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Abstract. This paper concerns some stability properties of higher dimensional catenoids in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 3$. We prove that higher dimensional catenoids have index one. We use $\delta$-stability for minimal hypersurfaces and show that the catenoid is $\frac{2}{n}$-stable and that a complete $\frac{2}{n}$-stable minimal hypersurface is a catenoid or a hyperplane provided the second fundamental form satisfies some decay conditions.

1. Introduction

The catenoid in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the only minimal surface of revolution other than the plane. So it can be regarded as the simplest minimal surface other than the plane. This motivates us to study higher dimensional catenoids as complete minimal hypersurfaces in higher dimensional Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 3$. In particular, we want to discuss some stability properties of the catenoids. Let us recall and introduce some notions of stability.

Let $M^n$ be a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. $M$ is said to be stable if

$$\int_M (|\nabla f|^2 - |A|^2 f^2) \geq 0$$

for all $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$, where $|A|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of $M$. $M$ is said to be weakly stable if (1.1) is true for all $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ with $\int_M f = 0$; see [CCZ]. Recall that in [CM] it is defined that $M$ is $\delta$-stable if

$$\int_M (|\nabla f|^2 - (1-\delta)|A|^2 f^2) \geq 0$$

for all $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$.

It is easy to see that $M$ stable implies that $M$ is weakly stable and $\delta$-stable when $\delta \geq 0$. By [FS], $M$ is stable if and only if there is a positive solution $u$ of $(\Delta + |A|^2)u = 0$. Hence $M$ is stable implies that the universal cover of $M$ is stable. Similarly, $M$ is $\delta$-stable implies that the universal cover of $M$ is also $\delta$-stable. However, this is not true for a weakly stable minimal hypersurface.
In $\mathbb{R}^3$, the catenoid is not stable by $\mathbb{L}$. In $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ($n \geq 3$), it is proved in $\mathbb{CSZ}$ that a complete stable minimal hypersurface must have only one end. So a catenoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ($n \geq 3$) is not stable since it has two ends. In fact, it is not even weakly stable (see $\mathbb{CCZ}$). It is an interesting question to find the index of catenoids, which measures the degree of instability. Using the Gauss map, it was proved in $\mathbb{FC}$, pp. 131-132 that catenoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$ have index 1. It is known that a complete minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has finite index if and only if it has finite total curvature; see $\mathbb{FC}$. In $\mathbb{SC}$, Schoen proved that the only complete nonflat embedded minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with finite total curvature and with two ends are the catenoids. It was also proved in $\mathbb{LR}$ that the only index-one complete minimal surfaces are the catenoid and the Enneper surface, and the catenoid is the only embedded minimal surface with index 1. Although it has been believed that a higher dimensional catenoid also has index one, we have not found a reference for a proof. The idea of using the Gauss map in $\mathbb{FC}$ does not work for higher dimensions. In this work, using a different method, we prove that the index of a higher dimensional catenoid is indeed one; see Theorem 2.1. We would like to point out that Choe $\mathbb{CH}$ has constructed higher dimensional Enneper’s hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ when $n = 3, 4, 5, 6$.

It is well known that for $2 \leq n \leq 6$, a complete area minimizing hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ must be a hyperplane. It is well known by a result of do Carmo-Peng $\mathbb{dCP1}$ and Fischer-Colbrie-Schoen $\mathbb{FS}$ independently that a complete stable minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a plane. On the other hand, for $3 \leq n \leq 6$ it is still an open question whether the condition of area minimizing can be replaced by stability. In this direction, it was proved in $\mathbb{dCP2}$ (see also $\mathbb{dCD}$) that a complete stable minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is indeed a hyperplane under some additional assumptions, for example, the norm of the second fundamental form is square integrable. We will prove a similar result for catenoids which states that a complete $\frac{2}{n}$-stable minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 3$ is a catenoid if the norm of the second fundamental form satisfies certain decay conditions. See Theorem 1.1. As a corollary to this, we show that if a $\frac{2}{n}$-stable complete proper immersed minimal hypersurface $M^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 3$ has least area outside a compact set and if the norm of the second fundamental form is square integrable, then $M$ is either a hyperplane or a catenoid.

The paper is organized as follows: In §2, we introduce the definition and discuss some general properties of catenoids in higher dimensional Euclidean spaces. We will also prove that catenoids have index one. In §3, we use the Simons’ computation and the result in $\mathbb{dCD}$ to give a characterization of catenoids. Such a characterization has been used recently in $\mathbb{CZ}$ to study uniqueness of catenoids. In §4, we will discuss $\frac{2}{n}$-stability and catenoids.

## 2. Catenoid and its index

In this section, we will recall the definition of a catenoid, show that it is $\frac{2}{n}$-stable and compute its index. Following do Carmo and Dajczer $\mathbb{dCD}$, a catenoid is a complete rotation minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$ which is not a hyperplane. More precisely, let $\phi(s)$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\phi''}{(1+\phi^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{n-1}{\phi(1+\phi^2)^{3/2}} &= 0; \\
\phi(0) &= \phi_0 > 0; \\
\phi'(0) &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(2.1)
\( \phi \) can be obtained as follows. Consider
\[
(2.2) \quad s = \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi} \frac{d\tau}{(a\tau^2(n-1) - 1)^{\frac{n}{2}}},
\]
where \( a = \phi_0^{-2(n-1)} \). The integral on the right side of (2.2) is defined for all \( \phi \geq \phi_0 \).

The function \( s(\phi) \) is increasing and if \( n = 2 \), it maps \([\phi_0, \infty)\) onto \([0, \infty)\); if \( n \geq 3 \), then it maps \([\phi_0, \infty)\) onto \([0, S)\), where
\[
S = S(\phi_0) = \int_{\phi_0}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{(a\tau^2(n-1) - 1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} < \infty.
\]

So \( \phi(s) \) can be defined, and it is smooth up to 0 such that \( \phi'(0) = 0 \). If we extend \( \phi \) as an even function, then \( \phi \) is smooth and satisfies (2.1) on \( \mathbb{R} \) in case \( n = 2 \) and on \((-S, S)\) in case \( n \geq 3 \).

Let \( \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \) be the standard unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). A point \( \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \) can also be considered as the unit vector \( \omega \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), which in turn is identified as the hyperplane \( x_{n+1} = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \).

**Definition 2.1.** A catenoid in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) is the hypersurface defined by the embedding
\[
F : I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
\]
with \( F(s, \omega) = (\phi(s)\omega, s) \), where \( I = \mathbb{R} \) if \( n = 2 \) and \( I = (-S(\phi_0), S(\phi_0)) \) if \( n \geq 3 \), and \( \phi \) is the solution of (2.1).

A hypersurface obtained by a rigid motion of the hypersurface in the definition will also be called a catenoid. In case \( n = 2 \), this is the standard catenoid in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

From now on, we are interested in the case that \( n \geq 3 \).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( M \) be a catenoid in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) as in Definition 2.1, \( n \geq 3 \). We have:

(i) \( M \) is complete.

(ii) The principal curvatures are \( \lambda_1 = -\frac{\phi''}{(1+\phi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \), \( \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n = \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \).

(iii) \( M \) is minimal.

(iv) The norm \( |A| \) of the second fundamental form \( A \) of \( M \) is nowhere zero. Moreover, \( |A| \) satisfies
\[
(2.3) \quad |A|\Delta|A| + |A|^4 = \frac{2}{n} |\nabla|A||^2.
\]

(v) \( M \) is symmetric with respect to the hyperplane \( x_{n+1} = 0 \) and is invariant under \( O(n) \), which is the subgroup of orthogonal transformations on \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) which fix the \( x_{n+1} \) axis.

(vi) The part \( \{ x \in M \mid x_{n+1} \geq 0 \} \) and the part \( \{ x \in M \mid x_{n+1} \leq 0 \} \) are graphs over a subset of \( \{x_{n+1} = 0\} \).

(vii) Let \( P \) be a hyperplane containing the \( x_{n+1} \) axis. Then \( P \) divides \( M \) into two parts, each of which is a graph over \( P \).

**Proof.** (i), (v), (vi) and (vii) are immediate consequences of the definition. Let \( N = \frac{1}{(1+\phi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (\omega, -\phi') \). Here and below \( \cdot' \) and \( \cdot'' \) are derivatives with respect to \( s \).

Then \( N \) is the unit normal of \( M \). Let \( D \) be the covariant derivative operator in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). Then
\[
D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} N = -\frac{\phi''}{(1+\phi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (\phi' \omega, 1) = -\frac{\phi''}{(1+\phi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.
\]
Suppose \((t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1})\) are local coordinates of \(S^{n-1}\). Then
\[
D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}} N = \frac{1}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \omega, 0 \right) = \frac{1}{\phi (1 + \phi'^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \omega, 0 \right) = \frac{1}{\phi (1 + \phi'^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}.
\]
From these (ii) follows.

(iii) follows from (ii) and (2.1).

(iv) First note that (2.2) implies \(\phi' = (a \phi^{2(n-1)} - 1)^{\frac{1}{n}}\). Then,
\[
|A|^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{\phi^2 (1 + \phi'^2)} = n(n-1) \phi^{2(n-1)} \phi^{-2n}.
\]
Hence \(|A| > 0\) everywhere because \(\phi \geq \phi_0 > 0\). On the other hand, the metric on \(M\) in the coordinates \(s, \omega\) is given by
\[
g = (1 + \phi'^2) d\omega^2 + \phi^2 g_{S^{n-1}},
\]
where \(g_{S^{n-1}}\) is the standard metric on \(S^{n-1}\). Then
\[
\Delta \phi = (1 + \phi'^2)^{-1} \phi'' + (1 + \phi'^2)^{-1} \phi' \left[ \log \left( (1 + \phi'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{-1} \right) \right]'
= (1 + \phi'^2)^{-1} \phi'' - 2(1 + \phi'^2)^{-2} \phi^2 \phi' \phi'' + (1 + \phi'^2)^{-1} \phi' \left[ \phi' \phi'' \phi' \phi^{-2} + (n-1) \phi' \right]
= \frac{\phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^2} + (n-1) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi}
= (n-1) \frac{1}{\phi (1 + \phi')} + (n-1) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi},
\]
where \(\nabla\) is the covariant derivative of \(M\) and we have used (2.1). (2.3) follows from (2.4) and (2.6) by a direct computation.

By (iv) of the proposition, we see that
\[
\Delta |A|^2 = \frac{n-2}{n} |A|^2 |A| = 0
\]
and \(|A|^\frac{n-2}{n} > 0\). Hence the catenoid is \(\frac{2}{n}\)-stable by [FS].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(M\) be a catenoid in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\). Then the index of \(M\) is 1.

**Proof.** It is well known that \(M\) is not stable. One can also use the result of Cao, Shen and Zhu [CSZ]. They proved that any complete stable minimal hypersurface in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) has only one end, since the catenoid has two ends, and thus the index of \(M\) is at least 1. We only need to prove that its index is at most 1. Recall that the stability operator is written as
\[
L = \Delta + |A|^2.
\]
For \(M\) above, \(|A|^2(x)\) is an even function depending only on \(r\). From the fact that \(M\) is unstable it follows that \(\lambda_1(L) < 0\). We now show that the second eigenvalue \(\lambda_2(L)\) of \(L\) is greater than or equal to zero on any bounded domain \(D \subset M\). Assuming for the sake of contradiction that it were not true, we can find a domain \(D(R) = F((-R, R) \times S^{n-1})\) such that \(\lambda_2^{D(R)}(L) < 0\). Here \(0 < R < S\)
We claim that (2.7) satisfies $S$ and is a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and satisfies (2.3). In this section, we will prove that a complete nonflat $\lambda$-surface $f$ in Euclidean space.

Simons’ computation on the second fundamental form of a minimal hypersurface $\sigma$ of the fact that $f$ cannot be identically zero in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We take $\pi_v$ the hyperplane $\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle p, v \rangle = 0\}$.

Let $\sigma_v$ be the reflection with respect to $\pi_v$. Define the function $\varphi_v(r, \theta) = f(r, \theta) - f_v(r, \theta)$, where $f_v(p) := f(\sigma_v(p))$ for any $p \in D(R)$. Since $f_v$ also satisfies (2.8). Then

$$\Delta f(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} + \frac{a'(r)}{a(r)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{a^2(r)} \Delta_{S^{n-1}} f,$$

$$f_v$$ also satisfies (2.8). Then

$$\begin{cases} L\varphi_v = -\lambda_2 \varphi_v & \text{in } D(R); \\ \varphi_v|_{D(R) \cap \pi_v} = 0, \\ \varphi_v = 0 & \text{on } \partial D(R). \end{cases}$$

Denote $D^+_v(R) := \{p \in D(R), \langle p, v \rangle > 0\}$. Then $D^+_v(R)$ is a minimal graph over a domain in $\pi_v$, thus is stable. From (2.9) and $\lambda_2 < 0$, we conclude that $\varphi_v \equiv 0$. It is a well-known fact that any element in the orthogonal group $O(n-1)$ can be expressed as a composition of a finite number of reflections; we know that $f$ is rotationally symmetric.

Since $f$ is the second eigenfunction of $L$, it changes sign, so there exists a number $r_0 \in (-R, R)$ such that $f(r_0) = 0$. Assume without loss of generality that $r_0 \geq 0$. We take $D(r_0, R) = \{F(r, \omega) \mid r \in (r_0, R), \ \omega \in S^{n-1}\}$. Again $f$ is an eigenfunction of $L$ on $D(r_0, R)$. Again we know that $D(r_0, R)$ is a minimal graph, which contradicts the fact that $\lambda_2 < 0$ because $f$ cannot be identically zero in $D(r_0, R)$. The contradiction shows that the index of $M$ is 1. \hfill $\square$

3. Simons’ Equation and Catenoid

By Proposition 2.1, the norm of the second fundamental of a catenoid is nowhere zero and satisfies (2.3). In this section, we will prove that a complete nonflat minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying (2.3) must be a catenoid. Let us recall Simons’ computation on the second fundamental form of a minimal hypersurface in Euclidean space.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional manifold immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let $A$ be its second fundamental form and $\nabla A$ be its covariant derivative. Let $h_{ij}$ and $h_{ijk}$ be the components of $A$ and $\nabla A$ in an orthonormal frame.

By Proposition 2.1(iv), we see that the Simons inequality becomes equality for catenoids. We will prove that the converse is also true. We first prove a lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $M$ be an immersed oriented minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. At a point where the norm $|A|$ of the second fundamental form $A$ is greater than zero, we have

$$|A|\Delta|A| + |A|^4 = \frac{2}{n}\|\nabla|A||^2 + E$$
with $E \geq 0$. Moreover, in an orthonormal frame $e_i$ such that $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$, we have $E = E_1 + E_2 + E_3$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_1 &= \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i} h_{ijk}^2, \\
E_2 &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i} (h_{kki} - h_{jjj})^2, \\
E_3 &= (1 + \frac{2}{n}) |A|^{-2} \sum_k \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ii} h_{jkk} - h_{jj} h_{iik})^2.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2)

Proof. At a point $p$ where $|A| > 0$, choose an orthonormal frame such that $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. Since $M$ is minimal, then by \[SSY\] (1.20),(1.27), for $|A| > 0$ we have:

$$
|A| \Delta |A| + |A|^4 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} h_{ijk}^2 - |\nabla |A||^2.
$$

(3.3)

Now,

$$
|\nabla |A||^2 = \left[ \sum_k \left( \sum_i h_{ij} h_{iik} \right)^2 \right] |A|^{-2}
$$

(3.4)

$$
= \left[ \sum_k \left( \sum_i h_{ij}^2 \sum_i h_{iik}^2 - \sum_k h_{jkk} h_{jjj} - h_{jjj} h_{iik} \right)^2 \right] |A|^{-2}
$$

$$
= \sum_{k,i} h_{iik}^2 - \left( \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ii} h_{jkk} - h_{jj} h_{iik})^2 \right) |A|^{-2},
$$

where we have used the fact that $M$ is minimal. On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{k,i} h_{iik}^2 = \sum_{k \neq i} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_i h_{iik}^2
$$

$$
= \sum_{k \neq i} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_i (\sum_{j \neq i} h_{jii})^2
$$

(3.5)

$$
= \sum_{k \neq i} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_i \left( (n - 1) \sum_{j \neq i} h_{jii} - \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, k \neq j} (h_{kki} - h_{jjj}) \right)
$$

$$
= n \sum_{k \neq i} h_{iik}^2 - \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, k \neq j} (h_{kki} - h_{jjj})^2.
$$

Combining this with \[KZ\], we get

$$
\sum_{k \neq i} h_{iik}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \left| \nabla |A||^2 + \left( \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ii} h_{jkk} - h_{jj} h_{iik})^2 \right) |A|^{-2} \right.ight.
$$

$$
\left. + \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, k \neq j} (h_{kki} - h_{jjj})^2 \right].
$$

(3.6)
Note that since $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is flat, we have $h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}$ (see [SSY] (1.13), for example). By (3.4),
\[(3.7) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} h^2_{ijk} - |\nabla|A|^2 = \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, k \neq j} h^2_{ijk} + 2 \sum_{i \neq k} h^2_{iik} + \sum_{i,k} h^2_{iik} - |\nabla|A|^2 = \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, k \neq j} h^2_{ijk} + 2 \sum_{i \neq k} h^2_{iik} + \left( \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}h_{jjk} - h_{jj}h_{iik}) \right) |A|^{-2}.\]

(3.1) follows from (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7). \hfill \square

Since $E$ is nonnegative, we have the following Simons inequality; see [SSY]:
\[(3.8) \quad |A|\Delta|A| + |A|^4 \geq \frac{2}{n}|\nabla|A|^2\]
at the point $|A| > 0$.

Now we are ready to prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $M^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be a nonflat complete immersed minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If the Simons inequality (3.8) holds as an equation on all nonvanishing points of $|A|$ in $M$, then $M$ must be a catenoid.

**Proof.** Suppose $\Phi : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the minimal immersion. Since $M$ is not a hyperplane, then $|A|$ is a nonnegative continuous function which does not vanish identically. Let $p$ be a point such that $|A|(p) > 0$. Then $|A| > 0$ in a connected open set $U$ containing $p$. Suppose that $|\nabla|A|| = 0$ in $U$. Then $|A|$ is a positive constant in $U$. Since $|A|$ satisfies
\[|A|\Delta|A| + |A|^4 = \frac{2}{n}|\nabla|A|^2,\]
we have a contradiction. Hence there is a point in $U$ such that $|\nabla|A|| \neq 0$. By shrinking $U$, we may assume that $|A| > 0$ and $|\nabla|A|| > 0$ in $U$. By (3.1) and the fact that (3.8) is an equality in $U$, we conclude that $E = 0$ in $U$.

Let $q \in U$. Choose an orthonormal frame at $q$ so that the second fundamental form is diagonalized, $h_{ij} = \lambda_\delta_{ij}, E_2 = 0$ implies that
\[h_{jjj} = h_{kkk}, \text{ for all } j \neq i, \quad k \neq i.\]
Combining with the minimal condition, we have
\[(3.9) \quad h_{iii} = -(n - 1)h_{jjj}, \text{ for all } j \neq i.\]
Since $|\nabla|A|| \neq 0$, there exist $i_0$ and $j_0 \neq i_0$ such that $h_{j_0j_0} \neq 0$; hence $h_{i_0i_0} \neq 0$. Suppose for simplicity that $i_0 = 1$.

$E_3 = 0$ implies that
\[h_{ii}h_{jjk} = h_{jj}h_{iik}, \text{ for all } i, j, k.\]
Then
\[h_{11}h_{jj1} = h_{jj}h_{111} = -(n - 1)h_{jj}h_{jj1}, \text{ for all } j \neq 1,\]
isometry into the catenoid an embedding; see [Sp, p. 330]. Hence \( \Phi(\text{4.1}) \lim \) because \(-(n-1)h_{jj1} = h_{111} \neq 0. \) Hence the eigenvalues of \( h_{ij} \) are \( \lambda \) with multiplicity \( n-1 \) and \(-(n-1)\lambda \) with \( \lambda \neq 0 \) because \( |A| > 0. \) Hence in a neighborhood of \( p \) the eigenvalues of \( h_{ij} \) are of this form. By a result of do Carmo and Dajczer [dCD, Corollary 4.4], this neighborhood is part of a catenoid. Hence \( \Phi(M) \) is contained in a catenoid \( \mathcal{C} \) by minimality of the immersion. Since \( M \) is complete and \( \Phi \) is a local isometry into the catenoid \( \mathcal{C}, \) which is simply connected because \( n \geq 3, \Phi \) must be an embedding; see [Sp, p. 330]. Hence \( \Phi(M) \) is the catenoid.

4. \( \frac{2}{n} \)-stability and catenoid

In this section, we will prove that a complete immersed minimal hypersurface in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \) \( n \geq 3 \) is a catenoid if it is \( \frac{2}{n} \)-stable and if the second fundamental form satisfies some decay conditions. We will also discuss the case when the minimal hypersurface is area minimizing outside a compact set.

Following [SSY], let \( M \) be a complete immersed minimal hypersurface in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \) \( n \geq 3. \) Assume there is a Lipschitz function \( r(x) \) defined on \( M \) such that \( |\nabla r| \leq 1 \) a.e. Define \( B(R) \) for \( 0 < R < \infty \) by

\[
B(R) = \{ x \in M | r(x) < R \}.
\]

Assume also that \( B(R) \) is compact for all \( R \) and \( M = \bigcup_{R>0} B(R). \) For example, \( B(R) \) may be an intrinsic geodesic ball or the intersection of an extrinsic ball with \( M. \) In the latter case, we assume that \( M \) is proper.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( M^n (n \geq 3) \) be a \( \frac{2}{n} \)-stable complete immersed minimal hypersurface in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1}. \) If

\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B(2R) \setminus B(R)} |A|^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n}} = 0,
\]

then \( M \) is either a plane or a catenoid.

**Proof.** For any \( \epsilon > 0, \) let \( u := (|A|^2 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \) where \( \alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}. \) Then at the point \( |A| > 0, \)

\[
\Delta u = u \left( \Delta \log u + |\nabla \log u|^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha u}{2} \left( \frac{|\Delta A|^2}{|A|^2 + \epsilon} - \frac{|\nabla |A|^2|^2}{(|A|^2 + \epsilon)^2} \right) + \frac{u \alpha^2}{4} \frac{|\nabla |A|^2|^2}{(|A|^2 + \epsilon)^2}
\]

\[
= \alpha u \left( \frac{\epsilon |\Delta A|^2}{|A|^2 + \epsilon} + (\alpha - 2) \frac{|\nabla |A|^2|^2}{(|A|^2 + \epsilon)^2} \right)
\]

\[
= \alpha u \left( \frac{2 - \alpha}{|A|^2 + \epsilon} |\nabla |A|^2|^2 - \frac{|A|^4 + E}{|A|^2 + \epsilon} \frac{|\nabla |A|^2|^2}{(|A|^2 + \epsilon)^2} \right)
\]

\[
\geq -\alpha u |A|^2 + \frac{\alpha u E}{|A|^2 + \epsilon},
\]

where we have used (1.2) and \( E = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \geq 0. \) If we extend \( E \) to be zero for \( |A| = 0, \) then it is easy to see that the above inequality is still true.
On the other hand, for any function \( \phi \in C^\infty_0(M) \),
\[
\int_M \phi^2 \frac{\alpha u E}{|A|^2 + \epsilon} \leq \int_M \phi^2 u (\Delta u + \alpha |A|^2 u) \\
= - \int_M \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 - 2 \int_M \phi u (\nabla u, \nabla \phi) + \int_M \alpha |A|^2 \phi^2 u^2 \\
\leq -2 \int_M \phi u (\nabla u, \nabla \phi) - \int_M \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \int_M |\nabla (\phi u)|^2 \\
\tag{4.3}
= \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 u^2.
\]

Here we have used (1.2). Let \( \phi \) be a smooth function on \([0, \infty)\) such that \( \phi \geq 0 \), \( \phi = 1 \) on \([0, R]\) and \( \phi = 0 \) in \([2R, \infty)\) with \( |\phi'| \leq \frac{2}{R} \). Then consider \( \phi \circ r \), where \( r \) is the function in the definition of \( B(R) \). We have
\[
\int_{B(R)} \phi^2 \frac{\alpha u E}{|A|^2 + \epsilon} \leq \int_{B(R)} \phi^2 u (\Delta u + \alpha |A|^2 u) \leq \frac{4}{R^2} \int_{B(2R) \setminus B(R)} |A|^{2(n-2)}.
\]

Letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \) and then letting \( R \to +\infty \), we conclude that \( E = 0 \) whenever \( |A| > 0 \). Thus the Simons inequality becomes equality on \( |A| > 0 \). By Theorem 3.1 we know that it is a catenoid.

\[
\text{Remark 1.} \quad \text{It should be remarked that (4.1) is satisfied when } M \text{ is a catenoid. In fact, using the notation in Definition } 2.1 \quad \text{the metric is of the form }
\]
\[
g = (1 + \phi^2)ds^2 + \phi^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}.
\]

Hence the distance function is of order \( \phi \). By (2.4), \( |A| \) is of order \( \phi^{-n} \). The volume of the geodesic ball of radius \( r \sim \phi \) is of order \( \phi^n \). From this it is easy to see that (4.1) is true for \( n \geq 3 \).

We say that \( M \) has least area outside a compact set (see [SSY, p. 283]) if (i) \( M \) is proper and if (ii) \( M \) is the boundary of some open set \( U \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) and there exists \( R_0 > 0 \) such that for any open set \( \mathcal{O} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with \( \mathcal{O} \cap \overline{B}(R_0) = \emptyset \) we have \( |\partial U \cap \mathcal{O}| \leq |\partial \mathcal{O} \cap U| \). Here \( B(R_0) \) is the extrinsic ball in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with center at the origin. If this is true, then \( M \) is stable outside a compact set; and if \( r \) is the extrinsic distance, then
\[
|B(4R) \setminus B(\frac{1}{2} R)| \leq |\partial \overline{B}(4R)| + |\partial \overline{B}(\frac{1}{2} R)| \leq CR^n
\]
if \( R \) is large.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let \( M^n, n \geq 3 \) be a \( \frac{2}{n} \)-stable complete proper immersed minimal hypersurface in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). If \( M \) has least area outside a compact set and if
\[
\int_M |A|^p < \infty
\]
for some \( \frac{2(n-2)}{n} \leq p \leq 2 \), then \( M \) is either a plane or a catenoid.
Proof. Suppose $|A|$ satisfies (4.5). Since $\frac{2(n-2)}{n} \leq p \leq 2$, we have
\begin{align}
R^{-2} \int_{\partial B(2R) \setminus B(R)} |A|^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n}} &\leq CR^{-2} \left( \int_M |A|^p \right)^{\frac{2(n-2)}{pn}} R^{n-\frac{2(n-2)}{p}} \\
&= C \left( \int_M |A|^p \right)^{\frac{2(n-2)}{pn}} R^{(n-2)(1-\frac{2}{n})} \to 0
\end{align}
as $R \to \infty$. The result follows from Theorem 4.1. \hfill \Box

By [Sc], the only nonflat complete minimal immersions of $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which are regular at infinity and have two ends, are the catenoids. By the corollary, we have the following:

Corollary 4.2. A nonflat complete minimal immersion of $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 3$ that is regular at infinity and has more than two ends is not $\frac{2}{n}$-stable.
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