CUBE-APPROXIMATING BOUNDED WAVELET SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^n$
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Abstract. We prove that for any real expansive $n \times n$ matrix $A$, there exists a bounded $A$-dilation wavelet set in the frequency domain $\mathbb{R}^n$ (the inverse Fourier transform of whose characteristic function is a band-limited single wavelet in the time domain $\mathbb{R}^n$). Moreover these wavelet sets can approximate a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ arbitrarily. This result improves Dai, Larson and Speegle’s result about the existence of (basically unbounded) wavelet sets for real expansive matrices.

1. Introduction

We say that an $n \times n$ real matrix $A$ is expansive if all the eigenvalues of $A$ have modulus $> 1$. We say that an $n \times n$ real matrix $A$ is a strict dilation if $\|A^{-1}\| < 1$ (where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the matrix norm induced by the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^n$). Obviously, a strict dilation must be expansive, but the converse is not true since the spectral radius $\rho(A^{-1}) \leq \|A^{-1}\|$ (see [Br], p. 89). In this paper we always suppose that $A$ is real and expansive. An $A$-dilation wavelet basis (abbreviated as wavelets) is a finite collection of measurable functions $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the property that

\begin{equation}
\{ |\det A|^j \psi_i(A^j x - k) : i = 1, \ldots, m, j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \}
\end{equation}

is an orthonormal basis (ONB) of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The best known method for constructing a wavelet basis is through the use of a multiresolution analysis (MRA). Meyer [Me] proved that, associated to any MRA, there exist exactly $m := |\det A| - 1$ functions $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m$ which form the wavelet basis. Auscher [Au] proved that every wavelet basis whose members satisfy a weak smoothness and decay condition on the Fourier transform side must come from an MRA.

Throughout this paper the Fourier-Plancherel transform and inverse transform are defined as usual:

\[
(Ff)(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp^{-i(s \cdot t)} f(t) \, dt, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),
\]

\[
(F^{-1}g)(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp^{i(s \cdot t)} g(s) \, ds, \quad g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]
Dai, Larson and Speegle [DLS1, DLS2] proved that for any real expansive dilation matrix $A$, there exists a single wavelet associated with it, i.e. a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the collection

$$\left\{ \det A^{j} \psi(A^j x - k) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}$$

(1.2)

is an ONB of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The single wavelets they constructed have the form $\psi = F^{-1}(\chi_E)$ for some measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with Lebesgue measure $m(E) = (2\pi)^n$, where $\chi_E$ is the characteristic function of $E$. These wavelets are called minimally supported frequency (MSF) wavelets [FW, HWW1, HWW2], and the sets $E$ are called wavelet sets. In light of Auscher’s result, it is the lack of smoothness of the supported frequency (MSF) wavelets [FW, HWW1, HWW2], and the sets $E$ are called wavelet sets. In light of Auscher’s result, it is the lack of smoothness of the Fourier transform of MSF wavelets that makes this possible. The MSF wavelets can be regarded as the generalization of the well-known Shannon wavelet $F^{-1}(\chi_E)$ in the one-dimensional dyadic setting, where $E = [-2\pi, -\pi) \cup [\pi, 2\pi)$.

We can observe that the wavelet sets constructed using the method of Dai, Larson and Speegle are very likely to be unbounded in general. This point was also mentioned in [DLS2, p. 18]. In fact, they iteratively used a fact pertaining to dilation-translation pairs, which is equivalent to: given two bounded measurable sets $E, G$ and an open set $F$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then there exists a pair of $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that (i) $E + 2k\pi \subset (A^t)^m F$, and (ii) $(E + 2k\pi) \cap G \cong \emptyset$. They constructed a disjoint family $\{G_{ij} : i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{1, 2\}\}$ of measurable sets whose certain $(A^t)^m$ dilates, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, form a partition $\{F_{ij}\}$ of $F_A$, a complete wandering set for $A^t$, and whose certain $2\pi k$ translates, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, form a partition $\{E_{ij}\}$ of $E = [-\pi, \pi)^n$, modulo Lebesgue null sets. When $G_{11}$ are iteratively constructed, they will become unbounded to meet (i) and (ii). Then the wavelet set $G = \bigcup_{i,j} G_{ij}$ will be essentially unbounded.

In this paper we prove the existence of bounded wavelet sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which can approximate $n$-dimensional cubes for any real expansive dilation matrix $A$, or equivalently, the existence of band-limited MSF wavelets. A noticeable feature of our construction is to leave countably infinitely many components of $E = [-\pi, \pi)^n$ unchanged and to translate all the remaining parts of $E$ as a whole by $2k\pi$ for a certain $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore, the wavelet set $G \subset E \cup (E + 2k\pi)$. The construction is concise enough and is actually almost parallel to that in [DLS1]. The main difference lies in that an additional property that the point set $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A^m k$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is well used here and was not used in [DLS1].

This author has recently noticed a new published paper [DDGH], in which Dai, Diao, Gu and Han also provided a proof for the existence of bounded wavelet sets for any real expansive dilation matrix. However, their method is more applicable to construct subspace wavelet sets, and MRA or Frame MRA wavelet sets, and hence is much more complicated than our method for the purpose of constructing bounded wavelet sets. Also, their wavelet sets do not have the feature of approximating a cube arbitrarily.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF WAVELET SETS

Throughout this paper we denote $B_r(y) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - y\| < r \}$, and $B_r := B_r(0)$. Let $E$ and $F$ be two measurable sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $E$ and $F$ are $2\pi$-translation congruent (written as $E \sim_{2\pi, F}$) if there are measurable partitions $\{E_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{F_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $E$ and $F$, respectively, and a sequence $\{n_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $E_p = F_p + 2\pi n_p$ for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, modulo null sets; then
$m(E) = m(F)$ is implied. We say that $E$ and $F$ are $A$-dilation congruent (written as $E \sim_{\delta_A} F$) if there are measurable partitions $\{E_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{F_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $E$ and $F$, respectively, and a sequence $\{l_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_p = A^{l_p} F_p$ for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, modulo null sets (see [DLS1], [GH]). Dilation congruence does not preserve measure. A measurable set with finite measure can even be $A$-dilation congruent to another measurable set with infinite measure.

The following lemma states a fact which might already be well known and was even used in [DLS1] for instance. However, no proof is given in [DLS1], and the proof for it cannot be found in many textbooks on matrix analysis either. Because of its important role in the theory of wavelet sets, we will provide a proof for it here for the readers’ convenience.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $A$ be a real expansive matrix, that is, all the eigenvalues of $A$ have modulus $> 1$. Then, $A$ is similar to a real strict dilation matrix $C$. That is, $A = T^{-1} C T$ for two real invertible matrices $T$ and $C$ with $\|C^{-1}\| < 1$.

**Proof.** We will take two steps to prove this lemma. In step one we first prove that $A$ is similar to a complex strict dilation matrix $C_0$. That is, $A = T_0^{-1} C_0 T_0$ for two complex invertible matrices $T_0$ and $C_0$ with $\|C_0^{-1}\| < 1$. Suppose $A^{-1} = U^{-1} A U$, where $U$ is a complex invertible matrix, and $\Lambda$ is the Jordan canonical form of $A^{-1}$. We will choose another complex matrix $V$ such that $V A V^{-1} = C_0^{-1}$ with $\|C_0^{-1}\| < 1$. Let $T_0 = V U$; then $A = T_0^{-1} C_0 T_0$. For simplicity, we will take $V$ to be diagonal.

Since $\|C_0^{-1}\| = \|\rho((C_0^{-1})*C_0^{-1})\|^{1/2}$, where $\rho$ and * denote the spectral radius and the complex conjugate transpose, respectively, it suffices that we prove this statement in the case where $\Lambda$ is simply a Jordan block. Let

$$
\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & 1 & \lambda \\
1 & \lambda & 1 \\
& \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & \lambda
\end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}
$$

be a $k \times k$ Jordan block with $|\lambda| < 1$, $V = \text{diag}(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$, and $C_0^{-1} = V A V^{-1}$. Then

$$
(C_0^{-1})^* C_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
|\lambda|^2 + \frac{|v_1|^2}{|v_1|^2} & \frac{|v_1|^2}{|v_1|^2} \lambda & 0 \\
\frac{|v_1|^2}{|v_1|^2} \lambda & |\lambda|^2 + \frac{|v_2|^2}{|v_2|^2} & \frac{|v_2|^2}{|v_2|^2} \lambda \\
0 & \frac{|v_2|^2}{|v_2|^2} \lambda & |\lambda|^2 + \frac{|v_3|^2}{|v_3|^2} \\
& \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & |\lambda|^2 + \frac{|v_{k-1}|^2}{|v_{k-1}|^2} \\
& & & \frac{|v_{k-1}|^2}{|v_{k-1}|^2} \lambda & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \frac{|v_{k-1}|^2}{|v_{k-1}|^2} \lambda
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

This matrix is tridiagonal. Fix $v_1 \neq 0$. Since $|\lambda| < 1$, we may choose $v_2 \neq 0$ such that the sum of the moduli of the first row is less than one. For the chosen fixed $v_2$, we may choose $v_3 \neq 0$ such that the sum of the moduli of the second row is less than one. We proceed in this way until $v_k \neq 0$ is chosen such that the sum of the...
moduli of the \((k-1)\)-th row and that of the \(k\)-th row are less than one, respectively. By the Gerschgorin’s Circle Theorem, the spectral radius of \((C_0^{-1})^*C_0^{-1}\) is less than one. Thus \(\|C_0^{-1}\| < 1\).

In step two, we prove that \(A\) is similar to a real strict dilation matrix. Write \(T_0 = \text{Re}(T_0) + i\text{Im}(T_0)\). Then
\[
\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0) = (\text{Re}(T_0))^*\text{Re}(T_0) + (\text{Im}(T_0))^*\text{Im}(T_0)
\]
and
\[
x^T\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)x = |\text{Re}(T_0)x|^2 + |\text{Im}(T_0)x|^2 \geq 0
\]
for \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\).

If \(x^T\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)x = 0\) for some \(x \neq 0\), then \(T_0x = \text{Re}(T_0)x + i\text{Im}(T_0)x = 0\) for this \(x\). This is impossible since \(T_0\) is invertible. So \(\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)\) is real symmetric and positive definite. Let \(B_1\) and \(\overline{B_1}\) denote the open and closed unit balls centered at the origin 0 in the complex \(n\)-dimensional space \(\mathbb{C}^n\), respectively. Since \(A = T_0^{-1}C_0T_0\) and \(\|C_0^{-1}\| < 1\), it follows that \(\overline{B_1} \subseteq C_0B_1\), and \(T_0^{-1}\overline{B_1} \subseteq A(T_0^{-1}B_1)\). Define \(F = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in T_0^{-1}B_1\text{ and }\text{Im}(z) = 0\}\). Then
\[
F = T_0^{-1}B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T_0T_0x < 1\}
\]
\[
= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)x < 1\}
\]
is a real open neighborhood of 0 in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Note that for every \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\), \(x^T\text{Im}(T_0^*T_0)x = 0\). Let \(\overline{F}\) denote the closure of \(F\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Then accordingly,
\[
\overline{F} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)x \leq 1\}
\]
\[
= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T_0T_0x \leq 1\}
\]
\[
\subseteq A(T_0^{-1}B_1) \cap \mathbb{R}^n = AF.
\]

Since \(\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)\) is symmetric and positive definite, we write \(\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0) = T^2\), where \(T\) is the unique symmetric positive definite square root matrix of \(\text{Re}(T_0^*T_0)\). Then, by (2.1), \(F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|Tx\| < 1\} = T^{-1}B_1\) and \(\overline{F} = T^{-1}\overline{B_1}\). Therefore, \(TA^{-1}T^{-1}\overline{B_1} \subseteq B_1\) implies \(\|TA^{-1}T^{-1}\| < 1\). □

If \(A\) is a strict dilation, so \(\|A^{-1}\| < 1\), then \(AB_1 \supseteq B_{\|A^{-1}\|-1} \supseteq B_1\). It follows that, if \(F_A = AB_1 \setminus B_1\), then \(\{A^kF_A : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) is a partition of \(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\). If \(A\) is expansive, then \(A = T^{-1}CT\) for two real invertible matrices \(T\) and \(C\) with \(\|C^{-1}\| < 1\). If \(F_A = T^{-1}(CB_1 \setminus B_1)\), then \(\{A^kF_A : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) is a partition of \(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\). Therefore, an expansive matrix \(A\) always has a measurable complete wandering set \(F_A \subset \mathbb{R}^n\). That is, \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\) is the direct sum decomposition of the subspaces \(\{D_A^kL^2(F_A)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\), where \(D_A\) is the unitary operator defined by
\[
(D_Af)(x) = |\det A|^k f(Ax), \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
It is clear that any measurable set \(F'\) with \(F' \sim_{\delta_A} F_A\) has the same property.

Remark 2.1. Suppose \(E_A\) is an open bounded neighborhood of 0 such that \(E_A \subset AE_A\) and \(F_A = AE_A\setminus E_A\) has nonempty interior. If \(M\) is an \(n \times n\) real matrix which commutes with \(A\), for instance \(M = rA^j\) for \(r > 0\), \(j \in \mathbb{Z}\), then \(ME_A\) is still an open bounded neighborhood of 0 such that \(ME_A \subset AME_A\) and \(AME_A \setminus ME_A = MF_A\) has nonempty interior.

The following characterization of wavelet sets, whose proof can be seen in [DLS1] and [GH], will play a major role in this paper.
Proposition 2.2. A measurable set \( W \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is an \( A \)-dilation wavelet set if and only if \( W \) is both \( A^1 \)-dilation congruent to \( F_A^1 \), a complete wandering set for \( A^1 \), and \( 2\pi \)-translation congruent to \( E = [-\pi, \pi]^n \).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem on dual-dynamical system congruency. The existence of bounded wavelet sets is a corollary of it.

Theorem 2.3. Let \( A \) be an \( n \times n \) real expansive matrix. Suppose \( E \) and \( F \) are two bounded measurable sets in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( E \) contains a neighborhood of 0, and \( F \) has nonempty interior and \( F \) is bounded away from 0. Then there is a \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \) satisfying the following properties: \( E \cap (E + 2\pi k) \cong \emptyset \), and given any small \( \epsilon > 0 \) with \( B_\epsilon \subset E \), we can construct two measurable sets of positive measure \( G_1 \) and \( G_{11} \) with \( G_1 \subset B_\epsilon \subset E \) and \( G_{11} \subset E + 2\pi k \) such that \( G = G_1 \cup G_{11} \) is both \( A \)-dilation congruent to \( F \) and \( 2\pi \)-translation congruent to \( E \).

Proof. We first claim that we can choose a point \( c \in F^0 \), the interior of \( F \), an integer \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), an integer vector \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \), and a positive integer \( \alpha_1 > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(i)} & \quad A^{-m} E \subset B_{\alpha_1}, \\
\text{(ii)} & \quad c = 2\pi A^{-m} k, \\
\text{(iii)} & \quad E \cap (E + 2\pi k) \cong \emptyset,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2) \( A^{-m} (E + 2\pi k) \subset B_{\alpha_1} (c) \subset F \), (v) \( F \setminus B_{\alpha_1} (c) \) has positive measure.

Proof of the claim. Pick a point \( c_0 \neq 0 \) in the interior of \( F \) such that \( B_\alpha (c_0) \subset F \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \). Let \( \alpha_1 = \alpha / 2 \). Since \( A \) is expansive then \( \| A^{-m} \| \to 0 \) as \( m \to +\infty \) (this is implied by Lemma 2.1, or see [177 pp. 92–93]). So when \( m \) is sufficiently large we have

\(\text{(vi)} A^{-m} (E + [0, 2\pi]^n) \subset B_{\alpha_1} \).

Note that for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) there is a unique \( k_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) such that \( k_m - \frac{1}{2\pi} A^{-m} c_0 \in [0, 1)^n \). Write \( c_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} A^{-m} c_0 \). Since \( 0 < \| \frac{1}{2\pi} c_0 \| \leq \| A^{-m} \| \| c_m \| \), the sequence \( \{ \| c_m \| \} \) must be unbounded as \( m \to +\infty \), and thus \( \{ \| k_m \| \} \) is unbounded as \( m \to +\infty \), since \( k_m - c_m \in [0, 1)^n \). Therefore, we can choose a positive integer \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough such that (iii) and (vi) both hold. With this chosen \( m \) fixed, we define \( k = k_m \) and \( c = 2\pi A^{-m} k \). Then

\[
c - c_0 = 2\pi A^{-m} (k_m - \frac{1}{2\pi} A^{-m} c_0) \in 2\pi A^{-m} [0, 1)^n \subset B_{\alpha_1} = B_{\alpha_1} (c_0) - c_0.
\]

This means that \( c \in B_{\alpha_1} (c_0) \). Also, we have the inclusion \( B_{\alpha_1} (c) \subset B_{\alpha_1} (c_0) \subset F \), and know that \( F \setminus B_{\alpha_1} (c) \) has positive measure since \( \alpha_1 = \alpha / 2 \). The first inclusion in (iv) is implied by (i) and (ii). This completes the proof of the claim.

Now give any \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( B_\epsilon \subset E \). We construct inductively a disjoint family \( \{ G_{ij} : i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, 2 \} \) of measurable sets whose certain \( A^p \)-dilates, \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \), form a partition \( \{ F_{ij} \} \) of \( F \) and whose certain \( 2\pi q \)-translates, \( q \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), form a partition \( \{ E_{ij} \} \) of \( E \), modulo null sets, such that \( G_i = \bigcup_j G_{i1} \subset B_\epsilon \) and \( G_{11} = \bigcup_j G_{12} \subset E + 2\pi k \). For the first step, let \( F_{11} = F \setminus B_{\alpha_1} (c) \). Choose \( l_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( A^{l_1} F_{11} \subset B_\epsilon \subset E \). Let \( E_{11} = G_{11} \subset A^{l_1} F_{11} \). This set has positive measure, and is bounded away from 0 since \( F \) is. Fix \( \beta_1 \) such that \( 0 < \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| x \| : x \in E_{11} \} \) implying \( B_{\beta_1} \subset B_\epsilon \). Fix \( \alpha_2 \) with \( 0 < \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| A^{-m} x \| : x \in E \setminus B_{\beta_1} \} < \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \) by (i). Note that the set \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \| A^{-m} x \| = \alpha_2 \} \) is completely contained in \( B_{\beta_1} \) and is bounded away from 0, since \( \mathbb{R}^n : x \rightarrow A^{-m} x \) is a homeomorphism. Write
$V_1 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \| A^{-m}x \| < \alpha_2 \}$. Let $E_{12} = E \setminus (E_{11} \cup V_1)$, which has positive measure by the choice of $\alpha_2$. Also, let $G_{12} = E_{12} + 2k\pi$ and $F_{12} = A^{-m}G_{12}$. Then $F_{12} \subset B_{\alpha_2}(c) \setminus B_{\alpha_2}(c)$ by (iv) and the construction of $V_1$. For the second step, let

$$F_{21} = B_{\alpha_1}(c) \setminus (B_{\alpha_2}(c) \cup F_{12}) = \left( (B_{\alpha_1} \setminus A^{-m}E) \cup A^{-m}E_{11} \right) + c,$$

which has positive measure. Choose $l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A^{l_2}F_{21} \subset V_1$. Let $E_{21} = G_{21} = A^{l_2}F_{21}$, and notice that this set is bounded away from 0. Fix $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \inf \{ \| x \| : x \in E_{21} \cup \partial V_1 \} < \frac{1}{2} \beta_1$, where $\partial V_1$ is the boundary of $V_1$. Fix $\alpha_3$ with $0 < \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| A^{-m}x \| : x \in V_1 \setminus B_{\beta_2} \} < \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2$. Then the set $\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \| A^{-m}x \| = \alpha_3 \}$ is completely contained in $B_{\beta_2}$ and is bounded away from 0. Write $V_2 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \| A^{-m}x \| < \alpha_3 \}$. Let $E_{22} = V_1 \setminus (E_{21} \cup V_2)$, $G_{22} = E_{22} + 2k\pi$, and $F_{22} = A^{-m}G_{22}$. Then $F_{22} \subset B_{\alpha_3}(c) \setminus B_{\alpha_3}(c)$ by the construction of $V_1$ and $V_2$. For the third step, let

$$F_{31} = B_{\alpha_2}(c) \setminus (B_{\alpha_3}(c) \cup F_{22}) = A^{-m}(G_{21} + 2\pi k)$$

and so on.

Proceeding inductively, we obtain disjoint families of measurable sets $\{E_{ij}\} \subset E$, $\{F_{ij}\} \subset F$, and $\{G_{ij}\}$ such that

\begin{align*}
(2.3) & \quad G_{i1} = E_{i1}, \quad G_{i2} = E_{i2} + 2k\pi, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \\
(2.4) & \quad G_{i1} = A^i F_{i1}, \quad G_{i2} = A^m F_{i2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \\
(2.5) & \quad \text{(and also $F_{i1} = A^{-m}(G_{i-1,1} + 2\pi k)$, $i = 3, 4, \ldots$).}
\end{align*}

We have $E \setminus (\bigcup_{i,j} E_{ij}) = \{0\}$, a null set, and $F \setminus (\bigcup_{i,j} F_{ij}) = \{c\}$, a null set, since our procedure makes both $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i$ go to zero as $i \to \infty$. Then

$$G = \bigcup_{i,j} G_{ij} = \left( \bigcup_i E_{i1} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_i E_{i2} + 2k\pi \right) \subset B_c \cup (E + 2\pi \mathbb{Z})$$

is bounded. This finishes the proof. \hfill \Box

Corresponding to Dai, Larson and Speegle’s remark [DLS1] that an infinite pairwise disjoint family $\{G^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of wavelet sets can be constructed, now we briefly describe how to construct a countably infinite pairwise essentially disjoint family of bounded measurable sets, $\{G^{(r)}\}_{r=1}^\infty$, each of which is both $A$-dilation congruent to $F$ and $2\pi$-translation congruent to $E$. The construction procedure resembles the well-known diagonal rule for selecting a subsequence. Choose the same $c_0$ in the interior of $F$ such that $B_{\alpha}(c_0) \subset F$ for some $\alpha > 0$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha_i^{(r)} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots$. We can choose countably infinite triples $\{c^{(r)}, m^{(r)}, k^{(r)}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each triple satisfies the five conditions in (2.2) and such that the sets $E + 2\pi k^{(r)}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise essentially disjoint. Note that all these triples are chosen before we start to construct each $G^{(r)}$. The structure of each $G^{(r)} = \bigcup_{i,j} G^{(r)}_{ij}$ is similar to that of $G$ in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Obviously, $G^{(r)}_{i2} \cap G^{(s)}_{j2} \cong \emptyset$ if $r \neq s$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, by the choice of $k^{(r)}$ and (2.3). To ensure that all the sets $G^{(r)}_{ij} = E^{(r)}_{i1}$, $i, r \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise essentially disjoint, we have to construct them according to the following steps. In these steps each sign $\Rightarrow$ does not mean
implying or leading to, but means from the current step going or turning to the next step.

\[ F_{11}^{(1)} = F \backslash B_{\alpha_1}(c(1)) \cap \{ \beta(1) \}, \quad G_{11}^{(1)} = A^{(1)} F_{11}^{(1)} \subset B_{\epsilon}, \quad F_{11}^{(2)} = F \backslash B_{\alpha_2}(c(2)) \cap \{ \beta(2) \}, \]

\[ G_{12}^{(2)} = A^{(2)} F_{11}^{(2)} \subset B_{\epsilon} \backslash G_{11}^{(1)}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| x \| : x \in G_{11}^{(1)} \cup G_{11}^{(2)} \}, \]

\[ \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| A^{-m(x)} x \| : x \in E \backslash B_{\beta}(1) \}, \quad V_1^{(1)} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \| A^{-m(x)} x \| < \alpha_1 \}, \]

\[ G_{12}^{(1)} = E \backslash (G_{11}^{(2)} \cup V_1^{(1)}) + 2\pi k^{(1)}, \quad F_{12}^{(1)} = A^{-m(x)} G_{12}^{(1)}, \]

\[ F_{21}^{(1)} = B_{\alpha_3}(c(1)) \backslash (B_{\alpha_3}(c(2)) \cup F_{12}^{(1)}), \quad G_{21}^{(1)} = A^{(1)} F_{21}^{(1)} \subset V_1^{(1)}, \]

\[ F_{21}^{(3)} = F \backslash B_{\alpha_3}(c(3)), \quad G_{12}^{(3)} = A^{(3)} F_{11}^{(3)} \subset V_1^{(1)} \backslash G_{21}^{(3)}, \]

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| x \| : x \in G_{21}^{(3)} \cup G_{21}^{(3)} \cup \partial V_1^{(2)} \}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \| A^{-m(x)} x \| : x \in V_1^{(3)} \backslash B_{\beta}(1) \}, \]

\[ V_2^{(1)} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \| A^{-m(x)} x \| < \alpha_3 \}, \quad G_{22}^{(1)} = V_1^{(1)} \backslash (G_{21}^{(1)} \cup V_2^{(1)}) + 2\pi k^{(1)}, \]

\[ F_{22}^{(1)} = A^{-m(x)} G_{22}^{(1)}, \quad F_{31}^{(1)} = B_{\alpha_3}(c(1)) \backslash (B_{\alpha_3}(c(1)) \cup F_{22}^{(1)}), \]

\[ G_{31}^{(1)} = A^{(1)} F_{31}^{(1)} \subset V_1^{(2)}, \quad F_{11}^{(4)} = F \backslash B_{\alpha_4}(c(4)), \]

\[ [G_{11}^{(4)} = A^{(2)} F_{11}^{(4)} \subset V_1^{(2)} \backslash G_{31}^{(1)} \implies G_{21}^{(4)} \implies G_{31}^{(2)} \implies G_{41}^{(1)}] \implies [G_{21}^{(4)} \implies G_{31}^{(4)} \implies G_{41}^{(2)} \implies G_{51}^{(1)}] \cdots. \]

Once we substitute \( A^t \) for \( A \), \([-\pi, \pi)^n \) for \( E \), and \( F_{A^t} \), a complete wandering set of \( A^t \), for \( F \) in Theorem 2.3 and on account of Proposition 2.2, we get the following corollary immediately.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \( A \) be an \( n \times n \) real expansive matrix and \( E = [-\pi, \pi)^n \). Then, there exists \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \{ 0 \} \) satisfying the property that, given any \( \epsilon > 0 \) with \( B_{\epsilon} \subset E \), there exists an \( A \)-dilation wavelet set \( G = G_I \cup G_{II} \) such that \( G_I \subset B_{\epsilon} \) and \( G_{II} \subset E + 2\pi k \) are two measurable sets of positive measure.

In the proof of Theorem 2.3 (Corollary 2.4), we can construct for a suitable \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \{ 0 \} \), a sequence of wavelet sets \( G^{(l)} = G_I^{(l)} \cup G_{II}^{(l)} \) such that \( G_I^{(l)} \subset B_{\epsilon} \) and \( G_{II}^{(l)} \subset E + 2\pi k \) for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \). If \( \lim_{l \to \infty} \epsilon_l = 0 \), then \( \lim_{l \to \infty} m(G_I^{(l)}) = 0 \) and \( G^{(l)} \to E + 2\pi k \) as \( l \to \infty \) in the sense that the measure of their symmetric difference goes to 0 as \( l \to \infty \). But \( E + 2\pi k \) is not a wavelet set in general. For instance, in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), for the dyadic dilation \( A = 2 \), we know that no interval \([\pi, \pi) + 2\pi k, k \neq 0 \), can be a wavelet set since it is not a 2-dilation tile of \( \mathbb{R} \).

The following theorem gives a rough estimate for how close the \( k \) chosen in Corollary 2.4 can be to the origin 0.
Theorem 2.5. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ real expansive matrix, and let $A^t = T^{-1}CT$ for two real invertible matrices $T$ and $C$ with $\|C^{-1}\| < 1$ (as in Lemma 2.1). Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\|Tk\| > \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} |\|T\| + 1 + |\|C^{-1}\|| \|T\| - 1 - |\|C^{-1}\||,
$$

there exists an $A$-dilation wavelet set $G = G_I \cup G_{II}$ with $G_I \subset B_{\epsilon}$ and $G_{II} \subset E + 2\pi k$, where $E = [-\pi, \pi]^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is prefixed.

Proof. Examining the proof of Theorem 2.3, to construct a cube-approximating wavelet set we only need to choose $c \in F_{A^t}^\alpha$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that the five conditions in (2.2) are all satisfied. Then, the existence of a wavelet set $G = G_I \cup G_{II}$ is guaranteed by the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

To satisfy (i) $(A^t)^{-m}E \subset B_{\alpha_1}$, we can require

$$
\text{sup}\{\|(A^t)^{-m}x\| : x \in E\} \leq \|(A^t)^{-m}\| \sqrt{n} \pi := \alpha_1
$$

with $m \geq 0$ to be determined later. To satisfy (ii) $c = 2\pi(A^t)^{-m}k$, and (iv) $B_{\alpha_1} + c \subset F_{A^t}$, we can require

$$
B_{\alpha_1} + 2\pi(A^t)^{-m}k \subset \{(A^t)^{-1-m}W_r \setminus (A^t)^{-m}W_r : \subset F_{A^t},
$$

by Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.1, where $W_r = T^{-1}B_r$, with $m \geq 0$, $r > 0$ to be determined later. That is,

$$
T(A^t)^mB_{\alpha_1} + 2\pi Tk \subset CB_r \setminus B_r.
$$

Since $(C^{-1})^tC^{-1}$ is orthogonally similar to a diagonal real matrix, we see that $CB_r$ is a hyper-ellipsoid whose shortest half axis has length $r/\|C^{-1}\|$. While for each $m \geq 0$, $T(A^t)^mB_{\alpha_1}$ is a hyper-ellipsoid contained in the ball $B_{\alpha_1}$, where $\alpha = \alpha_1\|T\||C^{-1}||A^t|^m$. To satisfy (ii) and (iv), it suffices by (2.9) that we require

$$
r \leq 2\pi\|Tk\| - \alpha_1\|T\||C^{-1}||A^t|^m < 2\pi\|Tk\| + \alpha_1\|T\||C^{-1}||A^t|^m < \frac{r}{\|C^{-1}\|}.
$$

Taking $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{n}\pi\|A^{-1}\|^m$ from (2.7) into (2.10), we have

$$
r \leq 2\pi\|Tk\| - \sqrt{n}\pi\|T\|(\|A\||\|A^{-1}\||)^m
$$

$$
< 2\pi\|Tk\| + \sqrt{n}\pi\|T\|= (\|A\||\|A^{-1}\||)^m < \frac{r}{\|C^{-1}\|}.
$$

Since $\|A\||\|A^{-1}\|| \geq 1$, we take the minimum $m = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{n}\pi$. Then (2.11) becomes

$$
r \leq 2\pi\|Tk\| - \sqrt{n}\pi\|T\| < 2\pi\|Tk\| + \sqrt{n}\pi\|T\| < \frac{r}{\|C^{-1}\|}.
$$

We are ready to see that, when (2.6) is true and if we take $r = 2\pi\|Tk\| - \sqrt{n}\pi\|T\|$, (2.12) will be satisfied. Note that (v) $F_{A^t} \setminus B_{\alpha_1}(c)$ has positive measure, since the second inequality sign in (2.12) is strict, where $F_{A^t}$ is defined in (2.8). \qed

When (2.6) is true, we can take $m = 0$ in the previous proof. This is not unexpected. In the proof of Theorem 2.3, if we let $F_{A^t}^* = (A^t)^mF_{A^t}^*$, which is another complete wandering set for $A^t$, then we have $G_{I1} = (A^t)^{-1-m}F_{11}^*$ and $G_{I2} = F_{22}^*$ in (2.4), i.e. $m^* = 0$. The condition (2.6) by no means gives the maximum possible range of $k$ which admits a cube approximating wavelet set for all real expansive dilations as it is a very rough estimation. In one-dimensional R for the dyadic dilation $A = 2$, the inequality (2.6) reduces to $|k| > \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $|k| \geq 2$. Note that
because of the special structure of the wavelet sets $G$ constructed in Corollary 2.4, $|k|$ cannot be equal to 1 for dilation $A = 2$. This statement is implied by [HWW1, Proposition 2.3] with $\alpha = \frac{4}{3}$, which states that if $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a 2-dilation wavelet and $|\hat{\psi}|$ has support contained in $S_\alpha = \left[ \frac{-8}{3} \alpha, 4\pi - \frac{4}{3} \alpha \right]$ (or supported in $-S_\alpha$) for $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$, then $|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| = 0$ for a.e. $\xi \in H_\alpha = \left[ \frac{-2}{3} \alpha, 2\pi - \frac{4}{3} \alpha \right]$ (accordingly for a.e. $\xi \in -H_\alpha$). In one dimension for dilation $A = d > 1$, (2.6) reduces to $|k| > \frac{d+1}{2(d-1)}$, and if $d > 3$, any $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ will admit a bounded wavelet set.
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