

## ON MORDELL-TORNHEIM ZETA VALUES

HIROFUMI TSUMURA

(Communicated by Wen-Ching Winnie Li)

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Mordell-Tornheim zeta value of depth  $r$  can be expressed as a rational linear combination of products of the Mordell-Tornheim zeta values of lower depth than  $r$  when  $r$  and its weight are of different parity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For complex numbers  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r, s$ , Matsumoto defined the Mordell-Tornheim  $r$ -fold zeta function  $\zeta_{MT,r}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r; s)$  by

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_1^{s_1} m_2^{s_2} \cdots m_r^{s_r} (m_1 + \cdots + m_r)^s},$$

where the sum is over  $r$ -tuples of positive integers (see [4, 5]). He showed that this function can be continued meromorphically to the whole  $r$ -dimensional complex space. The origin of this function goes back to Tornheim and Mordell. Tornheim investigated the properties of  $\zeta_{MT,2}(k_1, k_2; k)$  for positive integers  $k_1, k_2, k$  and discovered some relations (see [8]). Later Mordell independently considered  $\zeta_{MT,2}(k, k; k)$  for any even positive integer  $k$  and also studied the values

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r (m_1 + \cdots + m_r + a)}$$

with  $a > r$  (see [6], see also [7]). By using this result, Hoffman gave some evaluation formulas for  $\zeta_{MT,r}(1, \dots, 1; k)$  for any positive integer  $k$  (see [1]).

In the present paper, we aim to consider  $\zeta_{MT,r}(k_1, \dots, k_r; k)$  for positive integers  $k_1, \dots, k_r, k$  with  $k \geq 2$ . We call it the Mordell-Tornheim zeta value of depth  $r$  and of weight  $\sum_{j=1}^r k_j + k$  as well as the multiple zeta values defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_r} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}$$

for positive integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$  with  $k_r \geq 2$  (see, for example, [1]).

---

Received by the editors July 7, 2003 and, in revised form, March 20, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 40B05; Secondary 11M06, 30B99, 33E20, 40A05.

*Key words and phrases*. Tornheim's double series, multiple zeta values, Riemann's zeta function, uniformly convergent series.

The main aim of this paper is to prove

**Theorem 1.1.** *The Mordell-Tornheim zeta value of depth  $r$  with  $r \geq 2$  and of weight  $w$  can be expressed as a rational linear combination of products of the Mordell-Tornheim zeta values of lower depth than  $r$ , when its depth  $r$  and its weight  $w$  are of different parity.*

Note that this theorem is an analogue of the result on multiple zeta values, which was proved by Zagier (see [3]), and has recently been proved in a different method by the author (see [9]).

The case  $r = 2$  of Theorem 1.1 was proved in [8] and the explicit formulas were given in [2]. The case  $r = 3$  was proved in [10].

The author greatly thanks the referee for his (or her) helpful comments.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the same notation as in [9]. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  the ring of rational integers,  $\mathbb{Q}$  the field of rational numbers, and  $\mathbb{R}$  the field of real numbers. Throughout this paper we fix  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\delta > 0$ . For  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $1 \leq u \leq 1 + \delta$ , we define

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(s; u) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{-m}}{m^s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}).$$

If  $u > 1$ , then  $\phi(s; u)$  is convergent for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the case when  $u = 1$ , let  $\phi(s) = \phi(s; 1) = (2^{1-s} - 1)\zeta(s)$ , where  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann zeta function. Corresponding to  $\phi(s; u)$ , we define a set of numbers  $\{\varepsilon_m(u)\}$  by

$$(2.2) \quad F(x; u) = \frac{(1+u)e^x}{e^x + u} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m(u) \frac{x^m}{m!} \quad (|x| < \pi).$$

Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \varepsilon_{2j}(1) = 0 \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It follows from (2.2) that if  $u \in [1, 1 + \delta]$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{|\varepsilon_m(u)|}{m!} \right)^{-1/m} \geq \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\varepsilon_n(u)|}{n!} \leq \frac{M}{\gamma^n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

for any  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < \pi$ , where  $M$  is the constant independent of  $n$  and  $u$ .

$$(2.5) \quad \phi(-k; u) = -\frac{1}{1+u} \varepsilon_k(u)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$  (see [9], Lemma 1). For simplicity we let

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{R}_n(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_n; u) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{-\sum_{\nu=1}^n m_\nu} e^{i(\sum_{\nu=1}^n m_\nu)\theta}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}$$

for  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u \in [1, 1 + \delta]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . We define  $\lambda_j = (1 + (-1)^j)/2$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$(2.7) \quad H(\theta; k; u) = \mathcal{R}_1(\theta; k; u) - \sum_{\nu=0}^k \phi(k - \nu; u) \lambda_{k-\nu} \frac{(i\theta)^\nu}{\nu!}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in [1, 1 + \delta]$ . It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$(2.8) \quad H(\theta; k; u) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k \phi(k - \nu; u) \lambda_{k-\nu+1} \frac{(i\theta)^\nu}{\nu!} - \frac{1}{1+u} \sum_{N=1}^\infty \varepsilon_N(u) \frac{(i\theta)^{N+k}}{(N+k)!},$$

when  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$ . From (2.4) we can see that each side of (2.8) is uniformly convergent with respect to  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$  if  $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So (2.8) holds for  $u = 1$ . Hence it follows from (2.3) that if  $k$  is even (resp. odd), then  $H(\theta; k; 1)$  is odd (resp. even) function. Namely

$$(2.9) \quad H(-\theta; k; 1) = (-1)^{k+1} H(\theta; k; 1).$$

For  $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in [1, 1 + \delta]$ , we define

$$(2.10) \quad G(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; u) = \prod_{j=1}^r H(\theta; k_j; u).$$

By (2.9), we have

$$(2.11) \quad G(-\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) = (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^r k_j+r} G(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; 1).$$

Now we prepare some notation. Let

$$\Delta_s = \{J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \mid J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}\} \text{ and } \Delta = \bigcup_{s=1}^{r-1} \Delta_s.$$

For  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \in \Delta$ , let  $\bar{J} = \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus J$ . Let  $\Omega(u)$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra generated by  $\{\phi(2j; u) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Note that  $\Omega(1) = \mathbb{Q}[\pi^2]$  because  $\phi(s; 1) = (2^{1-s} - 1) \zeta(s)$ .

By (2.7) and (2.10), we have the expansion

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; u) &= \mathcal{R}_r(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; u) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{J=\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \\ \in \Delta}} \sum_{\mu}^* B(J; \mu; u) \frac{(i\theta)^\mu}{\mu!} \mathcal{R}_s(\theta; k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; u) \\ &+ \sum_{\nu}^* C(\nu; u) \frac{(i\theta)^\nu}{\nu!}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sums  $\sum_{\mu}^*$  and  $\sum_{\nu}^*$  are taken over all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with

$$0 \leq \mu \leq \sum_{j \in \bar{J}} k_j, \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq \sum_{l=1}^r k_l,$$

respectively, and  $B(J; \mu; u), C(\nu; u) \in \Omega(u)$ . Note that

$$(2.13) \quad B(J; \mu; 1), C(\nu; 1) \in \Omega(1) = \mathbb{Q}[\pi^2].$$

3. SOME LEMMAS

We define the multiple series

$$(3.1) \quad \rho_n(k_1, \dots, k_n; s; u) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{-\sum_{\nu=1}^n m_\nu}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n} (m_1 + \cdots + m_n)^s}$$

for  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in [1, 1 + \delta]$ . In particular when  $n = 1$ , we have  $\rho_1(k_1; s; u) = \phi(k_1 + s; u)$ . If  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{R}_n(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_n; u) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \rho_n(k_1, \dots, k_n; -N; u) \frac{(i\theta)^N}{N!}.$$

For  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$  we define

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) &= \rho_r(k_1, \dots, k_r; -N; u) \\ &+ \sum_{J=\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \in \Delta} \sum_{\mu}^* B(J; \mu; u) \binom{N}{\mu} \rho_s(k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; \mu - N; u). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we define

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) + C(N; u) & (\text{if } 0 \leq N \leq \sum_{j=1}^r k_j), \\ \mathcal{A}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $N \leq -1$ , then we can define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u).$$

By combining (2.12), (3.2) and (3.4), we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *With the above notation,*

$$(3.5) \quad G(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; u) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) \frac{(i\theta)^N}{N!}.$$

By (2.2), (2.8), (2.10) and (3.5), we have

**Lemma 3.2.** *With the above notation and for  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$ ,*

$$(3.6) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u)|}{N!} \right)^{-1/N} \geq \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(k_1, \dots, k_r; u)|}{n!} \leq \frac{\tilde{M}}{\gamma^n}$$

for any  $\gamma \in (0, \pi)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $\tilde{M} (> 0)$  is independent of  $n$  and  $u$ . Further

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) \lambda_{N+1+r+\sum_{j=1}^r k_j} = 0$$

for  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

For simplicity we let

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{S}_n^p(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_n; s; u) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{-\sum_{\nu=1}^n m_\nu} \sin^{(p)}((\sum_{\nu=1}^n m_\nu) \theta)}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n} (m_1 + \cdots + m_n)^s}$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \in [1, 1 + \delta]$ , where we denote the  $l$ th derivative of a function  $f(\theta)$  by  $f^{(l)}(\theta)$ . Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *With the above notation and for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq 2$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \mathcal{S}_r^p(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; l + p; u) \\
 & + \sum_{J=\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \in \Delta} \sum_{\mu}^* B(J; \mu; u) (-1)^\mu \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\mu} \binom{l + p + \mu - 1 - \sigma}{\mu - \sigma} \\
 & \quad \times \mathcal{S}_s^{(\sigma+p)}(\theta; k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; l + p + \mu - \sigma; u) \frac{(-\theta)^\sigma}{\sigma!} \\
 & + i^{p-1} \sum_{\nu}^* C(\nu; u) \lambda_{\nu+l+1} \frac{(i\theta)^{\nu+l+p}}{(\nu + l + p)!} \\
 & = i^{p-1} \sum_{n=-l-p}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(k_1, \dots, k_r; u) \lambda_{n+l+1} \frac{(i\theta)^{n+l+p}}{(n + l + p)!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is known that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & \sum_{\nu=0}^b \binom{a - 1 + b - \nu}{b - \nu} \frac{(-\theta)^\nu \sin^{(\nu+p)}(\theta x)}{\nu! x^{a+b-\nu}} \\
 & = i^{p-1} \sum_{N \geq 0} \binom{a - 1 + b - N}{b} \frac{(i\theta)^N}{N!} \lambda_{p+1+N} x^{-a-b+N}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (see [9], (2.16)). We assume that  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$  and apply (3.10) with  $a = l + p$  and  $b = 0$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & \mathcal{S}_r^p(\theta; k_1, \dots, k_r; l + p; u) \\
 & = i^{p-1} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \rho_r(k_1, \dots, k_r; l + p - N; u) \lambda_{p+1+N} \frac{(i\theta)^N}{N!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.10) with  $a = l + p$  and  $b = \mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \sum_{J=\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \in \Delta} \sum_{\mu}^* B(J; \mu; u) \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\mu} \binom{l + p + \mu - 1 - \sigma}{\mu - \sigma} \\
 & \quad \times \mathcal{S}_s^{\sigma+p}(\theta; k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; l + p + \mu - \sigma; u) \frac{(-\theta)^\sigma}{\sigma!} \\
 & = i^{p-1} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{J=\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \in \Delta} \sum_{\mu}^* B(J; \mu; u) \binom{l + p + \mu - 1 - N}{\mu} \\
 & \quad \times \rho_s(k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; l + p + \mu - N; u) \lambda_{p+1+N} \frac{(i\theta)^N}{N!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n = N - l - p$ . By (3.3), (3.4), (3.11), (3.12) and using the well-known relation

$$\binom{-X}{j} = (-1)^j \binom{X + j - 1}{j},$$

we obtain (3.9). □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{MT}_n$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra generated by the Mordell-Tornheim zeta values of depth lower than or equal to  $n$ . Assume  $r \geq 2$ . Then we aim to prove that the Mordell-Tornheim zeta values of depth  $r$  and of weight  $w$  belongs to  $\mathcal{MT}_{r-1}$  if  $r$  and  $w$  are of different parity.

By (3.6), we can see that (3.9) is uniformly convergent with respect to  $u \in (1, 1 + \delta]$  if  $l + p \geq 2$  and  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . So we let  $\theta = \pi$  and  $u \rightarrow 1$  in both sides of (3.9). For simplicity we let

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}^p(k_1, \dots, k_r; l) = \sum_{\substack{J=\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \\ \in \Delta}} \sum_{\mu}^* (-1)^\mu B(J; \mu; 1) \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\mu} \binom{l+p+\mu-1-\sigma}{\mu-\sigma} \\ \times \mathcal{S}_s^{\sigma+p}(\pi; k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; l+p+\mu-\sigma; 1) \frac{(-\pi)^\sigma}{\sigma!} \\ + i^{p-1} \sum_{\nu}^* C(\nu; 1) \lambda_{\nu+l+1} \frac{(i\pi)^{\nu+l+p}}{(\nu+l+p)!}.$$

By the facts  $\mathcal{S}_s^{2m}(\pi; k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; k; 1) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_s^{2m+1}(\pi; k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_s}; k; 1) \in \mathcal{MT}_s$  and (2.13), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \pi^{p-1} \mathcal{F}^p(k_1, \dots, k_r; l) \in \mathcal{MT}_{r-1} \quad (p = 0, 1),$$

when  $l + p \geq 2$ , because  $\pi^2 \subset \mathcal{MT}_1 \subset \mathcal{MT}_{r-1}$  for  $r \geq 2$ . Suppose  $l \equiv \sum_{\eta=1}^r k_\eta + r \pmod{2}$  and  $l + p \geq 2$ . It follows from (3.7) that

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_r^p(\pi; k_1, \dots, k_r; l+p; 1) + \mathcal{F}^p(k_1, \dots, k_r; l) \\ = i^{p-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{l+p-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu-l-p}(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) \lambda_{\mu+p+1} \frac{(i\pi)^\mu}{\mu!}.$$

Let  $p = 0$  and  $l \geq 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_r^0(\pi; k_1, \dots, k_r; l; 1) = 0$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{F}^0(k_1, \dots, k_r; l) = i^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{[(l-2)/2]} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2\nu+1-l}(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) \frac{(i\pi)^{2\nu+1}}{(2\nu+1)!}.$$

Now we recall the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** ([9], Lemma 7). *Suppose  $\{P_m\}$  and  $\{Q_m\}$  are sequences which satisfy the relation*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{[m/2]} P_{m-2j} \frac{(i\pi)^{2j}}{(2j+1)!} = Q_m,$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the relation

$$P_m = -2 \sum_{\nu=0}^m \phi(m-\nu) \lambda_{m-\nu} Q_\nu$$

holds for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying Lemma 4.1 with

$$P_m = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-m-1}(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) \lambda_{\sum_{\eta=1}^r k_\eta+r+m}, \\ Q_m = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{F}^0(k_1, \dots, k_r; m+2) \lambda_{\sum_{\eta=1}^r k_\eta+r+m},$$

it follows from (4.2) and (4.4) that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-m-1}(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) \\ &= -2 \sum_{\nu=0}^m \phi(m-\nu) \lambda_{m+\nu} \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{F}^0(k_1, \dots, k_r; m-\nu+2) \in \mathcal{MT}_{r-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $m \equiv \sum_{\eta=1}^r k_\eta + r \pmod{2}$ . Furthermore let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $k \not\equiv \sum_{\eta=1}^r k_\eta + r \pmod{2}$ . Namely  $\sum_{\eta=1}^r k_\eta + k$  and  $r$  are of different parity. Applying (4.3) with  $l = k - 1$  and  $p = 1$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \zeta_{MT,r}(k_1, \dots, k_r; k) + \mathcal{F}^1(k_1, \dots, k_r; k-1) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{[(k-2)/2]} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2\nu-k}(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1) \frac{(i\pi)^{2\nu}}{(2\nu)!}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\mathcal{S}_r^1(\pi; k_1, \dots, k_r; k; 1) = \zeta_{MT,r}(k_1, \dots, k_r; k)$ . By combining (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Hoffman, *Multiple harmonic series*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 275-290. MR1141796 (92i:11089)
- [2] J. G. Huard, K. S. Williams and Z. Nan-Yue, *On Tornheim's double series*, Acta Arith. **75** (1996), 105-117. MR1379394 (97f:11073)
- [3] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Preprints of the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, 2004-100 (2004).
- [4] K. Matsumoto, *On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions*, in "Number Theory for the Millennium II, Proc. of the Millennial Conference on Number Theory" M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters, 2002. MR1956262 (2004a:11094)
- [5] K. Matsumoto, *On Mordell-Tornheim and other multiple zeta-functions*, Proceedings of the Session in Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Equations (Bonn, January-June 2002), D. R. Heath-Brown and B. Z. Moroz (eds.), Bonner Mathematische Schriften Nr. 360, Bonn 2003, No. 25, 17 pp. MR2075634
- [6] L. J. Mordell, *On the evaluation of some multiple series*, J. London Math. Soc. **33** (1958), 368-371. MR0100181 (20:6615)
- [7] M. V. Subbarao and R. Sitaramachandrarao, *On some infinite series of L. J. Mordell and their analogues*, Pacific J. Math. **119** (1985), 245-255. MR0797027 (87c:11091)
- [8] L. Tornheim, *Harmonic double series*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 303-314. MR0034860 (11:654a)
- [9] H. Tsumura, *Combinatorial relations for Euler-Zagier sums*, Acta Arith. **111** (2004), 27-42. MR2038060 (2005a:11140)
- [10] H. Tsumura, *On a class of combinatorial relations for the Mordell-Tornheim triple series*, preprint, submitted for publication.

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN COLLEGE, AKISHIMA, TOKYO 196-8540, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* tsumura@tmca.ac.jp

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1, Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

*E-mail address:* tsumura@comp.metro-u.ac.jp