

A SIMPLE PROOF OF ZAGIER DUALITY FOR HILBERT MODULAR FORMS

D. CHOI

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a simple proof of an identity between the Fourier coefficients of the weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 0 arising from Borcherds products of Hilbert modular forms and those of the weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 2 satisfying a certain property.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

A certain sequence of modular forms of weight $1/2$ arises in the theory of Borcherds products for modular forms with Heegner divisors. Zagier proved that there exists a duality, called Zagier duality, between the Fourier coefficients of these modular forms and those of some modular forms of weight $3/2$. In [2] Rouse obtained an analog of Zagier duality between the Fourier coefficients of the weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 0 arising from Borcherds products of Hilbert modular forms and those of the weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 2 satisfying a certain property. In this paper, we give a simple proof of the identity proved in [2], by constructing a linear relation among the Fourier coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms.

Let χ_p be the Dirichlet character $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$. For an integer $k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$ let $A_k^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ denote the subspace of weakly holomorphic modular forms $F(z)$ of weight k and character χ_p such that if $F(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n)q^n$, then $c(n) = 0$ for $\chi_p(n) = -\epsilon$.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_f(n)q^n \in A_{k(f)}^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ and $g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_g(n)q^n \in A_{k(g)}^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$. For $t \in \{0, \infty\}$ let*

$$f|_2\gamma_t = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_f^t(n)q^n \text{ and } g|_0\gamma_t = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_g^t(n)q^n,$$

where $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. If $k(f) + k(g) = 2$, then

$$\sum_{i+j=0} (a_f^0(i)a_g^0(j) + a_f^\infty(i)a_g^\infty(j)) = 0.$$

Received by the editors June 8, 2005 and, in revised form, July 3, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F11; Secondary 11F41.

Key words and phrases. Modular forms, Hilbert modular forms.

This work was partially supported by KOSEF R01-2003-00011596-0.

©2006 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Let p be a prime and

$$s(m) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 1 & \text{if } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

From Proposition 1.1 we obtain the following theorem that gives a connection between a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 0 arising in Borcherds products for Hilbert modular forms (see Theorem 6 in [1]) and a certain weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 2.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that, for a nonnegative integer m and a positive integer d with $\chi(m) \neq -\epsilon$ and $\chi(d) \neq -\epsilon$, there is a function $F_{d,p}^\epsilon(z) \in A_0^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ such that*

$$F_{d,p}^\epsilon(z) = \frac{1}{s(d)}q^{-d} + O(1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{d,p}(n)q^n$$

and $G_{m,p}^\epsilon(z) \in A_2^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ such that

$$G_{m,p}^\epsilon(z) = \frac{1}{s(m)}q^{-m} + O(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{m,p}(n)q^n.$$

Then

$$A_{d,p}(m) = -B_{m,p}(d).$$

Remark 1.3. Let $S_k^+(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ denote the subspace of holomorphic cusp forms in $A_k^+(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$. When $\epsilon = 1$ and $p \in \{5, 13, 17\}$, Theorem 1.2 was proved in [2] by the arithmetic of operators for modular forms.

Remark 1.4. If $p = 5, 13$ or 17 , then for each $m \geq 1$ with $\chi_p(m) \neq -1$, there exists a unique $F_{m,p}^+(z) \in A_0^+(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ and a unique $G_{m,p}^+(z) \in A_2^+(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Suppose G is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(p)$ for a prime p . We denote the set of distinct cusps of $\Gamma_0(p)$ as $S_p = \{\infty, 0\}$. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \cup S_p$, let D_τ be the image of τ under the canonical map from $\mathbb{H} \cup S_p$ to $X_0(p)$, where \mathbb{H} denotes the complex upper half-plane. The residue of G at D_τ on $X_0(p)$, denoted by $\text{Res}_{D_\tau} G dz$, is well defined since we have a canonical correspondence between meromorphic modular forms of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(p)$ and meromorphic 1-forms of $X_0(p)$. If $\text{Res}_\tau G$ denotes the residue of G at τ on \mathbb{H} , then we obtain

$$\text{Res}_{D_\tau} G dz = \frac{1}{l_\tau} \text{Res}_\tau G.$$

Here, λ_τ is the order of the isotropy group at τ . The residue of G at each cusp t in S_p is

$$\text{Res}_{D_t} G dz = \frac{a_t(0)}{2\pi i},$$

where $G(z) |_2 \gamma_t = \sum_{n=m_t}^\infty a_t(n)q^n$ at ∞ .

To prove Proposition 1.1 we take $G = fg$. Since χ_p is a quadratic character, G is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(p)$. We have

$$fg|_2 \gamma_t = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_f^t(n)q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_g^t(n)q^n \right).$$

Therefore, by the residue theorem we give a proof of Proposition 1.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin by stating the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5 (Lemma 3 of [1]). *Let $F(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(n)q^n \in A_k(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Then $F(z) \in A_k^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ if and only if*

$$p^{1-k/2}(F|U_p) = \epsilon\sqrt{p}(F|_k\gamma_0),$$

where $F|U_p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(pn)q^n$.

From Lemma 1.5 we have

$$\epsilon \cdot (F_{d,p}^\epsilon|_0\gamma_0) = p^{\frac{1}{2}}F_{d,p}^\epsilon|U_p = p^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{s(d)-1}{s(d)}q^{-d/p} + p^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{n \geq 0} A_{d,p}(pn)q^n$$

and

$$\epsilon \cdot (G_{m,p}^\epsilon|_2\gamma_0) = p^{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{m,p}^\epsilon|U_p = p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{s(m)-1}{s(m)}q^{-m/p} + p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{n \geq 1} B_{m,p}(pn)q^n.$$

Using Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{s(m)} + \frac{s(m)-1}{s(m)}\right)A_{d,p}(m) + \left(\frac{1}{s(d)} + \frac{s(d)-1}{s(d)}\right)B_{m,p}(d) = 0.$$

This proves Theorem 1.2. □

Remark 1.6. Following the method used in the proof of Proposition 1.1, one can also obtain the similar identity between the Fourier coefficients of f_{k_1} in $A_{k_1}^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ and those of f_{k_2} in $A_{k_2}^\epsilon(\Gamma_0(p), \chi_p)$ where $k_1 + k_2 = 2$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are very grateful to J. Rouse for giving his preprint to us and for his valuable comments. We also thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Bruinier and M. Bundschuh, *On Borchers products associated with lattices of prime discriminant*. Rankin memorial issues, Ramanujan J. 7 (2003), no. 1-3, 49–61. MR2035791 (2005a:11057)
- [2] J. Rouse, *Zagier duality for the exponents of Borchers products for Hilbert modular forms*, to appear in Journal of the London Mathematical Society.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POHANG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, POHANG, 790-784, KOREA

E-mail address: `choija@postech.ac.kr`

Current address: School of Mathematics, KIAS, 207-43 Cheongnyangni 2-dong, Seoul, 130-722, Korea