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Abstract. We consider a uniform distribution on the set $\mathcal{M}_k$ of moments of order $k \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponding to probability measures on the interval $[0,1]$. To each (random) vector of moments in $\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}$ we consider the corresponding uniquely determined monic (random) orthogonal polynomial of degree $n$ and study the asymptotic properties of its roots if $n \to \infty$.

1. Introduction

For a probability measure $\eta$ on the interval $[0,1]$ let

$$c_k = c_k(\eta) = \int_0^1 x^k \eta(dx), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

denote the corresponding moments and consider the moment space (of order $n$) defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \{(c_1(\eta), \ldots, c_n(\eta))^T \mid \eta \text{ is a probability measure on } [0,1]\}. \tag{1}$$

The set $\mathcal{M}_n$ is a very small subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with volume proportional to $2^{-n^2}$ and has been studied extensively in the literature (see e.g. Karlin and Shapeley [12], Skibinsky [15,16,17], Chang, Kemperman and Studden [3] or Gamboa and Lozada-Chang [9]). In order to understand the structure of the moment space, Chang, Kemperman and Studden [3] assigned a uniform distribution over $\mathcal{M}_n$ and studied the asymptotic properties of the first $k$ components of the random vector $(C_{1,n}, \ldots, C_{k,n})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_n)$ if $n \to \infty$. In particular, they showed that an appropriately standardized version of the vector $(C_{1,n}, \ldots, C_{k,n})^T$ converges weakly to a centered normal distribution; that is,

$$\sqrt{n} \left\{(C_{1,n}, \ldots, C_{k,n})^T - (c_{1,0}^0, \ldots, c_{k,0}^0)^T\right\} \to \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma),$$
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where \( c_k^0 \) denotes the \( k \)-th moment of the arcsine distribution defined by

\[
c_k^0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{x^k}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \, dx = \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \binom{2k}{k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]

and the matrix \( \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \) is given by

\[
\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{i+j}^0 - c_i^0 c_j^0 \right)_{i,j=1}^k.
\]

A large deviation principle for the random moment vector \((C_1, \ldots, C_{k,n})^T\) was derived in Gamboa and Lozada-Chang \(9\), while Dette and Gamboa \(6\) investigated the asymptotic properties of a moment range process.

It is the purpose of the present paper to provide further insight in the probabilistic properties of quantities associated to random moment sequences. In particular, each random vector \((C_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}) \) defines a (random) moment functional and a corresponding sequence of monic random orthogonal polynomials \( P_{0,n}(x), \ldots, P_{n,n}(x) \) (see Chihara \(4\)). These polynomials are carefully introduced in Section 2, where we also state some non-standard results regarding moment theory. In Section 3 we derive the asymptotic properties of the (random) roots \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{k,n} \) of the polynomial \( P_{n,n}(x) \) associated with the random moment sequence \((C_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1}) \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}) \). In particular, it is shown that the empirical distribution function of the (random) roots \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{k,n} \) converges almost surely to the arcsine distribution if \( n \to \infty \) and that an appropriate standardization of the vector \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{k,n} \) is asymptotically normal distributed, where the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind are used for the centering and the rate of convergence is \( 1/\sqrt{n} \).

2. RANDOM COEFFICIENTS IN A THREE TERM RECURRANCE RELATION

The set \( \mathcal{M}_n \) defined by (1) is a very small compact and convex subset of the unit cube \([0,1]^n\) with non-empty interior and volume

\[
\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M}_n) = \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(2k)} \approx c \cdot 2^{-n^2}
\]

(see Karlin and Shapeley \(12\)). The interior of \( \mathcal{M}_n \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{M}_n^0 \) throughout this paper. It is well known that there exist an infinite number of probability measures on the interval \([0,1]\) with moments up to the order \( 2n-1 \) given by \((c_1, \ldots, c_{2n-1})^T \in \mathcal{M}_n^0 \) (see Dette and Studden \(7\)). A straightforward calculation shows (see e.g. Szegö \(18\) or Chihara \(4\)) that for a given vector

\[
(c_1, \ldots, c_{2n-1})^T = (c_1(\eta), \ldots, c_{2n-1}(\eta))^T \in \mathcal{M}_n^0
\]

the polynomials \( P_{0,n}(x) = 1 \),

\[
P_{m,n}(x) := \begin{vmatrix}
    c_0 & \cdots & c_{m-1} & 1 \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    c_m & \cdots & c_{2m-1} & x^m \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

have leading coefficient 1 and are orthogonal with respect to a measure \( \eta \); that is,

\[
\int_0^1 P_{k,n}(x) P_{l,n}(x) d\eta(x) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k \neq l,
\]
where \( \eta \) is any measure satisfying

\[
c_k = c_k(\eta) = \int_0^1 x^k d\eta(x) \quad (k = 0, \ldots, 2n - 1).
\]

In other words, each vector \((c_1, \ldots, c_{2n-1})^T \in M_{2n-1}^0\) uniquely determines monic orthogonal polynomials \(P_{0,n}(x), \ldots, P_{n,n}(x)\) satisfying (1). In the following we consider a one-to-one mapping of the set \(M_{2n-1}^0\) on the cube \((0,1)^{2n-1}\), which was introduced by Skibinsky [15]. The new coordinates are called canonical moments and have been studied by numerous authors (see e.g. Dette and Studden [7] for a detailed discussion). To be precise, let \(\mathcal{P}([0,1])\) denote the set of all probability measures on the interval \([0,1]\) and for a fixed vector \(c = (c_1, \ldots, c_k)^T \in M_k\) define

\[
S_k(c) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}([0,1]) : \int_0^1 (x, \ldots, x^k)^T \mu(dx) = c \right\}
\]

as the set of all probability measures on the interval \([0,1]\) whose moments up to the order \(k\) coincide with \(c = (c_1, \ldots, c_k)^T\). Note that this set is a singleton if and only if \(c \in \partial M_k\) (see Dette and Studden [7]). For \(k = 2, 3, \ldots\) and for a given point \((c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1})^T \in M_{k-1}^0\) we define \(c_k^+ = c_k^+(c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1})\) and \(c_k^- = c_k^-(c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1})\) as the largest and smallest value of \(c_k\) such that \((c_1, \ldots, c_k)^T \in M_k\); that is,

\[
c_k^- = \min \left\{ \int_0^1 x^k \mu(dx) \mid \mu \in S_{k-1}(c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}) \right\},
\]

\[
c_k^+ = \max \left\{ \int_0^1 x^k \mu(dx) \mid \mu \in S_{k-1}(c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}) \right\}.
\]

Note that \(c_k^- \leq c_k \leq c_k^+\) and that both inequalities are strict if and only if \((c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1})^T \in M_{k-1}^0\) (see Dette and Studden [7]). For a moment point \(c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)^T\) in the interior of the moment space \(M_n\) the canonical moments or canonical coordinates of the vector \(c\) are defined by

\[
p_1 = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_k = \frac{c_k - c_k^-}{c_k^+ - c_k^-}, \quad k = 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Note that \(0 < p_k < 1\) \((k = 1, \ldots, n)\) if \((c_1, \ldots, c_n)^T \in M_n^0\) and that the definition (5) defines a one to one mapping between \(M_n^0\) and the open unit cube \((0,1)^n\). For more details regarding canonical moments we refer the reader to the work of Skibinsky [15] [16] [17] and to the monograph of Dette and Studden [7]. In particular it is shown in the last-named reference that the three term recurrence relation corresponding to the monic orthogonal polynomials defined by (3) can be represented in terms of canonical moments; that is, \(P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x - \xi_1\), and for \(1 \leq m \leq n - 1\),

\[
P_{m+1}(x) = (x - \xi_{2m} - \xi_{2m+1})P_m(x) - \xi_{2m-1}\xi_{2m}P_{m-1}(x),
\]

where the quantities \(\xi_k\) are given by \(\xi_0 = 0, \xi_1 = p_1, \xi_k = q_{k-1}p_k\) with \(q_k = 1 - p_{k-1}\) if \(k \geq 2\). Moreover, from the representation (5) it is easy to see that \(\partial c_1/\partial p_1 = 1\),

\[
\frac{\partial c_k}{\partial p_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > k \\ r_k(c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}) & \text{if } j = k \end{cases} \quad (k \geq 1),
\]
where
\[ r_{k+1}(c_1, \ldots, c_k) = r_{k+1} = c_{k+1}^+ - c_{k+1} = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j(1 - p_j) \]
denotes the range of the moment space \( \mathcal{M}_k \) (with the convention \( r_1 = 1 \)). In the following section we will use these results to study stochastic properties of the roots of random orthogonal polynomials associated with a uniform distribution on the moment space \( \mathcal{M}_{2n-1} \).

3. ASYMPTOTIC ZERO DISTRIBUTION OF RANDOM ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( C_{2n-1} = (C_{2n-1,1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}) \) denote a uniformly distributed vector on the moment space \( \mathcal{M}_{2n-1} \). From (2) it follows that
\[ P(C_{2n-1} \in \mathcal{M}_0^{2n-1}) = 1, \]
and consequently the random canonical moments, say \( P_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, P_{2n-1,2n-1} \), corresponding to the random vector \( C_{2n-1} \), are well defined with probability 1. Observing the representation (7) we see that the density of the random vector \( (P_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, P_{2n-1,2n-1}) \) is given by
\[ \frac{2n-2}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(4n - 2j)} \frac{(2n - j)^{2n-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (p_i(1-p_i))^{2n-j-1}}, \]
which means that \( \{(P_{j,2n-1})_{j=1}^{2n-1} | n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) is a triangular array of rowwise independent random variables where \( P_{j,2n-1} \) has a symmetric Beta-distribution on the interval \([0,1]\) with parameter \( 2n - j \), that is, \( P_{j,2n-1} \sim B(2n - j, 2n - j) \). In what follows, let \( P_{m,n}(x) \) denote the \( m \)th random monic orthogonal polynomial associated with the random vector \( C_{2n-1} \) by equation (3) and \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{m,n} \) the corresponding roots which are real with probability 1 (see Szegö [18]). Our first result gives an explicit representation for the joint density of the random vector \( (X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{n,n})^T \).

**Theorem 3.1.** The joint density of the roots \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{n,n} \) of the monic random orthogonal polynomial \( P_{n,n}(x) \) corresponding to a random vector \( C_{2n-1} = (C_{2n-1,1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}) \) by equation (3) is given by
\[ f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = c \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |x_i - x_j|^4 \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0,1]}(x_i), \]
where the normalizing constant \( c \) is defined by
\[ c = 2^n \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2r + 2n - 1)!}{(2r!)^2(2r + 2)!}. \]

**Proof.** Consider the random canonical moments \( (P_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, P_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \) corresponding to the random vector \( (C_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}) \). Let \( \Xi_1 = \Xi_{1,2n-1} = P_{1,2n-1} \), and for \( j = 2, \ldots, 2n - 1 \) define \( \Xi_j = \Xi_{j,2n-1} = (1 - P_{j-1,2n-1})P_{j,2n-1} \). Then it follows from the recursive relation (4) that the random orthogonal polynomial \( P_{n,n}(x) \) can be represented as the determinant of a
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symmetric tridiagonal matrix, that is,

\[ P_{n,n}(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x - \Xi_1 & -\sqrt{\Xi_1\Xi_2} & 0 & & & \sqrt{\Xi_{n-1}\Xi_n} \\ -\sqrt{\Xi_1\Xi_2} & x - \Xi_2 & -\sqrt{\Xi_2\Xi_3} & & \cdots & \sqrt{\Xi_{n-2}\Xi_{n-1}} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{\Xi_2\Xi_3} & x - \Xi_3 & \cdots & \cdots & \sqrt{\Xi_{n-3}\Xi_{n-2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{\Xi_{n-2}\Xi_{n-1}} & \sqrt{\Xi_{n-3}\Xi_{n-2}} & \cdots & -\sqrt{\Xi_{n-2}\Xi_{n-1}} & x - \Xi_{n-1} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\Xi_{n-1}\Xi_n} & -\sqrt{\Xi_{n-1}\Xi_n} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \sqrt{\Xi_{n-1}\Xi_n} \end{vmatrix} \]

Consequently, the roots \( X_1, \ldots, X_{n,n} \) of the polynomial \( P_{n,n}(x) \) are the eigenvalues of the random Jacobi matrix \( J_{n,n} \), where for \( m \leq n \)

\[ J_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_1 & \sqrt{\Xi_1\Xi_2} & 0 & & & \sqrt{\Xi_m\Xi_{m+1}} \\ \sqrt{\Xi_1\Xi_2} & \Xi_2 + \Xi_3 & \sqrt{\Xi_2\Xi_3} & & \cdots & \sqrt{\Xi_{m-1}\Xi_m} \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Xi_2\Xi_3} & \Xi_3 + \Xi_4 & \cdots & \cdots & \sqrt{\Xi_{m-2}\Xi_{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{\Xi_{m-1}\Xi_m} & \sqrt{\Xi_{m-2}\Xi_{m-1}} & \cdots & -\sqrt{\Xi_{m-1}\Xi_m} & \Xi_{m+1} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\Xi_m\Xi_{m+1}} & -\sqrt{\Xi_m\Xi_{m+1}} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \sqrt{\Xi_m\Xi_{m+1}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}. \]

Now define for \( j = 0, \ldots, 2n-2 \) the quantities \( \alpha_j = 2P_{j+1,2n-1} - 1 \). Then \( \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2n-2} \) are independent random variables and \( \alpha_j \) follows a symmetric Beta-distribution on the interval \([-1, 1]\) with parameter \( 2n - j - 1 \). Moreover, a straightforward calculation shows that the matrix \( \tilde{J}_n = 4J_{n,n} - 2I_n \) can be represented as

\[ \tilde{J}_n := \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 \\ a_1 & b_2 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & b_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \]

with entries

\[ b_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_{2k-1})\alpha_{2k} - (1 + \alpha_{2k-1})\alpha_{2k-2}, \]
\[ a_{k+1} = \left\{(1 - \alpha_{2k-1})(1 - \alpha_{2k}^2)(1 + \alpha_{2k+1})\right\}^{1/2}, \]

and \( \alpha_{-1} = -1 \). This matrix has been considered recently by Killip and Nenciu \[13\] in a more general context (see Theorem 2 in this reference). By the results of these authors we obtain that the density of the random eigenvalues \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \) of the matrix \( \tilde{J}_n \) is given by

\[ \tilde{c} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^4 I_{(-2,2)}(\lambda_i), \]

where \( \tilde{c} \) is an appropriate normalizing constant. Transferring this result to the eigenvalues of the matrix \( J_{n,n} \), it follows that the density of the roots of the random polynomial \( P_{n,n}(x) \) is given by \[8\]. For the calculation of the normalizing constant \( \tilde{c} \) we refer the reader to Killip and Nenciu \[13\]. \( \square \)

A more general version of Theorem \[5,1\] has been derived independently by Gamboa and Rouault \[10\], who discussed large deviations for random spectral measures. The density defined by \[8\] is a special case of the Jacobi ensemble in the symplectic case (see e.g. Mehta \[14\]) which has found considerable interest in the recent
Note that the Chebyshev polynomials

\[ T^n_{a_n,b_n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^{\beta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^{a_n}(1 - \lambda_i)^{b_n} I_{[0,1]}(\lambda_i), \]

where \( a_n, b_n \geq -1 \), \( c^n_{a_n,b_n} \) is a normalizing constant and \( \beta > 0 \) (see Killip and Nenciu [13]). While most authors consider the case where \( n \to \infty, a_n/n \to \alpha, \) \( b_n/n \to \beta \), much less attention has been paid to the case where \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) are fixed.

We use the explicit representation for the density of the roots of \( P_{n,n}(x) \) in terms of eigenvalues of the random matrix \( J_{n,n} \) defined in (11) to derive asymptotic properties for the empirical distribution function of the random variables \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{n,n} \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let

\[ F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\{X_{j,n} \leq x\} \]

denote the empirical distribution function of the roots of the monic random orthogonal polynomial \( P_{n,n}(x) \) associated with the random vector

\[ C_{2n-1} = (C_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}) \]

with density (8); then for all \( x \in [0,1] \)

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \quad \text{a.s.} \]

**Proof.** Define

\[ D_n = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & \frac{1}{4}
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}. \]

Then a straightforward calculation shows that the characteristic polynomial of the matrix \( D_n \) is given by the monic Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind on the interval \([0,1]\); that is,

\[ T_n(x) := \det(xI_n - D_n) = 2^{-2n+1} \cos(n \arccos(2x - 1)), \]

which has roots

\[ x_{k,n} = \frac{\cos((2k - 1) \frac{\pi}{2n}) + 1}{2}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \]

Note that the Chebyshev polynomials \( T_n(x) \) are orthogonal with respect to the arcsine distribution on the interval \([0,1]\). Moreover, define \( T_k(x) = 2^{2k-1} T_k(x) \) for \( k \geq 1 \), \( T_0(x) = 1/\sqrt{2} \) and \( T(x) = (T_0(x), \ldots, T_{n-1}(x))^T \). Then it follows from the relation \( \cos((n+1)z) + \cos((n-1)z) = 2 \cos(nz) \cos(z) \) by a straightforward calculation that

\[ x_{k,n} T(x_{k,n}) = D_n T(x_{k,n}), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \]

This shows that the vectors

\[ t_{k,n} = T(x_{k,n}) = \left(1/\sqrt{2}, \cos(\frac{2k-1}{2n} \pi), \ldots, \cos((n-1) \frac{2k-1}{2n} \pi)\right)^T \]
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for \( k = 1, \ldots, n \) are the eigenvectors of the matrix \( D_n \) corresponding to the eigenvalues \( x_{1,n}, \ldots, x_{n,n} \), respectively. In the following discussion define

\[
N_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\{x, n \leq x\}
\]

as the empirical distribution function of the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial \( T_n(x) \) (or \( T_n(x) \)). Then an elementary calculation shows that for all \( x \in [0, 1] \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} N_n(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos(2x - 1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}},
\]

where the convergence is uniform on the interval \([0, 1]\). From Bai \[1\] we have for the Levy distance between the empirical distribution functions \( F_n \) and \( N_n \) the estimate

\[
L^3(F_n, N_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| X(j), n - x(j), n \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left( J_{n,n} - D_n \right)^2
\]

(14)

\[
\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_{ii} - D_{ii})^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (J_{ii+1} - D_{ii+1})^2,
\]

(15)

where \( X(1), n \leq \cdots \leq X(n), n \) and \( x(1), n \leq \cdots \leq x(n), n \) denote the ordered roots of the polynomials \( P_{n,n}(x) \) and \( T_n(x) \), respectively, and \( J_{ij} \) and \( D_{ij} \) are the elements of the tridiagonal matrices \( J_{n,n} \) and \( D_n \) defined in \[11\] and \[13\], respectively. Using the notation \( Q_{1,2n-1} = 1 - P_{1,2n-1} \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, 2n-1) \) it now follows by a straightforward calculation that for \( 2 \leq i \leq n \)

\[
(J_{ii} - D_{ii})^2 = \left( Q_{2i-3,2n-1}P_{2i-2,2n-1} + Q_{2i-2,2n-1}P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \left\{ \left( Q_{2i-3,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) P_{2i-2,2n-1} + \left( P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) Q_{2i-2,2n-1} \right\}^2
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ \left| Q_{2i-3,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right\}^2
\]

\[
\leq 2 \left\{ \left| P_{2i-3,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right|^2 + \left| P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right|^2 \right\}
\]

and \( (J_{11} - D_{11})^2 \leq |P_{1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}|^2 \). Similarly we obtain for \( 2 \leq i \leq n - 1 \)

\[
|J_{ii+1} - D_{ii+1}|^2 \leq \left| Q_{2i-2,2n-1}P_{2i-1,2n-1}Q_{2i-1,2n-1}P_{2i,2n-1} - \frac{1}{16} \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \left( Q_{2i-2,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) P_{2i-1,2n-1}Q_{2i-1,2n-1}P_{2i,2n-1}
\right|
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( P_{2i-1,2n-1}Q_{2i-1,2n-1}P_{2i,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left| Q_{2i-2,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left| Q_{2i-2,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| P_{2i,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left| Q_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \gamma \left\{ \left| P_{2i-2,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| P_{2i,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right\},
\]
for an appropriate constant $\gamma$ and $|J_{1,2} - D_{1,2}|^2 \leq \gamma \cdot \left( |P_{1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}| + |P_{2,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}| \right)$.

In the following discussion we will show that

\[(16) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_{2i,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}|^2 \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0,\]

\[(17) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}|^2 \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0,\]

which directly implies

\[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_{ii} - D_{ii})^2 \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0.\]

Moreover, for the remaining sum in (15) it follows that

\[-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (J_{ii+1} - D_{ii+1})^2 \leq \delta \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_{2i,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_{2i-1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right] \overset{a.s.}{\to} o(1),\]

where the constant $\delta$ does not depend on $n$. The assertion is now a consequence of (14) and (15), which yields for the Levy distance between the empirical distribution function $F_n$ and distribution function $F$ of the arcsine measure

\[L(F_n, F) \leq L(F_n, N_n) + L(N_n, F) = o(1) \quad a.s.\]

For a proof of the almost sure convergence in (16) and (17) we restrict ourselves to the statement (16); the remaining case is treated similarly. In order to prove (16) we will use a strong law of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random variables. To be precise, define

\[Z_{n,k} = (P_{2k,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{8(2n - 2k) + 4}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n - 1;\]

then $E[Z_{n,k}] = 0$. A tedious calculation gives

\[Var(Z_{n,k}) = \frac{n - k}{2(1 + 4(n - k))^2 (3 + 4(n - k))},\]

which yields for the random variable $Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Z_{n,k}$

\[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Z_n| > \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Var(Z_n)}{\varepsilon^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Var(Z_{n,k}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k}{2(1 + 4k)^2 (3 + 4k)} < \infty.\]

Consequently, the Borel-Cantelli Lemma shows

\[Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Z_{n,k} \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0.\]

Observing that

\[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(2n - 1 - 2k) + 1} = O(\log n),\]
we see that
\[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |P_{2k,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}|^2 = Z_n + O \left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right) = o(1) \quad \text{a.s.,} \]
which establishes (15) and completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \( \square \)

Our final result refers to the asymptotic behaviour of the roots of the \( m \)-th orthogonal polynomial associated with the random moment vector
\[ C_{2n-1} = (C_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, C_{2n-1,2n-1})^T \sim \mathcal{U}(M_{2n-1}), \]
where \( m \) is fixed. In this case, the limiting distribution is normal, where the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial \( T_n(x) \) defined in (11) are used for the centering.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{m,n} \) denote the roots of the \( m \)-th random monic orthogonal polynomial \( P_{m,n}(x) \) with density (8), which corresponds to the random vector \( C_{2n-1} \sim \mathcal{U}(M_{2n-1}) \) by equation (11). Then
\[ 4\sqrt{n} \left\{ (X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{m,n})^T - (x_{1,n}, \ldots, x_{m,n})^T \right\} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma), \]
where \( x_{1,m}, \ldots, x_{m,m} \) are the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind defined by (12) and the matrix \( \Gamma \) is given by \( \Gamma = \frac{1}{m} (\gamma_{k,l})_{k,l=1}^m \), where
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{k,l} &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} T_j^2(x_{k,m}) T_j^2(x_{l,m}) - \frac{1}{4} (T_1^2(x_{k,m}) + T_1^2(x_{l,m})) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} (T_j^2(x_{k,m}) T_{j+1}^2(x_{l,m}) + T_j^2(x_{l,m}) T_{j+1}^2(x_{k,m})) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} T_1(x_{k,m}) T_1(x_{l,m}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} T_j(x_{k,m}) T_{j+1}(x_{k,m}) T_j(x_{l,m}) T_{j+1}(x_{l,m}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-3} T_j(x_{k,m}) T_{j+1}(x_{k,m}) T_{j+2}(x_{l,m}) T_{j+2}(x_{k,m}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-3} T_j(x_{l,m}) T_{j+1}(x_{l,m}) T_{j+2}(x_{k,m}) T_{j+2}(x_{k,m}).
\end{align*}

\( T_j(x) = \cos(j \arccos(2x - 1)) \) and \( x_{k,m} \) is the \( k \)-th zero of the polynomial \( T_m(x) \) defined in (12).

**Proof.** Because the density of the random variable \( 4\sqrt{n}(P_{k,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}) \) is given by
\[ \frac{\beta(2n-k,2n-k)}{\sqrt{n} \ 4^{2n-k-1}} \left( 1 - \frac{y^2}{4n} \right)^{2n-k-1} I\{|y| \leq 2\sqrt{n}\}, \]
where \( \beta \) denotes the beta function, it is easy to see (using Stirling’s formula) that it converges to the density of a normal distribution, that is, \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-y^2/2) \). Therefore, for fixed \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) it follows by Scheffe’s theorem (see e.g. Billingsley [2]) that for \( k = 1, \ldots, m \),
\begin{equation}
4\sqrt{n} \left( P_{k,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),
\end{equation}
which implies that the vector $4\sqrt{n}(P_{1,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, P_{m,2n-1} - \frac{1}{2})^T$ is asymptotically multivariate normal distributed with mean 0 and covariance matrix $I_m$ (note that $P_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, P_{m,2n-1}$ are independent random variables). A straightforward calculation now shows that

$$
\sqrt{n} \left\{ \left( \Xi_{1,2n-1}, \ldots, \Xi_{2m-1,2n-1} \right)^T - \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \ldots, \frac{1}{4} \right)^T \right\} \xrightarrow{d} N_{2m-1}(0, A_{2m-1}),
$$

where the matrix $A_{2m-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1 \times 2m-1}$ is tridiagonal and given by

$$A_{2m-1} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & \frac{1}{4} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2} & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

A further application of the delta method finally yields

$$
\sqrt{n} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_1 \\
\Xi_2 + \Xi_3 \\
\vdots \\
\Xi_{2m-2} + \Xi_{2m-1} \\
\sqrt{\Xi_1 \Xi_2} \\
\sqrt{\Xi_3 \Xi_4} \\
\vdots \\
\sqrt{\Xi_{2m-3} \Xi_{2m-2}} \\
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\
1/2 \\
\vdots \\
1/2 \\
1/(2\sqrt{2}) \\
1/2 \\
\vdots \\
1/2 \\
\end{pmatrix} \right\} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V),
$$

where the covariance matrix $V = \text{diag}(V_{11}, V_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1 \times 2m-1}$ is block diagonal with blocks $V_{11} = A_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and

$$V_{22} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1 \times m-1}.$$

Consequently, the difference of the matrices $J_{m,n} - D_{m,n}$ defined by (9) and (10) converges weakly; that is,

$$
\sqrt{n} (J_{m,n} - D_{m,n}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{S},
$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes a tridiagonal matrix given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix}
M_1 & N_1 & N_2 & N_3 & \cdots & N_{m-1} \\
N_1 & M_2 & N_2 & N_3 & \cdots & \cdots \\
N_2 & M_3 & N_3 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots \\
N_{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & M_{m-1} & N_m
\end{pmatrix}.$$
and \{M_1, \ldots, M_m\} and \{N_1, \ldots, N_{m-1}\} define independent samples \(M_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)\), \(M_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/2)\) \((j = 2, \ldots, m)\) \(N_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/8)\) \((j = 1, \ldots, m-1)\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cov} (M_i, M_j) &= \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2 \text{ or } i = 2, j = 1 \\
-\frac{1}{4} & \text{if } j = i + 1 \text{ or } j = i - 1 \\
0 & \text{else},
\end{cases} \\
\text{Cov} (N_i, N_j) &= \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2 \text{ or } i = 2, j = 1 \\
-\frac{1}{16} & \text{if } j = i + 1 \text{ or } j = i - 1 \\
0 & \text{else}.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Using the same arguments as in Dimitriu and Edelman [8], we now see that the first order properties of the matrix \(J_{m,n}\) are the same as the first order properties of the matrix \(D_{m,n} + \frac{1}{\alpha}S\). In particular, again applying the delta method to the function, which associates to a symmetric matrix its eigenvalues, we obtain for \(i = 1, \ldots, m\) that the asymptotic distribution of the vector

\[4\sqrt{n}\left\{ (X_{1,n}, \ldots, X_{m,n})^T - (x_{1,n}, \ldots, x_{m,n})^T \right\}
\]

coincides with the distribution of the random vector

\[G = \left( \begin{array}{c} t_{1,m}^T S t_{1,m}^T, \ldots, t_{m,m}^T S t_{m,m}^T \end{array} \right)^T,
\]

where the vectors \(t_{1,m}, \ldots, t_{m,m} \in \mathbb{R}^m\) are defined by \([13]\) (see Dimitriu and Edelman [8, Lemma 2.1]). Obviously, \(G\) has a multivariate normal distribution with mean zero, and it remains to calculate the corresponding covariance matrix. For this purpose we note that for \(k = 1, \ldots, m\)

\[t_{k,m}^T t_{k,m} = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \cos^2 \left( \frac{2k-1}{2m} \pi \right) = \frac{m}{2}.
\]

Therefore it remains to calculate the covariance matrix of the vector

\[(t_{1,m}^T S t_{1,m}, \ldots, t_{m,m}^T S t_{m,m}).
\]

For this purpose we denote by \((v_1, \ldots, v_m)\) and \((w_1, \ldots, w_m)\) the components of the vectors \(t_{k,m}\) and \(t_{l,m}\), respectively, and obtain

\[
\text{Cov} \left( t_{k,m}^T S t_{k,m}, t_{l,m}^T S t_{l,m} \right)
= \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2 M_i, \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^2 M_j \right) + 4 \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} v_i v_{i+1} N_i, \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} w_j w_{j+1} N_j \right)
= v_1^2 w_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^m v_j^2 w_j^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ w_1^2 + v_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} (v_i^2 w_{i+1}^2 + v_{i+1}^2 w_i^2) \right\}
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} v_i v_{i+1} w_i w_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (v_2 w_2 w_3 + w_2 v_2 v_3) \right. \\
+ \sum_{i=2}^{m-2} (v_i v_{i+1} w_{i+1} w_{i+2} + v_{i+1} v_{i+2} w_i w_{i+1}) \left\},
\]

where we have used (19) and (20) and \(w_1 = v_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}\). This proves the assertion of the theorem (note that \(v_i = T_{i-1}(x_{t,m}), w_i = T_{i-1}(x_{t,m})\) for \(2 \leq i \leq m\)). \(\square\)
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