

## A THEOREM ON REFLEXIVE LARGE RANK OPERATOR SPACES

LIFENG DING

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. If every nonzero operator in an  $n$ -dimensional operator space  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank  $\geq 2n$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive.

Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$  be the space of all linear operators on  $\mathbb{V}$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{S}$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ . The *reflexive closure* of  $\mathbb{S}$  is given by

$$\text{ref } \mathbb{S} = \{t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V}) : tx \in \mathbb{S}x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{V}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{S}x = \{sx : s \in \mathbb{S}\}$ , which is called the *cyclic subspace*. We note that every element  $t$  of  $\text{ref } \mathbb{S}$  interpolates  $\mathbb{S}$ , or  $t$  is locally in  $\mathbb{S}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \text{ref } \mathbb{S}$ . If  $\mathbb{S} = \text{ref } \mathbb{S}$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is said to be *reflexive*. The reflexivity problem was originally introduced as a topological notion by P. Halmos to describe those operator algebras that are determined by their closed invariant subspace lattices of a Hilbert space. Loginov and Sulman extended reflexivity to include operator subspaces which are not necessarily algebras. The purely algebraic version of reflexivity and a unified approach was introduced by Hadwin [4, 5]. When the operator subspace is finite dimensional the topological version and the algebraic version of reflexivity coincide. The reflexivity problem is far from being complete. A historical account can be found in [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8].

A well-known result of Larson [6] asserts that for the finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{S}$ ,  $\text{ref } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S} + \text{ref } \mathbb{S}_F$ , where  $\mathbb{S}_F$  is the collection of all finite rank operators in  $\mathbb{S}$ . Hence if  $\mathbb{S}_F = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive. In [2, 3] we further find that if the rank of each nonzero operator in  $\mathbb{S}$  is large enough, then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive. For example, in [2] we proved that if the dimension of  $\mathbb{S}$  is  $n$ , and every nonzero operator of  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank  $> 2n^2 - n$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive. This result was improved to that if every nonzero operator of  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank  $> n^2$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive [3]. In this paper we lower the rank to  $2n$ . This is achieved by making full use of Proposition 1.1 in [3] to prove a generalized version of Theorem 2.1 in [3] and using Theorem 1.2 in [3].

A vector  $x$  is a *separating vector* for  $\mathbb{S}$  if the map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}x$  by sending  $s$  to  $sx$  is injective. A linear subspace  $\mathbb{M}$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  is said to be a *separating subspace* for  $\mathbb{S}$  if the only member  $s$  of  $\mathbb{S}$  satisfying  $s(\mathbb{M}) = \{0\}$  is  $s = 0$ . It is easy to see that if  $\mathbb{S}$  has a separating vector  $x$ , then any subspace containing  $x$  is a separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$ . However, if the dimension of a separating subspace is greater than 1, then  $\mathbb{S}$  may

---

Received by the editors May 2, 2001 and, in revised form, November 8, 2004.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47L05; Secondary 15A04.  
*Key words and phrases*. Reflexive operator space, separating vector.

not have a separating vector. Trivially,  $\mathbb{V}$  is a separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$ . Of course, if  $\mathbb{M}$  is a separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$ , then any subspace containing  $\mathbb{M}$  is a separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$ . In [3] we proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 1.2, [3]). *Suppose the linear subspace  $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$  has a separating vector  $x$  and a separating subspace  $\mathbb{M}$  satisfying*

- (1)  $\dim \mathbb{S} < \text{cardinality of the field } \mathbb{F}$ ,
- (2)  $\mathbb{S}x \cap \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{M}) = \{0\}$ .

*Then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive.*

**Proposition 2** (Proposition 1.1, [3]). *Suppose  $\mathbb{S}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ , and the dimension of  $\mathbb{S}$  is less than the cardinality of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $x$  be a separating vector for  $\mathbb{S}$ , and let  $\mathbb{W}$  be a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{V}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{S}x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ . Then for each vector  $y \in \mathbb{V}$  there is a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $y + \lambda x$  is a separating vector for  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\mathbb{S}(y + \lambda x) \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ .*

The proof of the proposition is obtained by using the mapping  $\phi = E_x^{-1} \cdot P \cdot E_y$  on  $\mathbb{S}$  where  $E_y$  is an evaluation map, and  $P$  is a projection with range  $\mathbb{S}x$ , and the fact that the number of different eigenvalues of  $\phi$  is less than the cardinality of the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . The next Theorem 3 is a generalized version of Theorem 2.1 in [3].

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose  $\mathbb{V}$  is a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathbb{W}$  be a finite-dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{V}$ , and let  $\mathbb{S}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ , where  $n$  is less than the cardinality of the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . If every nonzero operator in  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank greater than or equal to  $n + \dim \mathbb{W}$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  has a separating vector  $x$  such that  $\mathbb{S}x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the dimension  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{S} = \text{span}\{s\}$ , and rank of  $s \geq 1 + \dim \mathbb{W}$ . Choose a vector  $y \in \text{range of } s$  and  $y \notin \mathbb{W}$ . Let  $sx = y$ . Then  $x$  separates  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\mathbb{S}x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ .

Assume the statement is true for each  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ . We consider  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{S}$ . Let  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{S}$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{S}'$  the linear span of  $\{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ . By the induction assumption we get a separating vector  $x$  for  $\mathbb{S}'$  and  $\mathbb{S}'x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ .

If  $s_n x \notin \text{linear span}\{\mathbb{S}'x, \mathbb{W}\}$ , then for any scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}$ , with  $\alpha_1 s_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1} x + \alpha_n s_n x \in \mathbb{W}$ , we have  $\alpha_n s_n x = z - (\alpha_1 s_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1} x)$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{W}$ . Since  $s_n x \notin \text{linear span}\{\mathbb{S}'x, \mathbb{W}\}$ ,  $\alpha_n s_n x = 0$ , and  $z - (\alpha_1 s_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1} x) = 0$ . It follows that  $\alpha_n = 0$ , and  $z = 0$  and  $\alpha_1 s_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1} x = 0$ , since  $\mathbb{S}'x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ . Then  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0$ . This proves that  $\mathbb{S}x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ , and  $s_1 x, \dots, s_{n-1} x, s_n x$  are linearly independent, and hence  $x$  is a separating vector for  $\mathbb{S}$ . The proof of the theorem is done in this case. So we need only consider the case that  $s_n x \in \text{linear span}\{\mathbb{S}'x, \mathbb{W}\}$ .

Let  $s_n x = (\alpha_1 s_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1} x) + w$ , for some scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ , and  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . Replacing  $s_n$  by  $s'_n = s_n - \alpha_1 s_1 - \dots - \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1}$ , we may assume  $s_n x \in \mathbb{W}$ .

Since rank of  $s_n \geq n + \dim \mathbb{W}$ , there is  $y \in \mathbb{V}$  such that  $s_n y \notin \text{linear span of}\{\mathbb{S}'x, \mathbb{W}\}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{S}'x \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ , so this also implies that  $\mathbb{S}'x \cap \text{linear span}\{s_n y, \mathbb{W}\} = \{0\}$ . By Proposition 2, there is scalar  $\lambda$  so that  $y + \lambda x$  separates  $\mathbb{S}'$ , and  $\mathbb{S}'(y + \lambda x) \cap \text{linear span}\{s_n y, \mathbb{W}\} = \{0\}$ . We next claim  $y + \lambda x$  is a separating vector for  $\mathbb{S}$ .

Let  $t \in \mathbb{S}'$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $(t + \alpha s_n)(y + \lambda x) = 0$ . Then

$$t(y + \lambda x) = -\alpha s_n(y + \lambda x) = -\alpha s_n y - \alpha \lambda s_n x,$$

where  $\alpha \lambda s_n x \in \mathbb{W}$ . By the disjointness of  $\mathbb{S}'(y + \lambda x)$  and linear span  $\{s_n y, \mathbb{W}\}$ , we have  $t(y + \lambda x) = 0$ , and  $\alpha s_n y = -\alpha \lambda s_n x$ . Hence  $t = 0$ , and  $\alpha = 0$ , since  $s_n y \notin \mathbb{W}$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

Finally, we show that  $\mathbb{S}(y + \lambda x) \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ . Indeed, suppose there are scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  satisfying

$$\alpha_1 s_1(y + \lambda x) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1}(y + \lambda x) + \alpha_n s_n(y + \lambda x) \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Then  $\alpha_1 s_1(y + \lambda x) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1}(y + \lambda x) + \alpha_n s_n(y + \lambda x) = z$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{W}$ . It follows that

$$-\alpha_n s_n y = (\alpha_1 s_1(y + \lambda x) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1}(y + \lambda x)) + (\alpha_n \lambda s_n x - z).$$

Since  $\alpha_n \lambda s_n x - z \in \mathbb{W}$  and  $s_n y \notin$  linear span of  $\{\mathbb{S}'x, \mathbb{W}\}$ , we conclude that  $\alpha_n s_n y = 0$ . It follows that  $\alpha_n = 0$ . Again, the disjointness of  $\mathbb{S}'(y + \lambda x) \cap \mathbb{W} = \{0\}$  implies that  $z = 0$ , and  $\alpha_1 s_1(y + \lambda x) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} s_{n-1}(y + \lambda x) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0.$$

□

Before stating our next result we set the notation below. If  $\mathbb{M}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{V}$ , we write  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{M})$  for the linear span of  $\{s(\mathbb{M}) : s \in \mathbb{S}\}$ . We also assume that the cardinality of the field  $\mathbb{F}$  is greater than the dimension of  $\mathbb{S}$ . Combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 1, we immediately have the following conclusion.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{S}$  an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{M}$  is a separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{M})$  is finite dimensional. If every nonzero operator in  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank greater than or equal to  $n + \dim \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{M})$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3 there is a separating vector  $x$  for  $\mathbb{S}$  such that  $\mathbb{S}x \cap \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{M}) = \{0\}$ . The conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 1. □

In [2, 3] we actually obtained several separating subspaces. For example, in Theorem 2.3 [3] we assume every nonzero operator in  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank greater than  $n^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{S}$  has a separating vector  $x$ . Let  $\mathbb{W}$  be a vector space complement of  $\mathbb{S}x$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then  $\mathbb{U} = \{u \in \mathbb{V} : \mathbb{S}u \subseteq \mathbb{W}\}$  is a separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$ . Theorem 4 opens a door to lower the rank requirement. A much better improvement of lowering the rank is ready now. Before we state the improved result we give a corollary of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and suppose  $\mathbb{S}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ , where  $n$  is less than the cardinality of the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then if every nonzero operator in  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank  $\geq n$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  has a separating vector.*

*Proof.* In Theorem 3 we choose  $\mathbb{W} = \{0\}$ . The proof follows. □

*Remark 6.* This corollary recovers Theorem 2.1 of [3].

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{S}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V})$ , where  $n$  is less than the cardinality of the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then, if every nonzero operator in  $\mathbb{S}$  has rank  $\geq 2n$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 5 there is a separating vector  $x$  for  $\mathbb{S}$ . Then the cyclic subspace  $\mathbb{S}x$  has dimension  $n$ . Note that the separating vector  $x$  generates a one-dimensional separating subspace for  $\mathbb{S}$ , and this matches the assumption of  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{M})$  of Theorem 4. Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

*Remark 8.* In the proof we see that if the rank  $\geq 2n$ , then we obtain a disjoint pair of separating vectors which provides an example for the main result Theorem 2.1 in [2].

*Remark 9.* The contrapositive of Corollary 5 states that for  $n$  linear operators  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  on  $\mathbb{V}$ , if  $s_1u, \dots, s_nu$  are linearly dependent for every vector  $u \in \mathbb{V}$ , then there are scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , not all zero, such that  $s = \alpha_1s_1 + \dots + \alpha_ns_n$  has rank  $\leq n - 1$ . As pointed out in Remark 2.2 of [3] this is Aupetit's well-known improvement of Kaplansky's lemma which states that if a linear operator on a linear space is locally algebraic, and the degrees of the related polynomials form a bounded set, then the operator is algebraic.

*Remark 10.* After this paper was accepted, J. Li and Z. Pan [7] showed that  $2n$  can be reduced to  $2n - 1$  in Theorem 6 when the scalar field is  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. A. Azoff, *On finite rank operators and preannihilators*, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (1986). MR0858467 (88a:47041)
- [2] L. Ding, *Separating vectors and reflexivity*, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **174** (1992), 37-52. MR1176449 (94a:47075)
- [3] L. Ding, *On a pattern of reflexive operator spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3101-3108. MR1343689 (97h:47039)
- [4] D. Hadwin, *Algebraically reflexive linear transformations*, *Lin. Multilin. Alg.* **14** (1983), 225-233. MR0718951 (85e:47003)
- [5] D. Hadwin, *A general view of reflexivity*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **344** (1994), 325-360. MR1239639 (95f:47071)
- [6] D. R. Larson, *Reflexivity, algebraic reflexivity, and linear interpolation*, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), 283-299. MR0935008 (89d:47096)
- [7] J. Li and Z. Pan, *Reflexivity and hyperreflexivity of operator spaces*, *Math. Anal. Appl.* **279** (2003), 210-215. MR1970501 (2004a:47001)
- [8] H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1973. MR0367682 (51:3924)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-3083

*E-mail address:* matlfd@panther.gsu.edu