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Abstract. We study the distribution of eigenvalues of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with a complex valued potential \( V \). We prove that if \( |V| \) decays faster than the Coulomb potential, then the series of imaginary parts of square roots of eigenvalues is convergent.

1. Introduction

Let \( V : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C} \) be a complex valued potential. The object of our investigation is the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator

\[
H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)
\]

on the half-line with the Dirichlet boundary condition at zero. Denote by \( \lambda_j \) the eigenvalues of the operator \( H \) lying outside of the interval \( \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty) \). Note that the multiplicity of each eigenvalue equals 1.

We shall consider only potentials from the space \( L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \). It is interesting that, in this case, all non-real eigenvalues \( \lambda \) of \( H \) satisfy the estimate

\[
|\lambda| \leq \left( \int_0^\infty |V|dx \right)^2.
\]

The proof of this result can be found in [1] (see also [2]). Recently, this result was (partially) generalized to the multi-dimensional case. It was proven in [10] that the condition \( |V| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-q} \) with \( q > 1 \) implies that all non-real eigenvalues of \( -\Delta + V \) are situated in a disk of a finite radius. However, the estimate

\[
|\lambda| \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|)^{1-d}|V|dx \right)^2
\]

has not been proven.

The paper [4] treats the multi-dimensional case. (Everywhere below, \( \Re z \) and \( \Im z \) denote the real and the imaginary parts of \( z \).) The one-dimensional version of the
main result of [4] tells us that, for any \( t > 0 \), the eigenvalues \( \lambda_j \) of \( H \) lying outside the sector \( \{ \lambda : |\Im \lambda| < t \Re \lambda \} \) satisfy the estimate

\[
\sum |\lambda_j|^\gamma \leq C \int |V(x)|^{\gamma+1/2} dx, \quad \gamma \geq 1,
\]

where the constant \( C \) depends on \( t \) and \( \gamma \) (see also [9] for the case when \( V \) is real).

Finally, we would like to mention the paper [8]. It deals with the natural question that arises in relation to the main result of [4]: what estimates are valid for the eigenvalues situated inside the conical sector \( \{ \lambda : |\Im \lambda| < t \Re \lambda \} \), where the eigenvalues might be close to the positive half-line? Theorems of the article [8] provide some information about the rate of accumulation of eigenvalues to the set \( \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty) \). Namely, [8] gives sufficient conditions on \( V \) that guarantee convergence of the sum

\[
\sum_{a < \Re \lambda_j < b} |\Im \sqrt{\lambda_j}|^\gamma < \infty
\]

for \( 0 \leq a < b < \infty \).

Both exponents \( \gamma \) in (1.1) and in (1.2) are not less than 1. We suggest a method that allows one to study the case \( \gamma = 1/2 \).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( V : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfy the condition

\[
\int_0^\infty (1 + |x|^p) |V(x)| dx < \infty,
\]

for some \( p \in (0, 1) \). Then

\[
\sum_j |\Im \sqrt{\lambda_j}| \leq C \left( \int_0^\infty |x|^p |V(x)| dx \right)^{1/2} + \int_0^\infty |V(x)| dx,
\]

where the positive constant \( C \) depends on \( p \), but is independent of \( V \).

The proof is based on the so called trace formulas approach, which was also used in several papers [3], [5] and [6] to estimate the eigenvalue sums. We would like to remark that in order to prove Theorem 1.1 one not only needs to modify the existing trace formula, but one also needs to estimate \( s \)-numbers of the compact operator \( \sqrt{|V|} (-d^2/dx^2 - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \sqrt{|V|} \) for real \( \lambda \).

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

1. Before proving the theorem we will acquaint the reader with our notations.

As was already mentioned, \( \Re z \) and \( \Im z \) denote the real and the imaginary parts of \( z \). The class of compact operators \( T \) having the property

\[
||T||_{\mathcal{S}_q} = \text{tr} (T^*T)^{q/2} < \infty, \quad q \geq 1,
\]

is called the Neumann-Schatten class \( \mathcal{S}_q \). The functional \( ||T||_{\mathcal{S}_q} \) is a norm on \( \mathcal{S}_q \).

For \( T \in \mathcal{S}_1 \) one can introduce \( \det(I + T) \) as the product of eigenvalues of \( I + T \). Note that

\[
|\det(I + T)| \leq \exp(||T||_{\mathcal{S}_1}).
\]

Besides \( \det(I + T) \), one can introduce the second determinant by setting

\[
\det_2(I + T) = \det(I + T)e^{-\text{tr} T}.
\]

The advantage of this definition is illustrated by the estimate

\[
|\det_2(I + T)| \leq \exp(C||T||_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2).
\]
2. The basic tool of the proof is the trace formula involving the eigenvalues $\lambda_j$ and the perturbation determinant $\det(I + VR(z))$ where $R(z) = (-d^2/dx^2 - z)^{-1}$. It is known that the eigenvalues of the operator $H$ are zeros of the function $d(z) = \det(I + VR(z))$. Traditionally, one writes $z$ in the form $z = k^2$ and considers the function $a(k) = d(k^2)$ with $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ instead of $d(z)$.

Denote by $k_j$ the zeros of the function $a(k)$ lying in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$. We construct the Blaschke product $B(k)$ having the same zeros as $a(k)$:

$$B(k) = \prod_j \frac{k - k_j}{k - k_j |k_j|}.$$

It is pretty obvious that the ratio $a(k)/B(k)$ does not have zeros, and therefore, the function $\log(a(k)/B(k))$ is well defined in the upper half-plane. Moreover, the ratio $a(k)/B(k)$ has the nice property that

$$\left| \frac{a(k)}{B(k)} \right| = |a(k)| \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The trace formula is a relation that involves an integral of the function $\log |a(k)|$ and the zeros $k_j$. The Blaschke product allows one to separate the contribution of zeros into the trace formula from other contributions. Indeed, since

$$\log B(k) = \log(\prod_j \frac{k_j}{|k_j|}) - 2i \sum_j \Re k_j - i \sum_j \Re k_j^2 - 2i \sum_j \Re k_j^3 + O(k^{-4})$$

as $k \to \infty$, we obtain that the real part of the integral

$$\int_{C_R} \log(B(k))\rho(k)dk, \quad \rho(k) = (R^2 - k^2),$$

over the contour, consisting of the interval $[-R,R]$ and the half-circle of radius $R$, equals

$$2\pi R^2 \sum_j \Re k_j - \frac{2\pi}{3} \sum_j \Re k_j^3$$

for a sufficiently large $R > 0$. It is also clear that

$$\int_{C_R} \log \left( \frac{a(k)}{B(k)} \right) \rho(k)dk = 0,$$

since the function $\log \left( \frac{a(k)}{B(k)} \right)$ is analytic in the upper half-plane. Thus, we obtain that

$$\int_{C_R} \log(B(k))\rho(k)dk = \int_{C_R} \log(a(k))\rho(k)dk,$$

which implies the equality

$$2\pi R^2 \sum_j \Re k_j - \frac{2\pi}{3} \sum_j \Re k_j^3 = \Re \int_{C_R} \log(a(k))\rho(k)dk.$$

Choose now $R = 2 \int |V|dx$. We will shortly see how convenient this choice is, and now we will obtain an estimate of the quantity $\log(a(k))$.

We have to estimate this quantity twice: the first time, we have to estimate the absolute value $|\log(a(k))|$ under the condition that $|k| = R$; the second time, we will establish an upper estimate of $\log |a(k)|$ on the interval $[-R,R]$.
Let us carry out the computations for \(|k| = R\). The arguments are borrowed from [7]. Let us estimate the derivative of the function \(\psi(z) = \log a(k)\), \(z = k^2\).

We have

\[
\psi'(z) = \text{tr} \ (H - z)^{-1}V(-d^2/dx^2 - z)^{-1}
\]

\[= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \text{tr} \left[ (-d^2/dx^2 - z)^{-1} W U (W(-d^2/dx^2 - z)^{-1} W U)^j \right.\]

\[\left. \times W(-d^2/dx^2 - z)^{-1} \right] \]

where \(U = V/|V|\) and \(W = \sqrt{|V|}\). Since, for \(|k| \geq R\),

\[
||W(-d^2/dx^2 - z)^{-1} W|| \leq \frac{\int |V| dx}{|k|} \leq \frac{1}{2},
\]

we obtain that

\[
\left|\psi'(z)\right| \leq C \int |V| dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi^2 - z|^2} \leq C_1 \int |V| dx \frac{1}{3k^3}, \quad k^2 = z.
\]

Integrating along the vertical line we will obtain that

\[
|\psi(z)| \leq \frac{C_0 \int |V| dx}{|3k|}.
\]

Consequently, for \(\phi = \text{Arg}(k)\),

\[
|\psi(z)||\rho(k)| \leq \frac{C_0 \int |V| dx}{|R \sin(\phi)|} |R^2(1 - e^{i2\phi})| \leq CR \int |V| dx
\]

on the circle \(\{k : |k| = R, 3k > 0\}\). It implies the following estimate for the integral:

\[
\left| \int_{|k| = R, 3k > 0} \log(a(k)) \rho(k) dk \right| \leq CR^2 \int |V| dx.
\]

Assume now that \(k = \tilde{k}\). Let us estimate the quantity \(\log |a(k)| = \log |\det(I + VR(z))|\) from above. Due to the relation \(\log |a(k)| = -\Re(\int V dx/2ik) + \log |\det_2(I + VR(z))|\), we conclude that

\[
(2.1) \quad ||WR(z)W||_{c_2} \leq \frac{\int |V| dx}{|k|} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log |a(k)| \leq C \left( \frac{\int |V| dx}{|k|} + \left( \frac{\int |V| dx}{|k|} \right)^2 \right).
\]

However, this estimate is not suitable for \(k \to 0\). Therefore we have to conduct our reasoning in a more delicate way. Consider the integral kernel of the operator \(X = WR(z)W\). It is a function of the form

\[
e W(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\xi x) \sin(\xi y)}{\xi^2 - z} W(y) d\xi.
\]

It follows clearly from this formula that \(X\) is representable as the integral

\[
X = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{l_{\xi}^* l_{\xi}}{\xi^2 - z} d\xi,
\]

where the linear functional \(l_{\xi}\) is defined by the relation

\[
l_{\xi}(u) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin(\xi y) W(y) u(y) dy
\]

and acts from \(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\) to \(C\).
Moreover,\[
|\sin(\xi y) - \sin(\eta y)| \leq 2|\sin\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{2}y\right)| \leq C|\xi - \eta|^{p/2}|y|^{p/2},
\]
we obtain that\[
||l_\xi - l_\eta|| \leq C|\xi - \eta|^{p/2}\left(\int |x|^p|V(x)|\,dx\right)^{1/2}.
\]
Consider now the operator \(G_\xi = l_\xi^* l_\xi\). It is clear that\[
||G_\xi||_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq ||\xi||^p \int |x|^p|V|\,dx,\quad 0 < p < 1.
\]
Moreover,\[
||G_\xi - G_\eta||_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq ||l_\xi - l_\eta||(||l_\xi|| + ||l_\eta||)
\leq C|\xi - \eta|^{p/2}(|\xi|^{p/2} + |\eta|^{p/2})\left(\int |x|^p|V(x)|\,dx\right).
\]
Therefore the representation of the operator \(X\)
\[X = c\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{G_\xi - G_\eta}{\xi^2 - z} d\xi + \frac{\pi iG_\eta}{k}\right),\quad \eta = |\mathbb{R}z|^{1/2},\]
implies that
\[
||X||_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq C\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^{p/2}(|\xi|^{p/2} + |\eta|^{p/2})}{|\xi^2 - \eta^2|} d\xi + \frac{\eta^p}{|k|}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} |x|^p|V(x)|\,dx.
\]
If \(k \in \mathbb{R}\) is real, then we obtain that
\[
||X||_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq C \frac{1}{|k|^{1-p}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |x|^p|V(x)|\,dx.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\int_{-R}^{R} \log |a(k)|\rho(k)\,dk \leq R^2 \int_{-R}^{R} \log |a(k)|\,dk
\leq R^2 C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |x|^p|V(x)|\,dx\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |V(x)|\,dx\right)^p.
\]
Let us summarize the results: we proved that
\[
\sum_j \Im k_j - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \sum_j \Im k_j^3 \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |x|^p|V(x)|\,dx\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |V(x)|\,dx\right)^p + \int_{0}^{\infty} |V(x)|\,dx\right).
\]
It remains to notice that \(|k_j| \leq \int |V|\,dx = R/2\), which implies that
\[
\frac{1}{3} R^2 \Im k_j^3 \leq \frac{1}{4} \Im k_j.
\]
The proof is completed.
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