PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 134, Number 5, Pages 1309–1314
S 0002-9939(05)08049-4
Article electronically published on October 4, 2005

# MULTIPLICATION AND DIVISION BY INNER FUNCTIONS IN THE SPACE OF BLOCH FUNCTIONS

DANIEL GIRELA, CRISTÓBAL GONZÁLEZ, AND JOSÉ ÁNGEL PELÁEZ

(Communicated by Juha M. Heinonen)

Abstract. A subspace $X$ of the Hardy space $H^1$ is said to have the $f$-property if $h/I \in X$ whenever $h \in X$ and $I$ is an inner function with $h/I \in H^1$. We let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the space of Bloch functions and $\mathcal{B}_0$ the little Bloch space. Anderson proved in 1979 that the space $\mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^\infty$ does not have the $f$-property. However, the question of whether or not $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ has the $f$-property was open. We prove that for every $p \in [1, \infty)$ the space $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ does not have the $f$-property.

We also prove that if $B$ is any infinite Blaschke product with positive zeros and $G$ is a Bloch function with $|G(z)| \to \infty$, as $z \to 1$, then the product $BG$ is not a Bloch function.

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

We denote by $\Delta$ the unit disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and by $H^p$ $(0 < p \le \infty)$ the classical Hardy spaces of analytic functions in $\Delta$ (see [6] and [9]). A function $I$, analytic in $\Delta$, is said to be an inner function if $I \in H^\infty$ and $I$ has a radial limit $I(e^{i\theta})$ of modulus one for almost every $e^{i\theta} \in \partial\Delta$.

Given a function $v \in L^\infty(\partial\Delta)$, the associated *Toeplitz operator* $T_v$ is defined by

$$(T_v f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Delta} \frac{v(\zeta) f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta \quad (f \in H^1,\ z \in \Delta).$$

**Definition 1.1.** A subspace $X$ of $H^1$ is said to have the $K$-property if $T_{\overline{\psi}}(X) \subset X$ for any $\psi \in H^\infty$.

**Definition 1.2.** A subspace $X$ of $H^1$ is said to have the $f$-property if $h/I \in X$ whenever $h \in X$ and $I$ is an inner function with $h/I \in H^1$.

These notions were introduced by Havin [12] and Korenblum [14]. The $K$-property implies the $f$-property: indeed, if $h \in H^1$, $I$ is inner and $h/I \in H^1$, then $h/I = T_{\overline{I}} h$.

In addition to the Hardy spaces $H^p$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ many other spaces such as the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ ([12], [14]) and several spaces of Dirichlet type (see [7], [15] and

Received by the editors April 22, 2004 and, in revised form, November 17, 2004.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D45, 30D50, 30D55.
*Key words and phrases.* Bloch functions, inner functions, Blaschke products, the $f$-property, the $K$-property, Toeplitz operators.
This research has been partially supported by a grant from "La Junta de Andalucía" (FQM-210) and by an MCyT grant BFM2001-1736, Spain.

[19]), the spaces $BMOA$ and $VMOA$ [13] and the spaces $Q_p$ $(0 < p < 1)$ [8], have the $K$-property.

Clearly, $H^1$ has the $f$-property but an argument of duality shows that it does not possess the $K$-property. Hedenmalm proved in [13] that $VMOA \cap H^\infty$ has the $f$-property but does not have the $K$-property. More generally, it is proved in [13] that no subspace of $H^\infty$ containing the disc algebra has the $K$-property.

The first example of a space not possessing the $f$-property was given by Gurarii [11] who proved that the space of analytic functions in $\Delta$ with an absolutely convergent power series does not have the $f$-property.

Recall that if $f$ is an analytic function in $\Delta$, then $f$ is said to be a Bloch function if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |f(0)| + \sup_{z\in\Delta}(1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

The space of all Bloch functions is denoted by $\mathcal{B}$. The little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_0$ consists of those $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\lim_{|z|\to 1}(1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0$. Alternatively, $\mathcal{B}_0$ is the closure of the polynomials in the Bloch norm. We mention [2] for the theory of Bloch functions. Let us recall that $H^\infty \subsetneq BMOA \subsetneq \mathcal{B}$ and $VMOA \subsetneq \mathcal{B}_0$.

Anderson proved in [1] that $\mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^\infty$ does not have the $f$-property. Consequently, the same is true for $\mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p$ for every $p \in [1,\infty)$. It is natural to ask the following question: Does $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ $(1 \le p \le \infty)$ have the $f$- or $K$-property?

Since $H^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$, we see that $\mathcal{B} \cap H^\infty = H^\infty$ which has the $f$-property but does not have the $K$-property. It is easy to prove the following result.

**Proposition 1.3.** *If $1 \le p < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ does not have the $K$-property.*

In fact, we can prove the following stronger result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *If $1 \le p < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ does not have the $f$-property.*

Next we shall consider products of the form $B \cdot f$ with $B \in H^\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$ but before doing so it is convenient to recall some definitions and results. If a sequence of points $\{a_n\}$ in $\Delta$ satisfies the *Blaschke condition* $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1-|a_n|) < \infty$, the corresponding Blaschke product $B$ is defined as

$$B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{|a_n|}{a_n}\frac{a_n - z}{1-\overline{a_n}z}\,.$$

Such a product is analytic in $\Delta$, and, in fact, it is an inner function. If there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that $\prod_{m\neq n}\left|\frac{a_n-a_m}{1-\overline{a}_n a_m}\right| \ge \delta$, for all $n$, we say that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is *uniformly separated* and that $B$ is an *interpolating Blaschke product*. Equivalently,

$$B \text{ is an interpolating Blaschke product} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \inf_{n\ge 1}(1-|a_n|^2)|B'(a_n)| > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Thus no interpolating Blascke product belongs to $\mathcal{B}_0$. Sarason [20] proved that $\mathcal{B}_0$ contains infinite Blaschke products. Other constructions of such products were given by Stephenson in [21] and Bishop in [3], where a description of all $H^\infty$-functions in $\mathcal{B}_0$ is also given.

We recall that a function $f$ which is meromorphic in $\Delta$ is a normal function in the sense of Lehto and Virtanen if

$$\sup_{z\in\Delta}(1-|z|^2)\frac{|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2} < \infty.$$

We refer to [2] and [17] for the theory of normal functions. Certainly, any Bloch function is normal. Using (1.1) we can deduce the following result.

**Proposition 1.5.** *If $B$ is an interpolating Blaschke product whose sequence of zeros is $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $G$ is an analytic function in $\Delta$ with $G(a_n) \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, then the function $f = B \cdot G$ is not normal (and, hence, it is not a Bloch function).*

Proposition 1.5 has been used by several authors (see e.g. [16], [5], [22], [23], [10] and [4]) to construct distinct classes of non-normal functions. We can prove a result of this kind dealing with Blaschke products with zeros in a radius but not necessarily interpolating.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let $B$ be an infinite Blaschke product whose sequence of zeros $\{a_n\}$ is contained in the radius $(0,1)$ and let $G$ be a Bloch function such that $G(z) \to \infty$, as $z \to 1$. Then the function $f = B \cdot G$ is not a Bloch function.*

## 2. Division by inner functions

Even though Proposition 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.4, we shall give a direct proof of it. We shall use the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The space $\mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ is the closure of the polynomials in $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$, that is, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p$ there exists a sequence of polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which converges to $f$ both in the Bloch norm and in the $H^p$-norm.*

*Proof.* Take $f \in \mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p$ with $1 \le p < \infty$. For $0 < r < 1$, set $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ $(z \in \Delta)$. Also set $r_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Using Theorem 2.1 of [2] and Theorem 2.6 of [6], we see that

$$\max\left(\|f - f_{r_n}\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \|f - f_{r_n}\|_{H^p}\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

For each $n$, $f_{r_n}$ is analytic in $\{|z| \le 1\}$, hence, we can find a polynomial $P_n(z)$ such that $|f_{r_n}(z) - P_n(z)| < \frac{1}{n}$ for $|z| \le 1$. Note that if $g \in H^\infty$, then $\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le 2\|g\|_{H^\infty}$ (see p. 13 of [2]) and $\|g\|_{H^p} \le \|g\|_{H^\infty}$. This and (2.1) give

$$\begin{aligned}\max(&\|f - P_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \|f - P_n\|_{H^p}) \\ &\le \max(\|f - f_{r_n}\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \|f - f_{r_n}\|_{H^p}) + \frac{2}{n} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Proposition* 1.3. Take $p \in [1, \infty)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ has the $K$-property. Then for any inner function $I$, we would have that $T_{\overline{I}}(\mathcal{B} \cap H^p) \subset \mathcal{B} \cap H^p$. Using the closed graph theorem, it would follow that $T_{\overline{I}}$ is continuous from $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ into itself. Now, bearing in mind that $T_{\overline{I}}$ maps polynomials into polynomials and using Lemma 2.1, we would deduce that $T_{\overline{I}}(\mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p) \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p$ for any inner function $I$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}_0 \cap H^p$ would possess the $f$-property. But, as mentioned above, this is not true. □

Before embarking into the proof of Theorem 1.4, let us note that if $f$ is an analytic function in $\Delta$ we shall set

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \sup_{|z| \le r} |f(z)|, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

*Proof of Theorem* 1.4. Let $B$ be an infinite Blaschke product in $\mathcal{B}_0$ whose sequence of zeros $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains a subsequence which tends to 1. Set

$$\varphi(r) = \sup_{r\le|z|<1} (1-|z|^2)|B'(z)|, \quad \phi(r) = \frac{1}{\varphi(r)}, \quad 0 \le r < 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$, it follows that $\phi(r) \uparrow \infty$, as $r \uparrow 1$.

Let $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $0 < \gamma_n < 1$ for all $n$ such that if

$$D = \Delta \cup \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < \gamma_n,\ \operatorname{Re} z > 0,\ n \le |z| < n+1\} \right) \tag{2.3}$$

and $F$ is the conformal mapping from $\Delta$ onto $D$ with $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(0) > 0$, then

$$M_\infty(r, F) = \mathrm{O}\left(\phi(r)\right), \quad \text{as } r \to 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Such a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ can be constructed using the Carathèodory kernel theorem (see Theorem 3.2 of [4] and its proof). Since $\operatorname{Im} F$ is bounded, it follows that

$$F \in BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}. \tag{2.5}$$

Also, it is clear that

$$F(z) \to \infty, \quad \text{as } z \to 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Set

$$f(z) = B(z)F(z), \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{2.7}$$

Using (2.2), (2.4), (2.5) and bearing in mind that $|B(z)| \le 1$, we deduce that there exist two positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| &\le (1-|z|^2)\,|B'(z)|\,|F(z)| + (1-|z|^2)\,|F'(z)|\,|B(z)| \\ &\le C_1\varphi(|z|)\phi(|z|) + C_2 = C_1 + C_2,\end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \Delta$. Hence, $f \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that (2.5) implies that $F \in H^p$ for all $p < \infty$, which, since $B$ is bounded, implies that the same is true for $f$. Consequently, we have proved that

$$f \in \mathcal{B} \cap H^p, \quad 1 \le p < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Now let $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of the sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that

$$a_{n_k} \to 1, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{is uniformly separated.} \tag{2.10}$$

Let $B_1$ be the Blaschke product whose sequence of zeros is $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and set

$$B_2 = \frac{B}{B_1}, \quad g = \frac{f}{B_2} = B_1 F. \tag{2.11}$$

It is clear that $B_2$ is a Blaschke product and that $g \in H^p$ for all $p < \infty$. Next we are going to prove that $g \notin \mathcal{B}$. Clearly, this implies that $\mathcal{B} \cap H^p$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ does not possess the $f$-property.

Since $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is uniformly separated, there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$(1-|a_{n_k}|^2)|B_1'(a_{n_k})| \ge A, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Then, using (2.9), (2.6), (2.11) and Proposition 1.5, we deduce that $g = f/B_2$ is not a Bloch function. □

## 3. Multiplication by Blaschke products with zeros in a radius

*Proof of Theorem* 1.6. Let $\{a_n\}$, $B$ and $G$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.6 and set $g = BG$. Take $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus (-1,1)$ such that $\alpha$ is not in the set $\{B(a) : a \in \Delta,\ B'(a) = 0\}$. Clearly, $B((0,1)) \subset (-1,1)$ and, hence, $\alpha$ is not a cluster point of $B|_{(0,1)}$. Then, using a result of Marshall and Sarason (see [18]), we deduce that the Frostman shift $B_\alpha$ defined by

$$B_\alpha(z) = \frac{B(z) - \alpha}{1 - \overline{\alpha} B(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{3.1}$$

is an interpolating Blaschke product. Thus, if $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is the sequence of zeros of $B_\alpha$, there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that

$$(1 - |b_n|^2)|B'_\alpha(b_n)| \geq \delta, \quad \text{for all } n. \tag{3.2}$$

Also, using Theorem 6.1 on p. 75 of [9], we easily see that $b_n \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$. Note that there exists two positive constants $A_1$ and $A_2$ such that

$$A_1|B'_\alpha(z)| \leq |B'(z)| \leq A_2|B'_\alpha(z)|, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

This and (3.2) give

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - |b_n|^2)|g'(b_n)| \geq &(1 - |b_n|^2)|B'(b_n)||G(b_n)| - (1 - |b_n|^2)|G'(b_n)||B(b_n)| \\ \geq &A_1(1 - |b_n|^2)|B'_\alpha(b_n)||G(b_n)| - \|G\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ \geq &A_1\delta|G(b_n)| - \|G\|_{\mathcal{B}} \to \infty, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $g$ is not a Bloch function. □

## References


1. J. M. Anderson, *On division by inner factors*, Comment. Math. Helv. **54**, 2 (1979), 309–317. MR0535061 (81d:30052)
2. J. M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **270** (1974), 12–37. MR0361090 (50:13536)
3. C. J. Bishop, *Bounded functions in the little Bloch space*, Pacific J. Math. **142** (1990), 209–225. MR1042042 (91b:30101)
4. O. Blasco, D. Girela and M. A. Márquez, *Mean growth of the derivative of analytic functions, bounded mean oscillation, and normal functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), no. 3, 893–912. MR1665796 (99m:30068)
5. D. M. Campbell, *Nonnormal sums and products of unbounded normal functions. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), no. 1, 202–203. MR0521899 (81j:30047)
6. P. L. Duren, *Theory of $H^p$ Spaces* (Academic Press, New York-London 1970. Reprint: Dover, Mineola, New York 2000). MR0268655 (42:3552)
7. K. M. Dyakonov, *Factorization of smooth analytic functions via Hilbert-Schmidt operators* (in Russian), Algebra i Analiz **8** (1996), no. 4, 1–42; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **8** (1997), no. 4, 543–569. MR1418253 (97m:46038)
8. K. M. Dyakonov and D. Girela, *On $Q_p$ spaces and pseudoanalytic extension*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A Math. **25** (2000), 477–486. MR1762431 (2001e:30056)
9. J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions* (Academic Press, New York-London, 1981). MR0628971 (83g:30037)
10. D. Girela, *On a theorem of Privalov and normal funcions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 2, 433–442. MR1363422 (97d:30046)
11. V. P. Gurarii, *The factorization of absolutely convergent Taylor series and Fourier integrals* (in Russian). Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, III, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **30** (1972), 15–32. MR0340622 (49:5374)

12. V. P. Havin, *On the factorization of analytic functions smooth up to the boundary* (in Russian), Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI. **22** (1971), 202–205. MR0289783 (44:6970)
13. H. Hedenmalm, *On the $f$- and $K$-properties of certain function spaces*, Contemporary Math. **91** (1989), 89–91. MR1002590 (90g:46076)
14. B. I. Korenblum, *An extremal property of outer functions* (in Russian), Mat. Zamet. **10** (1971), 53–56; translation in Math. Notes **10** (1971), 456–458 (1972). MR0288274 (44:5472)
15. B. I. Korenblum and V. M. Faivyshevskii, *A certain class of compression operators that are connected with the divisibility of analytic functions* (in Russian), Ukrain. Mat. Z. **24** (1972), 692–695; translation in Ukrainian Math. J. **24** (1973), 559–561. MR0320801 (47:9335)
16. P. Lappan, *Non-normal sums and products of unbounded normal functions*, Michigan Math. J. **8** (1961), 187–192. MR0131554 (24:A1403)
17. O. Lehto and K. J. Virtanen, *Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions*, Acta Math. **97** (1957), 47–75. MR0087746 (19:403f)
18. K. Y. Li, *Interpolating Blaschke products and the left spectrum of multiplication operators on the Bergman space*, Hokkaido Math. J. **21** (1992), no. 2, 295-304. MR1169796 (93h:30053)
19. M. Rabindranathan, *Toeplitz operators and division by inner functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1972), no. 6, 523–529. MR0306964 (46:6085)
20. D. Sarason, *Blaschke products in $\mathcal{B}_0$*, Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book, Lecture Notes in Math. **1043** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo 1984), 337–338. MR0734178 (85k:46001)
21. K. Stephenson, *Construction of an inner function in the little Bloch space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), no. 2, 713–720. MR0951624 (89k:30031)
22. S. Yamashita, *A nonnormal function whose derivative has finite area integral of order* $0 < p < 2$, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **4** (1979), no. 2, 293–298. MR0565879 (81k:30042)
23. S. Yamashita, *A nonnormal function whose derivative is of Hardy class $H^p$,* $0 < p < 1$, Canad. Math. Bull. **23** (1980), no. 4, 499–500. MR0602610 (82a:30046)

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain
*E-mail address*: girela@uma.es

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain
*E-mail address*: cmge@uma.es

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain
*E-mail address*: pelaez@anamat.cie.uma.es