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ABSTRACT. Let \( N(d, G, X) \) be the number of degree \( d \) number fields \( K \) with Galois group \( G \) and whose discriminant \( D_K \) satisfies \( |D_K| \leq X \). Under standard conjectures in diophantine geometry, we show that \( N(4, A_4, X) \ll X^{2/3+\epsilon} \), and that there are \( \ll X^{4/5+\epsilon} \) monic, quartic polynomials with integral coefficients of height \( \leq N \) whose Galois groups are smaller than \( S_4 \), confirming a question of Gallagher. Unconditionally we have \( N(4, A_4, X) \ll X^{5/6+\epsilon} \), and that the 2-class groups of almost all Abelian cubic fields \( k \) have size \( \ll D_k^{1/3+\epsilon} \).

The proofs depend on counting integral points on elliptic fibrations.

1. Introduction

Given a transitive subgroup \( G \) of the symmetric group \( S_d \), denote by \( N(d, G, X) \) the number of degree \( d \) number fields \( K \) with Galois group \( G \) and whose discriminant \( D_K \) satisfies \( |D_K| \leq X \). Questions about asymptotic properties of \( N(d, G, X) \) naturally arise in connection with tabulation of number fields of a given degree and Galois type. This quantity is also closely related to questions about \( p \)-rank of class groups of number fields ([12], [22]). Given any such pair \((d, G)\), Malle [14] recently conjectured that there exist constants \( e(d, G) > 0 \), \( c(d, G) > 0 \) and \( v(d, G) \geq 0 \), such that

\[
N(d, G, X) \sim c(d, G)X^{e(d,G)} \log^{v(d,G)} X \quad \text{as} \quad X \to \infty.
\]

Malle also proposed an explicit formula for \( e(d, G) \). When \( G \) is Abelian, this is a theorem of Wright [23]. A deep theorem of Davenport and Heilbronn [5] says that (1.1) holds for the pair \((3, S_3)\) with \( e(3, S_3) = 1 \). The recent work of Cohen et al. [4, p. 89] gives \( e(4, D_4) = 1 \) (where \( D_4 \) is the dihedral group of order 8). Malle also put forth the weaker conjecture

\[
X^{e(d,G)} \ll_{d,G} N(d, G, X) \leq_{e,d,G} X^{e(d,G)+\epsilon},
\]

which for the pair \((4, S_4)\) has recently been proved independently by Yūkie [24] and Bhargava [2], with \( e(4, S_4) = 1 \). See [4] for an excellent survey of these results.
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1Any \( O \)-constant in this paper depends only on those quantities (if any) adorning the corresponding \( \ll \)-sign.
Theorem 1.1. Assume the ABC Conjecture, the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture and the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for the $L$-functions of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$N(4, A_4, X) \ll_{\epsilon} X^{2/3+\epsilon}.$$  

Unconditionally we have

$$N(4, A_4, X) \ll_{\epsilon} X^{5/6+\epsilon}.$$  

Baily [1] showed that $X^{1/2} \ll N(4, A_4, X) \ll X \log^4 X$. In [21] we improved this upper bound to $\ll_{\epsilon} X^{7/8+\epsilon}$. In general, Schmidt [18] showed that the number of degree $d$ fields $K$ with $|D_K| \leq X$ is $\ll_d X^{d^2/3}$. Theorem 1.1 says that conditionally, the exponent $e(4, A_4)$, if it exists, is $\leq 2/3 + \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. Cohen et al. conjectured that $e(4, A_4) = 1/2$ and with an explicit value for $c(4, A_4)$: cf. [4, p. 90].

To prove Theorem 1.1 we first employ an inequality of Hunter to convert the problem of counting quartic fields of discriminant $\leq X$ and with even Galois groups, to counting quartic polynomials $f$ whose coefficients $a_i$ are bounded in terms of $X$ and with $\text{disc}(f)$ being a square. In other words, we want to count integral points on the hypersurface $y^2 = \text{disc}(f)$ with the $a_i$ bounded in terms of $X$; we do that by mapping this hypersurface to an elliptic threefold and then count points fiberswise. Geometrically speaking, we construct a coarse moduli space for these quartic fields, and then exploit the geometry of this space to get non-trivial estimates. In their recent work, Ellenberg and Venkatesh [9] improve Schmidt’s estimate for large $d$.

Their construction can be thought of as putting a level structure on this moduli space, and their main result follows by carefully controlling the size of the fiber of the map from this fine moduli space to our coarse moduli space. The proofs in [9] turn out to make relatively little use of the geometry of this fine moduli space; it would be interesting to see if we can get better results by combining our explicit geometric analysis with this general construction.

Heilbronn [12] showed that given an Abelian cubic field $k$ with $h_k^*$ order 2 elements in its class group $\text{Cl}_k$, there exist exactly $\frac{1}{3} h_k^*$ quadruples of conjugate $A_4$ quartic fields of discriminant $D_k$ whose Galois closure contains $k$. Combining this with Theorem 1.1 and recalling that there are $\gg X^{1/2}$ Abelian cubic fields of discriminant $\leq X$, we get the following result which improves on average [21 Thm. 1].

Corollary 1.2. Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.1, as $k$ runs through all Abelian cubic fields, we have

$$\sum_{D_k \leq X} h_k^* \ll_{\epsilon} X^{2/3+\epsilon}.$$  

In particular, for almost all $k$ the elementary 2-subgroup of $\text{Cl}_k$ has size $\ll_{\epsilon} D_k^{1/6+\epsilon}$. Unconditionally, the same results hold with the exponent $2/3+\epsilon$ and $1/6+\epsilon$ by $5/6+\epsilon$ and $1/3 + \epsilon$, respectively.

There is a unique equivalent class of embedding of $A_4$ into $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, so the Galois closure $L$ of an $A_4$ quartic field $K$ gives rise to a unique projective representation $\tilde{\rho}_L : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Tate showed that $\tilde{\rho}_L$ has a unique lift to an ordinary representation $\rho_L : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with minimal Artin conductor $= N_L$, and Langlands showed that $\rho_L$ comes from an automorphic form on $\text{GL}(2)$.
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corresponding to Artin representations.

2. S-integral points

Recall that the height \( h(r) \) of a reduced fraction \( r = a/b \) is \( \max(|a|,|b|) \). Also, recall our convention for \( O \)-constants.

Lemma 2.1. Let \( f = a_0x^d + \cdots + a_d \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \) be separable with \( d = 3 \) or 4. Suppose that

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad h(a_i) \leq X \text{ for all } i, \\
(ii) & \quad \text{the denominator of every } a_i \text{ has absolute value } \leq B, \text{ and} \\
(iii) & \quad h(a_0) \leq B.
\end{align*}
\]
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Then under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.1 there are \( \ll_{\epsilon,B} X^\epsilon \) integral points for the equation \( y^2 = f(x) \) with \( |x| \ll X \).

**Proof.** First, suppose \( f \) is cubic. Denote by \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) the elliptic curve defined by
\[
(2.1) \quad y^2 = f(x).
\]
If we write \( c_4(E) \) and \( c_6(E) \) for the usual quantities \([19, \text{p. 46}]\) associated to the (not necessarily minimal) Weierstrass equation \((2.1)\), conditions (i) and (iii) imply that the rational numbers \( c_4(E), c_6(E) \) satisfy
\[
(2.2) \quad |c_4(E)| \ll X^2, \quad |c_6(E)| \ll X^3.
\]
Thanks to condition (ii), the conductor of \( E/\mathbb{Q} \), which is an integer, satisfies \( \ll_B |c_4(E)^3 - c_6(E)^2| \ll X^6 \). Under BSD and GRH, it then follows from the work of Mestre \([15]\) that (the Mordell-Weil rank of \( E/\mathbb{Q} \)) \( \ll_B \log X / \log \log X \).

Denote by
\[
(2.3) \quad E' : \bar{y}^2 = \bar{x}^3 + \bar{A}\bar{x} + \bar{B}
\]
a quasi-minimal model \([19, \text{Exer. 8.14(c)}]\) of \( E/\mathbb{Q} \), i.e. \( |\bar{A}^3 + 27\bar{B}^2| \) is minimized among all models \((2.3)\) of \( E \) subject to \( \bar{A}, \bar{B} \in \mathbb{Z} \). To convert \((2.1)\) to \( E' \) we use a linear change of variables
\[
x = u^2 \bar{x} + r, \quad y = u^3 \bar{y} + u^2 s \bar{x} + t
\]
with \( u, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, u \neq 0 \) (cf. \([19, \text{p. 49}]\)). This transformation takes the integral points on \((2.1)\) to (a subset of the) \( S' \)-integral points on \( E' \), where \( S' \) is the set of prime divisors of \( u \). In light of \((2.2)\), we have \( u \ll_B X^{1/2} \), whence
\[
\# S' \ll_B \log X / \log \log X.
\]
Finally, assume the ABC conjecture. Then Hindry-Silverman \([13]\) showed that there exist absolute constants \( c, \sigma > 0 \) so that
\[
\#(\text{integral points on } (2.1)) \leq \#(\text{\( S' \)-integral points on } E') \ll_B c \# S' + (1 + \text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q})) \sigma \quad \text{by } [13, \text{Thm. 0.7}] \]
\[
\ll_B c_0 \log X / \log \log X
\]
for some absolute constant \( c_0 > 0 \), as desired.

Next, suppose \( f \) is quartic. If \((2.1)\) has no integral points \((x, y)\) with \( |x| \ll X \), then we are done, so suppose otherwise. To bring \((2.1)\) to the form \( y^2 = x^3 + \alpha x + \beta \) we use a projective change of coordinates to move \( \bar{P} \) to \( \infty \); in doing so the new coefficients \( \alpha, \beta \) are now rational functions of \textit{bounded degree} in the coefficients of \((2.1)\) and in the coordinates of \( P \). Proceed as in the cubic case and we see that

- under BSD and GRH, this elliptic curve has conductor \( \ll_B \log X / \log \log X \); and
- upon fixing a quasi-minimal model \( E' \) of this curve, the integral points of \((2.1)\) now correspond to (a subset of the) \( S' \)-integral points on \( E' \), with \( S' \ll_B \log X / \log \log X \).

It then follows as before that under ABC, \((2.1)\) has \( \ll_{\epsilon,B} X^\epsilon \) integral points, as desired. \( \square \)
3. HUNTER’S INEQUALITY

Let \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) be an extension of degree \( d \). According to the Hunter inequality [3, Theorem 6.4.2], there exists an algebraic integer \( \theta_K \in K - \mathbb{Q} \) such that, upon writing \( \theta_K^{(1)},\ldots,\theta_K^{(d)} \) for the Galois conjugates of \( \theta_K \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} |\theta_K^{(i)}|^2 \ll_d |D_K|^{1/(d-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d} \theta_K^{(i)} \leq d/2.
\]

Denote by \( z^d + a_1(\theta_K)z^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d(\theta_K) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) the minimal polynomial of \( \theta_K \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \). It follows that

\[
0 \leq a_1(\theta_K) \leq d/2 \quad \text{and} \quad |a_j(\theta_K)| \ll_d |D_K|^{1/(d-1)} \quad \text{if} \ j > 1.
\]

Under the change of variable \( x = (z - a_1(\theta_K))/d \) we get another defining polynomial

\[
z^d + a'_1(\theta_K)z^{d-2} + \cdots + a'_d(\theta_K) \in \mathbb{Z}[z] \text{ of the same field } \mathbb{Q}(\theta_K) \text{ with}
\]

\[
|a'_j(\theta_K)| \ll_d |D_K|^{1/(d-1)} \quad \text{if} \ j > 1.
\]

If \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) is a primitive extension, i.e. there are no non-trivial intermediate extensions between \( \mathbb{Q} \) and \( K \), then necessarily \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta_K) \). Thus the number of primitive, degree \( d \) fields \( K \) with \( |D_K| \leq X \) is bounded by the number of monic, degree \( d \) integer polynomials whose coefficients satisfy the bounds \( |a_j| \leq d/2 \). In particular, there are

\[
\ll_d \sum_{j=1}^{d} X^{\frac{2}{(d-1)}} \cdots \frac{d}{2(d-1)} = X^{\frac{d+2}{2}}
\]

such fields. Note that this is of the same order of magnitude as Schmidt’s estimate. This should not be surprising, since both Hunter and Schmidt relied on techniques from geometry of numbers. Now, there is a version of Hunter’s inequality for relative extensions. It seems likely that this relative version will allow us to inductively derive Schmidt’s estimate in full generality (Schmidt also argued by induction). We will not make use of Schmidt’s estimate, so we will not pursue this topic further.

4. QUARTIC FIELDS

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Quartic fields with \( A_4 \) Galois groups are necessarily primitive. By our discussion in section 3 it suffices to count quartic polynomials

\[
f_4(x) = x^4 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x + a_4
\]

whose coefficients satisfy the quartic Hunter bounds

\[
|a_j| \ll X^{1/6} \quad (2 \leq j \leq 4)
\]

and for which

\[
\text{disc}(f_4) = 256a_4^3 - 128a_2^2a_4^2 + (16a_2^4 + 144a_2a_3^2)a_4 - 4a_2a_3^2 - 27a_3^4
\]

is a square. Note that \( a_3 \) occurs in even powers only, so we can view

\[
Y : y^2 = \text{disc}(f_4)
\]

as an affine threefold in \( (a_2,a_3,a_4,y) \) that double covers the affine cubic threefold

\[
W : y^2 = 256a_4^3 - 128a_2^2a_4^2 + (16a_2^4 + 144a_2w)a_4 - 4a_2^3w - 27w^2.
\]

According to MAPLE, the affine singular locus of \( W \) is

\[
\Sigma : \{ (a_2,a_4,y,w) : a_4 = \frac{-a_2^2}{12}, \ w = \frac{-8a_2^3}{27}, \ y = 0 \}.
\]
Let Lemma 4.1. We first enumerate integral points on \( W \) and pass through \( W \) at one more point defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(a_2) \). This defines a \( \mathbb{Q}(a_2) \)-birational map from the affine variety \( W_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \) to \( \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \). To count integral points on \( \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \), we first enumerate integral points on \( W \) by making explicit this birational map \( W_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \to \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \), and then counting those whose \( w \)-coordinate is a square; the latter problem turns out to involve counting integral points on elliptic curves.

We begin by constructing the birational map. Over the function field \( \mathbb{Q}(a_2) \) the affine singular locus \( \Sigma \) becomes a singular point to the origin via the change of variables \( a_2 = \frac{a_4}{w} - a_2^2/12, w = w - 8a_2^3/27 \). This turns \( \text{(4.3)} \) into

\[
y^2 - 256a_4^2 + 192a_2^2a_4^2 - 144a_2AC + 27C^2 = 0.
\]

Next, we blow up the origin on this affine coordinate patch, via the change of variables

\[
\alpha = tA, \quad y = tB, \quad w = tC.
\]

This turns \( \text{(4.3)} \) into

\[
t^2(B^2 - 256tA^3 + 192A^2a_2^2 - 144a_2AC + 27C^2) = 0.
\]

Solving \( t \) from the second factor in \( \text{(4.6)} \) (the proper transform of the blowup), we get

\[
t = (B^2 + 3(8a_2A - 3C)^2)/(256A^3).
\]

Unwind all the change of variables and we see that

\[
a_4 = tA - a_2^2/12, \quad y = tB, \quad a_2^3 = tC - 8a_2^3/27.
\]

The correspondence \( (a_3, a_4, y) \mapsto [A : B : C] \) is the desired birational map \( W_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \to \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}(a_2)} \). In particular, given an integral point \( (a_2, a_3, a_4, y) \) on \( \text{(4.3)} \), we can take \( (A, B, C) \) above to be integers with \( \gcd(A, B, C) = 1 \) and \( t \in \mathbb{Q} \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( (A, B, C) \) be an integer triple coming from \( (a_2, a_3, a_4, y) \) as above. Then \( 64A^3 \) divides \( B^2 + 3(8a_2A - 3C)^2 \).

**Proof.** Denote by \( d(r) \) the denominator of a reduced, non-zero fraction \( r \).

Both \( a_2 \) and \( a_4 = tA - a_2^2/12 \) are integers, so \( d(tA) \) divides 12. But \( A \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( t = n/(256A^3) \) with \( n := B^2 + 3(8a_2A - 3C)^2 \), so \( d(n/64A^3) \) divides 3A. In particular, \( A \) divides \( (B^2 + 27C^2) \). This plus \( \gcd(A, B, C) = 1 \) means that \( \gcd(A, C) = 1 \).

Since \( a_2^3 = tC - 8a_2^3/27 \) is an integer, \( d(tC) \) divides 27. Combined with \( \gcd(A, C) = 1 \), \( d(n/64A^3) \mid 3A \) and \( tC = (c/4)(n/64A^3) \), this means \( d(n/64A^3) \) divides 3. It then follows from \( a_2^3 = tC - 8a_2^3/27 \in \mathbb{Z} \) that \( tC \) is in fact an integer. Going back one step, that means \( d(n/64A^3) = 1 \), i.e. \( 64A^3 \) divides \( n \), as desired. \( \Box \)

Note that \( B^2 + 3(8a_2A - 3C)^2 \) is a norm form in \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \). So if a prime \( p \equiv 2 \mod 3 \) divides \( A \), then Lemma 4.1 says that \( p \) divides both \( B \) and \( 8a_2A - 3C \), and hence \( \gcd(A, B, C) > 1 \), a contradiction. So \( A \) is divisible only by primes \( \equiv 1 \mod 3 \) and by 3. That means \( A \in \mathbb{Z} \) is a norm from \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \); ditto for \( 4t \), thanks to Lemma 4.1. Thus we can write

\[
A = \pm(\alpha^2 + 3\beta^2),
\]

\[
\frac{B^2 + 3(8a_2A - 3C)^2}{A^3} = \pm(\gamma^2 + 3\delta^2)
\]
with \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \). Note that by (4.10), the \( \pm \) signs in (4.9) and (4.10) are the same. Rewriting (4.10) as \( B^2 + 3(8a_2A - 3C)^2 = \pm A^3(\gamma^2 + 3\delta^2) \) and eliminating \( A \) using (4.9), we get the equality of two norms from \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \). Comparing the real and imaginary parts, we get

\[
\pm B = \alpha^3\gamma - 9\alpha^2\beta\delta - 9\alpha\beta^2\gamma + 9\beta^3\delta,
\]

(4.11)

\[
8a_2A - 3C = \delta\alpha^3 + 3\gamma\beta\alpha^2 - 9\delta\beta^2\alpha - 3\gamma\beta^3.
\]

(4.12)

Substitute these back into (4.8), we get

\[
a_3^2 = \frac{-8a_2^3}{27} \pm \frac{\gamma^2 + 3\delta^2}{3 \cdot 256} (\pm 8a_2(\alpha^2 + 3\beta^2))
\]

(4.13)

\[-(\delta\alpha^3 + 3\gamma\beta\alpha^2 - 9\delta\beta^2\alpha - 3\gamma\beta^3)\].

(4.14) \( a_4 + \frac{a_2^2}{12} = \pm (\alpha^2 + 3\beta^2)(\gamma^2 + 3\delta^2) \).

Now, \((\alpha + \beta\sqrt{-3})(\gamma + \delta\sqrt{-3})\) is the product of two half-integers in \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \), and, by (4.14), this product has norm \( \ll X^{2/3} \). There are \( \ll X^{2/3} \) such products, and each one has \( \ll X \) factorizations (the unit group of \( \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+i}{2}] \) is finite!). So all together there are \( \ll X^{2/3+\epsilon} \) pairs of \( (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \) coming from (4.9) and (4.10).

View the right side of (4.13) as a cubic in \( a_2 \) and compute its discriminant using Maple. Then keeping in mind that the two \( \pm \) signs are the same, we get

\[
(\gamma^2 + 3\delta^2)^2(\alpha^3\gamma - 9\alpha^2\beta\delta - 9\alpha\beta^2\gamma + 9\beta^3\delta)^2/2^{10}3^6.
\]

Recall (4.10) and (4.11) and we see that this is \((256tB)^2/2^{10}3^6\), which by (4.8) is \( 2^6y^2/3^6 \). But \( y^2 = \text{disc}(f_4) \neq 0 \). So for any 4-tuple \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)\) coming from (4.9) and (4.10), the equation (4.13) always defines an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) in \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \).

Lemma 2.1 then says that, conditionally, there are \( \ll X^{2/3+\epsilon} \) integral points on this curve with \( |a_2| \ll X^{2/6} \) and \( |a_4| \ll X^{3/6} \). We saw that there are \( \ll X^{2/3+\epsilon} \) such 4-tuples, so we get the conditional part of Theorem 1.1.

To get an unconditional estimate we use [11 Thm. 3]. First, make the change of variables \( a_3 = a_3'/a_1' \) and \( a_2 = a_2'/a_1' \) and dehomogenize (4.13) to get a cubic form \( F(a_1', a_2', a_3') \). Apply [11 Thm. 3], specifically equation (1.18) there, with \( B_1 = 1, B_2 = X^{1/3}, B_3 = X^{1/2} \) and we see that \( F \) has \( \ll X^{1/3+\epsilon} \) integral points with \( |a_1'| \ll B_1 \). The unconditional form of Theorem 1.1 now follows. \( \square \)

5. Counting polynomials

To prove Theorem 1.4 we proceed in two steps. First we count

\[
E_4'(N) := \{ f \in E_4(N) : \text{disc}(f) \text{ is a square} \}.
\]

for that we pattern upon the proof of Theorem 1.1(b). To handle \( E_4(N) - E_4'(N) \) we use Galois theory of quartic polynomials to identify this set with integral points on a different elliptic fibration, and then count points as before.

**Step I:** \( E_4(N) \) and \( E_4'(N) \)

We begin with the quartic case. We identify polynomials \( f(x) = x^4 + a_1x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4 \) in \( E_4(N) \) with 4-tuples \((a_1, \ldots, a_4)\) with \( |a_i| \leq N \). Mimicking the

\[\text{such an equality only determines the real and imaginary parts up to sign; we pin down the signs in (4.12) by multiplying, if necessary, each of } \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \text{ by } -1.\]
argument in [1] we extract the first three coordinates of these 4-tuples to get triples a and then specialize the hypersurface \( y^2 = \text{disc}(f) \) at a to get (possible singular) cubic curves \( E_a : y^2 = \text{disc}_a(f) \). As before, we get conditionally \( \ll N^{3+\epsilon} \) integral points on this hypersurface coming from 3-tuples \( a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \) for which \( E_a \) is non-singular.

The singular triples are characterized by the vanishing of \( \Delta := \text{disc}(f) \), where \( f \) is viewed as a cubic polynomial in \( a_4 \). Note that \( \Delta \) is a non-trivial polynomial in \( a_1, a_2 \) and \( a_3 \), since \( \Delta_{a_1=a_2=0} \) is already non-trivial. So if we factor \( \Delta = \Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_n \) into a product of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-irreducible polynomials, then there are \( \ll N^2 \) solutions \( a = (a_2, a_3, a_4) \) of height \( \leq N \) for each \( \Delta_i = 0 \). For each such triple the equation \( y^2 = \text{disc}(f) \) trivially has \( \ll N \) solutions with \( |a_4| \leq N \). Thus there are \( \ll \epsilon N^3 \) 4-tuples corresponding to singular \( E_a \). All together, this gives \( \#E'_s(N) \ll \epsilon N^{3+\epsilon} \).

Next, take \( g(x) = x^5 + b_1 x^4 + \cdots + b_5 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \). Then \( \text{disc}(g) \) is a quartic polynomial in \( b_i \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z}[b_1, \ldots, b_4] \), so \( y^2 = \text{disc}(g) \) is now an elliptic fibration over a 4-dimensional base. Continue as in the quartic case and we get \( \#E'_s(N) \ll \epsilon N^{4+\epsilon} \).

**Step II: \( E_4(N) - E'_s(N) \)**

By Dörge there are \( \ll N^{3+\epsilon} \) reducible polynomials in this set. Now, suppose \( f(x) = x^4 + a_1 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x + a_4 \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Then its Galois group has size \( < 12 \) if and only if the resolvent cubic
\[
R_f(x) = x^3 - a_2 x^2 + (a_1 a_3 - 4 a_4)x - a_1^2 a_4 + 4 a_2 a_4 - a_3^2
\]
of \( f \) is reducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Note that \( R_f(x) \) is a Weierstrass equation in \( x \) and \( a_3 \) over \( \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_4] \), with discriminant
\[
\Delta = a_4(-a_4^4 + 8a_1^2 a_2 - 16a_2^2 + 64a_4^2)^2.
\]
There are \( \ll N^2 \) triples \( (a_1, a_2, a_4) \) for which \( \Delta = 0 \) and with every \( |a_i| \leq N \); for each of the two factors in \( \Delta \), we can choose any \( a_1, a_2 \) and solve for \( a_4 \) accordingly. Given such a singular triple, \( (\Delta_1) \) trivially has \( \ll N \) solutions with \( |a_3| \leq N \). For the remaining \( \ll N^3 \) triples \( (a_1, a_2, a_4) \) for which \( \Delta \neq 0 \), any rational root of \( (\Delta_1) \) is an integer divisor of \( a_3^2 \), and hence it is \( \leq N^2 \); Lemma [2] then shows that \( (\Delta_1) \) has \( \ll \epsilon N^4 \) integral points. All together, we get \( \#(E_4(N) - E'_s(N)) \ll \epsilon N^{3+\epsilon} \). This completes the proof of Theorem [1].

**Remark 5.1.** Our argument leading to the estimate \( \#(E_4(N) - E'_s(N)) \ll \epsilon N^{3+\epsilon} \) does not contradict the result of Cohen et al. [4] p. 89 that \( N(4, D_4, X) \sim c(4, D_4)X \). To see this, let us count \( N(4, D_4, X) \) using this same technique, i.e. to count triples \( (a_2, a_3, a_4) \) that satisfy \( (\Delta_1) \) plus the Hunter bounds \( (\Delta_1) \). Repeat the calculation for Step II above and we see that \( \Delta = 0 \) for \( \ll X^{2/6+3/6} \) such triples. For the remaining triples, as before, each elliptic curve \( (\Delta_1) \) still has \( \ll X^r \) integral points. The number of such curves is \( \ll \) the number of pairs \( (a_2, a_4) \), which is \( \ll X^{2/6+4/6} \), so all together there are \( \ll X^{1+\epsilon} \) triples corresponding to \( D_4 \)-quartic fields \( K \) with \( |D_K| \leq X \). This is compatible with the result of Cohen et al.

**Remark 5.2.** If we try to bound \( \#(E_5(N) - E'_s(N)) \) as we did in Step II, we will arrive at a sextic in the extra variable \( A \) over \( \mathbb{Z}[b_1, \ldots, b_5] \). Hold four of the \( b_i \) fixed and we get a curve of arithmetic genus 10. Provided that these curves have positive geometric genus, we can try to complete the argument by formulating a suitable form of the theorem of Hindry-Silverman plus a delicate analysis of the singular loci of this sextic.
I thank the referee for useful comments.
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