

## A MATRICIAL CORONA THEOREM

TAVAN TRENT AND XINJUN ZHANG

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. We show that a usual corona-type theorem on a space of functions automatically extends to a matrix version.

In this paper we give a simple algebraic argument to extend the corona theorem for a given algebra of bounded functions to a one-sided infinite matrix corona theorem. In addition, we provide estimates for the size of solutions. For the algebra  $H^\infty(D)$ , this was established by Fuhrmann [5] for the finite matrix case and by Vasyunin (see Nikolski [7]) for the one-sided infinite case. We note that an important result of Treil [11] shows that a complete extension of the corona theorem to two-sided infinite matrices is not possible, in general. Our technique is similar to that of Fuhrmann [5], but our proofs are different. We prove a general version which can be applied to such algebras as the multipliers on Dirichlet space. Moreover, there is an  $H^p$  version for  $H^\infty(D^n)$ . Our results provide sharper estimates than those obtained by one of the authors, Zhang [15].

For ease of notation, we will assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes a multiplier algebra for a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of functions on  $\Omega$ . That is,  $H(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space of functions on  $\Omega$ , such that, for each  $w \in \Omega$ , there exists a unique  $k_w \in H(\Omega)$ , the reproducing kernel, which satisfies  $f(w) = \langle f, k_w \rangle_{H(\Omega)}$  for all  $f \in H(\Omega)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} = \{g \in H(\Omega) \mid M_g \in B(H(\Omega))\}$ , where  $(M_g f)(w) = g(w)f(w)$  for all  $w \in \Omega$ . If  $B$  is an algebra of bounded functions, which is not such a multiplier algebra, we only consider finite matrices, so the arguments appearing below are easily modified in this case.

For  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $z \in \Omega$ , we let  $F(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots)$  and define  $M_F(\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j g_j$ , an operator acting from  $\bigoplus_1^\infty H(\Omega)$  to  $H(\Omega)$ .  $M_F^T$  will denote the transpose of  $M_F$  acting from  $H(\Omega)$  to  $\bigoplus_1^\infty H(\Omega)$ .

Since  $M_F^*(k_w) = (f_1(\overline{w})k_w, f_2(\overline{w})k_w, \dots)^T$ , we see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j(w)|^2 \leq \|M_F\|^2 \text{ for all } w \in \Omega.$$

---

Received by the editors September 8, 2004 and, in revised form, January 13, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32A65, 46J20.

*Key words and phrases*. Matrix corona theorem.

This work was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0400307.

©2006 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

We assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies a “corona theorem”; that is,

**Theorem (CT).** *Assume that  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$  satisfies*

$$(1) \quad 0 < \delta^2 \leq F(z)F(z)^*$$

and

$$(2) \quad \max\{\|M_F\|, \|M_F^T\|\} = 1.$$

Then there exists  $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$ , such that if  $G = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$ ,

$$(a) \quad FG^T = 1 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$(b) \quad \max\{\|M_G\|, \|M_G^T\|\} < \infty.$$

When **CT** holds for  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $F$  satisfies (1) and (2), we define

$$C_1(\delta) = \inf\{\max\{\|M_G\|, \|M_G^T\|\} : FG^T = 1 \text{ and } G = (g_1, \dots) \text{ with } g_i \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

*Note.* (i) In some algebras such as multipliers on Dirichlet space

$$\|M_G^T\| < \infty \Rightarrow \|M_G\| < \infty,$$

so (2) above may be replaced by

$$(2') \quad \|M_F^T\| = 1.$$

(See Trent [12].)

(ii) For  $\mathcal{A} = H^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , bounded and open,

$$\|M_F\| = \|M_F^T\| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So (2) above is equivalent to  $(2') \sup_{z \in \Omega} (\sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j(z)|^2) = 1$ .

(iii) For  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_j)$ , a finite number of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , (2) may be omitted from the hypotheses.

[From (i), (ii), and (iii), we see that variations of **CT** involving changing hypothesis (2) are possible. Extensions of **CT** for such versions follow from our techniques below, but we will stick to the above formulation of **CT**.]

We establish the “matricial corona theorem” for such algebras  $\mathcal{A}$ , which satisfy **CT**.

**Theorem (MCT).** *Assume that **CT** holds for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $F$  denote an  $m \times \infty$  matrix of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying:*

$$(1) \quad 0 < \epsilon^2 I_m \leq F(z)F(z)^* \text{ for all } z \in \Omega$$

and

$$(2) \quad \max\{\|M_F\|, \|M_F^T\|\} = 1.$$

Then there exists an  $m \times \infty$  matrix  $G$  with entries in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$(a) \quad FG^T = I_m,$$

$$(b) \quad \max\{\|M_G\|, \|M_G^T\|\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, we may choose  $G$ , as above, so that

$$\max\{\|M_G\|, \|M_G^T\|\} \leq \sqrt{m} m! C_1 \left(\frac{\epsilon^{2m}}{m!}\right).$$

Denote the rows of  $F$  satisfying (1) and (2) of **MCT** by  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , we will denote the  $j$ th term of the Koszul complex with 0th element  $f_p$  by  $Q_p^{(j)}$ . This means that for each  $z \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker f_p(z) &\supseteq \operatorname{ran} Q_p^{(1)}(z), \\ (1) \quad \ker Q_p^{(1)}(z) &\supseteq \operatorname{ran} Q_p^{(2)}(z) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} f_p(z)^* f_p(z) + Q_p^{(1)}(z) Q_p^{(1)}(z)^* &= (f_p(z) f_p(z)^*) I, \\ (2) \quad Q_p^{(1)}(z)^* Q_p^{(1)}(z) + Q_p^{(2)}(z) Q_p^{(2)}(z)^* &= (f_p(z) f_p(z)^*) I \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Since at each  $z \in \Omega$ ,  $f_p(z) f_p(z)^* \geq \epsilon^2 > 0$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker f_p(z) &= \operatorname{ran} Q_p^{(1)}(z), \\ (3) \quad \ker Q_p^{(1)}(z) &= \operatorname{ran} Q_p^{(2)}(z) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$(4) \quad Q_p^{(j)}(z) Q_p^{(j+1)}(z) = -Q_p^{(j)}(z) Q_p^{(j+1)}(z)$$

and

$$(5) \quad f_1(z) Q_2^{(1)}(z) \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)}(z) Q_m^{(m-1)}(z)^* \cdots f_1(z)^* = \det(F(z) F(z)^*).$$

See the Appendix for the existence of  $\{Q_p^{(j)}(z)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and the above properties.

We will need a lemma.

**Lemma.** Let  $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ ,  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , and assume that

$$\max\{\|M_A\|, \|M_A^T\|\} < \infty.$$

Fix  $z \in \Omega$  and let

$$Q^{(j)}(z) = Q_A^{(j)}(z).$$

Then for  $1 \leq j$

$$\|M_{Q^{(j)}}\| \leq (j + 1) \|M_A\| \text{ and } \|M_{Q^{(j)}}^T\| \leq (j + 1) \|M_A^T\|.$$

*Proof.* We will show that the first inequality holds. The second follows in a similar manner. See the Appendix for notation. Let  $\mathcal{I}_j$  denote increasing  $j$ -tuples of

positive integers. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^{(j)}(z) * \underline{x}(z) &= \overline{A(z)} \wedge \underline{x}(z) \\
 &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{a_j(z)} e_j \right) \wedge \left( \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}_j} x_{\pi}(z) e_{\pi} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}_j} \overline{a_j(z)} x_{\pi}(z) e_j \wedge e_{\pi} \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{j+1}} \sum_{r=1}^{j+1} (-1)^{r-1} \overline{a_r(z)} x_{i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_r}, \dots, i_{j+1}} e_{i_1, \dots, i_{j+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|M_{Q^{(j)}}^* \underline{x}\|^2 &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{I}_{j+1} \\ (\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_{j+1}))}} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^{j+1} (-1)^{r-1} M a_{i_r}^* x_{\sigma - \{i_r\}} \right\|^2 \\
 &\leq (j+1) \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{j+1}} \sum_{r=1}^{j+1} \|M a_{i_r}^* x_{\sigma - \{i_r\}}\|^2 \\
 &= (j+1) \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{j+1}} (\|M a_{i_1}^* x_{\sigma - \{i_1\}}\|^2 + \dots \\
 &\quad + \|M a_{i_{j+1}}^* x_{\sigma - \{i_{j+1}\}}\|^2) \\
 &\leq (j+1) \|M_A\|^2 \left( \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_{j+1}} \|x_{i_2, \dots, i_{j+1}}\|^2 + \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_j} \|x_{i_1, \dots, i_j}\|^2 \right) \\
 &\leq (j+1)^2 \|M_A\|^2 \|\underline{x}\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|M_{Q^{(j)}}\| \leq (j+1) \|M_A\|.$$

□

We are ready to begin the proof of **MCT**.

*Proof.* (**MCT**) Since  $\epsilon^2 I_m \leq F(z)F(z)^*$  for  $z \in \Omega$ , we have  $\epsilon^{2m} \leq \det(F(z)F(z)^*)$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Thus, if we define

$$H(z) = f_1(z)Q_2^{(1)}(z) \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)}(z), \text{ then } H = (h_1, h_2, \dots)$$

with  $h_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\epsilon^{2m} \leq \det(F(z)F(z)^*) = H(z)H(z)^*$$

by (5). From the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_H\| &\leq \|M_{f_1}\| \|M_{Q_2^{(1)}}\| \cdots \|M_{Q_m^{(m-1)}}\| \leq \|M_{f_1}\| (2 \|M_{f_2}\|) \cdots (m \|M_{f_m}\|) \\ &= m! \prod_{j=1}^m \|M_{f_j}\| \\ &\leq m! \|M_F\|^m \\ &\leq m! , \text{ since } \|M_F\| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying **CT** to  $\frac{H}{m!}$  with  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!}$ , we get

$$R = (g_1, g_2, \dots) \text{ with } g_i \in \mathcal{A}$$

so that

$$H R^T = 1$$

and

$$\max\{\|M_R\|, \|M_R^T\|\} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\epsilon^m}{m!}\right).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} G^T &= [Q_2^{(1)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T, -Q_1^{(1)} Q_3^{(2)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T, \dots, \\ &\quad (-1)^{j-1} Q_1^{(1)} Q_2^{(2)} \cdots \widehat{Q_j^{(j)}} Q_{j+1}^{(j)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T, \dots, \\ &\quad (-1)^{m-1} Q_1^{(1)} \cdots Q_{m-1}^{(m-1)} R^T]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|M_{G^T}\| \leq \sqrt{m} m! C_1 \left(\frac{\epsilon^m}{m!}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\|M_G\| \leq \sqrt{m} m! C_1 \left(\frac{\epsilon^m}{m!}\right).$$

We need only check that

$$F G^T = I_m.$$

But

$$f_j G^T = [f_j Q_2^{(1)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T, \dots, (-1)^{m-1} f_j Q_1^{(1)} \cdots Q_{m-1}^{(m-1)} R^T].$$

The indices of the  $Q$ 's in each term consist of  $I_p = \{1, \dots, m\} - \{p\}$  for  $p = 1, \dots, m$ . For  $p \neq j$ , we see that

$$(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_j Q_1^{(1)} \cdots Q_{p-1}^{(p-1)} Q_{p+1}^{(p)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T = 0.$$

This follows since  $f_j Q_j^{(1)} = 0$  and  $Q_s^{(r)} Q_t^{(r+1)} = -Q_t^{(r)} Q_s^{(r+1)}$ , so since  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\} - \{p\}$ , we may “anti-” commute the  $Q$ 's until we get

$$(*) = f_j Q_j^{(1)} \cdots R^T = 0.$$

Otherwise,  $p = j$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{j-1} f_j Q_1^{(1)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(j-1)} Q_{j+1}^{(j)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T \\ &= (-1)^{j-2} f_1 Q_j^{(1)} Q_2^{(2)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(j-1)} Q_{j+1}^{(j)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T \\ &= (-1)^{j-3} f_1 Q_2^{(1)} Q_j^{(2)} Q_3^{(3)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(j-1)} Q_{j+1}^{(j)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= f_1 Q_2^{(1)} Q_3^{(2)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} R^T \\ &= H R^T \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof of the matricial corona theorem. □

Applications:

(1) For  $\mathcal{A} = H^\infty(D)$ , the finite corona theorem is due to Carleson [2] and the infinite corona theorem is due to Rosenblum [8] and Tolokonnikov [10], independently. Our results give the matricial corona theorem due to Fuhrmann and Vasyunin. In this case, our conditions (\*) show that if  $\epsilon^2 I_m \leq F(z)F(z)^* \leq I_m$ , then  $\|M_{Q_p^{(i)}}\| \leq 1$ . Therefore, if  $F$  is an  $m \times \infty$  matrix with entries  $f_{ij} \in H^\infty(D)$  satisfying  $\delta^2 I_m \leq F(z)F(z)^* \leq I_m$  for all  $z \in D$ , we get an  $\infty \times m$  solution  $G$  with entries  $f_j \in H^\infty(D)$  satisfying

$$\|M_G\| = \|M_G^T\| \leq \sqrt{m} C_1(\delta^m).$$

For  $\delta \approx 0$ , the best estimate of  $C_1(\delta)$  is  $\frac{C_0}{\delta^2} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$  due to Uchiyama (see Nikolski [7]).

So we only get

$$\|M_G\| = \|M_G^T\| \leq \sqrt{m} \frac{C_0}{\delta^{2m}} \log \frac{1}{\delta^m}.$$

We note that for this particular case, where  $\mathcal{A} = H^\infty(D)$ , a better estimate in the matricial corona theorem due to Trent [13] gives

$$\|M_G\| \leq \frac{C_0}{\delta^{m+1}} \log \frac{1}{\delta^m}.$$

(2) If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a finitely connected domain, then the corona theorem holds for  $H^\infty(\Omega)$  by Stout [9] and Forelli [4]. (See Fisher [3] for an exposition of these results.) Our result gives a matricial version.

(3) For  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}^2(D) \cap H^\infty(D)$  (where  $\mathcal{D}^2(D)$  denotes Dirichlet space), by results of Nicolau [6],  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies a “finite” corona theorem. Thus our results give a “finite” matricial version corona theorem for  $\mathcal{D}^2(D) \cap H^\infty(D)$ .

(4) For  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}^2(D))$ , multipliers on  $\mathcal{D}^2(D)$ , the  $\infty$ -corona theorem is due to Trent [12]. Our results give a matricial corona theorem for  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}^2(D))$ .

(5) For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^p(D^n) &= \{f : D^n \rightarrow l^2 \mid f \text{ is analytic, } l^2\text{-valued in } D^n \text{ and} \\ & \|f\|_p^p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{r \nearrow 1} \left( \int_{T^n} \|f(r e^{it_1}, r e^{it_2}, \dots, r e^{it_n})\|_2^p d\sigma(t_1) \cdots d\sigma(t_n) < \infty \right) \}. \end{aligned}$$

In [14], Trent has proven the  $\mathcal{H}^p$ -corona theorem.

**Theorem (HP-CT).** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(D^n)$  satisfy  $0 < \epsilon^2 \leq F(z)F(z)^* \leq 1$  for all  $z \in D^n$ . Then the Toeplitz operator,  $T_F : \mathcal{H}^p(D^n) \rightarrow H^p(D^n)$ , is onto for each  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .*

[Note: The case that  $p = \infty$  is an unsolved problem for the polydisk.]

A modification of the proof of **MCT** leads to an  $H^p$ -matricial corona theorem. That is,

**Theorem (HP-MCT).** *Let  $F$  be a  $m \times \infty$  matrix of elements of  $H^\infty(D^n)$ . Assume that*

$$0 < \epsilon^2 I_m \leq F(z)F(z)^* \leq I_m.$$

*Then for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_F : \mathcal{H}^p(D^n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^m H^p(D^n)$ , is onto.*

*Proof.* We will outline the modifications of the proof of the **MCT** necessary for this proof. First

$$\|T_F\| = \sup_{z \in D^n} \|F(z)\|_{B(l^2, \mathbb{C}^m)} \leq 1$$

by hypothesis. For

$$H(z) = f_1(z) Q_{f_2}^{(1)}(z) \cdots Q_{f_m}^{(m-1)}(z)$$

with  $z \in D^n$ , we have

$$\epsilon^{2m} \leq H(z)H(z)^* \leq 1.$$

This follows since

$$Q_{f_j}^{(r)}(z)Q_{f_j}^{(r)}(z)^* \leq (f_j(z)f_j(z)^*)I \leq I.$$

Thus  $T_H : \mathcal{H}^p(D^n) \rightarrow H^p(D^n)$  is onto. Let  $\underline{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_m) \in \bigoplus_1^m H^p(D^n)$ . Choose  $u_k \in H^p(D^n)$ , so that  $T_H(u_k) = H u_k = h_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Let

$$\underline{g}^T = [Q_2^{(1)} \cdots Q_m^{(m-1)} u_1, \dots, (-1)^{m-1} Q_1^{(1)} \cdots Q_{m-1}^{(m-1)} u_m].$$

Then

$$F \underline{g} = \underline{h}.$$

Moreover,  $\underline{g}$  can be chosen so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{g}\|_p^p &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \|u_j\|_p^p \\ &\leq \|\underline{h}\|_p^p \\ &\leq m \|[T_H |_{(\ker T_H)^\perp}]^{-1}\|^p \|h\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\|g\|_p \leq m^{\frac{1}{p}} C_1(\epsilon^m, p) \|h\|_p.$$

Here  $C_1(\delta, p)$  denotes

$$\|(T_F |_{(\ker T_F)^\perp})^{-1}\|_{B(H^p(D^n), \mathcal{H}^p(D^n))}.$$

The estimate for  $C_1(\delta, p)$  in [14] is

$$C_1(\delta, p) \leq \frac{C_0 p^{2n-1}}{\delta^{3n+1}}.$$

So

$$C_m(\delta, p) \leq \frac{m^{\frac{1}{p}} C_0 p^{2n-1}}{\delta^{(3n+1)m}}$$

gives the matricial estimate. □

APPENDIX

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of functions defined on  $\Omega$ . The basic exterior algebra proof of the linear algebra results we need concerning the Koszul complex can be found in, for example, Birkhoff-Mac Lane [1, Problem 4, page 566].

We will sketch the basic idea. Note that although our operators defined below are (of course) “bases free”, it is only with respect to a particular choice of basis that the entries of the corresponding matrices belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For our notation,  $l_{(n)}^2$  will denote the exterior product of  $l^2$  with itself  $n$ -times, i.e.  $l_{(n)}^2 = l^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge l^2$  ( $n$  times). For  $n = 0$ ,  $l_{(0)}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  denote the standard basis in  $l^2$ . If  $\mathcal{I}_n$  denotes increasing  $n$ -tuples of positive integers and if  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$ , we let  $\pi_n = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ , and abusing notation, we write  $\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .

Define  $e_{\pi_n} = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n}$ . Then  $\{e_{\pi_n}\}_{\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n}$  denotes the standard basis for  $l_{(n)}^2$ .

For  $f_j \sim \{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $v_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , we assume that  $\epsilon^2 \leq f_j(\mathbf{z})f_j(\mathbf{z})^* \leq 1$  for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega$ . Fix  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega$ . For  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  define

$$Q_j^{(n)*}(\mathbf{z}) : l_{(n)}^2 \rightarrow l_{(n+1)}^2$$

by

$$Q_j^{(n)*}(\mathbf{z})(w_n) = \overline{f_j(\mathbf{z})} \wedge w_n, \text{ where } w_n \in l_{(n)}^2.$$

Clearly (1) holds and since

$$Q_j^{(n+1)*}(\mathbf{z})Q_k^{(n)*}(\mathbf{z})(w_n) = \overline{f_j(\mathbf{z})} \wedge \overline{f_k(\mathbf{z})} \wedge w_n = -Q_k^{(n+1)*}(\mathbf{z})Q_j^{(n)*}(\mathbf{z})(w_n)$$

we see that (4) holds.

Now

$$Q_j^{(n)*}(\mathbf{z})(e_{\pi_n}) = \sum_{p=1}^\infty \overline{v_p(\mathbf{z})} e_p \wedge e_{\pi_n},$$

so with respect to the standard basis, entries of  $Q_j^{(n)*}(\mathbf{z})$  are 0 or else  $\pm \overline{v_n(\mathbf{z})}$  for some  $n$ . Thus  $Q^{(n)}(\cdot)$  has entries belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the standard basis.

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega$  and let  $a = \overline{f_j(\mathbf{z})}$ , for fixed  $j$ , and  $Q_n = Q_j^{(n)}(\mathbf{z})$ . Then  $Q_n^*(w_n) = a \wedge w_n$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $l^2$  with  $u_1 = \frac{a}{\|a\|}$ . (Note that  $\|a\|^2 \geq \epsilon^2$ .) Then it follows that for  $\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n$  and  $u_{\pi_n} = u_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{i_n}$ , we have that  $\{u_{\pi_n}\}_{\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $l_{(n)}^2$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(w_{n+1}) &= \sum_{\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n} \langle Q_n(w_{n+1}), u_{\pi_n} \rangle u_{\pi_n} \\ &= \sum_{\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n} \langle w_{n+1}, a \wedge u_{\pi_n} \rangle u_{\pi_n} \\ (6) \qquad &= \|a\| \sum_{\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n} \langle w_{n+1}, u_1 \wedge u_{\pi_n} \rangle u_{\pi_n}. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to show that for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ,

$$(7) \qquad Q_n^* Q_n + Q_{n+1} Q_{n+1}^* = \|a\|^2 I_{l_{(n+1)}^2}.$$

For  $n = 0$ ,  $\frac{Q_0^*Q_0}{\|a\|^2}$  is the rank one projection of  $l^2$  onto  $a$ . So given (7),  $\frac{Q_1Q_1^*}{\|a\|^2}$  is a projection. But then  $\frac{Q_1^*Q_1}{\|a\|^2}$  must be a projection. Applying (7) again, we see that  $\frac{Q_2Q_2^*}{\|a\|^2}$  is a projection. Repeating this procedure, we conclude that  $\frac{Q_nQ_n^*}{\|a\|^2}$  is the projection onto the range of  $Q_n$ . Also, given (7), it follows that  $\text{Ker } Q_n = \text{ran } Q_{n+1}$ .

To prove (7) it suffices to check that for  $w_{n+1} \in l^2_{(n+1)}$ ,

$$(8) \quad \|Q_n(w_{n+1})\|^2 + \|Q_{n+1}^*(w_{n+1})\|^2 = \|a\|^2\|w_{n+1}\|^2.$$

Denote  $w_{n+1}$  by  $w$ . Then from (8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_n(w)\|^2 &= \|a\|^2 \sum_{\substack{\pi_n \in \mathcal{I}_n \\ 1 \notin \pi_n}} |\langle w, u_{1,\pi_n} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \|a\|^2 \sum_{\substack{\pi_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \\ 1 \in \pi_{n+1}}} |\langle w, u_{\pi_{n+1}} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+1}^*(w) &= a \wedge \underline{w} = \|a\| u_1 \wedge \sum_{\pi_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}} \langle w, u_{\pi_{n+1}} \rangle u_{\pi_{n+1}} \\ &= \|a\| \sum_{\substack{\pi_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \\ 1 \notin \pi_{n+1}}} \langle w, u_{\pi_{n+1}} \rangle u_1 \wedge u_{\pi_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we compute that

$$\|Q_{n+1}^*(w)\|^2 = \|a\|^2 \sum_{\substack{\pi_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \\ 1 \notin \pi_{n+1}}} |\langle w, u_{\pi_{n+1}} \rangle|^2.$$

So (7) holds.

Let  $a = f_j(\mathbf{z})$  and  $b = f_k(\mathbf{z})$  with  $j \neq k$ . Then

$$b = \langle b, a \rangle \frac{a}{\|a\|^2} + b_\perp, \text{ where } b_\perp \perp a.$$

We claim that  $Q_b^{(n)*}Q_a^{(n)} + Q_a^{(n+1)}Q_b^{(n+1)*} = \langle a, b \rangle I$ .

If  $b$  is replaced by  $b = \langle b, a \rangle \frac{a}{\|a\|^2}$ , this follows from (7). So we need only check that, if  $c \perp a$  in  $l^2$ , then

$$Q_c^{(n)*}Q_a^{(n)} + Q_a^{(n+1)}Q_c^{(n+1)*} = 0.$$

This easily follows by choosing an orthonormal basis for  $l^2$ ,  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  with  $u_1 = \frac{a}{\|a\|}$  and  $u_2 = \frac{c}{\|c\|}$ .

For  $k = 2, \dots, m$ , let  $a_k' = P_{sp\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}}^\perp(a_k)$ . Then, using (7) and (4) repeatedly, we see that for  $1 \leq r \leq m$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega$  fixed,

$$a_1Q_{a_2}^{(1)} \dots Q_{a_r}^{(r-1)}Q_{a_r}^{(r-1)*} \dots a_1^* = \|a_1\|^2 \prod_{j=2}^r \|a_j'\|^2.$$

So when  $r = m$ , (5) holds. That is,

$$a_1 Q_{a_2}^{(1)} \dots Q_{a_m}^{(m-1)} Q_{a_m}^{(m-1)*} \dots a_1^* = \|a_1\|^2 \prod_{j=2}^m \|a'_j\|^2 = \det(F(\mathbf{z})F(\mathbf{z})^*).$$

This completes the proof of the linear algebra material.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff and S. Mac Lane, *Algebra*, MacMillan, Toronto, 1971.
- [2] L. Carleson, *Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Annals of Math. **76** (1962), 547-559. MR0141789 (25:5186)
- [3] S. Fisher, *Function Theory on Planar Domains, a Second Course in Complex Analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1983. MR0694693 (85d:30001)
- [4] F. Forelli, *Bounded holomorphic functions and projections*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 367-380. MR0193534 (33:1754)
- [5] P. A. Fuhrmann, *On the corona theorem and its applications to spectral problems in Hilbert space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 55-66. MR0222701 (36:5751)
- [6] A. Nicolau, *The corona property for bounded analytic functions in some Besov spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 135-140. MR1017007 (90m:46090)
- [7] N. K. Nikolski, *Treatise on the Shift Operator*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. MR0827223 (87i:47042)
- [8] M. Rosenblum, *A corona theorem for countably many functions*, Integral Equa. Oper. Theory **3** (1980), 125-137. MR0570865 (81e:46034)
- [9] E. L. Stout, *Bounded holomorphic functions on finite Riemann surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 255-285. MR0183882 (32:1358)
- [10] V. A. Tolokonnikov, *Estimates in Carleson's corona theorem and finitely generated ideals in the algebra  $H^\infty(D)$* , Functional Anal. I Prilozhen **14** (1980), 85-86 (in Russian). MR0595742 (82a:46058)
- [11] S. R. Treil, *Angles between coinvariant subspaces and an operator-valued corona problem, a question of Szökefalvi-Nagy*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **38** (1989), 394-399. MR0981054 (90b:47057)
- [12] T. T. Trent, *A corona theorem for multipliers on Dirichlet space*, Integral Equa. Oper. Theory **49** (2004), 123-139. MR2057771
- [13] ———, *A new estimate for the vector-valued corona problem*, J. Func. Anal. **189** (2002), 267-282. MR1887635 (2002m:30067)
- [14] ———, *An  $H^p$ -corona theorem on the bidisk for infinitely many functions*, submitted.
- [15] X. Zhang, *A matrix version of corona theorem for algebras of functions on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, August 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350, TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA 35487-0350

*E-mail address:* `ttrent@gp.as.ua.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350, TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA 35487-0350

*E-mail address:* `zhang010@bama.ua.edu`