

ON NATURAL HOMOMORPHISMS OF WITT RINGS

MARZENA CIEMALA AND KAZIMIERZ SZYMICZEK

(Communicated by David E. Rohrlich)

ABSTRACT. We prove that the kernel of the ring homomorphism between the Witt ring of any order of a global field and the Witt ring of the field is a nilideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a commutative ring A , let $W(A)$ denote the Witt ring of nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on finitely generated projective modules (bilinear spaces) over A , as defined by Knebusch in [5]. For an integral domain A and its field of fractions K we consider the ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : W(A) \rightarrow W(K)$$

induced by the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow K$. If A is a Dedekind domain it is known that $\ker \varphi$ is zero. This was first proved by Knebusch ([5, Satz 11.1.1]). A more general case was considered by Craven, Rosenberg and Ware in [3]. They proved that when A is a regular noetherian domain of an arbitrary Krull dimension, then $\ker \varphi$ is a nilideal, that is, every element belonging to the kernel is a nilpotent element of the Witt ring $W(A)$. They also produced examples emphasizing the importance of the regularity condition. However, it turns out that the class of domains A with $\ker \varphi$ a nilideal does not only include regular domains. We prove that if A is an order in a global field K , then the kernel of φ is a nilideal. Since nonmaximal orders are not regular rings, this result shows that regularity of the domain A is not a necessary condition for the kernel of φ to be a nilideal. When the field K is not formally real we find a necessary and sufficient condition for $\ker \varphi$ to be a nilideal (Theorem 2.3) immediately applicable to global fields. When K is a formally real number field we use the theory of signatures on Witt rings.

For a commutative ring A we write A^* for the group of invertible elements in A . If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A we write $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the localization of A with respect to \mathfrak{p} . The symbol $\langle E \rangle$ denotes the element of the Witt ring $W(A)$ determined by the bilinear space E over A .

Received by the editors March 31, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11E81; Secondary 11R99.

Key words and phrases. Witt ring, nilideal, order in a global field.

This work was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) of Poland under Grant 1 P03A 025 26.

©2005 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

If B is a commutative ring and A is a subring of B , then by the *natural homomorphism* induced by the inclusion of A into B we mean the map

$$\varphi : W(A) \rightarrow W(B), \quad \varphi\langle E \rangle = \langle E \otimes_A B \rangle.$$

We also use the symbol $\langle E \rangle_B$ for $\varphi\langle E \rangle$.

$W(A)_t$ denotes the torsion part of the Witt ring $W(A)$. If A is a local ring, then each element of $W(A)$ is represented by a free bilinear module. Then $W(A)_0$ denotes the ideal of classes of even ranks. We say that a ring A has finite level if $-1 \in A$ can be represented as a sum of squares of elements of A . Otherwise A has infinite level. A field with infinite level is said to be formally real, and nonreal otherwise.

A global field K is a finite extension of the rational number field \mathbb{Q} or a finite extension of a rational function field in one variable over a finite field. The ring of integers of a number field K is denoted by R . In the function field case R denotes the integral closure in K of the polynomial ring of the underlying rational function field. In any case R is a Dedekind domain. We adopt the following definition (see [4]).

Definition 1.1. An order \mathcal{O} in K is a subring of R such that R/\mathcal{O} is a finitely generated torsion \mathcal{O} -module.

The ring R is the maximal order in K . When K is a number field, an order \mathcal{O} in K is a subring of R containing an integral basis of length $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Every order is a one-dimensional noetherian domain ([8, p. 73]).

We recall that a nonmaximal order \mathcal{O} is not a regular ring, i.e., there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} such that the localization $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not a regular local ring and this is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not a discrete valuation ring. This follows from [8, Theorem 12.10] where it is shown that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular if and only if $\mathfrak{f} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{f} is the conductor of the ring extension $R \supset \mathcal{O}$. Since for a nonmaximal order \mathcal{O} we have $\mathfrak{f} \neq (0)$ and $\mathfrak{f} \neq \mathcal{O}$, there is a nonzero prime ideal containing \mathfrak{f} and so \mathcal{O} is not a regular ring.

2. K NONREAL

When the field K is nonreal, there is no need to restrict the consideration to global fields. Theorem 2.3 below gives a convenient necessary and sufficient condition for the kernel of the natural ring homomorphism $W(A) \rightarrow W(K)$ to be a nilideal. This applies to nonreal global fields. We begin the discussion with the case of local domains.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a local domain. If A has finite level, then the kernel of the natural ring homomorphism $W(A) \rightarrow W(K)$ is a nilideal.*

Proof. If A has finite level, then $W(A)_t = W(A)$ (see [1, A.4, p. 178]). On the other hand $\ker(W(A) \rightarrow W(K)) \subseteq W(A)_0$, since any element in the kernel becomes metabolic over K , hence of even dimension. Thus

$$\ker(W(A) \rightarrow W(K)) \subseteq W(A)_0 = W(A)_0 \cap W(A)_t = \text{Nil } W(A),$$

the latter by [1, Theorem 8.9, p. 158]. □

Remark 2.2. Craven, Rosenberg and Ware proved that if A is a regular noetherian local domain, then $\ker(W(A) \rightarrow W(K))$ is a nilideal ([3, Thm. 2.4]). Theorem 2.1

shows that if the local domain A has finite level, then the conclusion holds even if it is not regular or noetherian.

For a ring A and a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A we write $A(\mathfrak{p})$ for the field of fractions of A/\mathfrak{p} . In particular, if A is a domain, $A(0) = K$. We shall use below the following Dress' local-global principle (see [3, Cor. 2.7]):

For a commutative ring A and any bilinear A -space E , the class $\langle E \rangle \in W(A)$ is nilpotent if and only if $\langle E \rangle_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in W(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is nilpotent for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} in A .

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be an integral domain. Assume that the field of fractions K is not formally real. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\ker(W(A) \rightarrow W(K))$ is a nilideal.
- (b) For each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A the field $A(\mathfrak{p})$ is not formally real.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) The proof in [3, Prop. 3.2] applies with a slight complication. Here are the details. Suppose there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A such that $A(\mathfrak{p})$ is formally real. Then $r \cdot \langle 1 \rangle \neq 0$ in $W(A(\mathfrak{p}))$ for all positive integers r . On the other hand $2^m \langle 1 \rangle = 0$ in $W(K)$ for some $m \geq 0$ since K is a nonreal field. Hence $2^m \langle 1 \rangle \in \ker(W(A) \rightarrow W(K))$. It remains to show that $2^m \langle 1 \rangle$ is not a nilpotent element in $W(A)$. If it were, then $2^n \langle 1 \rangle = 0$ in $W(A)$ for some n . Then the composition of natural homomorphisms

$$W(A) \rightarrow W(A/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow W(A(\mathfrak{p}))$$

sends $2^n \langle 1 \rangle$ to zero in $W(A(\mathfrak{p}))$, a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) By [2, Theorem 1], (b) implies that the level of the ring A is finite. Hence the level of the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also finite for each nonzero prime ideal in A , and so $\ker(W(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow W(K))$ is a nilideal by Theorem 2.1. Now let $\langle E \rangle \in \ker(W(A) \rightarrow W(K))$. Then

$$\langle E \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rangle \in \ker(W(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow W(K)) \subseteq \text{Nil } W(A_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Thus $\langle E \rangle_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \langle E \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rangle$ is nilpotent for all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} in A . By Dress' local-global principle, the class $\langle E \rangle$ is a nilpotent element of the ring $W(A)$. \square

If $A = \mathcal{O}$ is any order in a nonreal global field K , then (b) is satisfied, and so $\ker(W(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow W(K))$ is a nilideal. Thus the following theorem, which is the first part of our main result, is a corollary to Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a nonreal global field K . Then the kernel of the natural ring homomorphism*

$$\varphi : W(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow W(K)$$

is a nilideal.

3. K FORMALLY REAL

In this section K is a formally real global field, that is, a formally real number field. As in the previous section we will use the local-global principle for nilpotency of elements of the Witt ring. Hence we first establish the following result for the local case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a nonzero prime ideal in an order \mathcal{O} of a formally real number field K . Then the kernel of the ring homomorphism $\varphi : W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow W(K)$ is a nilideal.*

The proof will use some results on signatures of Witt rings and orderings of rings. We collect the definitions we need following [6]. For an arbitrary commutative ring A we write \tilde{X}_A for the set of all signatures of the ring $W(A)$, i.e., the set of all ring homomorphisms $\sigma : W(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $P \subset A$ is said to be an ordering in A if

- (1) $P + P \subset P, \quad P \cdot P \subset P,$
- (2) $P \cup -P = A,$
- (3) $P \cap -P =: \text{supp } P$, called the support of P , is a prime ideal in A .

The set of all orderings of A is denoted by X_A . Since K is assumed formally real, there is an ordering $P \in X_K$. One can associate a signature $\sigma_P : W(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with the ordering P by setting

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_P \langle a \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for all } a \in P, a \neq 0.$$

More generally, if A is a local ring and $P \in X_A$, then there is a signature $\sigma_P : W(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (3.1). This follows from the fact that $W(A)$ is generated by the rank one elements $\langle a \rangle$ with $a \in A^*$. We need a stronger converse statement associating orderings of K to signatures of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every signature $\sigma \in \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ there exists an ordering P of the field K such that*

$$\sigma \langle E \rangle = \sigma_P \langle E \rangle_K$$

for all $\langle E \rangle \in W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Then by [6, Prop. 1.5, p. 145] there exists an ordering $Q \in X_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ such that $\sigma \langle a \rangle = \sigma_Q \langle a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. We must show that the ordering Q on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be extended to an ordering P on K . To this end we show that $\text{supp } Q = (0)$. If $\text{supp } Q \neq (0)$, then $\text{supp } Q = \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the only nonzero prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By a general rule (see [6, Prop. 1.1, p. 96]), there exists an ordering on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ whose inverse image under the canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the ordering Q . However, this is absurd, since the residue class field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ is a subfield of the finite field R/\mathfrak{q} , where $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}$, and finite fields have no orderings. This proves that $\text{supp } Q = (0)$. Thus, by [6, Prop. 1.1, p. 96], the ordering Q of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ extends uniquely to an ordering P of the field K . The associated signature σ_P satisfies

$$\sigma \langle a \rangle = \sigma_Q \langle a \rangle = \sigma_P \langle a \rangle_K \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*.$$

Since $W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is additively generated by the rank-one classes $\langle a \rangle$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$, we have $\sigma \langle E \rangle = \sigma_P \langle E \rangle_K$ for all $\langle E \rangle \in W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\langle E \rangle \in W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\langle E \rangle_K = 0$. Since

$$\text{Nil } W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \ker \sigma$$

(see [1, (7.11), p. 151 and (7.16), p. 152]), it is enough to show that $\sigma \langle E \rangle = 0$ for every signature $\sigma \in \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. By Lemma 3.2, given such a σ , there exists $P \in X_K$ such that for all $\langle E \rangle \in W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ we have $\sigma \langle E \rangle = \sigma_P \langle E \rangle_K$. Since $\langle E \rangle_K = 0$ we get $\sigma \langle E \rangle = 0$. This finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.1 and Dress' local-global principle imply the following theorem which is the second part of our main result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a formally real algebraic number field K . Then the kernel of the natural ring homomorphism*

$$\varphi : W(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow W(K)$$

is a nilideal.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Baeza, *Quadratic forms over semilocal rings*. LNM 655. Springer Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1978. MR0491773 (58:10972)
- [2] L. Bröcker, A. Dress, and R. Scharlau, *An (almost trivial) local-global principle for the representation of -1 as a sum of squares in an arbitrary commutative ring*. *Contemp. Math.* **8** (1982), 99–106. MR0653177 (83k:10039)
- [3] T. C. Craven, A. Rosenberg, and R. Ware, *The map of the Witt ring of a domain into the Witt ring of its field of fractions*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1975), 25–30. MR0384789 (52:5662)
- [4] A. Geroldinger, F. Halter-Koch, and J. Kaczorowski, *Non-unique factorization in orders of global fields*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **459** (1995), 89–118. MR1319518 (96a:11131)
- [5] M. Knebusch, *Grothendieck- und Witttringe von nichtausgearteten symmetrischen Bilinearformen*. *S.-B. Heidelberger Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl.* **1969/70** (1969/1970), 93–157. MR0271118 (42:6001)
- [6] M. Marshall, *Bilinear forms and orderings on commutative rings*. *Queen's Papers in Pure Applied Mathematics No. 71*, Kingston, Ont., 1985. MR0835916 (87e:11056)
- [7] J. Milnor, D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1973. MR0506372 (58:22129)
- [8] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1999. MR1697859 (2000m:11104)

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI, BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND
E-mail address: mc@ux2.math.us.edu.pl

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI, BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND
E-mail address: szymiczek@ux2.math.us.edu.pl