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Abstract. We give a case-free proof that the lattice of noncrossing partitions associated to any finite real reflection group is EL-shellable. Shellability of these lattices was open for the groups of type $D_n$ and those of exceptional type and rank at least three.

1. Introduction

Consider a finite real reflection group $W$ and the partial order on $W$ defined by letting $u \preceq v$ if there exists a shortest factorization of $u$ as a product of reflections in $W$ which is a prefix of such a shortest factorization of $v$. This order turns $W$ into a graded poset having the identity 1 as its unique minimal element. For a Coxeter element $\gamma$ of $W$, viewed as a finite Coxeter group, we denote by $NC_W(\gamma)$ the interval $[1, \gamma]$ in this partial order. Since all Coxeter elements of $W$ are conjugate to each other, the isomorphism type of the poset $NC_W(\gamma)$ is independent of $\gamma$. We denote this poset by $NC_W$ when the choice of the Coxeter element $\gamma$ is irrelevant and call $NC_W$ the noncrossing partition lattice associated to $W$.

The poset $NC_W$ plays a crucial role in the construction of new monoid structures and $K(\pi, 1)$ spaces for Artin groups associated with finite Coxeter groups; see for instance [3, 6, 7] and the survey articles [13, 14]. It is self-dual [3, Section 2.3], graded and has been verified case by case to be a lattice [3, Fact 2.3.1], [7, Section 4] (a case-free proof of the last property was given in [8]). For Coxeter groups of types $A$ and $B$ in the Cartan-Killing classification it is isomorphic to the classical lattice of noncrossing partitions of an $n$-element set, defined and studied by Kreweras [12], and to its type $B$ analogue, defined by Reiner [16], respectively. The main purpose of the present article is to give a case-free proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. The poset $NC_W$ is EL-shellable for any finite Coxeter group $W$.

EL-shellability (see Section 2) for a bounded graded poset $P$ of rank $r$ implies that the simplicial complex $\Delta(P)$ of chains in the proper part of $P$ is shellable. In particular it implies that the geometric realization of $\Delta(P)$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $(r-2)$-dimensional spheres, the number of which is determined...
by the EL-labeling, and that the Stanley-Reisner ring of $\Delta(P)$ is Cohen-Macaulay over an arbitrary field.

Theorem 1.1 was proved by Björner and Edelman [4] Example 2.9 in the case of Coxeter groups of type A and by Reiner [16] Section 6 in the case of type B while it was left open in [2] Section 7 in the case of type D and was conjectured by Reiner [17] for all finite Coxeter groups. After introducing basic definitions, notation and some preliminary lemmas related to real reflection groups, root systems and shellability (Section 2) we proceed as follows. We give sufficient conditions on a total ordering of the set of reflections $T$ and a Coxeter element $\gamma$ of a crystallographic group $W$ for the natural edge labeling of $NC_W(\gamma)$ with label set $T$ to be an EL-labeling (Theorem 3.5). We exploit these conditions to describe explicit families of EL-labelings in the cases of the classical reflection groups (Examples 3.3 and 3.4 and Corollary 3.12). The existence of a total ordering and Coxeter element for any $W$ which satisfy these sufficient conditions follows from the recent work [8] of the last two authors. The particular orderings and Coxeter elements considered there (see Section 4) were introduced by Steinberg [20] and play a crucial role in the constructions of [8]. It is shown in Section 4 (Theorem 4.2) that they provide EL-labelings of $NC_W$ for any finite real reflection group (including the noncrystallographic ones). Some results on the Möbius function of $NC_W$ are deduced (Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4).

2. Preliminaries

**Reflection groups and root systems.** Let $W$ be a finite real reflection group of rank $\ell$, acting faithfully by orthogonal transformations on an $\ell$-dimensional Euclidean space $V$ with inner product $(\ ,\ )$. We denote by $T$ the generating set of all reflections in $W$, by $H_\alpha$ the linear hyperplane in $V$ orthogonal to the vector $\alpha$ and by $t_\alpha$ the orthogonal reflection in $H_\alpha$. Let $\Phi \subset V$ be a root system corresponding to $W$, so that $\Phi$ is invariant under the action of $W$ and consists of pairs $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ of nonzero vectors, one for each $t_\alpha \in T$. We refer the reader to the texts by Björner and Brenti [5] and Humphreys [11] for any undefined terminology and background on reflection groups and root systems. In particular we assume the notions of a positive system, simple system and simple reflection. A Coxeter element of $W$ is the product of the simple reflections in an arbitrary order for any choice of a simple system for $\Phi$. The order of any Coxeter element of $W$ is the Coxeter number, denoted by $h$. The root lattice $Q_\Phi$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of $\Phi$. The root system $\Phi$ is called crystallographic and $W$ is its Weyl group if $2(\alpha, \beta)/(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$. The group $W$ is crystallographic if it preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of a basis of $V$ or, equivalently, if it is the Weyl group of some crystallographic root system.

The next lemma follows from the results of Sommers in [18] Section 3 (see also [15] Lemma 2.3).

**Lemma 2.1.** [18] Let $\Phi$ be crystallographic. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \Phi$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \alpha \in \Phi$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ or there exists $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_i \in \Phi \cup \{0\}$.

**Proof.** See [1] Lemma 2.1 (ii)].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $\Phi$ be crystallographic and let $\Phi^+$ be a positive system for $\Phi$. If $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell\} \subseteq \Phi^+$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the root lattice $Q_\Phi$ and $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \notin \Phi$ for all $i < j$, then $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell\}$ is the set of simple roots in $\Phi^+$.
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Applying Lemma 2.1 to \( \alpha \) we conclude that \( \beta \) which sum to an element of \( \Phi \). Since \( \alpha \in \Phi^+ \) we must have \( \kappa < r \). By reordering the \( \beta \)'s if necessary we may assume that \( \beta \in -\Phi^+ \) for

\[ 1 \leq i \leq k \] and \( \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k = \beta \in \Phi \). Clearly \( \beta \in -\Phi^+ \) and hence \( \beta \neq \alpha \).

Applying Lemma 2.1 to \( \beta, \beta_{k+1}, \ldots, \beta_r \) we conclude that \( \beta + \beta_j \in \Phi \cup \{0\} \) for some \( k < j \). Since the \( \alpha \)'s are linearly independent we must have \( \beta + \beta_j \in \Phi \) and hence \( \beta_j \in \Phi^+ \) by maximality of \( k \). Applying Lemma 2.1 once more to \( \beta_j, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \) we conclude that \( \beta + \beta_j \in \Phi \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). This means that \( \alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \Phi \) for some \( i < j \), contrary to the hypothesis.

\[ \square \]

**EL-labelings and shellability.** Let \( (P, \preceq) \) be a finite poset (short for partially ordered set). Assume that \( P \) is bounded, meaning that \( P \) has a unique minimal and a unique maximal element, denoted \( 0 \) and \( 1 \) respectively, and that it is graded, meaning that all maximal (with respect to inclusion) chains in \( P \) have the same length (one less than the number of elements of the chain). This length is called the **rank** of \( P \) and denoted \( \text{rank}(P) \). Let \( \mathcal{E}(P) \) be the set of covering relations of \( P \), meaning pairs \((x, y)\) of elements of \( P \) such that \( x < y \) holds in \( P \) and \( x < z \preceq y \) holds only for \( z = y \), and let \( \Lambda \) be a totally ordered set. An **edge labeling** of \( P \) with label set \( \Lambda \) is a map \( \lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \to \Lambda \). Let \( c \) be an unrefinable chain \( x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_r \) of elements of \( P \), so that \((x_{i-1}, x_i) \in \mathcal{E}(P) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). We let \( \lambda(c) = (\lambda(x_0, x_1), \lambda(x_1, x_2), \ldots, \lambda(x_{r-1}, x_r)) \) be the label of \( c \) with respect to \( \lambda \) and call \( c \) **rising** or **falling** with respect to \( \lambda \) if the entries of \( \lambda(c) \) strictly increase or weakly decrease, respectively, in the total order of \( \Lambda \). We say that \( c \) is **lexicographically smaller** than an unrefinable chain \( c' \) in \( P \) of the same length (with respect to \( \lambda \)) if \( \lambda(c) \) precedes \( \lambda(c') \) in the lexicographic order induced by the total order of \( \Lambda \).

**Definition 2.3 (H).** An edge labeling \( \lambda \) of \( P \) is called an **EL-labeling** if for every non-singleton interval \([u, v]\) in \( P \)

(i) there is a unique rising maximal chain in \([u, v]\) and

(ii) this chain is lexicographically smallest among all maximal chains in \([u, v]\)

with respect to \( \lambda \). The poset \( P \) is called **EL-shellable** if it has an EL-labeling for some label set \( \Lambda \).

See [19] Chapter 3 for more background on partially ordered sets and EL-shellability. If \( P \) is EL-shellable, then the simplicial complex of chains (order complex) \( \Delta(P) \) of the proper part \( P = P - \{0, 1\} \) of \( P \) has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres of dimension \( \text{rank}(P) - 2 \). The number of these spheres is equal to the number of falling maximal chains of \( P \) with respect to the EL-labeling and is also equal to the Möbius number of \( P \), up to the sign \((-1)^{\text{rank}(P)}\); see [19] Section 3.13].
Reflection length and the poset $\text{NC}_W(w)$. For $w \in W$, let $l_T(w)$ denote the smallest $k$ such that $w$ can be written as a product of $k$ reflections in $T$. The partial order $\preceq$ on $W$ is defined by letting
\[ u \preceq v \text{ if and only if } l_T(u) + l_T(u^{-1}v) = l_T(v), \]
in other words, if there exists a shortest factorization of $u$ into reflections in $T$ which is a prefix of such a shortest factorization of $v$. With this order $W$ is a graded poset having the identity 1 as its unique minimal element and rank function $l_T$. We denote by $F(w)$ the subspace of $V$ fixed by $w$. The next lemma follows from the results in [7 Section 2] (see also [3 Lemma 1.2.1]).

**Lemma 2.4** ([7]). Let $w \in W$.

(i) $l_T(w) = \ell - \dim F(w)$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have $t_{\alpha} \preceq w$ if and only if $F(w) \subseteq H_{\alpha}$.

The interval $[1, w]$ in $(W, \preceq)$, denoted $\text{NC}_W(w)$, is also graded with rank function $l_T$ and has rank $\ell = \dim V$ if $w$ is a Coxeter element of $W$.

3. Reflection orderings, Coxeter elements and EL-labelings

Let $W$ be a finite real reflection group of rank $\ell$ with corresponding root system $\Phi \subset V$ and set of reflections $T$. Let $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ be a fixed choice of a positive system. A total ordering $<$ of $T$ is called a reflection ordering for $W$ ([3 Section 5.1]) if whenever $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Phi^+$ are distinct roots and $\alpha$ is a positive linear combination of $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ we have either
\[ t_{\alpha_1} < t_{\alpha} < t_{\alpha_2} \text{ or } t_{\alpha_2} < t_{\alpha} < t_{\alpha_1}. \]

An induced subsystem of $\Phi$ of rank $i$ is the intersection $\Phi'$ of $\Phi$ with the linear span of $i$ linearly independent roots in $\Phi$. The set $\Phi'$ is a root system on its own and $\Phi' \cap \Phi^+$ is the positive system for $\Phi'$ induced by $\Phi^+$. The following is the main definition in this section.

**Definition 3.1.** A reflection ordering $<$ of $T$ is compatible with a Coxeter element $\gamma$ of $W$ if for any irreducible rank 2 induced subsystem $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ the following holds: if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the simple roots of $\Phi'$ with respect to $\Phi' \cap \Phi^+$ and $t_{\alpha}t_{\beta} \in \text{NC}_W(\gamma)$, then $t_{\alpha} < t_{\beta}$.

Observe that a rank 2 subsystem $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ is irreducible if and only if $\Phi' \cap \Phi^+$ has at least three roots.

**Example 3.2.** Let $W$ be of rank 2, so that $W$ is a dihedral group of order $2m$ for some $m \geq 2$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the two simple roots in $\Phi$. There are $m$ reflections in $W$, namely $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m$, where $t_i = t_{\alpha}(t_{\beta}t_{\alpha})^{i-1}$ (so $t_1 = t_{\alpha}$ and $t_m = t_{\beta}$) and two reflection orderings, namely $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m$ and its reverse. The reflection ordering $<$ is compatible with the Coxeter element $\gamma = t_{\alpha}t_{\beta}$. The poset $\text{NC}_W(\gamma)$ has $m$ maximal chains corresponding to the $m$ shortest factorizations $\gamma = t_1t_m = t_2t_1 = \cdots = t_mt_{m-1}$ of $\gamma$ into reflections. Clearly the chain corresponding to the factorization $\gamma = t_1t_m$ is the unique rising maximal chain and the lexicographically smallest maximal chain in the edge labeling of $\text{NC}_W(\gamma)$ which assigns the label $(t_i, t_j)$ to the chain corresponding to a factorization $\gamma = t_it_j$ when the label set $T$ is totally ordered by $<$. 
Example 3.3. Let $W$ be of type $A_{n-1}$ and let $\Phi^+ = \{e_i - e_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ be the set of positive roots. Thus $W$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and the reflections correspond to the transpositions $(i, j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. If the $n$-cycle $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The $n$-cycle $\gamma = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is chosen as the Coxeter element for $W$, then there is a canonical isomorphism of $NC_W(\gamma)$ with the classical lattice of noncrossing partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ (see for instance [3, Section 4] or [6, Section 3]). One can easily check that any total ordering on the set of transpositions $(i, j)$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, for which

$$(i, j) < (i, k) < (j, k)$$

for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ (such as the lexicographic ordering) induces a reflection ordering for $W$ which is compatible with $\gamma$.

Example 3.4. Let $W$ be of type $B_n$ or $D_n$ and let

$$\Phi^+ = \begin{cases} \{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{e_i \pm e_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, & \text{for } W \text{ of type } B_n, \\
\{e_i \pm e_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, & \text{for } W \text{ of type } D_n,
\end{cases}$$

be the set of positive roots. In what follows we use the notation of [7, Section 3] (and [2, Section 2]) to represent elements of $W$ as certain permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, -1, -2, \ldots, -n\}$. More specifically, $\langle i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \rangle$ stands for the product of cycles $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ and $[i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k]$ stands for the balanced cycle $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k, -i_1, -i_2, \ldots, -i_k)$. If

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} [1, 2, \ldots, n], & \text{for } W \text{ of type } B_n, \\
[1, 2, \ldots, n-1] [n], & \text{for } W \text{ of type } D_n,
\end{cases}$$

is chosen as the Coxeter element of $W$, then the following are available for $NC_W(\gamma)$: (a) a canonical isomorphism with Reiner’s $B_n$ analogue [16] of the lattice of noncrossing partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ (see [3, Section 4] or [7, Section 3]) if $W$ is of type $B_n$, and (b) an explicit combinatorial description in terms of planar noncrossing diagrams [2, Section 3] if $W$ is of type $D_n$. In the case of type $B_n$ one can check directly that any total ordering on the set of signed transpositions $\langle (i, j) \rangle$ and $\langle (i, -j) \rangle$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $[i] = (i, -i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ for which

$$\begin{align*}
\langle (i, j) \rangle &< \langle (i, k) \rangle < \langle (j, k) \rangle & &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n, \\
\langle (i, j) \rangle &< \langle (i, -k) \rangle < \langle (j, -k) \rangle & &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq k < i \text{ or } j < k \leq n, \\
\langle (i, j) \rangle &< [i] < \langle (i, -j) \rangle < [j] & &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n
\end{align*}$$

induces a reflection ordering for $W$ which is compatible with $\gamma$. The ordering

$$\langle (1, 2) \rangle < \langle (1, 3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (1, n) \rangle < \langle (2, 3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (n-1, n) \rangle$$

$$< [1] < \langle (1, -2) \rangle < \langle (1, -3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (1, -n) \rangle$$

$$< [2] < \langle (2, -3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (2, -n) \rangle$$

$$< \cdots < [n-1] < \langle (n-1, -n) \rangle < [n]$$

is an example of an ordering satisfying these conditions. Similarly, in the case of type $D_n$ any total ordering on the set of signed transpositions $\langle (i, j) \rangle$ and $\langle (i, -j) \rangle$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ for which

$$\begin{align*}
\langle (i, j) \rangle &< \langle (i, k) \rangle < \langle (j, k) \rangle & &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n, \\
\langle (i, j) \rangle &< \langle (i, -k) \rangle < \langle (j, -k) \rangle & &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq k < i \text{ or } j < k \leq n, \\
\langle (i, \pm n) \rangle &< \langle (i, -j) \rangle < \langle (j, \pm n) \rangle & &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1
\end{align*}$$

induces a reflection ordering which is compatible with $\gamma$. The ordering

$$\langle (1, 2) \rangle < \langle (1, 3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (1, n) \rangle < \langle (2, 3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (n-1, n) \rangle$$

$$< [1] < \langle (1, -2) \rangle < \langle (1, -3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (1, -n) \rangle$$

$$< [2] < \langle (2, -3) \rangle < \cdots < \langle (2, -n) \rangle$$

$$< \cdots < [n-1] < \langle (n-1, -n) \rangle < [n]$$

is an example of an ordering satisfying these conditions.
induces a reflection ordering for $W$ which is compatible with $\gamma$. An example of such an ordering is

\[
((1, 2) < (1, 3) < \cdots < (1, n-1) < (2, 3) < \cdots < (n-2, n-1))
< ((1, n)) < ((1, -n)) < ((1, -2)) < ((1, -3)) < \cdots < ((1, -(n-1)))
< ((2, n)) < ((2, -n)) < ((2, -3)) < \cdots < ((2, -(n-1)))
< \cdots < ((n-1, n)) < ((n-1, -n)).
\]

Let $\gamma$ be a Coxeter element of $W$. Any covering relation in $NC_W(\gamma)$ is of the form $(u, v)$ with $u^{-1}v \in T$. Setting $\lambda(u, v) = u^{-1}v$ for any such covering relation defines an edge labeling $\lambda$ of $NC_W(\gamma)$ with label set $T$ which we call the natural edge labeling of $NC_W(\gamma)$. Part (ii) of the following theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $W$ be a finite real reflection group with set of reflections $T$ and Coxeter element $\gamma$ and let $\lambda$ be the natural edge labeling of $NC_W(\gamma)$.

(i) For any total ordering of $T$ and any nonsingleton interval $[u, v]$ in $NC_W(\gamma)$ there is a unique lexicographically smallest maximal chain in $[u, v]$ and this chain is rising with respect to $\lambda$.

(ii) If $T$ is totally ordered by a reflection ordering which is compatible with $\gamma$ and $W$ is crystallographic, then $\lambda$ is an EL-labeling.

For any interval $[u, v]$ in $NC_W(\gamma)$ we denote by $\lambda([u, v])$ the set of natural labels of all maximal chains in $[u, v]$ and abbreviate $\lambda([1, w])$ as $\lambda(w)$. Observe that $t_1t_2\cdots t_k = u^{-1}v$ and $k = l_T(v) - l_T(u)$ for any $(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) \in \lambda([u, v])$.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $[u, v]$ be a nonsingleton interval in $NC_W(\gamma)$.

(i) If $[u, v]$ has length two and $(s, t) \in \lambda([u, v])$, then $(t, s') \in \lambda([u, v])$ for some $s' \in T$.

(ii) If $t \in T$ appears in some coordinate of an element of $\lambda([u, v])$, then $t = \lambda(u, u')$ for some covering relation $(u, u')$ in $[u, v]$.

(iii) The reflections appearing as the coordinates of an element of $\lambda([u, v])$ are pairwise distinct.

**Proof.** Part (i) is clear since $T$ is closed under conjugation, so that $s' = tst$ is also an element of $T$. Parts (ii) and (iii) follow from repeated application of part (i). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $[u, v]$ be a nonsingleton interval in $NC_W(\gamma)$ and let $w = u^{-1}v$. There is a poset isomorphism $f : [1, w] \rightarrow [u, v]$ such that $\lambda(x, y) = \lambda(f(x), f(y))$ for all covering relations $(x, y)$ in $[1, w]$.

**Proof.** It follows immediately from the definitions that the map $f : [1, w] \rightarrow [u, v]$ with $f(x) = ux$ for $x \in [1, w]$ is well defined and has the desired properties. \(\square\)

Recall that a parabolic Coxeter element in $W$ is a Coxeter element $w$ in a parabolic subgroup of $W$, meaning a subgroup generated by a subset of some set of simple reflections for $W$. This subgroup, denoted $W_w$, depends only on $w$ and contains all reflections $t \preceq w$ (see [3 Corollary 1.6.2]). We will always consider
the root system \( \Phi_w \subseteq \Phi \) corresponding to \( W_w \) equipped with the positive system \( \Phi_w \cap \Phi^+ \) induced from \( \Phi^+ \).

**Lemma 3.8** ([3], Lemma 1.4.3). Let \( w \in W \). There exists a Coxeter element \( \gamma \) of \( W \) with \( w \leq \gamma \) if and only if \( w \) is a parabolic Coxeter element.

**Lemma 3.9.** If \( w \leq \gamma \) for some Coxeter element \( \gamma \) of \( W \), then any reflection ordering for \( W \) which is compatible with \( \gamma \) restricts to a reflection ordering for \( W_w \) which is compatible with \( w \).

**Proof.** We may assume that \( w \) has rank at least two in \( NC_W(\gamma) \). Let \( \Phi_w \subseteq \Phi \) be the root system corresponding to \( W_w \). We first check that if \( \Phi'_w \) is an induced rank 2 subsystem of \( \Phi_w \), then \( \Phi'_w \cap \Phi = \Phi' \cap \Phi \). From Lemma 2.4 (ii) that \( t_{\alpha'} \leq w \) and hence that \( t_{\alpha'} \in W_w \), in other words that \( \alpha' \in \Phi_w \). To conclude the proof suppose that \( \Phi'_w \) is irreducible and that \( t_{\alpha'} t_\beta \leq w \). From \( w \leq \gamma \) we conclude that \( t_{\alpha'} t_\beta \leq \gamma \). Since \( \Phi'_w = \Phi' \) and the reflection ordering on \( T \) is compatible with \( \gamma \), \( t_{\alpha'} \) must precede \( t_\beta \) in this ordering.

Recall also from [3, Section 1.5] that the Artin group \( B_\ell \) of type \( A_{\ell-1} \) acts on the set of shortest factorizations of \( \gamma \) into reflections or, equivalently, on the set \( \lambda(\gamma) \). More precisely, the \( i \)-th generator of \( B_\ell \) acts on \( (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_\ell) \in \lambda(\gamma) \) by replacing the pair \( (t_i, t_{i+1}) \) by \( (t_1 t_{i+1} t_i, t_i) \) while leaving other coordinates of \( \lambda(\gamma) \) fixed.

**Lemma 3.10.** The action of \( B_\ell \) on the set of shortest factorizations of \( \gamma \) into reflections is transitive.

**Proof.** See Proposition 1.6.1 in [3].

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \( t_{\alpha_1} t_{\alpha_2} \cdots t_{\alpha_\ell} \) be a shortest factorization of a Coxeter element of \( W \) into reflections.

(i) \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell\} \) is a linear basis of \( V \).

(ii) If \( \Phi \) is crystallographic, then \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell\} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis of the root lattice \( Q_\Phi \).

**Proof.** Part (i) follows from the fact that Coxeter elements have a trivial fixed space in \( V \). The conclusion of part (ii) is clear for a shortest factorization of the Coxeter element into simple reflections. In view of Lemma 3.10 it suffices to show that if two shortest factorizations \( t_{\alpha_1} t_{\alpha_2} \cdots t_{\alpha_\ell} \) and \( t_{\beta_1} t_{\beta_2} \cdots t_{\beta_\ell} \) are related by the action of a single generator of the Artin group \( B_\ell \), then \( \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_\ell\} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis for \( Q_\Phi \) if and only if the same is true for \( \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_\ell\} \). We may thus assume that there exists an index \( 1 \leq i < \ell \) such that \( \alpha_j = \beta_j \) for \( j \neq i, i + 1 \), \( \beta_{i+1} = \alpha_i \), and \( t_{\beta_i} = t_{\alpha_i} t_{\alpha_i+1} t_{\alpha_i} \). The last equality implies that

\[
\pm \beta_i = t_{\alpha_i}(\alpha_{i+1}) = \alpha_{i+1} - \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \alpha_i,
\]

and the claim follows since \( 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.8.** In what follows we will write \( NC_W \) instead of \( NC_W(\gamma) \).

(i) We proceed by induction on the length of the interval \( [u, v] \), the claim being trivial if this is equal to one. Clearly all covering relations of the form \( (u, u') \) in
\[ [u, v] \] have distinct labels. Let \((u, u')\) be the one with the smallest label \(t\). In view of the induction hypothesis applied to the interval \([ut, v]\) it suffices to prove that all covering relations in \([ut, v]\) have labels greater than \(t\). This follows from parts (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3.6 which imply that any such label is different from \(t\) and equal to the label of a covering relation \((u, u')\) in \([u, v]\).

(ii) Assume that \(W\) is the Weyl group of the crystallographic root system \(\Phi\) and let \(<\gamma\) be a reflection ordering of \(T\) which is compatible with the Coxeter element \(\gamma\) of \(W\). In view of part (i) it remains to show that there is at most one rising maximal chain in a nonsingleton interval \([u, v]\) with respect to \(\lambda\). In view of Lemma 3.7 it suffices to prove this for an interval of the form \([1, w]\) in \(NC_W\). Since \(w \leq \gamma\), by Lemma 3.8 \(w\) is a parabolic Coxeter element in \(W\). By Lemma 3.9 the restriction of \(<\gamma\) on the set of reflections of the parabolic subgroup \(W_w\) is a reflection ordering which is compatible with \(w\). Hence we may as well assume that \(w = \gamma\), so that \([1, w]\) is the entire poset \(NC_W = NC_W(\gamma)\). Clearly there is at most one rising maximal chain in \(NC_W\) whose label is a permutation of the set \(S\) of simple reflections. Hence it suffices to show that a maximal chain \(c\) in \(NC_W\) whose label \(\lambda(c)\) is not a permutation of \(S\) cannot be rising. Indeed, let \(c\) be such a maximal chain and let \(\lambda(c) = (t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, t_{\alpha_\ell})\). By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.11 (ii) there exist indices \(i < j\) such that \(\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \Phi\). By repeated application of Lemma 3.6 (i) it follows that \(t_{\alpha_i}t_{\alpha_j} \leq \gamma\). From \(\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \Phi\) we conclude that \(\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}\) cannot be the simple system in the rank two induced subsystem of \(\Phi\) spanned by \(\alpha_i\) and \(\alpha_j\). Since \(<\gamma\) is compatible with \(\gamma\), Example 3.2 shows that \(t_{\alpha_i} > \gamma t_{\alpha_j}\), which implies that \(c\) is not rising. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.12.** If \(W\) has type \(A_{n-1}, B_n\) or \(D_\alpha\), then the natural edge labeling of \(NC_W(\gamma)\) is an EL-labeling under the choices of Coxeter element and total ordering on \(T\) described in Examples 3.3 and 3.4.

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let \(W\) be any finite real reflection group of rank \(\ell\) with set of reflections \(T\), root system \(\Phi\) and fixed choice of a positive system \(\Phi^+\). For reasons of convenience and unless stated otherwise, throughout this section we assume that \(W\) is irreducible. We will also assume, as we may, that all elements of \(\Phi\) are of unit length. Let \(\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_r\}\) be a choice of a simple system for \(\Phi\) such that \(\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r\}\) and \(\{\sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_t\}\) are orthonormal sets for some \(r \in \mathbb{N}\) (see, for instance, [5, Exercise 5.20]). It is proved in [20] that \(\Phi^+ = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_{\ell h/2}\}\), where \(\rho_i = t_{\sigma_1}t_{\sigma_2}t_{\sigma_3} \cdots t_{\sigma_{i-1}}(\sigma_i)\) for \(1 \leq i \leq \ell h/2\) and the \(\sigma_j\) are indexed cyclically modulo \(\ell\). Consider the total ordering

\[ t_{\rho_1} < t_{\rho_2} < \cdots < t_{\rho_{\ell h/2}} \]

of \(T\). It is well known that this ordering is a reflection ordering for \(W\) (see, for instance, [5, Exercise 5.20]). The next statement follows from Theorem 5.4 in [8].

**Theorem 4.1 ([8]).** The total ordering (4.1) of \(T\) is a reflection ordering for \(W\) which is compatible with the Coxeter element \(\gamma = t_{\sigma_1}t_{\sigma_2}t_{\sigma_3} \cdots t_{\sigma_t}\).

The previous theorem implies the existence of a reflection ordering which is compatible with some Coxeter element for any \(W\) (possibly reducible). Combined with Theorem 5.3 (ii) it gives a case-free proof of the statement in Theorem 1.1 in the case of crystallographic groups. Using other results from [8] we can give a
different case-free proof that the ordering (4.1) yields an EL-shelling of NC\(_W(\gamma)\) for any irreducible \(W\) as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** If \(T\) is totally ordered by (4.1) and \(\gamma = t_{\sigma_1}t_{\sigma_2} \cdots t_{\sigma_k}\), then the natural edge labeling of NC\(_W(\gamma)\) with label set \(T\) is an EL-labeling.

**Proof.** We claim that there is at most one rising maximal chain with respect to the natural edge labeling \(\lambda\) in any nonsingleton interval \([u,v]\) in NC\(_W(\gamma)\). In view of part (i) of Theorem 3.5 it suffices to prove this claim. As in the proof of part (ii) of the same result, it suffices to treat the intervals of the form \([1,w]\).

Let \((t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_k)\) be the label of a rising maximal chain in \([1,w]\) and let \(t_i = t_{\tau_i}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq k\), where \(\tau_i \in \Phi^+\). We will prove that \(\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k\}\) is the set of simple roots of the subsystem \(\Phi_w \subseteq \Phi\) corresponding to the parabolic subgroup \(W_w\) (see Lemma 3.9) with respect to the positive system \(\Phi_w \cap \Phi^+\). This clearly implies the claim. Let \(\tau\) be the largest positive root in \(\Phi_w\) with respect to (4.1). By part (i) of Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.8 the set \(\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k\}\) is a basis of the real vector space spanned by \(\Phi_w\). Hence there is a unique expression of the form
\[
\tau = a_1\tau_1 + a_2\tau_2 + \cdots + a_k\tau_k
\]
with \(a_i \in \mathbb{R}\) for all \(i\). As in [3] Lemma 3.9 let \(\mu(x) = -2(\gamma - I)^{-1}x\), where \(I\) is the identity map. For notational convenience we write \(x \cdot y\) instead of \((x,y)\). Since \(t_1t_2 \cdots t_k = w\) is a rank \(k\) element of NC\(_W(\gamma)\), from part (ii) of this lemma we have \(\mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau_j = 0\) for \(i < j\). Moreover [3] Theorem 3.7 implies that \(\mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau_i \leq 0\) for \(i < j\) and that \(\mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau_i = 1\) and \(\mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau \geq 0\) for all \(i\). Taking the inner product of (4.2) with \(\mu(\tau_i)\) we get
\[
0 \leq \mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau = a_1\mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau_1 + \cdots + a_{i-1}\mu(\tau_i) \cdot \tau_{i-1} + a_i.
\]
It follows by induction that \(a_i \geq 0\) for all \(1 \leq i \leq k\). Thus \(\tau\) is in the positive linear span of \(\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k\}\). Corollary 3.8 in [3] gives \(\tau_k = \tau\). By induction on the length of \(w\) we may assume that \(\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{k-1}\}\) is the simple system in \(\Phi_w \cap \Phi^+\). Theorem 5.1 in [3] implies that \(\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k\}\) is the simple system in \(\Phi_w \cap \Phi^+\), as desired. \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** This follows from Theorem 4.2 in the case that \(W\) is irreducible. For the general case observe that NC\(_W\) is the direct product, over the irreducible components \(W_i\) of \(W\), of the posets NC\(_{W_i}\) and that direct products of EL-shellable posets are also EL-shellable. \(\square\)

The next corollary follows from Theorem 4.2 and standard facts on Möbius functions of EL-shellable posets; see [19] Section 3.13. In view of Theorem 3.5 (ii) it applies to any Coxeter element and compatible reflection ordering in the case of Weyl groups.

**Corollary 4.3.** If \(T\) is totally ordered by (4.1), then the Möbius function on any interval \([u,v]\) in NC\(_W(\gamma)\) is equal to \((-1)^{l(v)-l(u)}\) times the number of falling maximal chains in \([u,v]\) with respect to the natural edge labeling of NC\(_W(\gamma)\).

In particular the Möbius number of NC\(_W(\gamma)\) is equal to \((-1)^l\) times the number of falling maximal chains in NC\(_W(\gamma)\) with respect to this labeling. It follows from the results of [3] Section 8 and [3] Note 4.2 that the set of such falling maximal chains is in bijection with the set of positive clusters, in the sense of [10] Section 3,
of corresponding type. As a consequence we get a case-free proof of the next result of F. Chapoton [9, Section 3.2].

**Corollary 4.4.** If $\Phi$ is crystallographic, then the Möbius number of $NC_W$ is equal to $(-1)^{\ell}$ times the number of positive clusters corresponding to $\Phi$.
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