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Abstract. In this paper, we identify a large collection of open subsets of the complex plane for which the core of corresponding unbounded Bergman operators is densely defined. This result gives the necessary background to investigate the concept of invariant subspaces, index, and cyclicity in the unbounded case.

1. Introduction

Let $T$ denote a densely defined linear operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$; that is, $T : D(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, where the domain of $T$, given by $D(T) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : Th \in \mathcal{H}\}$, is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. For $n \geq 1$, define the iterates of $T$ by $T^n : D(T^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, where

$$D(T^n) = \{h \in D(T^{n-1}) : Th \in D(T)\}$$

with the convention that $T^0 = Id$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 1.1. Given a densely defined operator $T$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the core of $T$ is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad D_T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(T^n).$$

Whenever the operator $T$ is understood, we simply write $D$ instead of $D_T$.

It follows immediately that $TD \subseteq D$; i.e., $T$ leaves $D$ invariant and, by definition, it must be the largest invariant set. Therefore, any definition for an invariant subspace of $T$ should be restricted to its core $D_T$. It may very well happen that $D_T$ is the trivial zero subspace for $T$ (necessarily unbounded); however, one would naturally like to have the other extreme. In other words, one wishes to work with densely defined operators such that their cores are also dense in the underlying Hilbert spaces. Of course, this question could only make sense when a particular operator is concerned.

To provide an easy, yet important, example, we consider the Fock space $F^2$. This is the space of all entire functions on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ such that their modulus are square integrable with respect to the gaussian measure $d\mu(z) = e^{-|z|^2}dA(z)$,
Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be an open subset of the plane. If the component of the complement of $G$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ that contains the point at infinity does not
equal the singleton \{∞\}, then the Bergman operator \(S\) defined on \(G\) has a dense core in \(L^2_a(G)\).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 uses the theory of Hardy spaces and univalent functions. Recall that an analytic function \(f\) in \(\mathbb{D}\) belongs to the Hardy space \(H^p(\mathbb{D})\), \(0 < p < \infty\), if \(\sup_{r<1}\{(2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi}|f(re^{it})|^p\,dt\} < \infty\). We will assume some basics from the theory of \(H^p\) spaces.

Lemma 2.3 is the key factor in proving Theorem 2.1. This lemma and its corollary are also interesting in the theory of Hardy spaces and have not been observed before. In fact, since there is no advantage in restricting ourselves to the Hardy spaces, we prove Lemma 2.3 for a larger class of functions, the Nevanlinna class \(N\), which includes all the Hardy spaces \(H^p(\mathbb{D})\). In brief, a non-zero analytic function \(f\) in \(\mathbb{D}\) belongs to the Nevanlinna class \(N\) if and only if \(f\) is the quotients of two bounded analytic functions, \(f = g/h\), where \(h\) has no zeros on \(\mathbb{D}\). For other equivalent statements regarding functions in \(N\), we refer to any classical work on this topic. Finally, recall also that an outer function on \(\mathbb{D}\) is of the form

\[
F(z) = \alpha \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} + z \log \omega(e^{it}) \, dt\right),
\]

where \(|\alpha| = 1, \omega(e^{it}) > 0\) a.e. on the unit circle \(\partial \mathbb{D}\), and \(\log \omega(e^{it})\) is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \(\partial \mathbb{D}\). We begin with a lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** If \(F\) is an outer function in \(N\), then there is a bounded outer function \(g\) on \(\mathbb{D}\) such that \(gF^n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})\) for all \(n \geq 1\).

**Proof.** In order to prove the lemma, it is more convenient to regard \(H^p(\mathbb{D})\), \(0 < p \leq \infty\), as a subspace of \(L^p(\partial \mathbb{D})\). That is, we identify \(H^p(\mathbb{D})\) with the set of boundary functions \(f(e^{it})\) for \(f\) in \(H^p(\mathbb{D})\), and similar identification will be made regarding functions in the Nevanlinna class \(N\) (see [5]). Throughout the proof \(m\) is the normalized Lebesgue measure on \(\partial \mathbb{D}\).

First assume that \(|F(w)| \geq e\) a.e. \([m]\), and let \(h(w) = \log |F(w)|\). Clearly \(h(z) \geq 1\) a.e. \([m]\) and \(h \in L^1(\partial \mathbb{D})\). Next, for \(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), define

\[
A_k = \{w \in \partial \mathbb{D}: 2^k \leq h(w) < 2^{k+1}\},
\]

and let

\[
\Phi(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{A_k}(w),
\]

where \(\chi_A(w)\) is the characteristic function for the set \(A\). Using (2.4) and (2.5), it is easy to check that

\[
1 \leq \Phi(w) \leq h(w) \leq 2 \Phi(w) \quad \text{a.e. \([m]\).}
\]

Consequently

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k m(A_k) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \Phi \, dm \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} h \, dm < \infty.
\]

It is an elementary exercise that there exists a sequence of positive constants \(\{c_k\}\) such that \(c_k \to \infty\) and

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k c_k m(A_k) < \infty.
\]
Now if we define \( \Psi \) on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \) by
\[
\Psi(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k c_k \mathcal{X}_A_k(w),
\]
inequality (2.7) implies that \( \Psi \in L^1(\partial \mathbb{D}) \). Thus from the standard construction of an outer function (see [5]), there exists a bounded outer function \( g \) on \( \mathbb{D} \) satisfying \(|g(w)| = e^{-\Psi(w)}\ a.e. \ [m]\). We will show that \( g \) is the desired function. To see this, note that for any fixed \( n \geq 1 \)
\[
|g(w)F^n(w)| = e^{nh(w) - \Psi(w)}.
\]

On the other hand, from (2.6) together with definitions of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \), we have
\[

\begin{align*}
nh(w) - \Psi(w) & \leq 2n \Phi(w) - \Psi(w) \\
& = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2n 2^k \mathcal{X}_A_k(w) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k c_k \mathcal{X}_A_k(w) \\
& = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2n - c_k) 2^k \mathcal{X}_A_k(w) \quad a.e. \ [m].
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( \lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = \infty \), there is a positive constant \( M \) such that \( 2n - c_k < 0 \) whenever \( k > M \). Therefore
\[
\sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} (2n - c_k) 2^k \mathcal{X}_A_k(w) \leq 0 \quad a.e. \ [m],
\]
and as a result
\[

\begin{align*}
nh(w) - \Psi(w) & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{M} (2n - c_k) 2^k \mathcal{X}_A_k(w) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{M} (2n - c_k) 2^k \quad a.e. \ [m].
\end{align*}
\]

Putting all together, we have shown that for a fixed \( n \geq 1 \)
\[
|g(w)F^n(w)| \leq \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{M} (2n - c_k) 2^k \right) \quad a.e. \ [m],
\]

where \( M \) only depends on \( n \). Since \( n \) is arbitrary, we conclude that if \( |F(w)| \geq e \) a.e. on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \), \( gF^n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

Next assume that \( F \) is an arbitrary outer function in \( N \). Let
\[
\Upsilon(w) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 
\exp^{-1} |F(w)| & \text{if} \ |f(w)| < e, \\
1 & \text{if} \ |f(w)| \geq e.
\end{array} \right.
\]

It follows that \( \Upsilon(w) \leq 1 \ a.e. \ [m] \). Moreover since \( \log|F| \in L^1(\partial \mathbb{D}) \), \( \log|\Upsilon| \in L^1(\partial \mathbb{D}) \). Thus, from the standard \( H^p \) theory, one can find a bounded outer function \( g \) such that \( |h(w)| = \Upsilon(w) \ a.e. \ [m] \). Now since the quotient of outer functions is again an outer function, it follows that \( F/h \) is an outer function in \( N \) satisfying \( |F(w)/h(w)| \geq e \ a.e. \ [m] \). Therefore, by a similar argument as in the first part of the proof, there exists an outer function \( g \) in \( H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) such that
\[
\frac{gF^n}{h^n} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \quad \text{for all} \ n \geq 1.
\]
Finally noting that \( h^n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \), we conclude that \( g F^n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). This completes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

Observe that if \( F \) is an outer function in \( N \), \( 1/F \) is also outer and belongs to \( N \). Thus we have also obtained the following result.

**Corollary 2.8.** If \( F \) is an outer function in \( N \), then there is an outer function \( g \) in \( H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) such that \( gF^n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Our next proposition is in fact about the density of the core of the multiplication operator by an outer function. Moreover, it provides the last needed tool in proving our main result.

**Proposition 2.9.** If \( \Omega \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{D} \) and if \( F \) is an outer function in \( N \), then the \( \mathcal{D}_F = \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{D}(M_{F^n}) \) is dense in \( L^2_\alpha(\Omega) \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \) be the bounded outer function in accordance with Lemma 2.3. In Rubel and Shields \[11\], see Theorem 5.1 it is shown that if \( F \) is a bounded outer function, then the set \( \{ F : h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \} \) is weak\(^*\) sequentially dense in \( H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \). Thus, in our case, there exists a sequence \( \{ h_n \} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) such that \( gh_n \to 1 \) weak\(^*\) in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \), or equivalently

\[
(2.10) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \| gh_n \|_\infty < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad g(z)h_n(z) \to 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.
\]

Now let \( f \) be a non-zero function in \( L^2_\alpha(\Omega) \), and define \( g_n \) on \( \Omega \) by \( g_n = g h_n f \). It follows from Lemma 2.22 that \( g_n \in \bigcap_{k=0}^\infty \mathcal{D}(M_{F^k}) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). Furthermore

\[
\| g_n - f \|_{L^2_\alpha(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega |g(z)h_n(z) - 1|^2 |f(z)|^2 \, dA(z).
\]

The Dominated Convergence Theorem together with (2.10) implies that \( g_n \to f \) in \( L^2_\alpha(\Omega) \). This proves the proposition. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.11.** From Definition 1.1, one can easily check that \( M^n = M^n \); that is, for \( \varphi \in \text{Hol}(G) \), \( \mathcal{D}(M^n) = \mathcal{D}(M^n) \) and \( M^n \) agrees with \( M^n \), the operator of multiplication by \( \varphi^n \) (see also [12]).

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Denote by \( E \) the component of the complement of \( G \) with respect to \( \mathbb{C} \) that contains the point at infinity, and let \( \Lambda = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ E \} \). It follows that \( \Lambda \) is a region in \( \mathbb{C} \), \( G \subseteq \Lambda \) and, since by assumption \( E \) consists of more than one point, \( \Lambda \) is a simply connected region in \( \mathbb{C} \) which is not the whole plane. By the Riemann Mapping Theorem, for a fixed point \( a \) in \( G \), there is a unique conformal mapping from \( \mathbb{D} \) onto \( \Lambda \), \( \varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Lambda \), such that \( \varphi(0) = a \) and \( \varphi'(0) > 0 \). Now if we put \( \Omega = \varphi^{-1}(G) \), it follows that \( \Omega \) is an open subset of the unit disc.

Next define \( U : L^2_\alpha(G) \to L^2_\alpha(\Omega) \) by \( (Uf)(z) = \varphi'(z)f(\varphi(z)) \) for all \( f \in L^2_\alpha(G) \). Since \( \varphi \) is one-to-one and analytic on \( \Omega \), it is well known that the mapping \( U \) is an isometric isomorphism of \( L^2_\alpha(G) \) onto \( L^2_\alpha(\Omega) \) (see [3]). Furthermore, from the equality

\[
\| w^n f \|_{L^2_\alpha(G)}^2 = \int_G |w^n f|^2 \, du \, dv = \int_\Omega |\varphi^n f(\varphi(z))|^2 |\varphi'|^2 \, dx \, dy = \| \varphi^n Uf \|_{L^2_\alpha(\Omega)}^2
\]

along with Remark 2.11, one easily sees that

\[
(2.12) \quad U[\mathcal{D}(S^n_{\alpha})] = \mathcal{D}(M^n_{\varphi^n, \Omega}) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1.
\]
Now in view of (2.12) and the fact that $U$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that the core of $S$, $\mathcal{D}_S = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}(S^n)$, is dense in $L^2_{\alpha}(G)$ if and only if the the core of $M_\varphi$,
\[
\mathcal{D}_{M_\varphi} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}(M_\varphi^n),
\]
is dense in $L^2_{\alpha}(\Omega)$. So the proof is complete by showing that the latter equivalent statement holds. Letting $\psi(z) = \varphi(z) - a$, if necessary, and observing that $\mathcal{D}(M_\varphi^n) = \mathcal{D}(M_\varphi^n)$, we may assume that $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Recall that if $p > 0$ and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$, then $f$ has a unique factorization of the form $f(z) = B(z)S(z)F(z)$, where $B(z)$ is a Blaschke product, $S(z)$ is a singular inner function, and $F(z)$ is an outer function in $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ defined as in (2.2) (see [5], Theorem 2.8). It is also known that if $f$ is one-to-one and analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, then $f \in H^p$ for all $p < \frac{1}{2}$ and the singular inner factor of $f$ is constantly 1 ([5], Theorems 3.16 and 3.17). Hence, since $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi$ is one-one and analytic
\[
\varphi(z) = zF(z)
\]
where $F$ is an outer function in $H^p$ for all $p < \frac{1}{2}$. But (2.13) implies that $\mathcal{D}(M_\varphi^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(M_\varphi^n)$, for all $n \geq 1$, and consequently $\mathcal{D}_F \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\varphi$. Now the theorem follows from Proposition 2.9.
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