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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the negative eigenvalues \( \lambda_j(V) \) of the Schrödinger operator \( -\Delta - V(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). If \( V \) decays as \( |x| \to \infty \) in a certain integral sense, then the negative spectrum of the operator is discrete. The eigenvalues \( \lambda_j(V) \) can accumulate only to the point zero. Moreover, the rate of the accumulation is controlled by the relation

\[ \sum_j |\lambda_j(V)|^\gamma \leq C \int |V(x)|^{d/2+\gamma} \, dx, \]

where \( \gamma \geq 0 \) for \( d \geq 3 \), \( \gamma > 0 \) for \( d = 2 \) and \( \gamma \geq 1/2 \) for \( d = 1 \).

The estimate (1.1) is called the classical Lieb-Thirring inequality. One needs to remark that although for any \( V \in L^{d/2+\gamma} \) the eigenvalue sum \( \sum_j |\lambda_j|^\gamma \) converges for both \( V \) and \( -V \), it follows from our results that the converse need not be true. The sum \( \sum_j |\lambda_j|^\gamma \) can converge even for potentials that are not functions of the class \( L^{d/2+\gamma} \).

In the present paper we study the question: how typical is the situation when the right-hand side of (1.1) is infinite, but nevertheless the series on the left-hand side converges? For that purpose, we introduce a certain class of potentials that either decay slower than \( L^{d/2+\gamma} \)-functions or do not decay at all. Potentials in this class will depend on a parameter \( \omega \), which runs over a space with a probability measure, so that one can distinguish between typical and not typical \( \omega \)’s (typical \( \omega \)’s run over a set of measure one). Instead of a decay of the potential, our theorems require random oscillations of \( V = V_\omega \), which ensure that \( \mathbb{E}[V_\omega(x)] = 0 \) for all \( x \).

First, we establish the estimate

\[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \sum_j |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \right] \leq C \int \mathbb{E}\left[ |V_\omega(x)|^{d+2\gamma} \right] \, dx, \quad d \geq 3, \]

for piecewise constant potentials (see conditions (3.1), (3.2)). Then we move one step further and show that

\[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \sum_j |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \right] \leq C \int \int \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_x \int |x-y|^{-(d-1)} V_\omega(x) V_\omega(y) \right] \, dy \, dx \]
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for potentials that are piecewise constant for each \( \omega \) (see again (3.1)). The integral in (1.3) converges not only if \( V_\omega(x) \) decays at infinity, but also when the frequency of random oscillations of \( V_\omega \) increases as \(|x| \to \infty|.|\)

The estimates obtained in the paper show that the probability to meet a \( L^{d+2\gamma} \)-potential for which the corresponding eigenvalue sum diverges is zero and that, for a typical \( V_\omega \), one has \( \sum |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma < \infty \). This illustrates the main difference between (1.2) and the classical Lieb-Thirring estimate (1.1) that holds for all potentials from \( L^{d/2+\gamma} \), even for the worst ones.

Relation (1.2) holds for \( d \geq 3 \). A close result holds in the case \( d = 2 \) for potentials \( |V_\omega(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-s} \). The case \( d = 1 \) is essentially different from other dimensions. The solution of the problem studied in this paper relies heavily on the classical Lieb-Thirring estimates. The important role of these estimates in the theory of Schrödinger operators is illustrated by the large number of references we decided to give in the corresponding section (see [1], [4]-[5], [8]-[16] and [19]). In section 4, we give some examples of applications of the estimate (1.2) to the theory of the absolutely continuous spectrum of \( H_\omega = -\Delta - V_\omega \). These examples are based on the relation between the negative and the positive part of the spectrum.

2. Preliminaries. The Birman-Schwinger principle

1. Throughout the paper we denote the probability space by \( \Omega \). All random variables \( f \) in our considerations are functions on \( \Omega \); the expectation of \( f \) is denoted by the symbol \( \mathbb{E}[f] = \int_\Omega f(\omega)d\omega \).

2. For any self-adjoint operator \( T \) and \( s > 0 \) we define

\[
n_+(s, T) = \text{rank} E_T(s, +\infty),
\]

where \( E_T(\cdot) \) denotes the spectral measure of \( T \). Recall the following relation (see [7]):

\[
(2.1) \quad n_+(s + t, T + S) \leq n_+(s, T) + n_+(t, S).
\]

The next statement is known as the Birman-Schwinger principle.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( V \) be a real valued function defined on the space \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Let \( N(\lambda, V) \) be the number of eigenvalues of \(-\Delta - V \) below \( \lambda < 0 \). Then

\[
N(\lambda, V) = n_+(1, (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1/2}V(-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1/2}).
\]

Combining this lemma with (2.1) we obtain

**Corollary 2.1.** For any \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \)

\[
(2.2) \quad N(\lambda, V_1 + V_2) \leq N(\lambda, \epsilon^{-1}V_1) + N(\lambda, (1-\epsilon)^{-1}V_2).
\]

We would like to remark that since \( \sum_j |\lambda_j(V)|^\gamma = \int_0^\infty \gamma s^{\gamma-1}N(-s, V) ds \), an inequality similar to (2.2) holds for the Lieb-Thirring sums:

\[
(2.3) \quad \sum_j |\lambda_j(V_1 + V_2)|^\gamma \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j(\epsilon^{-1}V_1)|^\gamma + \sum_j |\lambda_j((1-\epsilon)^{-1}V_2)|^\gamma.
\]
3. Estimates for the Expectation of the Lieb-Thirring Sum

1. Let $\omega_n$ be independent bounded identically distributed random variables with $E[\omega_n] = 0$ and $E[\omega_n^2] = 1$. Let $\chi_n$ be the characteristic functions of disjoint measurable sets $\Delta_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be fixed points in $\Delta_n$. Consider the potential

$$V_\omega := \sum_n v_n \omega_n \chi_n$$

where $v_n$ are fixed real coefficients. We introduce the operator

$$H_\omega = -\Delta - V_\omega$$

and study the negative eigenvalues $\lambda_j(V_\omega)$ of $H_\omega$. For simplicity, assume that the diameters of $\Delta_n$ are bounded:

$$\text{sup} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y| < \infty.$$ 

Denote

$$\tau_n = \text{sup} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-1}} dy, \quad |\Delta_n| = \int_{\Delta_n} dx.$$ 

The following statement is the main result of the paper. It includes the case when the diameter of $\Delta_n$ tends to 0 as $|n| \to \infty$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $d \geq 3$. Assume that sizes of the sets $\Delta_n$ are uniformly bounded so that they satisfy (3.2). Then for any $\gamma \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_j |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \right] \leq C \sum_n |v_n|^{d+2\gamma} \tau_n^{d/2+\gamma} |\Delta_n|.$$ 

In particular, the number of eigenvalues $\lambda_j(V_\omega)$ is almost surely finite if the series in the right-hand side of (3.3) converges for $\gamma = 0$.

**Remarks.** 1. If $\Delta_n = [0,1)^d + n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then relation (3.3) can be written in the following form:

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_j |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \right) \leq C \int \mathbb{E} \left( |V_\omega(x)|^{d+2\gamma} \right) dx.$$ 

If $\Delta_n$ are arbitrary, then the right-hand side of (3.3) coincides with the integral in (3.4).

2. One can replace functions $\chi_n$ in the theorem by any collection of functions whose absolute values are not bigger than $\chi_n$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We represent the function $V_\omega$ in the form (see [6])

$$V_\omega = \text{div} \, Q_\omega, \quad Q_\omega = \nabla \left( \Delta^{-1} V_\omega \right).$$

Put differently, we introduce the vector potential

$$Q_\omega = c_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^d} V_\omega(y) dy,$$

where $c_d$ is so chosen that the right-hand side of (3.4) is the convolution of $V_\omega$ with the kernel of the operator $\nabla \Delta^{-1}$. We will return shortly to the question of convergence of this integral and show that under conditions of Theorem 3.1, $Q_\omega$ is in the space $L^{d+2\gamma}$. Note that the idea to introduce $Q$ appeared in [6], where
the author studied the absolutely continuous spectrum of a random Schrödinger operator. Since \( V_\omega = \text{div} Q_\omega \), we obtain that the operator
\[
-\Delta - 2V_\omega + 4Q^2_\omega = (\nabla + 2Q_\omega)^*(\nabla + 2Q_\omega) \geq 0
\]
is positive. Now, since \( V = (V - 2Q^2) + 2Q^2 \), we obtain from (2.3) that
\[
\sum |\lambda_j(V)|^\gamma \leq \sum |\lambda_j(2V - 4Q^2)|^\gamma + \sum |\lambda_j(4Q^2)|^\gamma.
\]
Since the operator \(-\Delta - 2\text{div} Q_0 + 4Q_0^2\) is positive, the first sum on the right-hand side of (3.5) equals zero. Thus
\[
\sum |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \leq \sum |\lambda_j(4Q_\omega^2)|^\gamma.
\]
Now formulas (3.6) and (3.7) lead to the following important intermediate result:
\[
\sum |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \leq C \int |Q_\omega|^{d+2}\,dx.
\]

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( d \geq 3 \) and let \( Q_\omega = \nabla \Delta^{-1}V_\omega \). Assume that sizes of the sets \( \Delta_n \) are uniformly bounded in the sense of (3.2). Then for any integer number \( p \geq 1 \)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ |Q_\omega|^{2p} \right] \, dx \leq C \sum_n |v_n|^{2p} r_n^p |\Delta_n|.
\]

**Proof.** We represent \( Q \) in the form of a sum \( Q = Q_1 + Q_2 \), where
\[
Q_1 = c_d \int \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^d} \chi(x - y)V(y) \, dy
\]
and \( \chi \) is the characteristic function of the unit ball \( \{ x : |x| < 1 \} \). We will establish the estimates
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ |Q_j|^{2p} \right] \, dx \leq C \sum_n |v_n|^{2p} r_n^p |\Delta_n|, \quad j = 1, 2,
\]
separately. Let us prove the estimate (3.9) for \( Q_1 \) first.
Since \( \mathbb{E}[\omega_n] = 0 \), we obtain that
\[
\mathbb{E}[Q_1^{2p}(x)] \leq c_d \sum_{m_1 + \cdots + m_k = 2p} \prod_j \frac{2p!}{m_1! \cdots m_k!} \sum_n \left( \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{\chi(x - y) \, dy}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \right)^m_j
\]
\[
\leq C_1 \sum_{m_1 + \cdots + m_k = 2p} \prod_j \frac{m_j^{m_j}}{m_j} \sum_n \left( \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{\chi(x - y) \, dy}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \right)^{m_j/2}
\]
\[
\leq C_2 \sum_{m_1 + \cdots + m_k = 2p} \prod_j \frac{m_j^{m_j}}{m_j} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{\chi(x - y) \, dy}{|x - y|^{d-1}}
\]
simply because all \( m_j \geq 2 \) and \( \Delta_n \) are uniformly bounded.
Now by the H"older inequality for sequence spaces \( l^p \),
\[
\sum_n |v_n|^{m_j r_n^p} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{\chi(x - y) \, dy}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \leq C_3 \left( \sum_n |v_n|^{2p r_n^p} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{\chi(x - y) \, dy}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \right)^{m_j/2p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\chi(x - y) \, dy}{|x - y|^{d-1}} \right)^{1 - m_j/2p}.
\]
Consequently,
\[ \mathbb{E}[Q_1^{2p}(x)] \leq C_4 \sum_n |v_n|^{2p} \tau_n^p \int_{\Delta_n} \chi(x-y) \, dy. \]

Integrating this inequality with respect to \( x \) we obtain (3.9).

Similarly we obtain estimate (3.9) for \( Q_2 \). Since \( \mathbb{E}[\omega_n] = 0 \), we obtain that
\[ \mathbb{E}[Q_2^{2p}(x)] \leq C_5 \sum_{m_1+\ldots+m_k=2p} \prod_j m_j! \sum_n \left( \int_{\Delta_n} |v_n| \, dy \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-1}} \right)^{m_j} \right). \]

Applying the Hölder inequality for \( L^p \)-functions, we get
\[ \sum_n \left( \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{|v_n| \, dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{d-1}} \right)^{m_j} \leq \sum_n |v_n|^{m_j} \Delta_n^{m_j/2} \left( \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{2(d-1)}} \right)^{m_j/2} \]
\[ \leq C_6 \sum_n |v_n|^{m_j} \Delta_n^{m_j/2} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{2(d-1)}} \]

simply because all \( m_j \geq 2 \) and \( \Delta_n \) are uniformly bounded.

Now applying the Hölder inequality for sequences, we derive
\[ \sum_n |v_n|^{m_j} \Delta_n^{m_j/2} \left( \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{2(d-1)}} \right)^{m_j/2} \leq \left( \sum_n |v_n|^{2p} \Delta_n^{p} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{(d-1)}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{(2d-1)}} \right)^{1-m_j/2p}. \]

Consequently,
\[ \mathbb{E}[Q_2^{2p}(x)] \leq C_7 \sum_n |v_n|^{2p} \Delta_n^{p} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{(d-1)}}. \]

Integrating this inequality with respect to \( x \) and estimating \( \Delta_n \) by \( \tau_n \) we obtain (3.9) for \( j = 2 \). Thus the statement of the theorem follows from the triangle inequality in the Banach space \( L^{2p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d) \),
\[ \left( \int \mathbb{E}[Q_2^{2p}(x)] \, dx \right)^{1/2p} \leq \left( \int \mathbb{E}[Q_1^{2p}(x)] \, dx \right)^{1/2p} + \left( \int \mathbb{E}[Q_2^{2p}(x)] \, dx \right)^{1/2p}. \]

Indeed, recall that \( \mathbb{E}[f] = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, d\omega \). Therefore \( (\int \mathbb{E}[|u_\omega(x)|^{2p}] \, dx)^{1/2p} \) is the norm of \( u \) in \( L^{2p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d) \). The proof is completed.

Estimate (3.8) is proved only for the integer \( p \). It follows for arbitrary \( p \geq 1 \) by interpolation arguments. Indeed, for every integer \( p \geq 1 \), consider the mapping \( \mathcal{T} : \{ q_n \} \mapsto Q_\omega \) where \( q_n = v_n \tau_n \). If \( \Delta_n \) is fixed, this mapping is linear and continuous from the space with the norm \( \sum q_n \Delta_n^{1/2p} \) to the space \( L^{2p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d) \).

Interpolation of \( \mathcal{T} \) leads to estimate (3.8) for arbitrary \( p \geq 1 \). Now the statement of Theorem 3.1 follows from (3.9) and (3.8) for \( p = d/2 + \gamma \).

2. In the two-dimensional case Theorem 3.1 holds in a somewhat weaker form. We assume that the potential \( V \) admits the estimate
\[ \sup_\omega |V_\omega(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-s}, \quad s > 0, \forall x. \]

Note that in this case \( V_\omega \in L^{d+2\gamma} \) for \( s > \frac{d}{d+2\gamma} \). Therefore a natural version of Theorem 3.1 for potentials (3.10) is the following statement, which we formulate only for \( d = 2 \).
Theorem 3.3. Let \( d = 2, \Delta_n = [0, 1)^d + n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and \( s > \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \). Then
\[
E\left[ \sum_j |\lambda_j(V_\omega)|^\gamma \right] \leq C \left( \sup_n (1 + |n|)^s |v_n| \right)^{2+2\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0.
\]

For \( \gamma = 0 \) the condition \( s > 1 \) in (3.10) implies that the number of negative eigenvalues \( \lambda_j(V_\omega) \) is finite with probability 1.

We allow ourselves to omit the proof of Theorem 3.3 since it differs very little from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Finally, consider the case \( d = 1 \). Note that estimate (1.2) with \( \gamma = 0 \) implies finiteness of the number of eigenvalues below zero. It means that the operators with potentials (3.10) have a finite negative spectrum for \( s > 1 \). The same is true in \( d = 2 \). The situation changes for \( d = 1 \). It turns out that the number of eigenvalues \( \lambda_j(V) \) of the operator with a potential satisfying estimate (3.10) with \( s > 1 \) can be infinite. Nevertheless, one can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.4. Let \( d = 1 \) and \( \Delta_n = [n, n+1), n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then the condition that
\[
|v_n| \leq C (1 + |n|)^{-3/2-\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon > 0,
\]
implies that the number of negative eigenvalues of the operator \(-d^2/dx^2 - V_\omega\) is finite with probability 1.

This result is sharp in the power scale (see Theorem 5.2).

4. Consequences of the main theorem

In this section we give some examples of applications of Theorem 3.1 to the problems, where instead of negative eigenvalues one studies the positive spectrum. We shall say that the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator \( H_\omega = -\Delta - V_\omega \) is essentially supported by \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) if the spectral projection \( E_{H_\omega}(\delta) \) is different from zero, as soon as the Lebesgue measure of the set \( \delta \subset \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty) \) is positive. In other words,
\[
E_{H_\omega}(\delta) = 0, \quad \delta \subset \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \text{implies} \ |\delta| = 0.
\]

It is known that the singular spectrum of a self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space is concentrated on the set of zero Lebesgue measure. Therefore the property of the spectral projections, mentioned above, holds only for operators whose absolutely continuous spectrum fills the positive real line.

Our first theorem in this section is based on the connection between the properties of the absolutely continuous spectrum and behavior of the negative eigenvalues of \( H_\omega \).

Theorem 4.1. Let \( d \geq 3 \). Assume that \( \{v_n\} \in l^\infty \) and
\[
\sum_n |v_n|^{d+1} \tau_n^{(d+1)/2} |\Delta_n| < \infty.
\]

Then the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator \( H_\omega \) is essentially supported by \( (0, \infty) \) with probability one.

Proof. This theorem follows from the main result of [17], which says that the condition
\[
\sum_j \sqrt{|\lambda_j(V)|} + \sum_j \sqrt{|\lambda_j(-V)|} < \infty
\]
implies that the absolutely continuous spectrum of $-\Delta - V$ is essentially supported by $(0, \infty)$. On the other hand, \((4.3)\) holds almost surely due to \((3.3)\). \(\square\)

Without any doubt, this result cannot be considered as a trivial consequence of the classical scattering theory, because the potentials satisfying \((4.1)\) do not have to decay faster than the Coulomb potential. On the other hand, the presence of the absolutely continuous spectrum is expected in the case when

\[(4.3) \quad \int \frac{V^2(x)}{(1 + |x|)^{d-1}} dx < \infty\]

or, at least, under the condition

\[(4.4) \quad V \in L^d(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{for some } q < d.\]

The statement that the absolutely continuous spectrum of $-\Delta - V(x)$ fills the positive real line under the condition \((4.3)\) is called B. Simon's conjecture. There is no proof of this conjecture in the full extent. However, given that $V = V_\omega$ is random $(\Delta_n = [0, 1)^d + n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $E(V_\omega) = 0$, this statement can be considered to be proved (see \([6], \[2]\) and \([3]\)) under a certain convention. Namely, instead of \((4.3)\) one has to impose the condition \((3.10)\) with $s > 1/2$. In this sense, any integral condition of type \((4.4)\) or \((4.1)\) are again meaningful, because they do not assume that the decay of $V$ as $|x| \to \infty$ is uniform with respect to the direction.

In the next theorem we say that a random potential of class \((4.4)\) can be slightly perturbed so that the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator will gain nicer properties. We shall say that a real-valued potential $W$ belongs to the class of fast decaying potentials $\mathcal{A}$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|W(x)| dx}{(1 + |x|)^{d-1}} < \infty.$$  

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $d \geq 3$ and let $\{v_n\} \in l^\infty$. Assume that

$$\sum_n |v_n|^{2q} r_n^d |\Delta_n| < \infty$$

for some $1 < q < d$. Then for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ there is a potential $W_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator

$$H_\omega + W_\omega = -\Delta - V_\omega + W_\omega$$

is essentially supported by $(0, \infty)$.

**Proof.** As a matter of fact, $W_\omega = Q^2_\omega$, where $Q_\omega = \nabla \Delta^{-1} V_\omega$. According to estimate \((3.8)\), $Q \in L^q$. Consequently, $W \in L^q$ with $q < d$. Therefore, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|W(x)| dx}{(1 + |x|)^{d-1}} \leq \left( \int |W|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|)^{q(d-1)/(q-1)}} \right)^{1-1/q} < \infty$$

it remains to refer to \([18]\), where it is proved that if both operators $H_{\Delta} = -\Delta + V + W$ and $H_- = -\Delta - V + W$ are positive, then the absolutely continuous spectra of operators $H_{\pm}$ are essentially supported by $(0, \infty)$. Positivity of the operators $H_{\pm}$ follows in its turn from the relations $W = Q^2$ and $V = \text{div } Q$. \(\square\)

In the case of the normal lattice $\Delta_n = [0, 1)^d + n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, this result can be improved. Namely, as was shown by Denissov \([6]\) and Bourgain \([2], \[3]\), $H_\omega$ has a.c. spectrum all over the positive real line under conditions that are similar to the ones in Theorem 4.2.
5. Conditions that guarantee the presence of infinitely many eigenvalues in low dimensions

Inequality 3.3 for \( \gamma = 0 \) guarantees that the number of eigenvalues below zero is finite provided that the right-hand side is finite. Let us discuss the converse question. Namely, under what conditions on \( V \) does the operator \(-\Delta - V_\omega\) have infinitely many negative eigenvalues? Here we shall consider the case of the standard lattice \( \Delta_n = [0, 1]^d + n, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), in dimensions \( d = 1, 2 \), and we shall be interested only in potentials \( V_\omega \), satisfying the condition \( \text{(3.10)} \). For simplicity of calculations we shall assume that the random variables \( \omega_n \) are normally distributed. This means that the density of distribution for \( \omega_n \) is a function of the form \( f(t) = (\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)^{-1} \exp(-t^2/2\sigma^2) \). Consider first the two-dimensional case, when \( \chi_n \) are the characteristic functions of the squares \( \Delta_n = [0, 1]^2 + n, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( d = 2 \) and let \( v_n = (1 + |n|)^{-1 + \epsilon}, \ \epsilon > 0. \)

Then the operator \(-\Delta - V_\omega\) with the potential \( V_\omega = \sum_n v_n \omega_n \chi_n \) almost surely has an infinite number of negative eigenvalues.

**Proof.** Define functions \( \phi_n(x) = \phi(4^{-n}x) \), where \( \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \) equals 1 on the layer \( \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2 < |x| < 3\} \) and is supported in the set \( \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 1 < |x| < 4\} \). We note that the supports of the functions \( \phi_n \) are disjoint and

\[
\int |\nabla \phi_n|^2 \ dx = \int |\nabla \phi|^2 \ dx = \text{const.}
\]

Furthermore, the quantity \( \xi_n = \int V_\omega(x)|\phi_n(x)|^2 \ dx \) is a normally distributed random variable having the variance

\[
\sigma_n^2 = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi_n(x)|^2 \sum_m (1 + |m|)^{-2 + 2\epsilon} \chi_m(x) \ dx \to \infty, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

Consequently, the probability that

\[
\frac{\xi_n}{\sigma_n} > s \text{ equals } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_s^\infty \exp(-t^2/2) \ dt.
\]

Therefore the probability that

\[
(5.1) \quad \int |\nabla \phi_n|^2 \ dx - \int V_\omega(x)|\phi_n|^2 \ dx < 0
\]

tends to 1/2 as \(|n| \to \infty\). In other words, \( (5.1) \) holds approximately for “one half” of the indexes \( n \). Since the number of indexes in question is infinite, the inequality \( (5.1) \) holds with probability 1 for infinitely many \( n \), which means that the operator \( H_\omega = -\Delta - V_\omega \) almost surely has infinitely many negative eigenvalues. \( \square \)

As a matter of fact, one can prove Theorem 5.1 with \( \epsilon = 0 \). The same arguments work in the one-dimensional case.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( d = 1 \), let \( \chi_n \) be the characteristic functions of the intervals \([n, n + 1]\) and let

\[
v_n = (1 + |n|)^{-3/2 + \epsilon}, \ \epsilon > 0.
\]

Then the operator \(-d^2/x^2 - V_\omega\) with the potential \( V_\omega = \sum_n v_n \omega_n \chi_n \) almost surely has an infinite number of negative eigenvalues.
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