

GRAPHS AND MULTI-GRAPHS IN HOMOGENEOUS 3-MANIFOLDS WITH ISOMETRY GROUPS OF DIMENSION 4

CARLOS PEÑAFIEL

(Communicated by Jianguo Cao)

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of multi-graphs which are immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$, having constant mean curvature H , where $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is a homogeneous, simply connected 3-manifold whose isometry group has dimension 4.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classification of complete simply connected homogeneous manifolds of dimension 3 is well known (see [14, Chap. The eight geometries]). Such a manifold has an isometry group of dimension 3, 4 or 6. When the dimension of the isometry group is 6, we have a space form. When the dimension of the isometry group is 3, the manifold has the geometry of the Lie group Sol_3 .

In this paper we will consider the complete homogeneous manifolds $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ whose isometry group has dimension 4. Such a manifold is a Riemannian fibration over a 2-dimensional space form $M^2(\kappa)$ (having constant Gauss curvature κ). There is a Riemannian submersion $\pi : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow M^2(\kappa)$, which also is a Killing submersion [see Definition 3.1]. If $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is not compact, then $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is topologically $M^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$, each fiber is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} (the real line), and the bundle curvature is τ . If $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is compact, with $\kappa > 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$, then $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ are the Berger spheres. Here each fiber is diffeomorphic to S^1 (the unit circle) and the tangent unit vector field to the fiber is a Killing vector field. We will denote by E_3 this vector field. Here E_3 will be called the vertical vector field. These manifolds are classified, up to isometry, by the curvature κ of the base surface of the submersion and the bundle curvature τ , where κ and τ can be any real numbers satisfying $\kappa \neq 4\tau^2$. Namely, these manifolds are:

- $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{H}^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$ if $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau = 0$,
- $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{S}^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$ if $\kappa > 0$ and $\tau = 0$,
- $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = Nil_3$ (Heisenberg space) if $\kappa = 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$,
- $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \widetilde{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ if $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$,
- $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$ (spheres of Berger) if $\kappa > 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$.

Received by the editors July 29, 2010 and, in revised form, February 14, 2011.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53A35.

Key words and phrases. Constant mean curvature, graphs and multi-graphs, homogeneous 3-manifolds.

The author was supported by FAPER, Brazil.

In a recent paper Harold Rosenberg and Jose Espinar have proved that if Σ is a completely immersed H -surface (that is, surfaces having constant mean curvature H) in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ whose angle function does not change sign and $H > 1/2$, then Σ is a vertical cylinder over a complete curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ of constant geodesic curvature $2H$ (see [10, Theorem 4.1]). They were inspired by the ideas presented in the paper by Laurent Hauswirth, Harold Rosenberg and Joel Spruck [11]. In that paper the authors prove that if Σ is a completely immersed surface in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ having constant mean curvature $H = 1/2$ and transverse to the vertical field E_3 , then Σ is an entire vertical graph over \mathbb{H}^2 (see [11, Theorem 1.2]). We use similar ideas to prove an analogous theorem in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ (see Theorem 5.1).

Now, we briefly describe the contents and organization of this paper. In section 2, we establish the notation. In section 3, we deal with entire graphs in $E(\kappa, \tau)$. In section 4, we study the H -cylinder. In section 5, we study multi-graphs in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$.

2. NOTATION

We denote by Σ an oriented Riemannian H -surface immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ with Riemannian metric g , by N its unit normal vector field, and by \vec{H} its mean curvature vector. Here $H = g(\vec{H}, N)$ is the length of the mean curvature vector with respect to the normal N and ν is its angle function, that is, $\nu = g(N, E_3)$.

Let M^n denote a Riemannian manifold of dimension n , and $\mathfrak{X}(M^n)$ will denote the set of tangent vector fields on M .

Definition 2.1. Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and $\Omega \subset M^n$ be an open domain in M^n such that $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact and $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^∞ . The Cheeger constant, which is denoted by $C(M^n)$, is defined by

$$C(M^n) = \inf_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{A(\partial\Omega)}{V(\Omega)}; \Omega \subset M^n, \bar{\Omega} \text{ compact} \right\}.$$

where A is the area function and V the volume function on M^n .

We have denoted by $M^2(\kappa)$ the 2-dimensional space form having constant Gauss curvature κ . In this paper we are considering $\kappa \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Thus, depending on the value of κ we have $M^2(-1) \equiv \mathbb{D}^2$, the hyperbolic disk; $M^2(0) \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$, the Euclidean space; and $M^2(1) \equiv \mathbb{S}^2$, the Euclidean sphere.

The space $M^2(\kappa)$ is endowed with the metric

$$ds^2 = \lambda^2(\kappa)(dx^2 + dy^2),$$

where $\lambda(0) = 1$ and $\lambda(\kappa \neq 0) = \frac{2}{1 + \kappa(x^2 + y^2)}$. The natural orthonormal frame on $M^2(\kappa)$ is given by $\{e_1 = \lambda^{-1}\partial_x, e_2 = \lambda^{-1}\partial_y\}$.

3. THE SPACE $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$

We begin this section by giving the definition of a Killing submersion which is due to H. Rosenberg, R. Souam, and E. Toubiana; see [4].

Consider a Riemannian 3-manifold (M^3, g) which fibers over a Riemannian surface (M^2, h) , where g and h denote the Riemannian metrics respectively.

Definition 3.1. A Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M^3, g) \longrightarrow (M^2, h)$ such that:

- (1) each fiber is a complete geodesic,

- (2) the fibers of the fibration are the integral curves of a unit Killing vector field ξ on M^3

will be called a Killing submersion.

Definition 3.2. Let $\pi : (M^3, g) \rightarrow (M^2, h)$ be a Killing submersion.

- (1) Let $\Omega \subset M^2$ be a domain. An H -section over Ω is an H -surface which is the image of a section.
- (2) Let $\gamma \subset M^2$ be a smooth curve having constant geodesic curvature $2H$. Observe that the surface $\pi^{-1}(\gamma) \subset M^3$ has mean curvature H [see Lemma 4.1]. We call such surface a *vertical H -cylinder*.

When the space $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is not compact, it is given by (topologically)

$$(3.1) \quad E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \{(x, y, t); (x, y) \in M^2(\kappa), t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The projection $\pi : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow M^2(\kappa)$ given by $\pi(x, y, t) = (x, y)$ is a Killing submersion and the translations along the fibers are isometries, so E_3 is a unit Killing vector field.

Let $\{E_1, E_2\}$ be the horizontal lift of $\{e_1, e_2\}$; thus $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ is an orthonormal frame on $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2(\kappa))$ be a vector field on $M^2(\kappa)$ and \bar{X} its horizontal lift to $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$.

The natural frame for the space form $M^2(\kappa)$ is given by $\{\partial_x, \partial_y\}$. Thus, the natural frame for $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ will be $\{\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_t\}$, where ∂_t is tangent to the fibers. In this case $E_3 = \partial_t$.

Lemma 3.1 (see [14]). *The fields E_1, E_2, E_3 in the frame $\{\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_t\}$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} k \neq 0, & & \kappa = 0, \\ E_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_x - 2\tau \frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2} \partial_t, & & E_1 = \partial_x - \tau y \partial_t, \\ E_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_y - 2\tau \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2} \partial_t, & & E_2 = \partial_y - \tau x \partial_t, \\ E_3 = \partial_t, & & E_3 = \partial_t. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the space $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is endowed with the metric

$$g = \begin{cases} \lambda^2(dx^2 + dy^2) + \left(2\tau \left(\frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda} dx - \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda} dy\right) + dt\right)^2 & \text{if } \kappa \neq 0, \\ \lambda^2(dx^2 + dy^2) + (\tau(ydx - xdy) + dt)^2 & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \end{cases}$$

and the Riemannian connection is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{E_1} E_1 &= -\frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2} E_2, & \bar{\nabla}_{E_1} E_2 &= \frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2} E_1 + \tau E_3, & \bar{\nabla}_{E_1} E_3 &= -\tau E_2, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{E_2} E_1 &= \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2} E_2 - \tau E_3, & \bar{\nabla}_{E_2} E_2 &= -\frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2} E_1, & \bar{\nabla}_{E_2} E_3 &= \tau E_1, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{E_3} E_1 &= -\tau E_2, & \bar{\nabla}_{E_3} E_2 &= \tau E_1, & \bar{\nabla}_{E_3} E_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$[E_1, E_2] = \frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2} E_1 - \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2} E_2 + 2\tau E_3, \quad [E_1, E_3] = 0, \quad [E_2, E_3] = 0.$$

3.1. Graphs in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. Since $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is a Riemannian submersion over a 2-dimensional space form $M^2(\kappa)$, it is possible to extend the notion of graphs as follows:

Definition 3.3. A graph in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is the image of a section of the Killing submersion $\pi : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow M^2(\kappa)$. When the section is defined over the entire $M^2(\kappa)$, we will say that the graph is entire.

Remark 3.1. There is no entire graph in \mathbb{S}_τ^3 , since the fibration is not trivial; that is, \mathbb{S}_τ^3 is not the product $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

We will prove that Remark 3.1 holds for the other spaces when the graph has constant mean curvature H , $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$, where $C(M^2(\kappa))$ is Cheeger’s constant (see Proposition 3.1).

Given a domain $\Omega \subset M^2(\kappa)$, let

$$s : \Omega \subset M^2(\kappa) \rightarrow \{(x, y, u(x, y)) \in E^3(\kappa, \tau)\}$$

be a section, where $u \in C^0(\partial\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$. We will identify Ω with its lift to $M^2 \times \{0\}$. Then the graph $\Sigma(u)$ of $u \in C^0(\partial\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ is written as

$$\Sigma(u) = \{(x, y, u(x, y)) \in E^3(\kappa, \tau); (x, y) \in \Omega\}.$$

With the above notation, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Sigma(u)$ be the graph of a function $u : \Omega \subset M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having constant mean curvature H . Then the function u satisfies the equation*

$$2H = \operatorname{div}_{M^2(\kappa)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{W} e_1 + \frac{\beta}{W} e_2 \right),$$

where $W = \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \neq 0, & & \kappa = 0, \\ \alpha = \frac{u_x}{\lambda} + 2\tau \frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda_2}, & & \alpha = u_x - \tau y, \\ \beta = \frac{u_y}{\lambda} + 2\tau \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda_2}, & & \beta = u_y + \tau x. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider the smooth function $u^* : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $u^*(x, y, t) = u(x, y)$. Set $F(x, y, t) = t - u^*(x, y, t)$ (observe that with this choice of F , we are fixing the unit normal vector of $\Sigma(u)$ which points up in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$, that is, $g(N, E_3) > 0$, where N is the unit normal vector along $\Sigma(u)$). If the mean curvature vector points up, then $H > 0$; if it points down, then $H < 0$. Since 0 is a regular value of F and $\Sigma(u) = F^{-1}(0)$, we have

$$2H = -\overline{\operatorname{div}} \left(\frac{\overline{\nabla} F}{|\overline{\nabla} F|} \right),$$

where $\overline{\operatorname{div}}$ and $\overline{\nabla}$ denote the divergence and gradient in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$.

We consider the case $\kappa \neq 0$; for $\kappa = 0$ the proof is analogous. We will calculate $\overline{\nabla} F$. Since $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ is an orthonormal frame, we have

$$\overline{\nabla} F = aE_1 + bE_2 + cE_3,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a &= g(\overline{\nabla}F, E_1) = dF(E_1) = dF\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\partial_x - 2\tau\frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2}\partial_t\right) = -\frac{u_x}{\lambda} - 2\tau\frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2}, \\ b &= g(\overline{\nabla}F, E_2) = dF(E_2) = dF\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\partial_y + 2\tau\frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2}\partial_t\right) = -\frac{u_y}{\lambda} + 2\tau\frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2}, \\ c &= g(\overline{\nabla}F, E_3) = dF(E_3) = dF(\partial_t) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\overline{\nabla}F = -\alpha E_1 - \beta E_2 + E_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{u_x}{\lambda} + 2\tau\frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda^2}, \\ \beta &= \frac{u_y}{\lambda} - 2\tau\frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote this by $W = |\overline{\nabla}F| = \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2}$ and by $\overline{X} = -\frac{\overline{\nabla}F}{|\overline{\nabla}F|}$.

Following the above notation, we obtain

$$\overline{X} = \left(\frac{1}{W}(\alpha E_1 + \beta E_2)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{W}E_3\right) = A - B,$$

where A is a horizontal and B is a vertical vector field. Now, by computing the divergence of X , we obtain

$$2H = \operatorname{div}_{M^2(\kappa)}\left(\frac{1}{W}(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)\right).$$

□

Recall that for the space form $M^2(\kappa)$, we have denoted by $C(M^2(\kappa))$ its Cheeger's constant. The next proposition was proved by I. Salavessa in [5] and generalized by Barbosa, Bessa and Montenegro in [7].

Proposition 3.1. *There is no entire H -graph in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ such that $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$.*

Proof. Let us suppose that such an entire graph exists. Let

$$\Sigma(u) = \{(x, y, u(x, y)) \in E^3(\kappa, \tau); (x, y) \in M^2(\kappa)\},$$

where $u : M^2(\kappa) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of

$$(3.2) \quad 2H = \operatorname{div}_{M^2(\kappa)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{W}e_1 + \frac{\beta}{W}e_2\right).$$

Let $\Omega \subset M^2(\kappa)$ be an open domain with compact closure and smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus, from equation (3.2) and the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$2HV(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{M^2(\kappa)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{W}e_1 + \frac{\beta}{W}e_2\right) dV \leq A(\partial\Omega).$$

Taking into account Cheeger's constant, we obtain

$$C(M^2(\kappa)) < 2H \leq C(M^2(\kappa)).$$

This contradiction completes the proof.

□

Remark 3.2. In the case $2H = C(M^2(\kappa))$ there are many examples of entire graphs in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. For instance, in the case $\tau \equiv 0$ and $\kappa \equiv -1$, that is, $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \equiv \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, we have the next beautiful example due to Ricardo Sa Earp [13, page 24]:

$$t = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{y}, \quad y > 0.$$

This entire $H = \frac{1}{2}$ -graph is invariant by hyperbolic translation.

Another interesting example for an $H = \frac{1}{2}$ -surface in the space $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ due to I. Salavessa is given in [5, Theorem 2].

We have found one example of an entire graph having constant mean curvature H with $2H = C(M^2(\kappa))$ in the case $\tau \equiv -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa \equiv -1$, that is, when $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \equiv \widehat{PSL}_2(\tau, \mathbb{R})$; see [2, example 6.2.2]. In this example we have an entire $H = \frac{1}{2}$ -graph, which is invariant by rotational isometries. This graph is given by

$$u(x, y) = 2\sqrt{\cosh(2 \tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})) - 2 \arctan(\sqrt{\cosh(2 \tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}))})}.$$

4. CYLINDERS IN $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$

Let $\gamma \subset M^2(k)$ be a curve with $k_g = 2H$ (where k_g denotes the geodesic curvature), and we consider $Q = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ as the vertical H -cylinder. Observe that topologically (not metrically), $Q = \gamma \times \mathbb{R}$.

If $X \in TM^2$, we denote by \bar{X} its horizontal lift to $TE^3(\kappa, \tau)$. From now on, we will always consider horizontal lifts unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Lemma 4.1. *The mean curvature of Q is H ; in particular Q has constant mean curvature.*

Proof. We can suppose that γ is parameterized by arc length, let γ' be its vector velocity and denote by \vec{n} the unit normal vector to γ . Let $\vec{\gamma}' = \text{lift}(\gamma')$ and $N = \text{lift}(\vec{n})$ be the horizontal lift of γ' and \vec{n} respectively. Thus, $\vec{\gamma}'$ is tangent to Q . As E_3 is also tangent to Q , then $\{\vec{\gamma}', E_3\}$ is an orthonormal frame on Q . It is clear that N is a unit normal field along Q .

As the fibers are geodesic, we have, $\bar{\nabla}_{E_3} E_3 = 0$. Thus the normal curvature of E_3 is 0 (i.e. $k_N(E_3) = 0$).

Observe that

$$k_N(\vec{\gamma}') = g(-\bar{\nabla}_{\vec{\gamma}'} N, \vec{\gamma}') = ds(-\nabla_{\gamma'} \vec{n}, \gamma') = k_g = 2H.$$

Thus, the mean curvature of Q is H . □

We denote by K_{ext} the extrinsic curvature of $Q \equiv \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$.

Lemma 4.2. *The H -cylinder Q is flat and has constant extrinsic curvature $K_{ext} = -\tau^2$.*

Proof. We can suppose that the curve γ is parameterized by arc length, i.e., $\gamma(s) = (f(s), g(s)) \subset M^2(\kappa)$ with $ds^2(\gamma', \gamma') = 1$. Denote by n the normal to γ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(s) &= \lambda f'(s)e_1 + \lambda g'(s)e_2, \\ n(s) &= -\lambda g'(s)e_1 + \lambda f'(s)e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vec{\gamma}'$ and N be the horizontal lift of γ' and n , respectively. Thus $\vec{\gamma}'$ is tangent to Q and N is normal to Q . The other unit tangent vector field to Q , which is

orthogonal to $\bar{\gamma}'$, is E_3 . Thus we have an orthonormal frame:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \bar{\gamma}' = \lambda f'(s)E_1 + \lambda g'(s)E_2, \\ X_2 &= E_3, \\ N &= -\lambda g'(s)E_1 + \lambda f'(s)E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Riemannian connection we obtain $[X_1, X_2] = 0$. Therefore, we have around $p \in Q$ a coordinate system $\Phi(s, t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_s &= \lambda f'(s)E_1 + \lambda g'(s)E_2, \\ \Phi_t &= E_3, \\ N &= -\lambda g'(s)E_1 + \lambda f'(s)E_2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the induced metric on Q :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_s, \Phi_s \rangle &= \lambda^2(f')^2 + \lambda^2(g')^2 = 1, \\ \langle \Phi_s, \Phi_t \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \Phi_t, \Phi_t \rangle &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K = 0$, i.e., the Gaussian curvature is 0, so the surface Q is flat. From the Gauss equation (see [1, Proposition 3.3]),

$$K = \det S + \tau^2 + (k - 4\tau^2)\nu^2.$$

Since $\nu = 0$, we obtain $K_{ext} = \det S = -\tau^2$. □

We denote by $Ric(X)$ the Ricci curvature in the direction X .

Lemma 4.3. *The Ricci curvature in the direction N is given by*

$$Ric(N) = \kappa - 2\tau^2,$$

where N is the unit normal vector field along Q .

Proof. Let R denote the curvature tensor of $E = E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. We have an orthonormal frame on Q :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_s &= \lambda f'(s)E_1 + \lambda g'(s)E_2c \\ \Phi_t &= E_3c \\ N &= -\lambda g'(s)E_1 + \lambda f'(s)E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Y \in TE$ be a vector field. By the linearity of R , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(N, Y)N &= R(-\lambda g'(s)E_1 + \lambda f'(s)E_2, Y)(-\lambda g'(s)E_1 + \lambda f'(s)E_2) \\ &= [-\lambda g'(s)R(E_1, Y) + \lambda f'(s)R(E_2, Y)](-\lambda g'(s)E_1 + \lambda f'(s)E_2) \\ &= \lambda^2(g')^2R(E_1, Y)E_1 - \lambda^2 f'g' R(E_1, Y)E_2 - \lambda^2 f'g' R(E_2, Y)E_1 \\ &\quad + \lambda^2(f')^2R(E_2, Y)E_2. \end{aligned}$$

We use this expression to show the following two affirmations.

Affirmation 4.1. We have

$$g_E(R(N, \Phi_s)N, \Phi_s) = k - 3\tau^2,$$

where g_E is the metric of E .

Proof. Putting $Y = \Phi_s = \lambda f'(s)E_1 + \lambda g'(s)E_2$ and using the linearity of the metric g_E of $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_E(R(N, \Phi_s)N, \Phi_s) &= \overline{K}(E_1, E_2)[\lambda^2(g')^2 + \lambda^2(f')^2]^2 \\ &= \overline{K}(E_1, E_2) \\ &= \kappa - 3\tau^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{K}(E_1, E_2)$ denotes the sectional curvature in the direction $\{E_1, E_2\}$. \square

Affirmation 4.2. We have

$$g(R(N, \Phi_z)N, \Phi_z) = \tau^2.$$

Proof. Putting $Y = \Phi_t = E_3$ and using linearity of the metric g_E of $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_E(R(N, \Phi_t)N, \Phi_t) &= \overline{K}(E_1, E_3)[\lambda^2(g')^2 + \lambda^2(f')^2] \\ &= \overline{K}(E_1, E_3) \\ &= \tau^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{K}(E_1, E_3)$ denotes the sectional curvature in the direction $\{E_1, E_3\}$. \square

This affirmation implies

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(N) &= g_E(R(N, \Phi_s)N, \Phi_s) + g_E(R(N, \Phi_z)N, \Phi_z) \\ &= (\kappa - 3\tau^2) + \tau^2 \\ &= \kappa - 2\tau^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

4.1. Stability of H -cylinders in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. Now we answer the question: When is Q an unstable surface?

Proposition 4.1. *The H -cylinder Q immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is unstable for $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$.*

Proof. The stability operator L of Q is given by

$$L = \Delta + |B|^2 + Ric(N),$$

where Δ is the Laplacian operator associated to the Riemannian metric induced on Q , $|B|^2$ is the square of the norm of the shape operator associated to Q and $Ric(N)$ is the Ricci curvature in the direction of N . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |B|^2 + Ric(N) &= 4H^2 - 2K_{ext} + \kappa - 2\tau^2 \\ &= 4H^2 + \kappa \\ &= 4H^2 + \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Set $L = \Delta + a$, where $a = 4H^2 + \kappa > 0$.

We consider the operator L on $[0, T] \times [-r, r]$ for $r > 0$, where $[0, T]$ is an arc of length T on γ .

It is known that $\phi_1 = \cos(\pi s/T)$ is a first eigenfunction of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}$ on $[0, T]$, with eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \pi^2/T^2$.

Similarly, a first eigenfunction ϕ_2 of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$ on $[-r, r]$ is $\phi_2 = \cos(\pi t/2r)$, with eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = \pi^2/4r^2$.

Let $\phi = \phi_1 * \phi_2$ and observe that $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}$ (see [12] for notations) and that

$$\Delta\phi + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\phi = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times [-r, r].$$

Then,

$$L\phi + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - a)\phi = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times [-r, r].$$

Hence, if r and T satisfy $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - a < 0$, the domain is unstable; that is, there is a negative eigenvalue for L .

This condition is equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi^2}{T^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{4r^2} < 4H^2 + \kappa,$$

which is true for T or r large enough.

This completes the proof. □

Recall $C(\mathbb{H}^2) \equiv 1$, $C(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv 0$ and $C(\mathbb{S}^2) \equiv 0$. Hence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *The H -cylinder Q immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is unstable for $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$.*

5. MULTI-GRAPHS IN $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$

Throughout this section, we consider a surface Σ having constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$, immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. Recall that we have denoted by N its unit normal vector field and by $\nu = g(N, E_3)$ its angle function.

Definition 5.1. Σ is called a *multi-graph* if the angle function ν does not change sign; i.e., either $\nu \geq 0$ or $\nu \leq 0$ on Σ .

Lemma 5.1. *Let Σ be a complete H -multi-graph immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. Then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *Either $\nu > 0$ or $\nu < 0$ on Σ .*
- (ii) *Σ is an H -cylinder.*

Proof. We can suppose $\nu \leq 0$. If there is a point $p \in \Sigma$ such that $\nu(p) = 0$, we will show that Σ is an H -cylinder.

Since E_3 is a Killing vector field, then ν is a Jacobi function on Σ ; that is,

$$L\nu = \Delta\nu + (Ric(N) + |B|^2)\nu = 0.$$

Setting $c = Ric(N) + |B|^2$, we have the operator $L = \Delta + c$. Let U be a neighborhood of p , so $\nu \leq 0$ on U and $\nu(p) = 0$. Working on U , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\nu + \min_U(c, 0)\nu &= L\nu - c\nu + \min_U(c, 0)\nu \\ &= (\min_U(c, 0) - c)\nu + L\nu \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $(\min_U(c, 0) - c)\nu \geq 0$. Thus, $P\nu = \Delta\nu + \min_U(c, 0)\nu \geq 0$ with $\min_U(c, 0) \leq 0$. Applying the maximum principle of Hopf, we conclude that $\nu \equiv 0$ on U .

This shows that the non-empty set $A = \{q \in \Sigma; \nu(q) = 0\}$ is open. On the other hand, A is closed. Since Σ is connected, $A = \Sigma$.

Thus, the angle function is nul on Σ . Using [9, Theorem 2.2], we conclude that Σ is an H -cylinder. □

5.1. The main theorem. Recall that when $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is not compact, that is, $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \neq \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$, that the space $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ is topologically $M^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$. In this case, there is a *trivial section*

$$s_0 : M^2(\kappa) \longrightarrow E^3(\kappa, \tau)$$

given by $s_0(p) = (p, 0) \in M^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$. We are identifying $M^2(\kappa)$ with its lift $s_0(M^2(\kappa))$.

Denote by $I : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \longrightarrow s_0(M^2(\kappa))$ the vertical translation along the fiber, taking the point (p_0, t_0) to the point $(p_0, 0)$. That is,

$$I(p_0, t_0) = (p_0, 0) \in s_0(M^2(\kappa)).$$

If Σ is a multi-graph, then ν is a non-negative Jacobi function. Thus Σ is stable, and this implies that Σ has bounded curvature. Thus, there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that for each $p \in \Sigma$, a piece of Σ is a Euclidean graph (of bounded geometry) over the disk of radius $D_\delta(p) \subset T_p\Sigma$ (see [4]). We denote this graph by $G(p)$.

Let $I(p) = q$ and denote by $F(q) = I(G(p))$ the translated surface. This surface $F(q)$ is a Euclidean graph over the disk $D_\delta(q) \subset T_qF(q)$.

By Remark 3.2, there are entire graphs in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ having constant mean curvature H , with $2H = C(M^2(\kappa))$. However, there is no entire graph in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ having constant mean curvature H for $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$ [see Proposition 3.1]. In a more general sense, we have the following theorem. (To prove this theorem, we follow ideas from [10, Theorem 4.1].)

Theorem 5.1. *Let $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$ be a complete simply connected homogeneous 3-manifold. Let Σ be a complete H -multi-graph immersed in $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$. If $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$, then Σ is a vertical H -cylinder.*

Proof. If there is a point $p \in \Sigma$ such that $\nu(p) = 0$, then Σ is a vertical H -cylinder (see Lemma 5.1).

Thus, we can suppose that the angle function ν satisfies $\nu < 0$ on Σ and $g(N, \vec{H}) > 0$, where N is the unit normal vector field on Σ , \vec{H} is the mean curvature vector field of Σ and g is the metric of $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$.

We divide the proof into two steps: First we will prove the theorem for the case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \neq \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$. After that, we will prove the same result when $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$.

The case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \neq \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$. There is no complete H -multi-graph Σ immersed into $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$, having angle function $\nu < 0$, and $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$.

Proof. The idea of the proof is to show that such a multi-graph is actually an entire graph. Hence, using Proposition 3.1, we conclude that such an entire graph does not exist.

Let $B_{\tilde{R}}(0) = B_{\tilde{R}}$ be the geodesic ball in $s_0(M^2(\kappa))$ centered at the origin of $s_0(M^2)$ with radius \tilde{R} . Let $p \in \Sigma$ and assume there is a neighborhood U of p in Σ such that U is an H -section of $\pi : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \longrightarrow M^2(\kappa)$ (see Definition 3.2) over the ball $B_{\tilde{R}}$. Let $f : B_{\tilde{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that U is equal to $graph(f)$.

If Σ is not an entire graph, let R be the largest such that f exists. Let $q \in \partial B_R$ be such that f cannot be extended to an H -section in any neighborhood of q .

Affirmation 5.1. For any sequence $q_n \subset B_R$ such that $q_n \longrightarrow q$, we have $f(q_n) \longrightarrow +\infty$ (or $f(q_n) \longrightarrow -\infty$).

Proof. Suppose there exists a sequence $q_n \subset B_R$ such that $q_n \rightarrow q$ and $f(q_n)$ is bounded. Then, there exists a subsequence $f(q_{n_i})$ that converges to x ; as Σ is complete we have $(q, x) \in \Sigma$. Since f cannot be extended to an H -section in any neighborhood of q , Σ must have a horizontal normal at (p, x) , which contradicts $\nu < 0$.

Observe that there are no two subsequences (q_n) and (\tilde{q}_n) such that $f(q_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $f(\tilde{q}_n) \rightarrow -\infty$. To see this, take n big and points q_n and \tilde{q}_n close to q . Now, consider the family of complete geodesics passing by q . This family gives rise to a family of vertical planes passing through q . Since Σ is connected and complete, there is a plane in this family such that the intersection of the plane with Σ is a connected curve. Supposing that $f(q_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $f(\tilde{q}_n) \rightarrow -\infty$, this connected curve has a vertical tangent, which is impossible since $\nu < 0$. \square

Now, consider the piece of curve $C_\delta(q)$ passing by q , tangent to ∂B_R at q , having geodesic curvature $2H$ ($k_g = 2H$) and such that B_R stays in the concave side of $C_\delta(q)$. Let $Q_\delta = \pi^{-1}(C_\delta(q))$ be the “ δ -cylinder” which contains $C_\delta(q)$.

Using curvature estimates, we have for any $\tilde{p} \in \Sigma$ that a neighborhood of \tilde{p} is a Euclidean graph over a disk $D_\delta(\tilde{p}) \subset T_{\tilde{p}}\Sigma$. We have denoted this graph by $G(\tilde{p})$ and by $F(\tilde{q}) = I(G(\tilde{p}))$, where $\tilde{q} = I(\tilde{p})$. Using this notation we have

Affirmation 5.2 ([4, Theorem 3.3]). Let (p_n) be a sequence of points on Σ such that $I(p_n) = q_n$ converges to q . Then there exists a sub-sequence of $(F(q_n))$ that converges to a δ -piece of $Q_\delta = \pi^{-1}(C_\delta(q))$. This convergence is in C^2 -topology.

Let γ_0 be the geodesic arc having length 2ϵ centered at q and orthogonal to $C_\delta(q)$. Denote by γ_0^+ the part of γ_0 lying in B_R .

Let (q_n) be a sequence converging to q with $q_n \in \gamma_0^+$.

Let C be the complete curve of $M^2(\kappa)$ having geodesic curvature $2H$ ($k_g = 2H$) containing $C_\delta(q)$. Parametrize C by arc length; denote by $q(s) \in C$ the point at distance s on C from $q(0) = q$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that C may be compact.

Denote by γ_s a geodesic arc, orthogonal to C at $q(s)$; that is, $q(s)$ is the midpoint of γ_s . Assume that the length of each γ_s is 2ϵ . Thus,

$$\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \gamma_s = T_\epsilon(C)$$

is the ϵ -tubular neighborhood of C .

We denote by γ_s^+ the part of γ_s on the concave side of C .

Affirmation 5.3. For n large, each $F(q_n)$ is disjoint from $C \times \mathbb{R}$. Also, for $|s| < \delta$,

$$F(q_n) \cap (\gamma_s \times \mathbb{R})$$

is a vertical graph over an interval of γ_s .

Proof. Observe that the $F(q_n)$ are C^2 close to $C_\delta(q) \times J_\delta \subset Q_\delta$ (where J_δ is an interval of length 2δ). Choose n_0 so that for $n \geq n_0$, $\Gamma_n(s) = F(q_n) \cap (\gamma_s \times \mathbb{R})$ is one connected curve and the intersection of $F(q_n)$ with $\gamma_s \times \mathbb{R}$ is transverse for each $s \in [-\delta, \delta]$. Thus $\Gamma_n(s)$ has no horizontal or vertical tangents and is a graph over an interval in γ_s .

Now, we show that this interval is $\gamma_s^+ - q(s)$. If this is not the case, $\Gamma_n(s)$ goes beyond $C \times \mathbb{R}$ on the convex side. Recall that $p_n = (q_n, f(q_n))$. Lift each $\Gamma_n(s)$

to $G(p_n)$ by vertical translation I ; we are still denoting by $\Gamma_n(s)$ its lift to Σ . The curve

$$\Gamma(s) = \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} \Gamma_n(s)$$

is a vertical graph over an interval in γ_s . This curve has points in the convex side of $C \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $s_0 \in [-\delta, \delta]$. For $s = 0$, $\Gamma(0)$ stays on the mean concave side of $C \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for some s_1 , $0 < s_1 \leq s_0$, $\Gamma(s_1)$ has a point on $C \times \mathbb{R}$ and is inside the mean convex side of $C \times \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, $(F(q_n))$ converges uniformly to $C_\delta(q) \times J_\delta$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, the curve $\Gamma(s_1)$ converges to $q(s_1) \times \mathbb{R}$ as the height goes to $+\infty$. This ensures that $\Gamma(s_1)$ has a vertical tangent on the convex side of $C \times \mathbb{R}$. This is impossible since $\nu < 0$. \square

Now, we choose $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon$ (which we call ϵ as well) such that

$$\bigcup_{s \in [-\delta, \delta]} \Gamma(s)$$

is a vertical graph of a function g on

$$\bigcup_{s \in [-\delta, \delta]} (\gamma_s^+ - q(s)).$$

Here γ_s^+ has length ϵ_1 . The graph of g converges to $C_\delta(q) \times \mathbb{R}$ as the height goes to infinity.

Observe that at the point $q(\delta)$ we have the same situation as for the point $q(0) = q$. Thus, replacing $\Gamma(0)$ by the curve $\Gamma(\delta)$, we begin this process again. This gives an analytic continuation for the graph g to a graph over

$$\bigcup_{s \in [-\delta, 2\delta]} (\gamma_s^+ - q(s)),$$

which converges uniformly to $C(q, [-\delta, 2\delta])$ as the height goes to infinity. Here $C(q, [-\delta, 2\delta])$ denotes the arc of C of length 3δ between the points $q(-\delta)$ and $q(2\delta)$. Now, we continue analytically by extending the graph about $\Gamma(2\delta)$. When we say analytic continuation, we mean the unique continuation of the local pieces of the surface. Continuing this argument, we obtain that a portion of Σ is a graphic of a function g definite over

$$\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} (\gamma_s^+ - q(s)).$$

Continue this process replacing $\Gamma(\delta)$ and $\Gamma(-\delta)$ by $\Gamma(2\delta)$ and $\Gamma(-2\delta)$, again, going up high enough on these curves so that the graph is within the ϵ -tubular neighborhood of $Q = \pi^{-1}(C)$, $T_\epsilon(C \times \mathbb{R})$.

Let M denote the surface obtained by this analytic continuation. Thus, the curve $\partial M := \beta$ is far away from Q and M is asymptotic to Q . By Proposition 4.1, $Q = C \times \mathbb{R}$ is an unstable H -surface.

Let K_0 be a compact stable domain of Q . Let K_0 expand until one reaches an unstable domain K of Q , with K compact. This means that there is a smooth function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f = 0$ on ∂K , $f > 0$ on $\text{int}(K)$, and f satisfies

$$Lf + \lambda f = 0, \quad \lambda < 0.$$

Let $K(t)$ be the variation of K given by $K(t) = \text{exp}_p(tf(p)Z(p))$, where $p \in K$ and $Z(p)$ is a unit normal to K , with Z pointing to the mean convex side of Q . $K(t)$ is a smooth surface with $\partial K(t) = \partial K \subset Q$, and for t small, $\text{int}(K(t)) \cap Q = \emptyset$.

Since the linearized operator L is the first variation of the mean curvature at $t = 0$, and $Lf(p) = -\lambda f(p) > 0$ for $p \in \text{int}(K)$, we conclude that $H(K(t)) > H$ for $t > 0$ and $H(K(t)) < H$ for $t < 0$.

Thus, for t small enough the surfaces $K(t)$ are disjoint from β , and they can be slid up and down Q to remain disjoint from M . However, M is asymptotic to Q . Hence for small $t < 0$, the surface $K(t)$ will touch M at a first point when $K(t)$ is slid up Q . However, this contradicts the maximum principle.

Thus Σ must be an entire graph. By Proposition 3.1 such an entire graph cannot exist. This completes the proof in this case. \square

The case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$. There is no complete H -multi-graph Σ immersed into $E^3(\kappa, \tau)$, having angle function $\nu < 0$, and $2H > C(M^2(\kappa))$.

Proof. We will divide the proof into two subcases. In the first subcase, we will suppose that the angle function is far away from 0, that is, $\nu \ll 0$. In the second subcase, we will suppose that there is a sequence (p_n) such that $\nu(p_n) \rightarrow 0$.

In both cases, we will show that such an H -multi-graph cannot exist.

First subcase. If ν is far away from 0 ($\nu \ll 0$), then Σ cannot exist.

Let $\pi_1 = \pi|_\Sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ be the restriction of π to Σ , where π is the Killing submersion

$$\pi : E^3(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow M^2(\kappa).$$

As $\nu \ll 0$ for each $p \in \Sigma$, there is a neighborhood of p in Σ , which we denote by $V(p) \subset \Sigma$, such that $V(p)$ is the image of a section over a neighborhood of $\pi(p) \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Hence π_1 is a local homeomorphism. This implies that (\mathbb{S}^2 being simply connected) π_1 is a global homeomorphism. Thus, there is a global section of $\pi : \mathbb{S}_\tau^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, which is impossible (see Remark 3.1). Thus, in this subcase Σ cannot exist.

Second subcase. If there is a sequence $q_n \in \Sigma$ such that $\nu(q_n) \rightarrow 0$, then such a Σ cannot exist.

Suppose that there exists a sequence $(q_n) \subset \Sigma$ such that $\nu(q_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since \mathbb{S}_τ^3 is compact, there is a subsequence (which we also denote by (q_n)) such that $q_n \rightarrow p$, $p \in \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$.

Following the same arguments and notation from the case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \neq \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$, and by using Affirmation 5.2 and Affirmation 5.3, we have:

Σ is asymptotic to an H -cylinder Q and the mean curvature vector of Σ has the same direction as that of Q at points of Σ converging to $Q = \pi^{-1}(C)$, where $C \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ is a complete curve having constant Gauss curvature $2H$. Furthermore, a portion of Σ is a graphic of a function g definite over

$$\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} (\gamma_s^+ - q(s)).$$

This graph is within a $T_\epsilon(Q) := \epsilon$ -tubular neighborhood of $Q = \pi^{-1}(C)$.

We denote by \tilde{C} the universal covering of C and by \tilde{Q} the universal covering of Q , setting a $\tilde{Q}_\epsilon := \epsilon$ -tubular neighborhood of \tilde{Q} .

We give to \tilde{Q}_ϵ the induced metric from \mathbb{S}_τ^3 . Again, following the ideas from the case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \neq \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$, we denoted by M the portion of Σ , which is the graph of the function g , and by \tilde{M} the universal cover of M .

By construction $\widetilde{M} \subset \widetilde{Q}_\epsilon$; the boundary of \widetilde{M} , $\partial\widetilde{M}$ is far away from \widetilde{Q} ; and \widetilde{M} is asymptotic to \widetilde{Q} .

Now we apply the same argument as for the first case to conclude that such Σ cannot exist. \square

Considering that in the case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) \neq \mathbb{S}^3(\tau)$ as well as in the case $E^3(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{S}_\tau^3$ such an H -multi-graph Σ cannot exist, we conclude the proof of the theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank his thesis advisors, Professor Ricardo Sa Earp and Professor Harold Rosenberg, for suggesting this problem, for many interesting and stimulating discussions on the subject and for the constant support throughout his work.

REFERENCES

1. B. Daniel, Isometric Immersions into 3-Dimensional Homogeneous Manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 82 (2007), no. 1, 87-131. MR2296059 (2008a:53058)
2. C. Peñafiel, Surfaces of constant mean curvature in homogeneous three manifolds with emphasis in $\widetilde{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$, Doctoral Thesis - PUC-RJ, Brazil, 2010.
3. E. Toubiana, Note sur les Varietes Homogenes de Dimension 3. Preprint.
4. H. Rosenberg, R. Souam, E. Toubiana, General Curvature Estimates for Stable H -Surfaces in 3-Manifolds and Applications. *J. Differential Geom.* 84 (2010), no. 3, 623-648. MR2669367
5. I. Salavessa, Graphs with parallel mean curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 107 (1989), no. 2, 449-458. MR965247 (90a:53072)
6. J. Barbosa, M. do Carmo, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature. *Math. Z.*, 185(3) (1984). MR731682 (85k:58021c)
7. J. Barbosa, G. Bessa, J. Montenegro, On Bernstein-Heinz-Chern-Flanders Inequalities. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, v. 144, pp. 457-464, 2008. MR2405902 (2009c:53039)
8. J. Cheeger, D. Ebin, Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1975. MR0458335 (56:16538)
9. J. Espinar, H. Rosenberg, Complete Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces in Homogeneous Spaces. To appear in *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 2010.
10. J. Espinar, H. Rosenberg, Complete Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces and Bernstein Type Theorems in $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. *J. Differential Geom.* 82 (2009), no. 3, 611-628. MR2534989 (2010m:53015)
11. L. Hauswirth, H. Rosenberg, J. Spruck, On Complete Mean Curvature 1/2 Surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 16 (5) (2009), 989-1005. MR2471365 (2010d:53009)
12. M. do Carmo, J. Barbosa, J. Eschenburg, Stability of Hypersurfaces of Riemannian Manifolds with Constant Mean Curvature, *Math. Z.* 197 (1988), 123-138. MR917854 (88m:53109)
13. R. Sa Earp, Parabolic and Hyperbolic Screw Motion Surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* (2008), 113-143. MR2460869 (2010d:53067)
14. W. Thurston. *Three-Dimensional Geometry and Topology*. Princeton, 1997. MR1435975 (97m:57016)

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE RIO DE JANEIRO, RIO DE JANEIRO, 22453-900, BRAZIL

E-mail address: penafiel@im.ufrj.br