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Abstract. Let $X$ and $Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces, and $E$, $F$ be Banach lattices. Let $C(X,E)$ denote the Banach lattice of all continuous $E$-valued functions on $X$ equipped with the pointwise ordering and the sup norm. We prove that if there exists a Riesz isomorphism $\Phi : C(X,E) \to C(Y,F)$ such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if and only if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$, and $E$ is Riesz isomorphic to $F$. In this case, $\Phi$ can be written as a weighted composition operator: $\Phi f(y) = \Pi(y) (f(\varphi(y)))$, where $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$, and $\Pi(y)$ is a Riesz isomorphism from $E$ onto $F$ for every $y$ in $Y$. This generalizes some known results obtained recently.

1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces, and $C(X)$, $C(Y)$ denote the spaces of real-valued continuous functions defined on $X$, $Y$ respectively. There are three versions of the Banach-Stone theorem. That is to say, surjective linear isometries, ring isomorphisms and lattice isomorphisms from $C(X)$ onto $C(Y)$ yield homeomorphisms between $X$ and $Y$, respectively (cf. [1, 6, 14]).

Jerison [13] got the first vector-valued version of the Banach-Stone theorem. He proved that if the Banach space $E$ is strictly convex, then every surjective linear isometry $\Phi : C(X,E) \to C(Y,E)$ can be written as a weighted composition operator $\Phi f(y) = \Pi(y) (f(\varphi(y)))$, $\forall f \in C(X,E), \forall y \in Y$.

Here $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$, and $\Pi$ is a continuous map from $Y$ into the space $(L(E,E), SOT)$ of bounded linear operators on $E$ equipped with the strong operator topology (SOT). Furthermore, $\Pi(y)$ is a surjective linear isometry on $E$ for every $y$ in $Y$. After Jerison [13], many vector-valued versions of the Banach-Stone theorem have been obtained in different ways (see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15]).

Let $E$, $F$ be non-zero real Banach lattices, and $C(X,E)$ be the Banach lattice of all continuous $E$-valued functions on $X$ equipped with the pointwise ordering and the sup norm. Note that, in general, a Riesz isomorphism (i.e., lattice isomorphism) from $C(X,E)$ onto $C(Y,F)$ does not necessarily induce a topological
homeomorphism from $X$ onto $Y$ (cf. [16] Example 3.5)). To consider the Banach-Stone theorems for continuous Banach lattice-valued functions, we would like to mention the papers [5] [7] [16]. In particular, when $E$, $F$ are both Banach lattices and Riesz algebras, Miao, Cao and Xiong [16] recently proved that if $F$ has no zero-divisor and there exists a Riesz algebraic isomorphism $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$, and $E$ is Riesz algebraically isomorphic to $F$. By saying $f$ in $C(X, E)$ is non-vanishing, we mean that $0 \notin f(X)$. Indeed, under these conditions they obtained that $\Phi^{-1}g$ is non-vanishing on $X$ if $g \in C(Y, F)$ is non-vanishing on $Y$. Note that every Riesz algebraic isomorphism must be a Riesz isomorphism.

Let $E$ and $F$ be Banach lattices. More recently, Ercan and Önal [7] have established that if $F$ is an $AM$-space with unit, i.e., a $C(K)$-space, and there exists a Riesz isomorphism $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if and only if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$, that is, both $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are non-vanishing preserving, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$ and $E$ is Riesz isomorphic to $F$.

Inspired by [5] [7] [16], one can ask a natural question:

**Question 1.** Is $X$ homeomorphic to $Y$ if $E$, $F$ are Banach lattices and there exists a Riesz isomorphism $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ such that both $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are non-vanishing preserving?

In this paper we show the answer to the above question is affirmative. Moreover, in this case $\Phi$ can be written as a weighted composition operator:

$$\Phi f(y) = \Pi(y)(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \forall f \in C(X, E), \forall y \in Y,$$

where $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$, and $\Pi(y)$ is a Riesz isomorphism from $E$ onto $F$ for every $y \in Y$. This generalizes the results obtained by Cao, Reilly and Xiong [5], Miao, Cao, and Xiong [16], and Ercan and Önal [7].

Our notions are standard. For the undefined notions and basic facts concerning Banach lattices we refer the reader to the monographs [1] [2] [14].

2. A Banach-Stone theorem for Riesz isomorphisms

In the following we always assume $X$ and $Y$ are compact Hausdorff spaces, $E$ and $F$ are non-zero Banach lattices, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is the space of bounded linear operators from $E$ into $F$ equipped with SOT. For $x$ in $X$ and $y$ in $Y$, let $M_x$ and $N_y$ be defined as

$$M_x = \{f \in C(X, E) : f(x) = 0\}, \quad N_y = \{g \in C(Y, F) : g(y) = 0\}.$$

Clearly, $M_x$ and $N_y$ are closed (order) ideals in $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively.

**Lemma 2.** Let $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ be a Riesz isomorphism such that $\Phi(f)$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if and only if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$. Then for each $x$ in $X$ there exists a unique $y$ in $Y$ such that

$$\Phi M_x = N_y.$$

In particular, this defines a bijection $\varphi$ from $Y$ onto $X$ by $\varphi(y) = x$.

**Proof.** For each $x$ in $X$, let

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Phi M_x) = \{y \in Y : \Phi f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in M_x\}.$$

We first claim that $\mathcal{Z}(\Phi M_x)$ is non-empty. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\mathcal{Z}(\Phi M_x)$ is empty. Then for each $y$ in $Y$ there would exist an $f_y$ in $M_x$ such that $\Phi f_y(y) \neq 0$,
and thus $\Phi f_y$ is non-vanishing in an open neighborhood of $y$. Note that $|f_y| \in M_x$, and $\Phi|f_y| = |\Phi f_y|$ since $\Phi$ is a Riesz isomorphism. Therefore, we can assume further that both $f_y$ and $\Phi f_y$ are positive by replacing them by their absolute values if necessary. By the compactness of $Y$, we can choose finitely many $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ from $M^+_x$ such that the positive functions $\Phi f_1, \ldots, \Phi f_n$ have no common zero in $Y$. Hence $\Phi(f_1 + \cdots + f_n)$ is strictly positive; that is, $\Phi(f_1 + \cdots + f_n)(y) > 0$ for each $y$ in $Y$. This contradicts the fact that $f_1 + \cdots + f_n$ vanishes at $x$. We thus prove that $\mathcal{Z}(\Phi M_x) \neq \phi$.

Next, we claim that $\mathcal{Z}(\Phi M_x)$ is a singleton. Indeed, if $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\Phi M_x)$, then we would have $\Phi M_x \subseteq N_{y_i}, i = 1, 2$. Applying the above argument to $\Phi^{-1}$, we shall have $\Phi^{-1} N_{y_i} \subseteq M_x$, for some $x_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $\Phi M_x \subseteq N_{y_i} \subseteq \Phi M_{x_i}, i = 1, 2$. Then $x = x_1 = x_2$ since $\Phi$ is bijective and $X$ is Hausdorff. Thus,

$$y_1 = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi M_x = N_{y_1} = N_{y_2}.$$ 

Now, we can define a bijective map $\varphi : Y \to X$ such that

$$\Phi M_{\varphi(y)} = N_y, \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

The following main result answers affirmatively the question mentioned in the introduction and solves the conjecture of Ercan and Önal in [7].

**Theorem 3.** Let $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ be a Riesz isomorphism such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if and only if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$. Then $Y$ is homeomorphic to $X$, and $\Phi$ can be written as a weighted composition operator

$$\Phi f(y) = II(y)(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \forall f \in C(X, E), \forall y \in Y.$$

Here $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$, and $II(y)$ is a Riesz isomorphism from $E$ onto $F$ for every $y$ in $Y$. Moreover, $II : Y \to (L(E, F), SOT)$ is continuous, and $\|II\| = \sup_{y \in Y} \|II(y)\|$.

**Proof.** First, we show that the bijection $\varphi$ given in Lemma 2 is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$. It suffices to verify the continuity of $\varphi$ since $Y$ is compact and $X$ is Hausdorff. To this end, suppose, to the contrary, that there would exist a net $\{y_\lambda\}$ in $Y$ converging to $y_0$ in $Y$, but $\varphi(y_\lambda)$ converges to $x_0 \neq \varphi(y_0)$ in $X$.

Let $U_{x_0}$ and $U_{\varphi(y_0)}$ be disjoint open neighborhoods of $x_0$ and $\varphi(y_0)$, respectively. First, for any $f$ in $C(X, E)$ vanishing outside $U_{\varphi(y_0)}$ we claim that $\Phi f(y_0) = 0$.

Indeed, since $\varphi(y_\lambda)$ belongs to $U_{x_0}$ for $\lambda$ large enough and $f(x) = 0$ for any $x$ in $U_{x_0}$, we have that $f \in M_{\varphi(y_0)}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\Phi f \in N_{y_\lambda}$; that is, $\Phi f(y_\lambda) = 0$ when $\lambda$ is large enough. Thus, $\Phi f(y_0) = 0$ since $y_\lambda \to y_0$ and $\Phi f$ is continuous.

Let $\chi \in C(X)$ such that $\chi$ vanishes outside $U_{\varphi(y_0)}$ and $\chi(\varphi(y_0)) = 1$. Then, for any $h$ in $C(X, E)$, we have $h = \chi h + (1 - \chi) h$. Since $\chi h$ vanishes outside $U_{\varphi(y_0)}$, by the above argument, we can see that $\Phi(\chi h)(y_0) = 0$. Clearly, $\Phi((1 - \chi)h)$ vanishes at $y_0$ since $(1 - \chi) h \in M_{\varphi(y_0)}$. Thus, $\Phi(h)(y_0) = \Phi(\chi h)(y_0) + \Phi((1 - \chi)h)(y_0) = 0$ for any $h$ in $C(X, E)$. This leads to a contradiction since $\Phi$ is surjective. So $\varphi$ is continuous and thus a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$ satisfying $\Phi M_{\varphi(y)} = N_y$ for each $y$ in $Y$. 


Next, note that $\ker \delta_\varphi(y) = \ker \delta_y \circ \Phi$, where $\delta_y$ is the Dirac functional. Hence, there is a linear operator $\Pi(y) : E \to F$ such that $\delta_y \circ \Phi = \Pi(y) \circ \delta_\varphi(y)$. In other words,

$$\Phi f(y) = \Pi(y)(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \forall f \in C(X, E), \forall y \in Y.$$  

See, e.g., [8, p. 67].

It is routine to verify the other assertions in the statement of this theorem. For the convenience of the reader, we give a sketch of the rest of the proof. For $e$ in $E$, let $1_X \otimes e \in C(X, E)$ be defined by $(1_X \otimes e)(x) = e$ for each $x$ in $X$. Let $y$ in $Y$ be fixed. If $e \neq 0$, then $\Pi(y)e = \Pi(y)((1_X \otimes e)(\varphi(y))) = \Phi(1_X \otimes e)(y) \neq 0$ since $1_X \otimes e$ is non-vanishing. Hence, $\Pi(y)$ is one-to-one. On the other hand, for $u$ in $F$ we can find a function $f$ in $C(X, E)$ such that $\Phi f = 1_Y \otimes u$ by the surjectivity of $\Phi$. Let $e = f(\varphi(y))$. Then $\Pi(y)e = \Pi(y)(f(\varphi(y))) = \Phi f(y) = u$. That is, $\Pi(y)$ is surjective. To see that $\Pi(y)$ is a Riesz isomorphism, let $e_1, e_2 \in E$. Then $\Pi(y)(e_1 \vee e_2) = \Phi(1_X \otimes (e_1 \vee e_2))(y) = \Phi(1_X \otimes e_1)(y) \vee \Phi(1_X \otimes e_2)(y) = \Pi(y)e_1 \vee \Pi(y)e_2$, since $\Phi$ is a Riesz isomorphism.

Recall that every positive operator between Banach lattices is continuous. Let $e \in E$. Since $\|\Pi(y)e\| = \|\Phi(1_X \otimes e)(y)\| \leq \|\Phi(1_X \otimes e)\| \leq \|\Phi\|\|e\|$, we have $\|\Pi(y)\| \leq \|\Phi\|$ for all $y$ in $Y$. On the other hand, for any $f$ in $C(X, E)$ and any $y$ in $Y$, we can see that $\|\Phi f(y)\| = \|\Pi(y)(f(\varphi(y)))\| \leq \|\Pi(y)\|\|f\|$. Consequently, $\|\Phi\| \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Pi(y)\|$.

Finally, we prove that $\Pi : Y \to (\mathcal{L}(E, F), SOT)$ is continuous. To this end, let $\{y_\lambda\}$ be a net such that $y_\lambda \to y$ in $Y$. Then, for any $e$ in $E$, $\Pi(y_\lambda)e - \Pi(y)e = \|\Phi(1_X \otimes e)(y_\lambda) - \Phi(1_X \otimes e)(y)\| \to 0$, since $\Phi(1_X \otimes e)$ is continuous on $Y$. □

In the above results, we have to assume that both $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are non-vanishing preserving. In the following example, we can see that the inverse of a non-vanishing preserving Riesz isomorphism is not necessarily non-vanishing preserving.

**Example 4.** Let $X = \{1, 2\}$ be equipped with the discrete topology, let $E = \mathbb{R}$ have its usual ordering and norm, and let $Y = \{0\}$ and $F = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the pointwise ordering and the sup norm. Define $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ by $\Phi f(0) = (f(1), f(2))$. Clearly, the Riesz isometric isomorphism $\Phi$ is non-vanishing preserving, but its inverse $\Phi^{-1}$ is not.

Let $E$, $F$ be both Banach lattices and Riesz algebras. Miao, Cao and Xiong [16] recently proved that if $F$ has no zero-divisor and there exists a Riesz algebraic isomorphism $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$ and $E$ is Riesz algebraically isomorphic to $F$. In fact, from their proof we can see that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if and only if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$; that is, both $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are non-vanishing preserving. Therefore, the result of Miao, Cao and Xiong can be restated as follows.

**Corollary 5 (16).** Let $E$, $F$ be both Banach lattices and Riesz algebras. If $F$ has no zero-divisor and $\Phi : C(X, E) \to C(Y, F)$ is a Riesz algebraic isomorphism such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$, then $\Phi$ is a weighted composition operator

$$\Phi f(y) = \Pi(y)(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \forall f \in C(X, E), \forall y \in Y.$$  

Here $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X$, and $\Pi(y)$ is a Riesz algebraic isomorphism from $E$ onto $F$ for every $y$ in $Y$. □
In Theorem 3 when $X$, $Y$ are compact Hausdorff spaces and $E = F = \mathbb{R}$, the lattice hypothesis about $\Phi$ can be dropped.

**Example 6.** Let $X, Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces, and $C(X), C(Y)$ be the Banach spaces of continuous real-valued functions defined on $X, Y$, respectively. Assume $\Phi : C(X) \to C(Y)$ is a linear map such that $\Phi f$ is non-vanishing on $Y$ if and only if $f$ is non-vanishing on $X$.

Note that $(\Phi 1_X)^{-1}\Phi$ is a unital linear map preserving non-vanishing. Let $\lambda$ be in the range of $f$. Then $f - \lambda 1_X$ is not invertible, and thus neither is $(\Phi 1_X)^{-1}\Phi f - \lambda 1_Y$. It follows that $\lambda$ is in the range of $(\Phi 1_X)^{-1}\Phi f$. The converse also holds. Therefore, the range of $(\Phi 1_X)^{-1}\Phi f$ coincides with the range of $f$ for each $f$ in $C(X)$. In particular, $(\Phi 1_X)^{-1}\Phi$ is a unital linear isometry from $C(X)$ into $C(Y)$. By the Holsztyński Theorem [11], there is a compact subset $Y_0$ of $Y$ and a quotient map $\varphi : Y_0 \to X$ such that

$$(\Phi 1_X)^{-1}\Phi f |_{Y_0} = f \circ \varphi, \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

In case $\Phi$ is surjective, the classical Banach-Stone Theorem ensures that $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y = Y_0$ onto $X$. Moreover, if $\Phi 1_X$ is strictly positive on $Y$, then $\Phi$ is a Riesz isomorphism. However, when $\Phi$ is not surjective the situation is a bit uncontrollable. For example, consider $\Phi : C[0, 1] \to C([0, 1/2] \cup [1/2, 3/2])$ defined by

$$\Phi f(y) = \begin{cases} f(2y), & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq 1/2; \\ (2y - 2)f(0) + (3 - 2y)f(1), & \text{if } 1 \leq y \leq 3/2. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the thus defined $\Phi$ is a non-surjective linear isometry preserving non-vanishing in two ways, but $[0, 1]$ is not homeomorphic to $[0, 1/2] \cup [1/2, 3/2]$.

Finally, we borrow an example from [15] which shows that the surjectivity cannot be guaranteed by many other properties we usually consider.

**Example 7.** Let $\omega$ and $\omega_1$ be the first infinite and the first uncountable ordinal numbers, respectively. Let $[0, \omega_1]$ be the compact Hausdorff space consisting of all ordinal numbers $x$ not greater than $\omega_1$ and equipped with the topology generated by order intervals. Note that every continuous function $f$ in $C[0, \omega_1]$ is eventually constant. More precisely, there is a non-limit ordinal $x_f$ such that $\omega < x_f < \omega_1$ and $f(x) = f(\omega_1)$ for all $x \geq x_f$.

Define $\phi : [0, \omega_1] \to [0, \omega]$ by setting

$$\phi(0) = \omega_1, \quad \phi(n) = n - 1 \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad \text{and } \phi(x) = x \quad \text{for all } x \geq \omega.$$

Let $\Phi : C[0, \omega_1] \to C[0, \omega]$ be the non-surjective composition operator defined by $\Phi f = f \circ \phi$. It is plain that $\Phi$ is an isometric unital algebraic and lattice isomorphism from $C[0, \omega_1]$ onto its range. In fact, one can see in [15 Example 3.3] that the map $\Phi$ is a non-surjective linear $n$-local automorphism of $C[0, \omega_1]$, where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega$; i.e., the action of $\Phi$ on any set $S$ of cardinality not greater than $n$ agrees with an automorphism $\Phi_S$.
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