

## INSTANTON HOMOLOGY AND THE ALEXANDER POLYNOMIAL

YUHAN LIM

(Communicated by Daniel Ruberman)

ABSTRACT. We prove that the instanton knot homology  $KHI(K)$  as defined by Kronheimer-Mrowka recovers the Alexander polynomial for knots  $K$  in the 3-sphere.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [8], Kronheimer and Mrowka revisit an instanton knot homology first defined and studied by A. Floer [4]. For simplicity we assume the case of knots  $K$  in the 3-sphere. Kronheimer and Mrowka refined the instanton knot homology theory by introducing a bigrading on the groups. The bigraded groups are denoted by  $KHI(K)$ . They conjecture that  $KHI(K)$  is isomorphic to other knot homologies, such as the one defined by Ozsvath and Szabo. A small step in this direction is to show that  $KHI(K)$  recovers the Alexander polynomial.<sup>1</sup> In this note we prove this. In addition we state two simple corollaries of the result.

**1.1. Instanton homology for knots.** We review the version of instanton Floer homology for an oriented knot  $K$  in the 3-sphere  $S^3$ , as considered in [8]. It is defined to be the instanton homology of a certain closure  $K^T$  of the knot complement  $S^3 - \nu K^\circ$  by  $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$ . Here  $T$  is a 2-torus and  $D$  is a small disk in  $T$ . Let  $\Sigma_K$  be a Seifert surface for  $K$ , oriented so that the boundary, with the induced orientation, matches the orientation of  $K$ . In the closure, the boundaries of  $\Sigma_K \cap (S^3 - \nu K^\circ)$  and  $(T - D^\circ) \times \{1\}$  are identified with each other, as well as the meridians for  $K$  on  $\partial\nu(K)$  and the fibers  $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$  on the boundary of  $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$ . An orientation of the 3-manifold is required in the definition of instanton homology.  $K^T$  is given the orientation induced from  $S^3 - \nu K^\circ$ , and  $S^3$  is given the standard orientation on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , regarding it as  $\mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$ .

To define instanton homology in the non-homology 3-sphere case we also need to specify a complex line bundle  $\omega \rightarrow K^T$  whose Chern class has a non-zero mod 2 evaluation on at least one integral homology class in  $K^T$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a homologically non-trivial oriented simple closed curve in  $T$ . Choose  $\omega_0$  to be the complex line with Chern class Poincaré dual to  $\alpha$ , thinking of  $\alpha$  as living on  $(T - D^\circ) \times \{1\}$  in  $K^T$ .  $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$  denotes the instanton homology for  $(K^T, \omega_0)$  with complex coefficients.

---

Received by the editors July 28, 2009 and, in revised form, July 30, 2009 and January 26, 2010.  
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57R58, 57M27.

<sup>1</sup>Concurrently, the main result of this paper was also obtained by Kronheimer and Mrowka in [9].

**1.2. Generalized eigenspace decomposition.** There is only a relative mod 8 grading on  $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ , but there is an absolute mod 2 grading due to Froyshev [5] (details below). We shall always assume the canonical mod 2 grading, and any mod 8 grading used will be assumed to be consistent with the mod 2 grading. This makes the groups

$$\tilde{I}_0(K^T)_{\omega_0} = \bigoplus I_{2i}(K^T)_{\omega_0}, \quad \tilde{I}_1(K^T)_{\omega_0} = \bigoplus I_{2i-1}(K^T)_{\omega_0}$$

well-defined.

Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $K^T$ . The action of the  $\mu$ -map evaluated at  $x_0$ ,  $\mu(x_0)$ , sends  $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$  to  $I_{*-4}(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ . By [8] this determines a splitting of  $\tilde{I}_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$  into  $\pm 2$ -eigenspaces, in their normalization. Then by definition

$$KHI_*(K) = \tilde{I}_*^+(K^T)_{\omega_0} = +2\text{-eigenspace.}$$

Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  denote the surface  $(\Sigma_K \cap (S^3 - \nu K^\circ)) \cup (T - D^\circ) \times \{1\}$ , with the induced orientation from  $\Sigma_K$ . The action of the  $\mu$ -map evaluated on  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ ,  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ , sends  $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$  to  $I_{*-2}(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ . The actions of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$  and  $\mu(x_0)$  commute;  $\tilde{I}_*^+(K^T)_{\omega_0}$  is preserved under the action of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ . In [8] it is shown (with the normalization used there) that the eigenvalues of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$  are the even integers  $n$  satisfying the bound  $|n| \leq 2g - 2$ ,  $g$  being the genus of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ . Then there is a decomposition of  $KHI_*(K)$  by the generalized eigenspaces of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ , which in the notation of [8] is written

$$(1.1) \quad KHI_*(K) = \bigoplus_{i=-g}^{i=g} KHI_*(K, i).$$

Define the finite Laurent polynomial

$$P_K(t) = P_{\omega_0}(K^T, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) = \chi_{-g}t^{-g} + \dots + \chi_0 + \chi_1t + \dots + \chi_g t^g,$$

where  $\chi_i$  is the Euler characteristic of  $KHI_*(K, i)$ .

**1.3. Statement of results.**

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any knot  $K$  in the 3-sphere,  $P_K(t)$  is exactly the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  of  $K$ .*

This proves Conjecture 7.26 of [8]. The symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  of  $K$  is the unique representative that satisfies  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$  and  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ . The proof of the theorem involves a straightforward application of Floer’s surgery exact triangle.

Instead of the closure of the knot complement by  $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$  we can consider the standard closure by  $D^2 \times S^1$  given by 0-surgery on  $K$ , which we denote by  $K^D$ . We consider the instanton homology of  $K^D$  but this time choose the complex line  $\omega'$  with Chern class Poincaré dual to an oriented meridian of  $K$ , regarding the meridian as a curve in  $K^D$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *For an oriented  $K$ , let  $Q_K(t)$  be the finite Laurent polynomial defined analogously to  $P_K(t)$  but with  $K^D$  and the complex line  $\omega'$  instead. Then*

$$Q_K(t) = \frac{\Delta_K(t) - 1}{t - 2 + t^{-1}}$$

where  $\Delta_K(t)$  is as above. In particular  $Q_K(1) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_K''(1)$ .

For example the unknot has  $Q_K(t) = 0$ , the trefoil knot has  $Q_K(t) = 1$  and the figure-8 knot has  $Q_K(t) = -1$ . We mention that for  $I_*(K^D)_{\omega'}$  we do not actually know that  $\mu(x_0)$  splits  $I_*(K^D)_{\omega'}$  into  $\pm 2$ -eigenspaces. However this is not needed, and we can simply use the generalized  $+2$ -eigenspace in place of the  $+2$ -eigenspace.

**Corollary 1.3.** *If the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  of a knot  $K$  in  $S^3$  is non-trivial, i.e.  $\Delta_K(t) \neq 1$ , then  $\pm 1$ -surgery on  $K$  never yields a simply connected 3-manifold.*

*Remark 1.4.* This corollary is a special case of the property P conjecture. (Property P is proven in [7] and [8], independently of Perelman's proof of the Poincaré conjecture. It uses a result in [2] that states that consideration of  $\pm 1$ -surgery is sufficient.)

*Proof.* If  $\Delta_K(t) \neq 1$ , then  $Q_K(t) \neq 0$  and the instanton homology groups  $I_*(K^D)_{\omega'}$  are non-trivial. By Floer's surgery exact triangle the instanton homology for the integral homology sphere  $K_{\pm 1}$  obtained by  $\pm 1$ -surgery on  $K$  is also non-trivial. Thus there must be at least one non-trivial representation of  $\pi_1(K_{\pm 1})$  into  $SU(2)$ .  $\square$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Mod 2 grading.** We briefly review the canonical mod 2 grading since we will be using it throughout this note (see [5] and also [3, Sect. 6.5]). Let  $[\varrho] \in I_*(Y)_{\omega}$ . Suppose that  $Y = \partial X$  as oriented manifolds and that  $E \rightarrow X$  is a  $U(2)$ -bundle with connection  $A$  that extends  $\varrho$  on  $Y$ .

Let  $\widehat{X}$  be the cylindrical-end manifold obtained by joining the semi-infinite tube  $Y \times [0, \infty)$  to the boundary. Likewise, extend  $E$  to  $\widehat{E}$  and also extend  $A$  to  $\widehat{A}$  by the pullback of  $\varrho$  over the cylindrical end. We let  $\text{Ind}E$  be the index of the anti-self-dual operator on  $\widehat{X}$  coupled to  $\widehat{A}$ . We also have indices  $\text{Ind}^{\pm}X$  of the anti-self-dual operator on forms on  $\widehat{X}$ , on the positive/negative  $\delta$ -weighted spaces where the weight is non-zero and sufficiently small in absolute value [3, Sect. 3.3.1].

Define the mod 2 grading of  $[\varrho]$  to be

$$\nu[\varrho] = \text{Ind}E - 3\text{Ind}^-X \pmod{2}.$$

Index calculations (see for instance [3, Sect. 3.3.1]) show that  $\text{Ind}^-X = b_1(X) - b_2^+(X)$ . (Here we assume that  $Y$  is connected.)  $b_2^+$  is the dimension of a maximal positive definite subspace for the (possibly degenerate) intersection form on the image of  $H_2(X)$  in  $H_2(X, \partial X)$ .

Let us now suppose that  $W$  is a cobordism between  $Y$  and  $Y'$  that induces a map  $I_W: I_*(Y)_{\omega} \rightarrow I_{*+k}(Y')_{\omega'}$ . We wish to determine the value of  $k \pmod{2}$ , the mod 2 degree of  $I_W$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The degree  $k$  of the map  $I_W$  above satisfies*

$$k = 3(b_1(W) - b_1(Y) + b_0(Y') - b_0(W) - b_2^+(W)) \pmod{2}.$$

*Remark 2.2.* If  $Y$  or  $Y'$  is disconnected the lemma is still valid. We need however to interpret the instanton homology of a disjoint union  $I_*(Y_0 \cup Y_1)_{\omega\omega'}$  as the tensor product  $I_*(Y_0)_{\omega} \otimes I_*(Y_1)_{\omega'}$ . In particular the grading satisfies  $\nu([\varrho] \otimes [\varrho']) = \nu[\varrho] + \nu[\varrho'] \pmod{2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[\varrho] \in I_*(Y)_\omega$  and  $I_W([\varrho]) = [\varrho'] \in I_{*+k}(Y')_{\omega'}$ . Let  $E_W$  be the  $U(2)$ -bundle with connection that limits to  $\varrho$  and  $\varrho'$  at the ends. Then by assumption  $\text{Ind}E_W = 0$ . Let  $Y = \partial X$  and  $E$  be as above. The additivity property of the index [3, Sect. 3.3] tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(E \cup E_W) &= \text{Ind}E + \text{Ind}E_W, \\ \text{Ind}^-(X \cup W) &= \text{Ind}^+X + \text{Ind}^-W. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, according to [3, Prop. 3.10],

$$\text{Ind}^+X - \text{Ind}^-X = -(b_0(\partial X) + b_1(\partial X))$$

and, by [3, Prop. 3.15] (with additional terms added for a non-connected boundary),

$$\text{Ind}^-W = b_1(W) - (b_0(W) - b_0(\partial W)) - b_2^+(W).$$

Since the difference  $\nu[\varrho] - \nu[\varrho']$  is given by

$$\text{Ind}(E \cup E_W) - \text{Ind}E - 3(\text{Ind}^-(X \cup W) - \text{Ind}^-X) \pmod 2,$$

the result follows. □

**2.2. Instanton invariants for 2-component links.** We will need to introduce versions of instanton homology associated to an oriented 2-component link  $L$  in the 3-sphere. The definitions are parallel to those for knots. They are defined as the instanton homology of certain closures of the link complement. Let  $C = [0, 1] \times S^1$ . Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two small disjoint disks in  $T$  and set  $T_2 = T - D_1^\circ - D_2^\circ$ . Let  $\Sigma_L$  be an oriented (connected) Seifert surface with induced orientation on the boundary equal to the orientation on  $L$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} L^C &= (S^3 - \nu L^\circ) \cup (C \times S^1), \\ L^{T_2} &= (S^3 - \nu L^\circ) \cup (T_2 \times S^1). \end{aligned}$$

Again the union is taken along the boundaries such that the oriented boundary of  $\Sigma_L \cap (S^3 - \nu L^\circ)$  matches up with the oriented boundary of  $C \times \{1\}$  or  $T_2 \times \{1\}$ . Additionally the meridians of the link should match up with the fibers  $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$  on the boundaries. In the case of  $L^C$  the genus of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ , the extension of  $\Sigma_L$ , is one greater than that of  $\Sigma_L$ , but in the case of  $L^{T_2}$  it is two greater.

As in the case of knots we can take the instanton homology groups  $I_*^+(L^C)_\omega$  and  $I_*^+(L^{T_2})_\omega$  as the basis for the definition of instanton link homology. We shall define our choices  $\omega = \omega_1, \omega_2$  below. Using the action of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$  to give a decomposition in terms of  $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^C)_{\omega_1}$  and  $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{T_2})_{\omega_2}$ , we can again define the finite Laurent polynomials

$$P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\omega_2}(L^{T_2}, \widehat{\Sigma})(t).$$

Let  $\alpha_0$  be an oriented simple arc in  $S^3 - \nu L^\circ$  connecting the two boundary components. Let  $\alpha_1$  be an oriented simple arc in  $C \times S^1$  connecting the two boundary components such that the boundary of  $\alpha_1$  matches up with the boundary of  $\alpha_0$  and the union is an oriented simple closed curve  $\alpha'$ . Then in the case of  $L^C$  choose  $\omega = \omega_1$  where  $\omega_1$  has Chern class Poincaré dual to  $\alpha'$ .

Think of  $T_2$  as the connected sum of surfaces  $C \sharp T$ . Let  $\alpha''$  be an oriented simple closed curve of the form  $\alpha_0 \cup \alpha_1$  where  $\alpha_1$  is the arc as before but living in the  $C$  factor of  $C \sharp T$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a homologically non-trivial simple closed curve in the  $T$  factor of the connected sum. We think of  $\alpha$  as living on  $T_2 \times \{1\}$ . Then for  $L^{T_2}$  choose  $\omega = \omega_2$  where  $\omega_2$  has Chern class Poincaré dual to  $\alpha'' + \alpha$ .

**2.3. The excision principle and instanton homology for  $L^{T_2}$ .** With our choices for  $\omega$ ,  $P_{\omega_2}(L^{T_2}, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$  is actually determined by  $P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$ . This is a consequence of Floer’s excision principle.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\Sigma_2$  be the surface of genus 2. The finite Laurent polynomial is such that*

$$P_{\omega_2}(L^{T_2}, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) = P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) \cdot P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma_2)(t),$$

where  $P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma_2)(t)$  is the finite Laurent polynomial for  $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$  derived from the instanton homology of  $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$  and defined in an analogous manner. The complex line  $\omega_3$  has Chern class the Poincaré dual of the curve  $\alpha$  above.

*Proof.* Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be the two tori that form the boundary of  $(S^3 - \nu L^\circ) \subset L^{T_2}$ . Since  $\omega_2$  evaluates non-trivially mod 2 on  $F_i$  we may apply Floer’s excision principle (see [8, Theorem 7.7]) to conclude that there is an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{T_2})_{\omega_2} \cong \widetilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3} \otimes \widetilde{I}_*^+(L^C)_{\omega_1}.$$

However it is not immediately evident that this isomorphism preserves the mod 2 grading; we shall prove this below but only in this specific situation.

In the above isomorphism the action of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$  on  $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{T_2})_{\omega_2}$  corresponds to the action of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu(\Sigma_2)$  on the tensor product space. It follows that the generalized  $\lambda$ -eigenspaces  $W_\lambda$  in  $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{T_2})_{\omega_2}$  obey a relation of the form

$$W_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda=\lambda_0+\lambda_1} U_{\lambda_0} \otimes V_{\lambda_1},$$

where  $U_{\lambda_0}$  and  $V_{\lambda_1}$  are corresponding generalized eigenspaces in  $\widetilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$  and  $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^C)_{\omega_1}$  respectively. The lemma follows easily, assuming the mod 2 grading claim.

To establish the mod 2 grading, consider the surgery cobordism  $W$  between  $L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)$  and  $L^{T_2}$  in the proof of the excision principle. Let  $H = T \times [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ . The boundary is  $T \times \{-1, 1\} \times [-1, 1] \cup T \times [-1, 1] \times \{-1, 1\}$ . We regard  $T \times \{0\} \times [-1, 1]$  as the ‘core’ of  $H$ . Then  $W$  is obtained from  $(L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)) \times [0, 1]$  by identifying  $\nu F_1 \cup \nu F_2$  in  $(L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)) \times \{1\}$  with  $T \times [-1, 1] \times \{-1, 1\}$  in  $H$ . Clearly  $W$  deformation retracts onto  $W_0$ , the union of  $L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)$  and the core of  $H$ , where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are identified with  $\partial(T \times \{0\} \times [-1, 1])$ .

In  $L^C$  let  $a$  be an oriented simple closed curve corresponding to  $\{0\} \times S^1 \times \{1\} \subset C \times S^1$ . Let  $b$  be an oriented simple closed curve corresponding to  $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1 \subset C \times S^1$ . Then thinking of  $a$  and  $b$  as homology classes, we have  $a = 0$  in  $H_1(L^C)$  and that  $b$  is a generator of  $H_1(L^C)$ . On the other hand, by the identification via the core of  $H$ ,  $a$  is a generator of  $H_1(\Sigma_2 \times \{\text{pt}\})$  and  $b$  corresponds to a fiber  $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$ .

Since  $H_1(L^C) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  we have that the rank of  $H_1(\Sigma_2 \times S^1) \oplus H_1(L^C)$  is 7. Then  $H_1(W) = H_1(W_0)$  is obtained from  $H_1(\Sigma_2 \times S^1) \oplus H_1(L^C)$  by introducing the two relations above. Thus  $H_1(W_0)$  has rank 5. It is easily seen that  $b_2^+(W) = 0$ ; thus by Lemma 2.1 the dimension shift is

$$\begin{aligned} k &= b_1(W) - b_1(Y) + b_0(Y') - b_0(W) - b_2^+(W) \\ &= 5 - (2 + 5) + 1 - 1 - 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**2.4. Some calculations.** Lemma 2.3 above necessitates evaluation of  $P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma_2)(t)$ . For later use we will also need the evaluation of  $P_{\omega_4}(T \times S^1)(t)$  where  $\omega_4$  has Chern class dual to any homologically non-trivial oriented simple closed curve in  $T$ .

**Lemma 2.4.**  $P_{\omega_4}(T \times S^1)(t) = 1$  for either orientation on  $T \times S^1$ .

*Proof.* After applying a diffeomorphism, the line bundle  $\omega_4$  can be assumed to have Chern class Poincaré dual to the fiber  $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$ . It is well-known (see for instance [1, Prop. 1.14]) that the  $SO(3)$ -bundle with 2nd Steifel-Whitney class  $w_2 \equiv c_1(\omega_4) \pmod 2$  carries a unique flat connection, up to gauge equivalence. In terms of  $U(2)$ -bundles this gives two flat connections  $\varrho_0$  and  $\varrho_1$  on the adjoint bundle. The instanton homology is such that  $I_*(T \times S^1)_{\omega_4} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , where the dimensions differ by 4. The rest of the lemma follows easily once we can show that the generators lie in even dimensions.

Let  $X = T \times D^2$  so that  $Y = \partial X$ . Let  $E_{\varrho_i} \rightarrow X$  be a  $U(2)$ -bundle carrying connection  $A$  that extends  $\varrho_i$ . Then  $\text{Ind}E_{\varrho_1} = \text{Ind}E_{\varrho_0} + 4 \pmod 8$  since the Floer dimensions differ by 4. We wish to evaluate  $\text{Ind}E_{\varrho_0}$ . Let  $\varphi: T \times S^1 \rightarrow T \times S^1$  be the orientation reversing diffeomorphism that reverses the  $S^1$  factor; denote by  $\tilde{\varphi}$  a lift to the bundle level. Then  $\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho_0)$  is equivalent in instanton homology to either  $\varrho_0$  or  $\varrho_1$ . Now form the double of  $X$ , identifying the boundaries via  $\varphi$ , and let  $E = E_{\varrho_0} \cup E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho_0)}$  be the corresponding bundle over the double. There are two ways of forming  $E$ . We choose the one that has  $c_1$  dual to the surface  $\{y_0\} \times D^2 \cup \{y_0\} \times D^2$  in the double. Then

$$\text{Ind}E = 2(4c_2 - c_1^2) - 3(1 - b_1 + b_2^+) \equiv 0 \pmod 8.$$

On the other hand, by the additivity of the index we have

$$\text{Ind}E_{\varrho_0} + \text{Ind}E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho_0)} = \text{Ind}E_{\varrho_0} + \text{Ind}E_{\varrho_0} + 4n \equiv 0 \pmod 8.$$

It follows that  $\text{Ind}E_{\varrho_0} \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ . Clearly  $b_1(X) - b_2^+(X) = 2$ , and so  $\nu[\varrho_0] \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ . □

**Lemma 2.5.**  $P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma)(t) = t^{-1} - 2 + t$  for either orientation on  $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$ .

*Proof.* According to [1, Prop. 1.15] we have, in increasing order of dimension,

$$I_*(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \{0\}.$$

We shall fix this grading, beginning with zero for the first group and reading from left to right. We assume for the moment that this is consistent with the mod 2 grading. We shall prove this below by showing that the group  $\mathbb{C}^2$  in dimension 1 above must be an odd graded group.

The action of  $\mu(\Sigma_2)$  has eigenvalues  $-2, 0$  or  $+2$  on  $\tilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$ . On the odd dimensions  $\mu(\Sigma_2)$  necessarily shifts each summand of the odd groups  $\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \{0\}$  to the next. Thus  $\mu(\Sigma_2)$  is zero on  $\tilde{I}_1^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$  and this makes up its entire generalized eigenspace decomposition. On the other hand, the  $\pm 2$ -eigenvalues for  $\mu(\Sigma_2)$  are simple on  $\tilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)$  [8, Prop. 7.4], so only the  $\pm 2$ -eigenvalues on  $\tilde{I}_0^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$  have non-trivial eigenspaces, each of dimension 1. This completely decomposes  $\tilde{I}_0^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$  and the result follows, modulo the claim regarding the mod 2 grading.

Let  $\varrho$  be the (perturbed) flat connection that is a generator  $I_1(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$ . Let  $\varphi: \Sigma \times S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2 \times S^1$  be the orientation reversing diffeomorphism that reverses

the  $S^1$  factor. Let  $X$  be such that  $\partial X = Y$  and let  $E_\varrho \rightarrow X$  be the bundle with connection that extends  $\varrho$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be a lift to a bundle map. It must be the case then that  $\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho)$  is a generator in dimension 1 or 5, so by the same reasoning as in the preceding lemma,  $\text{Ind}E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho)} \equiv \text{Ind}E_\varrho \pmod{4}$ . Repeating the doubling argument, we find that

$$(2.1) \quad 2\text{Ind}E_\varrho \equiv 2(4c_2 - c_1^2) - 3(1 - b_1 + b_2^+) \pmod{4},$$

where the right-hand terms refer to the doubled manifold (and bundle). We now need to construct an appropriate  $X$ . This is done as follows.

Let  $H$  be a handlebody whose boundary is  $\Sigma_2$ . Let  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2$  be the standard oriented simple closed curves in  $\Sigma_2$  that represent a basis for  $H_1$  with the property that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  bound disks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively in  $H$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\omega_3$  has Chern class Poincaré dual to  $a_1 \times \{\text{pt}\}$  in  $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$ . We now choose  $X = H \times S^1$ . The bundles  $E_\varrho$  and  $E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho)}$  extend over  $X$ . For the bundle  $E_\varrho \cup E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho)}$  over the doubled manifold, we may choose  $c_1$  Poincaré dual to  $D_1 \cup D_1$ . Thus  $c_1^2 = 0$ . The second homology of the doubled manifold (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is generated by  $S_i = D_i \cup D_i$  and  $b_i \times S^1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). The intersection form can then be written as two copies of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives  $b_2^+(X \cup X) = 2$ . It is seen that  $b_1(X \cup X) = 3$ . Therefore the left-hand side of (2.1) evaluates to  $0 \pmod{4}$  so that  $\text{Ind}E_\varrho \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

From the preceding considerations it is also straightforward to see that  $b_1(X) = 3$  and  $b_2^+(X) = 0$ . So

$$\nu[\varrho] = \text{Ind}E_\varrho - 3(b_1(X) - b_2^+(X)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**2.5. Skein relations.** Let  $J$  denote an oriented knot or link in the 3-sphere. Let  $J_+, J_-$  and  $J_0$  denote in the usual way the knots or links that in a projection differ in a neighborhood of a single crossing. We use the conventions for  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  in [6]. If  $J_\pm$  is modeled on the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes in the plane (the strands agreeing with the standard orientation of the axes), then in  $J_+$  the  $x$ -axis strand goes over the  $y$ -axis strand.

If  $J_\pm$  are knots, then  $J_0$  is a 2-component link. If  $J_\pm$  are 2-component links and the crossing is between different components of  $J_\pm$ , then  $J_0$  is a knot. We have a version of the following well-known theorem that applies only to knots and 2-component links.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $J$  denote either an oriented knot or an oriented 2-component link. Let  $\Delta_J(t)$  be a finite Laurent polynomial in powers of  $t^{1/2}$  that is an oriented isotopy invariant of  $J$ . Assume that (1)  $\Delta_J(t) = 1$  for the unknot, (2)  $\Delta_J(t) = 0$  for split 2-component links, and (3) the following skein rule holds:*

$$\Delta_{J_+}(t) - \Delta_{J_-}(t) = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})\Delta_{J_0}(t),$$

where if  $J$  is a 2-component link, then the crossing change is between different strands. Then for knots  $K$ ,  $\Delta_K(t)$  is exactly the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial of  $K$ ; i.e. it satisfies  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$  and  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ .

*Proof.* See [6, Proof of Theorem 1.5].  $\square$

3. PROOFS

3.1. **Theorem 1.1.** The strategy is to show that for oriented knots  $K$ ,  $P_K(t) = P_{\omega_0}(K^T, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.6 upon applying Floer’s exact triangle ([1] will be our general reference). However this will require, for oriented 2-component links  $L$ , a slight alteration of  $P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$  before the skein relation can be satisfied.

We let

$$\widehat{P}_J(t) = \begin{cases} P_{\omega_0}(J^T, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) & \text{if } J \text{ is a knot,} \\ -(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})P_{\omega_1}(J^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) & \text{if } J \text{ is a link.} \end{cases}$$

According to Floer, for oriented knots  $K$  there is an exact sequence of the form

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{I}_i(K_+^T)_{\omega_0} \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{I}_i(K_-^T)_{\omega_0} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{I}_i(K_0^{T_2})_{\omega_2} \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{I}_{i-1}(K_+^T)_{\omega_0} \longrightarrow$$

where the maps are induced by the various surgery cobordisms, essentially adding 2-handles to the product cobordism along a knot. The maps  $a$  and  $b$  are of degree zero and  $c$  is of degree  $-1$ , by applying Lemma 2.1 to the surgery cobordisms  $W_a$ ,  $W_b$  and  $W_c$  respectively.  $W_a$  and  $W_b$  are obtained by adding two handles along homologically trivial knots with  $-1$ -framing. Therefore  $b_1$  is the same as the ends of the cobordism. Clearly, for  $W_a$  and  $W_b$ ,  $b_2^{\pm} = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1 this gives a dimension shift of  $0 \pmod 2$ . On the other hand,  $W_c$  is obtained by adding a 2-handle along a knot which is a generator for 1st homology, so  $b_1$  drops by 1 in  $W_c$ , but  $b_2^{\pm} = 0$ . This results in a dimension shift of  $-1 \pmod 2$ .

Since all the surgery cobordisms are connected, the action of  $\mu(x_0)$  in each group commutes with  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ . A similar statement is true for the action of  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$  on each group, because all the various oriented surfaces  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  are homologous in the surgery cobordisms.

Therefore the above exact sequence respects the decomposition of each group into the  $\pm 2$ -eigenspaces of  $\mu(x_0)$  and the generalized eigenspaces for  $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$  contained therein. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\omega_0}(K_+^T, \widehat{\Sigma}) - P_{\omega_0}(K_-^T, \widehat{\Sigma}) &= -P_{\omega_2}(K_0^{T_2}, \widehat{\Sigma}) \\ &= -(t^{-1} - 2 + t)P_{\omega_1}(K_0^C, \widehat{\Sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5. It immediately follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \widehat{P}_{K_+}(t) - \widehat{P}_{K_-}(t) = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})\widehat{P}_{K_0}(t).$$

In the case of a 2-component oriented link  $L$  where the crossing change is between different components of the link, we have the exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{I}_i(L_+^C)_{\omega_1} \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{I}_i(L_-^C)_{\omega_1} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{I}_i(L_0^T)_{\omega_0} \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{I}_{i-1}(L_+^C)_{\omega_1} \longrightarrow .$$

A similar argument gives

$$P_{\omega_1}(L_+^C, \widehat{\Sigma}) - P_{\omega_1}(L_-^C, \widehat{\Sigma}) = -P_{\omega_0}(L_0^T, \widehat{\Sigma}),$$

and again relation (3.1) holds. For split 2-component links  $L'$ , the instanton homology must vanish because  $\omega_1$  is non-trivial on the 2-sphere  $S$  that separates the components of the link; there are no flat  $SO(3)$ -connections over  $S$ . Thus  $0 = P_{\omega_1}(L')(t) = \widehat{P}_{L'}(t)$ . For the unknot  $U$ ,  $U^T$  is clearly  $T \times S^1$  so that  $\widehat{P}_U(t) = P_{\omega_0}(U^T)(t) = 1$  by Lemma 2.4. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. Corollary 1.2.** In our definition of  $\omega_0$  (defined for  $K^T$ ) we could have also twisted it by the complex line that has Chern class Poincaré dual to an oriented fiber  $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$  in the subset  $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$ . We could have made corresponding changes to  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  (recall that these are defined for  $L^C$  and  $L^{T_2}$  respectively), ensuring that these are compatible over the knot or link complement with each other. We will not need to change  $\omega_3$  (defined for  $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$ ) but will need a similar change to  $\omega_4$  (defined for  $T \times S^1$ ). Denote the changed complex lines by  $\omega'_0, \omega'_1, \omega'_2$  and  $\omega'_4$  respectively.

Lemma 2.3 remains unchanged with  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  replaced by  $\omega'_1$  and  $\omega'_2$  respectively. Excision does not require  $\omega_3$  to be changed.

Lemma 2.4 remains the same with  $\omega_4$  replaced by  $\omega'_4$ . There is a diffeomorphism that moves  $\omega'_4$  back to  $\omega_4$ .

Then the proof of Theorem 1.1 goes through with  $\omega_i$  replaced by  $\omega'_i$ . In particular the conclusion about  $\widehat{P}_J(t)$ , defined using  $\omega'_i$ , remains the same. Therefore we now assume the  $\omega_i$ 's are changed to  $\omega'_i$ 's.

Then, according to Floer, for oriented knots  $K$  there is an exact sequence of the form

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{I}_i(K_+^D)_{\omega'} \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{I}_i(K_-^D)_{\omega'} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{I}_i(K_0^C)_{\omega'_1} \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{I}_{i-1}(K_+^D)_{\omega'} \longrightarrow .$$

Repeating the argument of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$Q_{K_+}(t) - Q_{K_-}(t) = -P_{\omega'_1}(K_0^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t),$$

and therefore

$$(t - 2 + t^{-1})(Q_{K_+}(t) - Q_{K_-}(t)) = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})\Delta_{K_0}(t).$$

Here we make use of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (with the new  $\omega'_i$ ), which identifies  $-(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})P(K_0^C, \widehat{\Sigma})_{\omega'_1}(t)$  with  $\Delta_{K_0}(t)$ . Thus there is a universal constant  $C$  such that

$$(t - 2 + t^{-1})Q_K(t) + C = \Delta_K(t),$$

where  $\Delta_K(t)$  is the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial. By considering the unknot, which has  $Q_K(t) = 0$ , we must have  $C = 1$ . The corollary follows immediately.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for the helpful suggestions on improving the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. P.J. Braam, S.K. Donaldson, *Floer's work on instanton homology, knots and surgery*, The Floer memorial volume, Ed. H. Hofer et al., Birkhäuser, 1995, 257-281. MR1362829 (97i:57034), MR1362830 (97i:57035)
2. M. Culler, C. McA. Gordon, J. Luecke, P.B. Shalen, *Dehn surgery on knots*, Ann. of Math. (2), 125 (1987) No. 2, 237-300. MR881270 (88a:57026)
3. S.K. Donaldson, Floer homology groups in Yang-Mills theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002. MR1883043 (2002k:57078)
4. A. Floer, *Instanton homology, surgery and knots*, Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds: 1 (Durham, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 150, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990, 97-114. MR1171893 (93h:57049)
5. K. Frøyshov, *Equivariant aspects of Yang-Mills Floer theory*, Topology, 41 (2002) No. 3, 525-552. MR1910040 (2003b:57048)

6. R. Fintushel, R.J. Stern, *Knots, links and 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math., 134 (1998) No. 2, 363-400. MR1650308 (99j:57033)
7. P.B. Kronheimer, T.S. Mrowka, *Witten's conjecture and property P*, Geom. Topol., 8 (2004) 295-310. MR2023280 (2004m:57023)
8. P.B. Kronheimer, T.S. Mrowka, *Knots, sutures and excision*, preprint (2008).
9. P.B. Kronheimer, T.S. Mrowka, *Instanton Floer homology and the Alexander polynomial*, preprint (2009).

MURPHYS, CALIFORNIA 95247  
E-mail address: ylim583@yahoo.com