

## WEAK $L^1$ NORMS OF RANDOM SUMS

PAUL ALTON HAGELSTEIN

(Communicated by Andreas Seeger)

ABSTRACT. Let  $\{g_j\}$  denote a sequence of measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|_{WL^1}$  denote the weak  $L^1$  norm. It is shown that

$$\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1},$$

where  $\{\epsilon_j\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables taking on values  $+1$  and  $-1$  with equal probability. Moreover, it is shown that

$$\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right).$$

The paper concludes by providing an example indicating that, if  $\|g_1\|_{WL^1} = \dots = \|g_N\|_{WL^1} = 1$ , then the estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim N \log N$$

is the best possible.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The weak  $L^1$  norm of a measurable function  $f$  supported on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{WL^1} = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \alpha\}|.$$

This norm is of frequent occurrence in modern-day mathematics, finding use in probability theory, harmonic analysis, and ergodic theory. In spite of its many applications, however, the weak  $L^1$  norm has one decidedly inconvenient drawback: it is not a “norm” in the strictest sense of the word as it does not satisfy the triangle inequality. For example, the functions  $\frac{1}{x}\chi_{(0,1)}(x)$  and  $\frac{1}{1-x}\chi_{(0,1)}(x)$  both have weak  $L^1$  norms of 1, but their sum has a weak  $L^1$  norm of 4.

The best-known result relating the weak  $L^1$  norm of a sum of functions to their respective individual weak  $L^1$  norms is the following due to E. M. Stein and N. J. Weiss [1].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{g_j\}$  denote a sequence of measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\|g_j\|_{WL^1} = 1$  for each  $j$ . Let  $\{c_j\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers with  $\sum_j c_j = 1$ ,*

---

Received by the editors November 21, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B35.

The author’s research was partially supported by the Baylor University Summer Sabbatical Program.

let  $s > 0$ , and set  $K = \sum_j c_j \log\left(\frac{1}{c_j}\right)$ . Then  $\left|\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \sum_j c_j g_j(x) > s\right\}\right| < \frac{2(K+2)}{s}$ .

The bound of  $\left\|\sum c_j g_j\right\|_{WL^1}$  in this theorem is essentially optimal: the log term may not be removed. For example, letting  $c_1 = \dots = c_N = \frac{1}{N}$  and  $g_j(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|x-\frac{j}{N}|}$ , one may readily check that  $\|g_j\|_{WL^1} = 1$  for each  $j$  and that

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N c_j g_j(x)\right\|_{WL^1} \sim \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \log\left(\frac{1}{c_j}\right) \sim \log N.$$

Given the prevalence of randomization techniques in modern harmonic analysis, it is natural to conduct the admittedly Baconian experiment of determining the expected value of  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j g_j(x)\right\|_{WL^1}$ , where the  $c_j$  and  $g_j(x)$  are as above and  $\{\epsilon_j\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables taking on the values of  $+1$  and  $-1$  with equal probability. It turns out that a large majority of the  $2^N$  associated weak  $L^1$  norms are close to one, exhibiting significant improvement over the log  $N$  bound above because of cancellation between the  $\epsilon_j c_j g_j$ 's. In fact, one can calculate that  $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j g_j(x)\right\|_{WL^1}\right) \sim 1$ . Although not difficult, the techniques involved in this calculation are not immediately transparent and are accordingly given below.

**Example 1.** Let  $c_1 = \dots = c_N = \frac{1}{N}$  and  $g_j(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|x-\frac{j}{N}|}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j g_j(x)\right\|_{WL^1}\right) \sim \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} = 1.$$

We first observe that

$$2^{2^N} \left|\left\{x : \sum_{j=1}^N |\epsilon_j c_j g_j(x)| > 2^{2^N}\right\}\right| \sim 2^{2^N} \cdot N \cdot N^{-1} \cdot 2^{-2^N} = 1$$

for any sequence  $\{\epsilon_j\}$  consisting of  $-1$ 's and  $+1$ 's, and hence the result that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j g_j(x)\right\|_{WL^1}\right) \gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} = 1$$

easily holds. We accordingly turn our attention to the opposite inequality.

Let  $\tilde{g}_j(x) = g_j(x) \chi_{\{|x-\frac{j}{N}| > 2N\}}(x)$ . Let  $h_j(x) = g_j(x) - \tilde{g}_j(x)$ . Now,

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j g_j\right\|_{WL^1} \leq 2 \left[ \left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j \tilde{g}_j\right\|_{WL^1} + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j\right\|_{WL^1} \right].$$

As the  $\tilde{g}_j$  have disjoint supports,

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j \tilde{g}_j\right\|_{WL^1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \|c_j \tilde{g}_j\|_{WL^1} \leq 1.$$

So it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j\right\|_{WL^1}\right) \lesssim 1$ .

Note that, letting  $E = [-1, 2]$  and  $\tilde{E} = (-\infty, -1) \cup (2, \infty)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \\ \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \right) \chi_E \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \\ + \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \right) \chi_{\tilde{E}} \right\|_{WL^1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $x \in \tilde{E}$ ,  $\left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j(x) \right| \sim \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j \right) \cdot \frac{1}{|Nx|} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|x|}$ , and so

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \right) \chi_{\tilde{E}} \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim 1.$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \chi_{[-1,2]} \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim 1.$$

To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \chi_{[-1,2]} \right\|_{WL^1} \right) &\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \chi_{[-1,2]} \right\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j c_j h_j \chi_{[-1,2]} \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j h_j(1)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{1}{j} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \sim 1, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

It is natural at this point to consider whether or not this example is indicative of more general inequalities involving the expectation operator and the weak  $L^1$  norm. The purpose of this paper is to show that the techniques involved in the above example may indeed be generalized to prove that, for  $\{g_j\}$  and  $\{c_j\}$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1,  $\|\mathbb{E}(|\sum c_j g_j|)\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \sum c_j$  and  $\|\mathbb{E}(|\sum \epsilon_j c_j g_j|)\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \mathbb{E}(\|\sum \epsilon_j c_j g_j\|_{WL^1})$ . It will also be shown, however, that the *a priori* Stein-Weiss estimate  $\mathbb{E} \|\sum \epsilon_j c_j g_j\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \sum c_j \log \left( \frac{1}{c_j} \right)$  is the best possible.

2. WEAK  $L^1$  NORMS OF EXPECTATIONS OF RANDOM SUMS

This section is devoted to the proof of the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{g_j\}$  denote a sequence of measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $\{\epsilon_j\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables taking on values  $+1$  and  $-1$  with equal probability. Then*

$$\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}.$$

*Proof.* We first note that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right| \right) \sim \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

as follows from elementary probability theory. So it suffices to show that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}.$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $g_{j,\alpha}(x) = g_j(x) \chi_{\{|g_j(x)| \leq \alpha\}}(x)$ . Note that

$$(1) \quad \left\{ x : \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} > \alpha \right\} \subset \left( \bigcup_j \{x : |g_j(x)| > \alpha\} \right) \cup \left\{ x : \sum_{j=1}^N |g_{j,\alpha}(x)|^2 > \alpha^2 \right\}.$$

By the definition of the weak  $L^1$  norm, we have

$$(2) \quad |\{x : |g_j(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|g_j\|_{WL^1}.$$

Also,

$$\left| \left\{ x : \sum_{j=1}^N |g_{j,\alpha}(x)|^2 > \alpha^2 \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g_{j,\alpha}|^2 dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g_{j,\alpha}(x)|^2 dx &\leq \int_{y=\frac{1}{\alpha}\|g_j\|_{WL^1}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\|g_j\|_{WL^1}}{y} \right)^2 dy \\ &\lesssim \|g_j\|_{WL^1}^2 \cdot [-y^{-1}]_{\frac{1}{\alpha}\|g_j\|_{WL^1}}^{\infty} \\ &\sim \alpha \|g_j\|_{WL^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\left| \left\{ x : \sum_{j=1}^N |g_{j,\alpha}(x)|^2 > \alpha^2 \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot \alpha \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}$ .

This inequality together with (1), (2) implies that  $\left| \left\{ x : \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} > \alpha \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}$ . So  $\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}$ , as desired.  $\square$

3. EXPECTATIONS OF WEAK  $L^1$  NORMS OF RANDOM SUMS

The theory involving the relationship between the expectation operator and the weak  $L^1$  norm would be very nice indeed if the comparability  $\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \sim \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right)$  held. In this section we shall see that the inequality  $\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right)$  does hold. However, afterwards we shall provide an example indicating that the opposite inequality is false.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\{g_j\}$  denote a sequence of measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $\{\epsilon_j\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables taking on values  $+1$  and  $-1$  with equal probability. Then*

$$\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that there exist universal constants  $0 < c, C < \infty$  such that, for any  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad \left| \left\{ x : \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right\| \right) > \alpha \right\} \right| \leq C \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right| > c\alpha \right\} \right| \right).$$

To see this, suppose (3) held. Let  $\beta$  be such that  $\beta \cdot \left| \left\{ x : \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right\| \right) > \beta \right\} \right| \sim \left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1}$ . (We may assume that  $\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} < \infty$  without loss of generality.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} &\sim \beta \cdot \left| \left\{ x : \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right\| \right) > \beta \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C\beta \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right| > c\beta \right\} \right| \right) \\ &= C \mathbb{E} \left( \beta \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right| > c\beta \right\} \right| \right) \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{c} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{c} \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the desired result.

We accordingly turn our attention to (3). To prove (3), it suffices to show that there exist universal constants  $0 < c, C < \infty$  such that

$$(4) \quad \left| \left\{ x : \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right\| \right) > 1 \right\} \right| \leq C \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \right| > c \right\} \right| \right).$$

The proof of (4) will be facilitated by the use of Rademacher functions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $r_n(t)$  denote the Rademacher functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ , defined by

$$r_n(t) = r_0(2^n t),$$

where  $r_0(t) = 1$  if  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-1$  if  $\frac{1}{2} < t < 1$ , and  $r_0(t + 1) = r_0(t)$ .

We will also need the following lemma:

**Lemma 1** ([2]). *Let  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 < \infty$ , and let  $F(t) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j r_j(t)$  be a Rademacher series. Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then there exist finite, positive constants  $A(p)$ ,  $B(p)$  such that*

$$A(p) \|F\|_{L^p([0,1])} \leq \left( \sum_{j=0}^N |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq B(p) \|F\|_{L^p([0,1])}.$$

We now fix  $x$  and let  $a_j = g_j(x)$ . To prove (4), it suffices to show that

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j a_j \right| \right) = 1 \text{ implies that } \left| \left\{ t \in [0, 1] : \left| \sum_{j=1}^N a_j r_j(t) \right| > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right| \geq \tilde{c}$$

for some universal constant  $0 < \tilde{c} < \infty$ . Well, suppose  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j a_j \right| \right) = 1$ . Then

$$(6) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N a_j r_j(t) \right\|_{L^1([0,1])} = 1.$$

By the above lemma we then realize that for some universal constant  $0 < C_2 < \infty$  we have

$$(7) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N a_j r_j(t) \right\|_{L^2([0,1])} \leq C_2.$$

Equations (6) and (7) imply that  $\left| \sum_{j=1}^N a_j r_j(t) \right| > \frac{1}{2}$  on a set of measure at least  $\tilde{c} > 0$ , where  $\tilde{c}$  is a universal constant, and so (5) holds, as desired.  $\square$

We now provide an example indicating that the inequality opposite to that of Theorem 3 is false. Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{2^N}$  denote the  $2^N$  functions from  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  to  $\{-1, +1\}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , let

$$h_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^N} \phi_k(j) 2^k \chi_{[2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}]}(x).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon_k h_k(x) \right| \right) \sim N^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{2^N} 2^k \chi_{[2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}]}(x),$$

and so  $\left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon_k h_k \right| \right) \right\|_{WL^1} \sim N^{1/2}$ . However, if  $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1}^N$  is a sequence of  $-1$ 's and  $+1$ 's,  $\phi_\ell(j) = \epsilon_j$  for some  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 2^N\}$ . Hence  $\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j h_j(x) = N \cdot 2^\ell$  on  $[2^{-(\ell+1)}, 2^{-\ell}]$ , and so  $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon_k h_k \right\|_{WL^1} \gtrsim N$ . As  $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k=1}^N$  is an arbitrary sequence

of  $-1$ 's and  $+1$ 's, we see that  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon_k h_k \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \gtrsim N$ . As  $N$  may be arbitrarily large, we see that the inequality opposite to that of Theorem 3 cannot hold.

#### 4. A COUNTEREXAMPLE REGARDING EXPECTATIONS OF WEAK $L^1$ NORMS OF RANDOM SUMS

Perhaps the most interesting inequality we could wish for regarding expectations of weak  $L^1$  norms of random sums would be that  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}$ , providing via Theorem 3 an improvement to Theorem 2. Note that the functions  $h_j$  constructed at the end of the previous section, although not satisfying  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j h_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim \left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j h_j \right) \right\|_{WL^1}$ , do satisfy such an estimate. However, we shall now construct a counterexample indicating that the inequality  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^N \|g_j\|_{WL^1}$  in general does not hold, and that, if  $\{c_j\}$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum c_j = 1$  and  $\{g_j\}$  is a sequence of functions each having a weak  $L^1$  norm of 1, then the estimate  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum \epsilon_j c_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \lesssim \sum c_j \log \left( \frac{1}{c_j} \right)$  following from Theorem 1 is the best possible.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $N \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Then there exist functions  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N$  supported on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\|g_j\|_{WL^1} \sim 1$  for each  $j$  and such that*

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \sim N \log N.$$

*Proof.* Define the function  $h_{1,1}(x)$  on  $[0, 1]$  by

$$h_{1,1}(x) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \left( \frac{1-\frac{1}{N}}{N} \right) \cdot j \right)^{-1} & \text{if } \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1-\frac{1}{N}}{N} \cdot (j-1) < x \leq \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(1-\frac{1}{N})}{N} j \\ & \text{for some } j = 1, \dots, N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $m = 2, \dots, N$  we define the functions  $h_{m,1}(x)$  by

$$h_{m,1}(x) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \left( \frac{1-\frac{1}{N}}{N} \right) \cdot (N-m+j+1) \right)^{-1} & \text{if } \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1-\frac{1}{N}}{N} \cdot (j-1) < x \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(1-\frac{1}{N})}{N} j \\ & \text{for some } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \left( \frac{1}{N} + \left( \frac{1-\frac{1}{N}}{N} \right) \cdot (j-m+1) \right)^{-1} & \text{if } \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1-\frac{1}{N}}{N} \cdot (j-1) < x \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(1-\frac{1}{N})}{N} j \\ & \text{for some } j = m, \dots, N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $k \geq 2$  and  $m = 1, \dots, N$ , let  $h_{m,k}(x) = N^{k-1} h_{m,1}(N^{k-1}x)$ . Note that  $h_{m,k}$  is supported on  $\left[ \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)^k, \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)^{k-1} \right]$ .

Now, we again let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{2^N}$  denote the  $2^N$  functions from  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  to  $\{-1, 1\}$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, N$  we define the functions  $g_k(x)$  by

$$g_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^N} \phi_j(k) h_{k,j}(x).$$

Note that  $\|g_j\|_{WL^1} \sim \left\| \frac{1}{x} \right\|_{WL^1} \sim 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . Now, if  $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1}^N$  is a sequence of  $-1$ 's and  $+1$ 's,  $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1}^N = \{\phi_\ell(j)\}_{j=1}^N$  for some  $1 \leq \ell \leq 2^N$ . So for  $x \in (N^{-\ell}, N^{-\ell+1})$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j(x) \sim N^\ell \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} \right) \sim N^\ell \log N.$$

So

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right) \chi_{[N^{-\ell}, N^{-\ell+1}]} \right\|_{WL^1} \sim N^{-\ell+1} (N^\ell \log N) = N \log N.$$

Since  $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1}^N$  is an arbitrary sequence of  $-1$ 's and  $+1$ 's, we may conclude that  $\mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j g_j \right\|_{WL^1} \right) \sim N \log N$ , as desired. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. M. Stein and N. J. Weiss, *On the convergence of Poisson integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (1969), 35-54. MR0241685 (39:3024)
- [2] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, 1959. MR0107776 (21:6498)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, WACO, TEXAS 76798  
*E-mail address:* paul\_hagelstein@baylor.edu