tube about the unit circle centered at the origin, and since  $\delta < \frac{1}{4}$ , it follows that the index of the curve  $\Gamma$ , with respect to 0 is the same as the index of the curve  $e^{2\pi it}$ ,  $j \leq t \leq k$ , with respect to this point:

$$(2.7) \quad n(\Gamma, 0) = n((e^{2\pi it})_{j \leq t \leq k}, 0) = k - j \neq 0,$$

which shows that  $\Gamma$  is not homotopic to zero in  $f(\mathbb{C})$  (recall that  $0 \notin f(\mathbb{C})$ ).

However, this contradicts the fact that  $\Gamma = f(\gamma)$  is the image under the continuous function  $f$  of the curve  $\gamma$ , which is homotopic to zero in the domain  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $f$  (and should be therefore also homotopic to zero in the range of  $f$ ).

The contradiction obtained shows that  $f$  cannot omit the value 0, and therefore (see the remarks at the beginning of the proof) the range of  $f$  must consist of all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is,  $f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

A careful examination of the proof above shows that we did not explicitly use the fact that  $f$  is a polynomial; the only part where we have used the fact that  $f$  is a polynomial was in showing that  $f$  is a  $p$ -valent (entire) function in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, the above proof also shows the following:

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an entire  $p$ -valent function ( $p \geq 1$ ), then  $f$  does not omit any value in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

Unfortunately, the class of  $p$ -valent entire functions coincides with the class of polynomials of degree  $p$ , so the above theorem is equivalent to Theorem 2.4. However, one could use the ideas in the proof above and apply them, for example, to the (larger) class of  $p$ -valent analytic functions in the unit disk, in order to obtain similar statements about the range of such functions.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, third edition (1978). MR0510197 (80c:30001)
2. R. Bass, *Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis*, Springer, New York (1995). MR1329542 (96e:60001)
3. R. Durrett, *Brownian Motion and Martingales in Analysis*, Wadsworth, Belmont, CA (1984). MR0750829 (87a:60054)

4. M. D. O'Neill, A geometric proof of the twist point theorem, Preprint (available at <http://math.mckenna.edu/moneill>).
5. M. N. Pascu, *Scaling coupling of reflecting Brownian motions and the hot spots problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 11, pp. 4681 – 4702. MR1926894 (2003i:60141)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907-2067

*E-mail address:* `pascu@math.purdue.edu`

*Current address:* Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, “Transilvania” University of Braşov, Str. Iuliu Maniu Nr. 50, Braşov, Jud. Braşov – COD 2200, Romania

*E-mail address:* `mihai.pascu@unitbv.ro`