

FLAG VARIETIES AS EQUIVARIANT COMPACTIFICATIONS OF \mathbb{G}_a^n

IVAN V. ARZHANTSEV

(Communicated by Harm Derksen)

ABSTRACT. Let G be a semisimple affine algebraic group and P a parabolic subgroup of G . We classify all flag varieties G/P which admit an action of the commutative unipotent group \mathbb{G}_a^n with an open orbit.

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected semisimple affine algebraic group of adjoint type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let P be a parabolic subgroup of G . The homogeneous space G/P is called a (generalized) flag variety. Recall that G/P is complete and the action of the unipotent radical P_u^- of the opposite parabolic subgroup P^- on G/P by left multiplication is generically transitive. The open orbit \mathcal{O} of this action is called the big Schubert cell on G/P . Since \mathcal{O} is isomorphic to the affine space \mathbb{A}^n , where $n = \dim G/P$, every flag variety may be regarded as a compactification of an affine space.

Notice that the affine space \mathbb{A}^n has a structure of a vector group or, equivalently, of the commutative unipotent affine algebraic group \mathbb{G}_a^n . We say that a complete variety X of dimension n is an equivariant compactification of the group \mathbb{G}_a^n if there exists a regular action $\mathbb{G}_a^n \times X \rightarrow X$ with a dense open orbit. A systematic study of equivariant compactifications of the group \mathbb{G}_a^n was initiated by B. Hassett and Yu. Tschinkel in [4]; see also [10] and [1].

In this note we address the question whether a flag variety G/P may be realized as an equivariant compactification of \mathbb{G}_a^n . Clearly, this is the case when the group P_u^- , or, equivalently, the group P_u is commutative. It is a classical result that the connected component \tilde{G} of the automorphism group of the variety G/P is a semisimple group of adjoint type, and $G/P = \tilde{G}/Q$ for some parabolic subgroup $Q \subset \tilde{G}$. In most cases the group \tilde{G} coincides with G , and all exceptions are well known; see [6], [7, Theorem 7.1], [12, page 118], [3, Section 2]. If $\tilde{G} \neq G$, we say that (\tilde{G}, Q) is the covering pair of the exceptional pair (G, P) . For a simple group G , the exceptional pairs are $(\mathrm{PSp}(2r), P_1)$, $(\mathrm{SO}(2r+1), P_r)$ and (G_2, P_1) with the covering pairs $(\mathrm{PSL}(2r), P_1)$, $(\mathrm{PSO}(2r+2), P_{r+1})$ and $(\mathrm{SO}(7), P_1)$ respectively, where PH

Received by the editors March 14, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14M15; Secondary 14L30.

Key words and phrases. Semisimple groups, parabolic subgroups, flag varieties, automorphisms.

The author was supported by RFBR Grants 09-01-00648-a, 09-01-90416-Ukr-f-a, and the Deligne 2004 Balzan Prize in Mathematics.

denotes the quotient of the group H by its center and P_i is the maximal parabolic subgroup associated with the i th simple root. It turns out that for a simple group G the condition $\tilde{G} \neq G$ implies that the unipotent radical Q_u is commutative and P_u is not. In particular, in this case G/P is an equivariant compactification of \mathbb{G}_a^n . Our main result states that these are the only possible cases.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a connected semisimple group of adjoint type and P a parabolic subgroup of G . Then the flag variety G/P is an equivariant compactification of \mathbb{G}_a^n if and only if for every pair $(G^{(i)}, P^{(i)})$, where $G^{(i)}$ is a simple component of G and $P^{(i)} = G^{(i)} \cap P$, one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) *The unipotent radical $P_u^{(i)}$ is commutative.*
- (2) *The pair $(G^{(i)}, P^{(i)})$ is exceptional.*

For the convenience of the reader, we list all pairs (G, P) , where G is a simple group (up to local isomorphism) and P is a parabolic subgroup with a commutative unipotent radical:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\mathrm{SL}(r+1), P_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, r; \quad (\mathrm{SO}(2r+1), P_1); \quad (\mathrm{Sp}(2r), P_r); \\
 &(\mathrm{SO}(2r), P_i), \quad i = 1, r-1, r; \quad (E_6, P_i), \quad i = 1, 6; \quad (E_7, P_7);
 \end{aligned}$$

see [9, Section 2]. The simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ are indexed as in [2, Planches I-IX]. Note that the unipotent radical of P_i is commutative if and only if the simple root α_i occurs in the highest root ρ with coefficient 1; see [9, Lemma 2.2]. Another equivalent condition is that the fundamental weight ω_i of the dual group G^\vee is minuscule; i.e., the weight system of the simple G^\vee -module $V(\omega_i)$ with the highest weight ω_i coincides with the orbit $W\omega_i$ of the Weyl group W .

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

If the unipotent radical P_u^- is commutative, then the action of P_u^- on G/P by left multiplication is the desired generically transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n -action; see, for example, [5, pp. 22-24]. The same arguments work when for the connected component \tilde{G} of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G/P)$ one has $G/P = \tilde{G}/Q$ and the unipotent radical Q_u^- is commutative. Since

$$G/P \cong G^{(1)}/P^{(1)} \times \dots \times G^{(k)}/P^{(k)},$$

where $G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(k)}$ are the simple components of the group G , the group \tilde{G} is isomorphic to the direct product $\tilde{G}^{(1)} \times \dots \times \tilde{G}^{(k)}$; cf. [8, Chapter 4]. Moreover, $Q_u \cong Q_u^{(1)} \times \dots \times Q_u^{(k)}$ with $Q^{(i)} = \tilde{G}^{(i)} \cap Q$. Thus the group Q_u^- is commutative if and only if for every pair $(G^{(i)}, P^{(i)})$ either $P_u^{(i)}$ is commutative or the pair $(G^{(i)}, P^{(i)})$ is exceptional.

Conversely, assume that G/P admits a generically transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n -action. One may identify \mathbb{G}_a^n with a commutative unipotent subgroup H of \tilde{G} , and the flag variety G/P with \tilde{G}/Q , where Q is a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} .

Let $T \subset B$ be a maximal torus and a Borel subgroup of the group \tilde{G} such that $B \subseteq Q$. Consider the root system Φ of the tangent algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ defined by the torus T , its decomposition $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ into positive and negative roots associated with B , the set of simple roots $\Delta \subseteq \Phi^+$, $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$, and the root

decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi^-} \mathfrak{g}_\beta \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\beta,$$

where $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}(T)$ is a Cartan subalgebra in \mathfrak{g} and

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [y, x] = \beta(y)x \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{t}\}$$

is the root subspace. Set $\mathfrak{q} = \text{Lie}(Q)$ and $\Delta_Q = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}\}$. For every root $\beta = a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_r\alpha_r$ define $\text{deg}(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Delta_Q} a_i$. This gives a \mathbb{Z} -grading on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_k, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_\beta \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_k \text{ with } k = \text{deg}(\beta).$$

In particular,

$$\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{q}_u^- = \bigoplus_{k < 0} \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

Assume that the unipotent radical Q_u^- is not commutative, and consider $\mathfrak{g}_\beta \subseteq [\mathfrak{q}_u^-, \mathfrak{q}_u^-]$. For every $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta \setminus \{0\}$ there exist $z' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta'} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_u^-$ and $z'' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta''} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_u^-$ such that $x = [z', z'']$. In this case $\text{deg}(z') > \text{deg}(x)$ and $\text{deg}(z'') > \text{deg}(x)$.

Since the subgroup H acts on \tilde{G}/Q with an open orbit, one may conjugate H and assume that the H -orbit of the point eQ is open in \tilde{G}/Q . This implies that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_u^-$. So every element $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ may be (uniquely) written as $y = y_1 + y_2$, where $y_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$, $y_2 \in \mathfrak{q}_u^-$, and the linear map $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_u^-$, $y \mapsto y_2$, is bijective. Take the elements $y, y', y'' \in \mathfrak{h}$ with $y_2 = x, y'_2 = z', y''_2 = z''$. Since the subgroup H is commutative, one has $[y', y''] = 0$. Thus

$$[y'_1 + y'_2, y''_1 + y''_2] = [y'_1, y''_1] + [y'_2, y''_1] + [y'_1, y''_2] + [y'_2, y''_2] = 0.$$

But

$$[y'_2, y''_2] = x \quad \text{and} \quad [y'_2, y''_1] + [y'_1, y''_2] + [y'_2, y''_2] \in \bigoplus_{k > \text{deg}(x)} \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

This contradiction shows that the group Q_u^- is commutative. As we have seen, the latter condition means that for every pair $(G^{(i)}, P^{(i)})$ either the unipotent radical $P_u^{(i)}$ is commutative or the pair $(G^{(i)}, P^{(i)})$ is exceptional. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

2. CONCLUDING REMARKS

If a flag variety G/P is an equivariant compactification of \mathbb{G}_a^n , then it is natural to ask for a classification of all generically transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n -actions on G/P up to equivariant isomorphism. Consider the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n \cong \text{SL}(n+1)/P_1$. In [4], a correspondence between equivalence classes of generically transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n -actions on \mathbb{P}^n and isomorphism classes of local (associative, commutative) algebras of dimension $n+1$ was established. This correspondence together with classification results from [11] yields that for $n \geq 6$ the number of equivalence classes of generically transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n -actions on \mathbb{P}^n is infinite; see [4, Section 3]. On the contrary, a generically transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n -action on the nondegenerate projective quadric $Q_n \cong \text{SO}(n+2)/P_1$ is unique [10, Theorem 4]. It would be interesting to study the same problem for the Grassmannians $\text{Gr}(k, r+1) \cong \text{SL}(r+1)/P_k$, where $2 \leq k \leq r-1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is indebted to N. A. Vavilov for a discussion which resulted in this paper. Thanks are also due to D. A. Timashev and M. Zaidenberg for their interest and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. V. Arzhantsev and E. V. Sharoyko, *Hassett-Tschinkel correspondence: modality and projective hypersurfaces*, arXiv:0912.1474 [math.AG].
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chaps. 4, 5 and 6, Hermann, Paris, 1968. MR0240238 (39:1590)
- [3] M. Demazure, *Automorphismes et déformations des variétés de Borel*, Invent. Math. **39** (1977), 179–186. MR0435092 (55:8054)
- [4] B. Hassett and Yu. Tschinkel, *Geometry of equivariant compactifications of \mathbb{G}_a^n* , Int. Math. Res. Notices **22** (1999), 1211–1230. MR1731473 (2000j:14073)
- [5] V. Lakshmibai and K. N. Raghavan, *Standard Monomial Theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 137, Springer, 2008. MR2388163 (2008m:14095)
- [6] A. L. Onishchik, *On compact Lie groups transitive on certain manifolds*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **1** (1961), 1288–1291. MR0150238 (27:239)
- [7] A. L. Onishchik, *Inclusion relations between transitive compact transformation groups*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. O.-va (Russian) **11** (1962), 199–242. MR0153779 (27:3740)
- [8] A. L. Onishchik, *Topology of transitive transformation groups*, Johann Ambrosius Barth., Leipzig, 1994. MR1266842 (95e:57058)
- [9] R. Richardson, G. Röhrle and R. Steinberg, *Parabolic subgroups with abelian unipotent radical*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 649–671. MR1189494 (93j:20092)
- [10] E. V. Sharoyko, *Hassett-Tschinkel correspondence and automorphisms of a quadric*, Sbornik: Math. **200** (2009), 1715–1729. MR2590000
- [11] D. A. Suprunenko and R. I. Tyshkevich, *Commutative matrices*, Academic Press, New York, 1969. MR0201472 (34:1356)
- [12] J. Tits, *Espaces homogènes complexes compacts*, Comm. Math. Helv. **37** (1962), 111–120. MR0154299 (27:4248)

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY 1, GSP-1, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

E-mail address: arjantse@mccme.ru