

## CONTINUITY OF SPECTRAL AVERAGING

C. A. MARX

(Communicated by Walter Craig)

ABSTRACT. We consider averages  $\kappa$  of spectral measures of rank one perturbations with respect to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$ . It is examined how various degrees of continuity of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measures ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ) are inherited by  $\kappa$ . This extends Kotani's trick where  $\nu$  is simply the Lebesgue measure.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a bounded self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Fix a normalized vector  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Consider the family of rank one perturbations

$$(1.1) \quad A_\lambda := A + \lambda \langle \phi, \cdot \rangle \phi ,$$

indexed by the real parameter  $\lambda$ . Despite its simple form, the family in (1.1) proves to be a very useful tool in the study of discrete random Schrödinger operators. There, rank one perturbations correspond to fluctuations of the potential at a lattice site. Reference [1] summarizes several of these applications, among them the Simon-Wolf criterion for spectral localization, the theory of Aizenman-Molchanov for the Anderson model, and Wegner's estimate.

Crucial to most of these applications is a result known as spectral averaging or Kotani's trick. It allows one to relate the spectral behavior for fixed values of  $\lambda$  to the spectral properties inherent to the entire family  $\{A_\lambda\}$ , i.e. upon a variation of  $\lambda$ .

Denote by  $d\mu(x)$  and  $d\mu_\lambda(x)$  the spectral measure with respect to  $\phi$  for the operator  $A$  and  $A_\lambda$ , respectively. Kotani's trick is the following result:

**Theorem 1.1** (Kotani's trick). *Let  $B$  be a Borel set on the real line. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad |B| = \int \mu_\lambda(B) d\lambda .$$

Here,  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Different proofs and applications of this result were given in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. We note that for some purposes, among them the Simon-Wolf criterion, a weaker formulation is sufficient. This weaker result states that the Borel measure on the right hand side of (1.2) is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue. In fact,

---

Received by the editors September 21, 2009.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 81Q10, 81Q15, 47B15; Secondary 47B36, 47B80.

The author was supported by NSF Grant DMS - 0601081.

©2010 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

in the original proof of the Simon-Wolff criterion (see [9], Theorem 5) the authors show that the measure

$$(1.3) \quad \kappa(\cdot) = \int \mu_\lambda(\cdot) \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2} d\lambda$$

is mutually equivalent to the Lebesgue measure.

Equation (1.3) suggests the following generalization: For  $\nu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , define a measure  $\kappa$  by

$$(1.4) \quad \kappa(\cdot) = \int \mu_\lambda(\cdot) d\nu(\lambda) .$$

Such averages were first considered in [10] for a finite measure  $\nu$ . There, relation (3.4) was discovered for a finite measure  $\nu$  and was used to estimate the Hausdorff dimension of set  $\{\lambda : A_\lambda \text{ has some continuous spectrum}\}$  (see Theorem 5.2 therein).

In view of the measure defined in (1.4), Kotani's trick ( $d\nu(\lambda) = d\lambda$ ) and the result for  $d\nu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2}d\lambda$  in (1.3) become statements about continuity properties of the measure  $\nu$  being inherited by  $\kappa$ .

In this article we pursue this continuity-based approach to spectral averaging. We will show how various degrees of continuity of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measures ( $\alpha \leq 1$ ) are inherited by  $\kappa$ . For a definition of  $\alpha$  continuity see Definition 4.1. Our main result is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue, so is  $\kappa$ . Additionally, if  $\nu$  is  $\alpha c$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\kappa$  is  $\delta c$  for all  $\delta < \alpha$ .*

Kotani's trick then arises as a special case, where the density of  $d\kappa(x)$  can be calculated explicitly.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 summarizes some results of the theory of Borel transforms and rank one perturbations as needed for further development. After showing that mere continuity of  $\nu$  is inherited by  $\kappa$  (Theorem 3.1), we examine the situation for  $\nu$  being uniformly- $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous (see Definition 3.3). In particular, we shall show that uniform 1-Hölder continuity of  $\nu$  is inherited by  $\kappa$ . Kotani's trick follows as a special case if  $d\nu(x) = dx$ . Finally, in Sections 4 and 5 we employ the Rogers-Taylor decomposition of measures with respect to Hausdorff measures to prove Theorem 1.2.

## 2. BOREL TRANSFORMS AND RANK ONE PERTURBATIONS

The key quantity to understanding the spectral properties of the family (1.1) is the Borel transform of the spectral measure  $d\mu$  associated with the *unperturbed* operator  $A$  and the vector  $\phi$ . In general, if  $\eta$  is a Borel measure with  $\int \frac{1}{1+|y|} d\eta(y) < \infty$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$  we define

$$(2.1) \quad F_\eta(z) := \int \frac{d\eta(y)}{y - z} ,$$

the Borel transform of the measure  $\eta$ . Letting  $z = x + i\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , we may split  $F_\eta(x + i\epsilon)$  into its real and imaginary parts, i.e.  $F_\eta(x + i\epsilon) =: Q_\eta(x + i\epsilon) + iP_\eta(x + i\epsilon)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\eta(x + i\epsilon) &= \int \frac{y - x}{(y - x)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\eta(y) , \\ P_\eta(x + i\epsilon) &= \int \frac{\epsilon}{(y - x)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\eta(y) . \end{aligned}$$

We shall refer to  $P_\eta$  and  $Q_\eta$  as Poisson and conjugate Poisson transforms of the measure  $\eta$ , respectively. Whereas  $Q_\eta(x + i\epsilon)$  depends on the “symmetry” of  $\eta$  around  $x$ ,  $P_\eta(x + i\epsilon)$  carries information about the growth of the measure  $\eta$  at  $x$ . A detailed analysis about the asymptotic behavior of  $P_\eta$  and  $Q_\eta$  is given in [11].

The relation between the local growth of a measure and its Poisson transform follows from the following simple estimate: Given  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , then for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$

$$(2.2) \quad \epsilon^{1-\alpha} P_\eta(x + i\epsilon) \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_{(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon)} \frac{\epsilon}{(x-y)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\eta(y) \geq \frac{1}{2\epsilon^\alpha} M_\eta(x; \epsilon),$$

where  $M_\eta(x; \epsilon) := \eta(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$  denotes the growth function of  $\eta$  at  $x$ .

*Remark 2.1.* As will be seen below (see Theorem 2.2), it is useful to consider the Poisson transform of a measure even if its Borel transform does not exist. A necessary and sufficient condition for  $P_\eta(x + i\epsilon) < \infty$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , is  $\int \frac{1}{1+x^2} d\eta(x) < \infty$ .

For  $\alpha \geq 0$  we define

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{D}_\eta^\alpha(x) := \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\eta(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)}{\epsilon^\alpha},$$

the upper- $\alpha$ -derivative of a measure  $\eta$  at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The above estimate (2.2) leads to the following result proven e.g. in [10]:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Then  $\overline{D}_\eta^\alpha(x)$  and  $\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon^{1-\alpha} P_\eta(x + i\epsilon)$  are either both infinite, zero, or in  $(0, +\infty)$ .*

Proposition 2.1 will be used to analyze continuity with respect to Hausdorff measures of the measure  $\kappa$  defined in (1.4).

The following theorem is the key to spectral analysis of rank one perturbations. It provides a characterization of the components of  $\eta$  in a Lebesgue decomposition. Proof can be found e.g. in [1, 12].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\eta$  be a Borel measure on the real line such that  $\int \frac{1}{1+y^2} d\eta(y) < \infty$ . The following statements characterize the components in the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\eta = \eta_{\text{sing}} + \eta_{\text{ac}}$ :*

- (i)  $d\eta_{\text{ac}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} P_\eta(x + i0) dx$ .
- (ii)  $\eta_{\text{sing}}$  is supported on  $\{x : P_\eta(x + i0) = +\infty\}$ .

Theorem 2.2 implies a characterization of the spectral properties of the family  $\{A_\lambda\}$ . Out of this we shall only need the following statement related to the singular (pp+sc)-spectrum of  $\{A_\lambda\}$  (see [1], Theorem 12.2).

**Proposition 2.2.** (i)  $\mu_{\lambda, \text{sing}}$  is supported on the set  $\{x : F_\mu(x + i0) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\}$ .  
 (ii) The family of measures  $\{d\mu_{\lambda, \text{sing}}\}$  are mutually singular. In particular, a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  can be an atom for at most one value of  $\lambda$ .

### 3. SPECTRAL AVERAGING

For a fixed  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure  $\nu$ , consider the measure  $\kappa$  introduced in (1.4).  $\kappa$  is well defined since for any polynomial  $p(x)$ ,  $\langle \phi, p(A_\lambda)\phi \rangle$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$ . Stone-Weierstraß and functional calculus then imply that  $\lambda \mapsto \mu_\lambda(B)$  is Borel measurable for any Borel set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

We start our analysis of the continuity of  $\kappa$  in relation to the continuity of  $\nu$  with the following simple observation:

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $\nu$  is continuous, so is  $\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Apply part (ii) of Proposition 2.2 to  $\kappa(\{x\}) = \int \mu_\lambda(\{x\})d\nu(\lambda)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

The following simple relation between the Poisson transforms of  $\kappa$  and  $\nu$  is crucial to further analyze the continuity properties of  $\kappa$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume  $\int \frac{1}{1+y^2}d\nu(y) < \infty$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad P_\kappa(z) = P_\nu\left(-\frac{1}{F_\mu(z)}\right)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$ .

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $\kappa$  in (1.4), the monotone convergence theorem implies

$$\int f(x)d\kappa(x) = \int \left\{ \int f(x)d\mu_\lambda(x) \right\} d\nu(\lambda)$$

for any measurable  $0 \leq f$ .

In particular, for  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} P_\kappa(z) &= \int P_{\mu_\lambda}(z)d\nu(\lambda) \\ &= \int \frac{P_\mu(z)}{|1 + \lambda F_\mu(z)|^2}d\nu(\lambda) = P_\nu\left(-\frac{1}{F_\mu(z)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second equality follows from the Aronszajn-Krein formula [1],

$$(3.3) \quad F_{\mu_\lambda}(z) = \frac{F_\mu(z)}{1 + \lambda F_\mu(z)},$$

which relates the Borel transforms of the spectral measures  $\mu_\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* If  $\nu$  is a finite measure an analogous result between the respective Borel transforms was first obtained in [10]:

$$(3.4) \quad F_\kappa(z) = F_\nu\left(-\frac{1}{F_\mu(z)}\right).$$

Note that for non-finite  $\nu$  the Borel transform will in general not exist (e.g. take  $\nu$  to be the Lebesgue measure). In fact for  $\sigma$ -finite  $\nu$ , often the Poisson transform exists, whereas its Borel transform does not. In these cases we still have a relation between the Poisson transforms of  $\nu$  and  $\kappa$  as established in Proposition 3.1.

In order to prove finer statements on the continuity of  $\kappa$ , we first establish some results for uniformly Hölder continuous  $\nu$ . Recall the following definition:

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\eta$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on the real line and  $\alpha \geq 0$ .  $\eta$  is uniformly  $\alpha$  Hölder continuous (U $\alpha$ H) if for some constant  $K$ ,  $\eta(I) \leq K|I|^\alpha$  for any interval  $I$ .

*Remark 3.4.* (i) U1H implies absolute continuity.

(ii) Using the Rogers-Taylor decomposition theorem (see Theorem 4.2), there are no *non-trivial* U $\alpha$ H measures for  $\alpha > 1$ .

For  $\nu$  U $\alpha$ H, Proposition 3.1 implies the following key estimate for the Poisson transform of  $\kappa$ :

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $\nu$  is  $U\alpha H$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then for some constant  $C_\alpha$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$*

$$(3.5) \quad P_\kappa(z) \leq C_\alpha \left( \frac{|F_\mu(z)|^2}{P_\mu(z)} \right)^{1-\alpha}.$$

*In particular,  $\int \frac{1}{1+x^2} d\kappa(x) < \infty$ , whence  $\kappa$  is a locally finite Borel measure (i.e. finite on compact sets).*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$ . Recasting  $P_\nu(z)$  in terms of the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure induced by  $M_\nu(\operatorname{Re}\{z\}; \delta)$ , we get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} P_\nu(z) &= \operatorname{Im}\{z\} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dM_\nu(\operatorname{Re}\{z\}; \delta)}{\delta^2 + \operatorname{Im}\{z\}^2} \\ &= \operatorname{Im}\{z\} \int_0^{+\infty} 2\delta \frac{M_\nu(\operatorname{Re}\{z\}; \delta)}{(\delta^2 + \operatorname{Im}\{z\}^2)^2} d\delta \leq \operatorname{Im}\{z\} 2K \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\delta^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta^2 + \operatorname{Im}\{z\}^2)^2} d\delta \\ &= \frac{\pi\alpha K}{2 \sin(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})} (\operatorname{Im}\{z\})^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second equality follows, using integration by parts; the last equality is obtained by contour integration. For  $\alpha = 0$ , the last equality in (3.6) is to be interpreted in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $P_\nu(z) \leq K \operatorname{Im}\{z\}^{-1}$ .

In particular, for  $\nu$   $U\alpha H$ , (3.6) establishes  $\int \frac{1}{1+x^2} d\nu(x) < \infty$ . Application of Proposition 3.1 hence yields the desired estimate.  $\square$

Proposition 3.2 reveals the special nature of the case  $\alpha = 1$ . Then we obtain a *uniform* upper bound for the Poisson transform of  $\kappa$  valid in all of  $\mathbb{H}^+$ . In fact, this implies for  $\kappa$  to inherit “full” continuity of the measure  $\nu$ :

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $\nu$  is  $U1H$ , so is  $\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq f$  be continuous of compact support. Using Proposition 3.2,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int f(x) dx &\geq \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{C_1} \int f(x) P_\kappa(x + i\epsilon) dx \\ &= \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{C_1} \int \left( \int f(x) \frac{\epsilon}{(x-y)^2 + \epsilon^2} dx \right) d\kappa(y) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C_1} \int \left( \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int f(x) \frac{\epsilon}{(x-y)^2 + \epsilon^2} dx \right) d\kappa(y) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{C_1} \int f(y) d\kappa(y). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second equality follows from Tonelli, whereas the second inequality uses Fatou’s Lemma. Note that  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $\kappa$  is implied by Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

Theorem 3.5 in particular implies  $d\kappa(x) \ll dx$ . Spectral averaging now arises as a special case where the density of  $\kappa$  can be calculated explicitly.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since the Poisson transform of the Lebesgue measure  $P_{\text{Leb}}(z) = \pi$ , all  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$ , Theorem 2.2(i) and Proposition 3.1 yield  $d\kappa(x) = dx$ .  $\square$

4. CONTINUITY WITH RESPECT TO HAUSDORFF MEASURES

In this section we analyze the degree of continuity of  $\kappa$  induced by measures  $\nu$  with lesser degree of continuity than considered in the previous section. To this end we make the following definitions:

**Definition 4.1.** For  $0 \leq \alpha$  let  $h^\alpha$  denote the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\eta$  be a Borel measure on the real line.

- (1)  $\eta$  is called  $\alpha$ -continuous ( $\alpha c$ ) if  $\eta(B) = 0$  whenever  $h^\alpha(B) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\eta$  is called  $\alpha$ -singular if  $\eta$  is supported on a set of zero measure  $h^\alpha$ .

The main tools for proving Theorem 1.2 are the following two results due to Rogers and Taylor [13, 14, 15]:

**Theorem 4.2** (Rogers and Taylor - 1 (see Theorem 67 in [13])). *Let  $\eta$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Consider the sets  $T_{\eta;0+}^\alpha := \{x : 0 \leq \overline{D}_\eta^\alpha(x) < \infty\}$  and  $T_{\eta;\infty}^\alpha := \{x : \overline{D}_\eta^\alpha(x) = \infty\}$ . Then  $T_{\eta;0+}^\alpha$  and  $T_{\eta;\infty}^\alpha$  are Borel measurable and*

- (i)  $\eta$  is  $\alpha c$  on  $T_{\eta;0+}^\alpha$ ,
- (ii)  $h^\alpha(T_{\eta;\infty}^\alpha) = 0$  and  $\eta$  is  $\alpha$ -singular on  $T_{\eta;\infty}^\alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.3** (Rogers and Taylor - 2 (see Theorem 68 in [13])). *Let  $\eta$  be  $\sigma$ -finite and  $\alpha$ -continuous,  $\alpha \geq 0$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist mutually singular measures  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  with  $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$  such that*

- (i)  $\eta_1$  is  $U\alpha H$  and
- (ii)  $\eta_2(\mathbb{R}) < \epsilon$ .

*Remark 4.4.* Depending on  $\overline{D}_\eta^\alpha$ , Theorem 4.2 decomposes  $\eta$  into an  $\alpha c$  and an  $\alpha$ -singular component. It thus generalizes the usual Lebesgue decomposition for  $\alpha = 1$ . The relevance of the Rogers-Taylor decomposition in spectral theory was pointed out by Last; see [16].

By Theorem 4.3, any  $\alpha$ -continuous measure is almost  $U\alpha H$ . Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.2 boils down to establishing the statement for a  $U\alpha H$  measure  $\nu$ . To this end we shall use the following lemma, which quantifies the asymptotic growth of  $P_\eta$  and  $Q_\eta$  near the support of a probability measure  $\eta$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\eta$  be a probability (Borel) measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\max \{P_\eta(x + i\epsilon), |Q_\eta(x + i\epsilon)|\} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} M_\eta(x; 2^{n+1}\epsilon) .$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_\eta(x + i\epsilon)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{\epsilon 2^n \leq |x-y| \leq \epsilon 2^{n+1}} \frac{|x-y|}{(x-y)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\eta(y) \\ &+ \int_{|x-y| \leq 2\epsilon} \frac{|x-y|}{(x-y)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\eta(y) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} M_\eta(x; 2^{n+1}\epsilon) . \end{aligned}$$

By a similar computation we obtain the same upper bound for  $P_\eta(x + i\epsilon)$ . □

We note that this result is an extended version of a lemma in [10] for  $U\alpha H$   $\eta$ .

Together with Proposition 3.2, Lemma 4.5 allows us to control the asymptotic behaviour of  $P_\kappa(x + i\epsilon)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . We are thus in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM (THEOREM 1.2)

We shall divide the proof into two steps: Step 1 establishes the statement for  $\nu$  U $\alpha$ H. Theorem 4.3 then allows us to extend the result to the  $\alpha$ c case (Step 2).

**Step 1:** Assume  $\nu$  to be U $\alpha$ H. If  $\alpha = 1$ , the statement follows directly from Theorem 3.5. Let  $\alpha < 1$ . We first examine the situation outside the support of the measure  $\mu$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\nu$  U $\alpha$ H. Then  $\kappa$  is  $\alpha$ c outside  $\text{supp}\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Fixing  $x \notin \text{supp}\mu$ , there exist positive constants  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  such that

$$|F_\mu(x + i\epsilon)|^2 \leq \Gamma_1, P_\mu(x + i\epsilon) \geq \Gamma_2\epsilon,$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Hence by Proposition 3.2 we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \epsilon^{1-\alpha} P_\kappa(x + i\epsilon) \leq C_\alpha \epsilon^{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{|F_\mu(z)|^2}{P_\mu(z)} \right)^{1-\alpha} \leq C_\alpha \left( \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2} \right)^{1-\alpha},$$

which implies the claim by Theorem 4.2. □

*Remark 5.1.* By Theorem 4.3 (see the argument given in Step 2), the statement of Proposition 5.1 remains valid if  $\nu$  is (only)  $\alpha$ c.

In order to analyze the situation within the support of  $\mu$ , we first establish the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\nu$  U $\alpha$ H. Fix  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Then  $\kappa$  is  $\gamma$ c on the set  $T_{\mu;0+}^\beta$ , where*

$$(5.2) \quad \gamma(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha - 2(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha)$$

as long as  $\beta > \max \left\{ 0, \frac{2-3\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \right\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta < 1$  be fixed. By Proposition 5.1 the statement is true outside  $\text{supp}\mu$ . Let  $x \in \text{supp}\mu$  and assume  $\overline{D}_\mu^\beta(x) < \infty$  so that  $M_\mu(x; \delta) \leq \Lambda_x \delta^\beta, \forall \delta > 0$ . Thus,

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} M_\mu(x; 2^{n+1}\epsilon) \leq \Lambda_x 2^{1+\beta} \epsilon^{\beta-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(1-\beta)} < \infty.$$

Note that finiteness of the upper bound in (5.3) requires  $\beta < 1$ .

Let  $\gamma < 1$ . Using Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.5, estimate (5.3) yields

$$(5.4) \quad \epsilon^{1-\gamma} P_\kappa(x + i\epsilon) \leq B_{x,\beta} \left( \frac{\epsilon^{2(\beta-1) + \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\alpha}}}{P_\mu(x + i\epsilon)} \right)^{1-\alpha}.$$

By Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 2.1,  $\kappa$  will be  $\gamma$ c on the set  $\{x : \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon^{1-\gamma} P_\kappa(x + i\epsilon) < \infty\}$ . Choose  $\gamma$  such that  $2(\beta - 1) + \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\alpha} = 1$ , i.e.  $\gamma = \alpha - 2(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha)$ . Since,  $\epsilon^{-1} P_\mu(x + i\epsilon) \rightarrow \int \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} d\mu(y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  and  $\int \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} d\mu(y) > 0$  for  $x \in \text{supp}\mu$ , we obtain that  $\kappa$  is  $\gamma$ c on the set  $T_{\mu;0+}^\beta$  with  $\gamma$  determined by (5.2). Finally,  $\gamma > 0$  is ensured by requiring  $\beta > \max \left\{ 0, \frac{2-3\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \right\}$ . □

In summary we now obtain the claim for  $\nu$  U $\alpha$ H: Let  $\delta = \alpha(1 - \epsilon), 0 < \epsilon < 1$ . It suffices to prove the statement for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small. Let  $\beta$  be such that  $\gamma(\alpha, \beta) = \delta$ , i.e.  $\beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\epsilon$ . Choosing  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small we can ensure that  $\beta > \frac{2-3\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}$ , which is required to apply Lemma 5.2.

For such a choice of  $\epsilon$  and  $\beta$ , Lemma 5.2 implies that for any Borel set  $B$  with  $h^\delta(B) = 0$ ,

$$(5.5) \quad \kappa(B) = \int \mu_{\lambda, \text{sing}}(B \cap T_{\mu; \infty}^\beta) d\nu(\lambda) \leq \int \mu_{\lambda, \text{sing}}(T_{\mu; \infty}^1) d\nu(\lambda) = 0.$$

Applying Propositions 2.2 and 2.1,  $\mu_{\lambda, \text{sing}}(T_{\mu; \infty}^1) = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , which by continuity of  $\nu$  implies the last equality in (5.5).

**Step 2:** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\delta < \alpha$ . If  $\nu$  is  $\alpha$ c, then by Theorem 4.3, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there are measures  $\nu_1 \perp \nu_2$ ,  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$  such that  $\nu_1$  is U $\alpha$ H and  $\nu_2(\mathbb{R}) < \epsilon$ . Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel set with  $h^\delta(B) = 0$ . Then,  $\int \mu_\lambda(B) d\nu_1(\lambda) = 0$  by Step 1, whence

$$\kappa(B) = \int \mu_\lambda(B) d\nu_2(\lambda) < \epsilon.$$

An analogous argument shows that  $\kappa$  is absolutely continuous if  $\alpha = 1$ , which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank his advisor, Svetlana Jitomirskaya, for encouragement and valuable discussions during the preparation of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, 2nd edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005. MR2154153 (2006f:47086)
2. S. Kotani, *Lyapunov exponents and spectra for one-dimensional random Schrödinger operators*, Contemporary Math., vol. 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984, pp. 277-286. MR841099 (88a:60116)
3. V. A. Javrjan, *A certain inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Ser. Mat. 6, 1971, pp. 246-251 [Russian]. MR0301565 (46:723)
4. R. del Rio, C. Martinez, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Spectral averaging techniques for Jacobi matrices*, J. Math. Phys. 49, 2008, no. 2, 023507, 13 pp. MR2392867 (2009a:47065)
5. F. Gesztesy and K. A. Makarov, *SL(2, C), exponential Herglotz representations, and spectral averaging*, S. Petersburg Math. J. 15, 2004, pp. 393-418. MR2052165 (2006f:47004)
6. V. A. Javrjan, *On the regularized trace of the difference between two singular Sturm-Liouville operators*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 7, 1966, pp. 888-891.
7. B. Simon, *Spectral averaging and the Krein spectral shift*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126, 1998, pp. 1409-1413. MR1443857 (98j:47030)
8. R. Carmona, *One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with random or deterministic potentials: New spectral types*, J. Func. Anal. 51, 1983, pp. 229-258. MR701057 (85k:34144)
9. B. Simon and T. Wolff, *Singular continuous spectrum under rank one perturbations and localization for random Hamiltonians*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39, 1986, pp. 75-90. MR820340 (87k:47032)
10. R. Del Rio, S. Jitomirskaya, Y. Last and B. Simon, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum, IV. Hausdorff dimensions, rank one perturbations, and localization*, J. d'Analyse Math. 69, 1996, pp. 153-200. MR1428099 (97m:47002)
11. P. W. Jones and A. G. Poltoratski, *Asymptotic growth of Cauchy transforms*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 29, no. 1, 2004, pp. 99-120. MR2041701 (2005d:30061)
12. V. Jakšić and Y. Last, *A new proof of Poltoratskii's theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. 215, 2004, pp. 103-110. MR2085111 (2005d:47027)
13. C. A. Rodgers, *Hausdorff Measures*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1970. MR0281862 (43:7576)
14. C. A. Rodgers and S. J. Taylor, *The analysis of additive set functions in Euclidean space*, Acta Math. 101, 1959, pp. 273-302. MR0107690 (21:6413)

15. C. A. Rodgers and S. J. Taylor, *Additive set functions in Euclidean space. II*, Acta Math. 109, 1963, pp. 207-240. MR0160860 (28:4070)
16. Y. Last, *Quantum dynamics and decompositions of singular continuous spectra*, J. Funct. Anal. 142, 1996, no. 2, pp. 406-445. MR1423040 (97k:81044)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92717  
*E-mail address:* `cmarx@math.uci.edu`