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Abstract. The “Diophantine” properties of the zeros of certain polynomials in the Askey scheme, recently discovered by Calogero and his collaborators, are explained, with suitably chosen parameter values, in terms of the summation theorem of hypergeometric series. Here the Diophantine property refers to integer valued zeros. It turns out that the same procedure can also be applied to polynomials arising from the basic hypergeometric series. We found, with suitably chosen parameters and certain $q$-analogues of the summation theorems, zeros of these polynomials explicitly which are no longer integer valued. This goes beyond the results obtained by the authors previously mentioned.

1. Introduction

In a series of papers Calogero and his collaborators (see for example [3], [4], and [5]) investigated various integrable lattices of the Toda-type with suitable boundary conditions. These lattices arose as the dressing chains of Adler, Shabat, Yamilov and others. See for example [1], [13] and [16]. It is found that if the small amplitude motion about the equilibrium configuration is assumed to be isochronous, namely, each component is periodic with the same period, then the characteristic frequencies must necessarily have integer values. Furthermore, if the assumption of nearest neighbor interaction is made in the lattice models, then the secular equation whose zeros give the characteristic frequencies reads

$$\det(x I_N - A_N) = 0,$$

where $A_N$ is a tri-diagonal matrix of size $N$. We may take $N$ to be the number of particles in the many-body problem. See [7] for a detailed treatment. Hence

$$P_N(x) := \det(xI_N - A_N)$$

may be interpreted as orthogonal polynomials if the super-diagonal elements of $A_N$ are real and none of them vanishes. We show that the Diophantine properties arise when the parameters of the orthogonal polynomials are suitably chosen. The factorization occurs when the polynomials, although still characteristic polynomials of tri-diagonal matrices, are no longer orthogonal.
The motivation of considering this problem came from reading [6], where a hypergeometric polynomial of degree \( n \) is factored as \( f_m(x)g_{n-m}(x) \). Here \( f_m \) has degree \( m \), \( g_{n-m} \) has degree \( n - m \) and the zeros of \( f_m \) are squares of equi-spaced points. This holds for all \( m, 1 \leq m \leq n \). This factorization is referred to as having the “Diophantine property” in [6]. Our explanation is that all the Diophantine results in [6] follow from summation theorems for hypergeometric functions. This will be shown in §3. In §4 we provide \( q \)-analogues of all the results of §3, that is, all the results in [6]. Section 2 contains the notation, summation theorems, and transformation formulas used in §3 and §4.

The zeros of the polynomial \( f_m \) in the factorization of the Askey-Wilson polynomials given in §4 are the points \( \frac{aq^k + q^{-k}/a}{2}, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( a \) is one of the parameters in the Askey-Wilson polynomial. Such points after \( a \to ia \) are interpolation points in the sense that the values of an entire function \( h \) at these points determine the function uniquely provided that \( M(h, r) \), the maximum modulus of \( h \), satisfies

\[
M(h, r) \leq C r^\alpha \exp(b (\ln r)^2)
\]

with \( -b \ln q < 1 \), for some \( \alpha \); see [11]. The integers are interpolation points for entire functions \( h \) for which

\[
M(h, r) \leq C \exp(br)
\]

with \( b < \pi \).

It is known that a sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials satisfies a three term recurrence relation

\[
xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \alpha_n P_n(x) + \beta_n P_{n-1}(x), n > 0,
\]

with \( P_0(x) := 1, P_1(x) := x - \alpha_0 \). The monic polynomials have the determinant representation

\[
P_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix}
x + \alpha_0 & -a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_1 & x - \alpha_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & x - \alpha_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{n-1} & x - \alpha_{n-1} & 1
\end{vmatrix},
\]

where \( a_k^2 = \beta_k, n > 0 \). It is clear that if \( \beta_k = 0 \) for some \( k < n \), then \( P_n(x) \) factors into a product of two polynomials of the same type, that is, a product of two characteristic polynomials of tri-diagonal matrices. All the factorizations in the work of Bruschi, Calogero, and Droghei are of this type. What is surprising is that one of the two characteristic polynomials has equi-spaced zeros. In §5 we give another conceptual explanation of the results in this work which will explain the origin of the results of Calogero and his collaborators.

**Remark 1.1.** It is important to note that the Wilson polynomials are believed to be the most general orthogonal polynomials of hypergeometric type, while the Askey-Wilson polynomials are the most general orthogonal polynomials of basic hypergeometric type. As such we believe that it is unlikely this work can be extended to more general polynomials.

## 2. Summation Theorems

Recall that the \( q \)-shifted factorial is

\[
(a; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - aq^{k-1})
\]
and a basic hypergeometric function is

\[(2.2)\quad r+1\phi_r \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r \end{array} \left| q, z \right. \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{r+1} \frac{(a_k; q)_n}{(b_k-1; q)_n} z^n,
\]

where \( b_0 := q \).

The Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem is

\[(2.3)\quad _3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, A, B \\ C, 1 + A + B - n - C \end{array} \left| 1 \right. \right) = \frac{(C - A)_n(C - B)_n}{(C)_n(C - A - B)_n}.
\]

The summation formula

\[(2.4)\quad r+2F_{r+1} \left( \begin{array}{c} A, B, B_1 + m_1, \ldots, B_r + m_r \\ B + 1, B_1, \ldots, B_r \end{array} \left| 1 \right. \right) = \frac{\Gamma(B + 1)\Gamma(1 - A)}{\Gamma(1 + B - A)} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(B_j - B)_m}{(B_j)_m}
\]

is known as the Karlsson-Minton sum \([9]\), but it follows from the earlier work of Fields and Wimp \([8]\). In particular we have

\[(2.5)\quad r+1F_r \left( \begin{array}{c} A, B_1 + m_1, \ldots, B_r + m_r \\ B_1, \ldots, B_r \end{array} \left| 1 \right. \right) = 0
\]

for \( \text{Re} \ (-A) > m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_r \). We will also apply the Whipple transformation \([14]\),

\[(2.6)\quad _4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, A, B, C \\ D, E, F \end{array} \left| 1 \right. \right) = \frac{(E - A)_n(F - A)_n}{(E)_n(F)_n} \times _4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, A, D - B, D - C \\ D, A + 1 - n - E, A + 1 - n - F \end{array} \left| 1 \right. \right),
\]

where \( D + E + F = A + B + C + 1 - n \).

The \( q \)-analogue of the Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem is

\[(2.7)\quad _3\phi_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, A, B \\ C, q^{-n}AB/C \end{array} \left| q, q \right. \right) = \frac{(C/A; q)_n(C/B; q)_n}{(C; q)_n(C/AB; q)_n},
\]

while the \( q \)-analogue of the Whipple transformation is the Sears transformation \([9]\) (III.15)],

\[(2.8)\quad _4\phi_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, A, B, C \\ D, E, F \end{array} \left| q, q \right. \right) = A^n \frac{(E/A; q)_n(F/A; q)_n}{(E; q)_n(F; q)_n} \times _4\phi_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, A, D/B, D/C \\ D, q^{-n}A/E, q^{-n}A/F \end{array} \left| q, q \right. \right),
\]

where \( DEF = q^{-n}ABC \).

Some useful identities are

\[(2.9)\quad (aq^{-n}; q)_n = (q/a; q)_n (-a)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}},
\]

\[(2.10)\quad (aq^{-n}; q)_{n-k} = \frac{(q/a; q)_n}{(q/a; q)_k} (-a)^{n-k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - \binom{n+1}{2}}.
\]

Of course the first is a special case of the second.
3. Complete Factorization of the Wilson and Related Polynomials

The Wilson polynomials are

\[ W_n(x; t) = \prod_{j=1}^{3} (t_1 + t_j)_n \times_{4F3} \begin{pmatrix} -n, t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + n - 1, t_1 + i\sqrt{x}, t_1 - i\sqrt{x} \end{pmatrix}_{1}, \]

where \( t := (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \). It is a fact that the Wilson polynomials are symmetric in the parameters \( t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \). The invariance of \( W_n \) under permutations of \( \{t_2, t_3, t_4\} \) is obvious, but the invariance under permuting \( t_1 \) and \( t_j \) for \( j = 2, 3, 4 \) is not obvious and is called the Whipple transformation \([14]\).

If we wish to find a complete factorization of \( W_n \) or equivalently identify all the zeros of \( W_n \), then we must choose the parameters in such a way that the \( 4F3 \) representation can be summed explicitly.

We shall denote the monic Wilson polynomials by \( \tilde{W}_n(x; t) \); that is,

\[ \tilde{W}_n(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(n + t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 - 1)_n} W_n(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4). \]

Case 1. We reduce the \( 4F3 \) to \( 3F2 \) and use the Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem. Since we want to keep \( x \) in the factorization, we demand that \( n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} t_k \) be equal to \( t_1 + t_j \) for some \( j \). It is easy to see that this happens if and only if \( t_i + t_j = 1 - n \) for some \( i \neq j, 1 < i, j \leq 4 \). There is no loss of generality in assuming \( t_4 = 1 - n - t_3 \).

In this case \([23]\) gives

\[ \tilde{W}_n(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, 1 - n - t_3) = (-1)^n (t_1 + t_3)_n (t_1 + 1 - n - t_3)_n \times_{3F2} \begin{pmatrix} -n, t_1 + i\sqrt{x}, t_1 - i\sqrt{x} \end{pmatrix}_{1} \]

\[ = (-1)^n (t_1 + t_3)_n (t_1 + 1 - n - t_3)_n \times_{3F2} \begin{pmatrix} -n, t_3 + i\sqrt{x}, t_3 - i\sqrt{x} \end{pmatrix}_{1}(t_1 + t_3)_n (t_3 - t_1)_n \times_{3F2} \begin{pmatrix} -n, t_3 + i\sqrt{x}, t_3 - i\sqrt{x} \end{pmatrix}_{1}. \]

Since \( (-1)^n (t_1 - t_3 + 1 - n)_n = (t_3 - t_1)_n \), it follows that

\[ \tilde{W}_n(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, 1 - n - t_3) = (t_3 + i\sqrt{x})_n (t_3 - i\sqrt{x})_n \]

\[ = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [x^2 + (t_3 + k - 1)^2]. \]

The above factorization is equations (33) and (41a) of \([6]\).

Case 2. We identify values of \( x \) suitable to apply \([23]\). For example, we may choose \( i\sqrt{x} = t_4 + j \) and make \( t_1 - i\sqrt{x} \), which is \( t_1 - t_4 - j = \) equal \( t_1 + t_3 + k \). Thus we make the parameter identification \( x = -(t_4 + j)^2, t_3 = -t_4 - j - k \). Finally we demand that \( n + t_3 + t_4 - 1 = s \), which is equivalent to \( n - 1 \geq j + k \). Now we set \( m = j + k + 1 \), replace \( j \) by \( j - 1 \) and find that

\[ W_n(-(t_4 + j - 1)^2; t_1, t_2, -(t_4 - m + 1), t_4) = 0, \]
for $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$. This is (35) in [6]. This is particularly interesting because it seems to give a partial factorization when $m < n$. We shall return to this point at the end of this section.

When $m = n$ we obtain the factorization

$$W_n(x; t_1, t_2, -t_4 - n + 1, t_4) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} [x + (t_4 + j - 1)^2],$$

which is (34) in [6]. The special case $t_4 = (1 - 2n)/4$ is (41a) of [6]. This last factorization also follows from the Pfaff-Saalschütz formula since the $4F_3$ reduces to $3F_2$. Indeed in this case we have

$$W_n(x; t_1, t_2, -t_4 - n + 1, t_4) = (t_1 + t_2)_n(t_4 + t_4)_n(t_1 + 1 - n - t_4)_n$$

$$\times 3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, t_1 + i\sqrt{x}, t_1 - i\sqrt{x} \\ t_1 + t_4, t_1 + 1 - n - t_4 \end{array} \middle| 1 \right).$$

The Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem implies

$$W_n(x; t_1, t_2, -t_4 - n + 1, t_4) = (-1)^n(t_1 + t_4)(t_4 + i\sqrt{x})(t_4 - i\sqrt{x}),$$

after some manipulations.

We now show how to discover (3.3) from the Whipple transformation. It is clear that $W_n(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - t_4 - n, t_4)$ is a constant multiple of

$$4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, t_1 + t_2 + n - m, t_4, t_1 - i\sqrt{x} \\ t_1 + t_2, t_1 + 1 - m - t_4 \\ t_1 + t_4 \end{array} \middle| 1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{(1 - m - t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n}{(t_1 - t_4 + 1 - m)_n(t_1 + t_4)_n}$$

$$\times 4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, t_1 + i\sqrt{x}, m - n, t_2 + i\sqrt{x} \\ t_1 + t_2, t_2 + i\sqrt{x} + m - n, t_2 - i\sqrt{x} + 1 - n - t_4 \end{array} \middle| 1 \right).$$

In the last step we applied the Whipple transformation (2.6) with the parameter identification

$$A = t_1 + i\sqrt{x}, B = n + t_1 + t_2 - m, C = t_1 - i\sqrt{x},$$

$$D = t_1 + t_2, E = t_1 - t_4 + 1 - m, F = t_1 + t_4.$$

We next apply the Whipple transformation again with the choices

$$A = t_2 + i\sqrt{x}, B = t_1 + i\sqrt{x}, C = -n,$$

$$D = t_1 + t_2, E = t_4 + i\sqrt{x} + m - n, F = 1 - n - t_4 + i\sqrt{x},$$

and $n$ is now $n - m$. Therefore the left-hand side of (3.7) is

$$\frac{(1 - m - t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n}{(t_1 - t_4 + 1 - m)_n(t_1 + t_4)_n}$$

$$\times \frac{(t_4 - t_2 + m - n)_n - (1 - n - t_2 - t_4)_n}{(t_4 + m - n + i\sqrt{x})_n - (1 - n - t_4 + i\sqrt{x})_n}$$

$$\times 4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} m - n, n + t_1 + t_2, t_2 + i\sqrt{x}, t_2 - i\sqrt{x} \\ t_1 + t_2, t_2 + 1 - t_4, t_2 + t_4 + m \end{array} \middle| 1 \right).$$
It is clear that the $4F_1$ in the above expression is a constant multiple of $W_{n-m}(x; t_2, t_1, 1 - t_4, m + t_4)$. We now apply the identities

\begin{equation}
(a + 1)_n = (-1)^n(-\alpha - n)_n, \quad (\alpha)_n = (\alpha)m(\alpha + m)_{n-m}
\end{equation}

(3.9) to see that

\[
\frac{(1 - m - t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n}{(t_4 + m - n + i\sqrt{x})_{n-m}(1 - n - t_4 + i\sqrt{x})_{n-m}} = \frac{(1 - m - t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n}{(1 - t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_{n-m}(m + t_4 - \sqrt{x})_{n-m}} = \frac{(1 - m - t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_n}{(m + t_4 - \sqrt{x})_{n-m}}
\]

\[= (-1)^m(t_4 + i\sqrt{x})_m(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_m = (-1)^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} [x^2 + (t_4 + j)^2].\]

This shows that

\[
\frac{W_n(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - t_4 - m, t_4)}{(t_1 + t_2)_n(t_1 + t_4)_n(1 - t_4 - m)_n(t_1 + t_4)_n} = \frac{(-1)^m(t_4 + i\sqrt{x})_m(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})_m}{(t_1 + t_2)_n(t_1 + t_4)_n(1 - t_4 + 1 - m)_n(t_1 - t_4 + 1 - m)_n} \times W_{n-m}(x; t_2, t_1, 1 - t_4, t_4 + m).
\]

4. THE ASKEY-WILSON POLYNOMIALS

The Askey-Wilson polynomials are defined through the representation

\[
p_n(x; t) = t_1^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{3} (t_1 t_j; q)_n \times 4\phi_3 \left( q^{-n}, t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{n-1}, t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}; \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 t_2}, \frac{t_1 t_3}{t_1 t_3}, \frac{t_1 t_4}{t_1 t_4} \right| q, q \right).
\]

(4.1)

As in Case 1 of §3 we reduce the $4\phi_3$ to $3\phi_2$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $q^{n-1}t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = t_1 t_2$, that is, $t_4 = q^{n-1}/t_3$. Applying the summation formula \([2.7]\) we get

\[
p_n(\cos \theta; t_1, t_2, t_3, q^{n-1}/t_3) = (t_3 e^{i\theta}; q)_n(t_3 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n \frac{(t_1 t_2; q)_n(q^{1-n}t_1/t_3; q)_n}{t_1^n(t_3/t_1; q)_n}
\]

(4.2)

\[= (-t_3)^{-n}q^{-\frac{n(3)}{2}}(t_3 e^{i\theta}; q)_n(t_3 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n(t_1 t_2; q)_n.
\]

It is clear that

\[
(t_3 e^{i\theta}; q)_n(t_3 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [1 - 2t_3 x q^{k-1} + t_3^2 q^{2k-2}],
\]

(4.3)

from which we can find the zeros explicitly.

As in Case 2 of §3 we choose $t_3 = q^{1-m}/t_4$, then apply the Sears transformation \([2.8]\) with the parameter identification

\[
A = t_1 e^{i\theta}, \quad B = q^{n-m}t_1 t_2, \quad C = t_1 e^{-i\theta},
\]

\[
D = t_1 t_2, \quad E = q^{1-m}t_1/t_4, \quad F = t_1 t_4.
\]
The result is
\[
    p_n(\cos \theta; t) = e^{in\theta} (t_1 t_2; q)_n (q^{1-m} e^{-i\theta} / t_4; q)_n (t_4 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n

\times \theta_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
    q^{-n}, t_1 e^{i\theta}, q^{m-n}, t_2 e^{i\theta} \\
    t_1 t_2, q^{m-n} t_4 e^{i\theta}, q^{1-n} e^{i\theta}/t_4 \end{array} \right| q, q) .
\]

We next apply the Sears transformation again with the choices
\[
    A = t_2 e^{i\theta}, \quad B = t_1 e^{i\theta}, \quad C = q^{-n},
\]
\[
    D = t_1 t_2, \quad E = t_4 q^{m-n} e^{i\theta}, \quad F = q^{1-n} e^{i\theta}/t_4,
\]
and the terminating parameter \( n \) is replaced by \( n - m \). This leads to
\[
    p_n(x; t) = \frac{\theta_2^{(n-m)}(t_1 t_2; q)_n (q^{1-m} e^{-i\theta} / t_4; q)_n (t_4 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(t_1 t_2; q)_n (t_2 q/t_4; q)_{n-m} (q^m t_2 t_4; q)_{n-m}}
\]
\[
\times (q^{m-n} t_4 / t_2; q)_{n-m} (q^{1-n} t_4 / t_2; q)_{n-m} e^{i(2n-m)\theta}
\]
\[
\times p_{n-m}(x; t_2, t_1, q/t_4, q^m t_4),
\]

with \( x = \cos \theta \). Applying equations (2.9)–(2.10) we finally establish the factorization
\[
    p_n(x; t_1, t_2, q^{1-m} / t_4, t_4)
\]
\[
= (t_4 e^{i\theta}; q)_m (t_4 e^{-i\theta}; q)_m p_{n-m}(x; t_2, t_1, q/t_4, q^m t_4)
\]
\[
\times (-1)^m t_4^{-2m} t_2^{-m-n} (q^m t_4 t_2; q)_{n-m} / (q^m t_4 t_2; q)_{n-m},
\]

again with \( x = \cos \theta \). Note that
\[
(t_4 e^{i\theta}; q)_m (t_4 e^{-i\theta}; q)_m = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( 1 - 2xt_4 q^{k-1} + t_4^2 q^{2k-2} \right).
\]

5. Remarks

One referee pointed out a possible explanation of the results in this paper. We will illustrate this approach in the case of the Wilson polynomials. Let \( \mu(x, t) \) be the measure of orthogonality of the Wilson polynomials \( \{W_n(x; t)\} \). The weight function is
\[
\mu'(x, t) = w(x, t) := \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} \Gamma(t_j + i \sqrt{x}) \Gamma(t_j - i \sqrt{x})}{\Gamma(2i \sqrt{x}) \Gamma(-2i \sqrt{x})}, \quad x > 0.
\]

If all the \( t \) parameters are positive, then the Wilson polynomials are orthogonal with respect to an absolutely continuous measure. When a parameter, say \( t_3 \), is negative, then the measure of orthogonality has a discrete part. Now consider the case \( t_j > 0, j = 1, 2, t_3 = 1 - m - t_4, 0 < t_4 < 1 \). The discrete masses are at \( x_j = -(t_3 + j)^2, 0 \leq j \leq m \); hence \( (t_3 + i \sqrt{x})_m (t_4 - i \sqrt{x})_m \) vanishes on the discrete part. On one hand, the orthogonal polynomials must be constant multiples of \( \{W_n(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - m - t_4, t_4)\} \). On the other hand, using \( (1 - t_4 - m \pm i \sqrt{x})_m = (-1)^m (t_4 \mp i \sqrt{x})_m \) we see that
\[
(t_4 + i \sqrt{x})_m (t_4 - i \sqrt{x})_m \Gamma(t_3 + i \sqrt{x}) \Gamma(t_3 - i \sqrt{x})
\]
\[
= \Gamma(1 - t_4 + i \sqrt{x}) \Gamma(1 - t_4 - i \sqrt{x}).
\]
Therefore for polynomials \( f \) we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} [(t_4 + i\sqrt{x})m(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})m]f(x) \, d\mu(x, t_1, t_2, 1 - m - t_4, t_4)
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} [(t_4 + i\sqrt{x})m(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})m]w(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - m - t_4, t_4)f(x) \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} (t_4 + i\sqrt{x})m(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})m w(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - t_4, t_4)f(x) \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} w(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - t_4, t_4 + m)f(x) \, dx.
\]

The uniqueness of the orthonormal polynomials shows that
\[
W_n(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - m - t_4, t_4)
\]
\[
\frac{(t_4 + i\sqrt{x})m(t_4 - i\sqrt{x})mW_{n-m}(x; t_1, t_2, 1 - t_4, t_4 + m)}
\]
must be a constant, and we have proved (3.10) up to a constant, which can be found by equating the leading terms. The conditions \( 0 < t_4, 0 < t_2 \) and \( 0 < t_4 < 1 \) can now be removed because (3.10) is a rational function identity in the \( t \) parameters. Similarly we treat the cases of the Askey-Wilson polynomials in §4 and establish (4.4).
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