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Abstract. We investigate the set of biaccessible points for connected polynomial Julia sets of arbitrary degrees \( d \geq 2 \). We prove that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of external angles corresponding to biaccessible points is less than 1, unless the Julia set is an interval. This strengthens theorems of Stanislav Smirnov and Anna Zdunik: they proved that the same set of external angles has zero 1-dimensional measure.

1. Introduction

The filled-in Julia set \( K \) of a polynomial \( p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \), defined as the set of points in \( \mathbb{C} \) with bounded orbits, is often an interesting set with rich topological, combinatorial or geometric properties. In many cases, such a set is a dendrite: a compact connected locally connected set that does not disconnect the plane. In some sense, such a set can often be viewed as an infinite tree. One way to ask our main question is, which proportion of this tree consists of “endpoints”, and which proportion consists of “non-endpoints”? For finite (non-degenerate) trees, there are finitely many endpoints and a continuum of non-endpoints (on the arc); but what if the Julia set is a dendrite? What happens in more general cases of filled-in Julia sets? How are endpoints defined in general?

One way to make this definition precise is to say that a point \( z \) in a tree is an endpoint unless there are points \( x, y \) in the tree, different from \( x \), such that \( z \) is on an injective path connecting \( x \) to \( y \). This definition works best for path-connected Julia sets. The definition that we use is the following: a point \( x \in K \) is an endpoint unless there are two curves in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus K \), not homotopic to each other, that connect \( \infty \) to \( x \). Such points \( x \) are also called biaccessible; an equivalent definition is that two different dynamic rays land at \( x \) (see the next section). Our main result is that in almost all cases, most points in \( K \) (in a very strong sense) are endpoints, unless \( K \) is an interval (a straight line segment).

Theorem (Hausdorff dimension of biaccessible angles). Let \( p \) be a polynomial of degree at least 2 with connected Julia set \( J = \partial K \). Then the biaccessible points have external angles in a set of Hausdorff dimension less than 1, except when \( J \) is an interval.

This result does not have any topological hypotheses on the Julia set other than that it be connected. It need not be locally connected or path connected or uniquely
path connected. One might thus wonder whether a similar statement might be
ture for planar dendrites (or even continua) with certain conformal self-similarity
properties, whether arising in complex dynamics or elsewhere.

Our result extends known theorems by several people. The fact that biaccessible
points have external angles in a set of 1-dimensional measure zero was shown by
Smirnov [13] and Zdunik [17] (in other words, these points have zero harmonic
measure), except if the Julia set is a straight line segment. Earlier, Zakeri [15]
had shown this for quadratic polynomials with locally connected Julia sets.

The Hausdorff dimension of the set of external angles of biaccessible points has
been investigated as well. Zakeri [16] estimated this dimension for certain real
quadratic polynomials, and Bruin and Schleicher [4, Section 14], [5] gave estimates
for all complex quadratic polynomials, as well as for certain subsets of the parameter
space (the Mandelbrot set). Radu [11], in his bachelor’s thesis, proved the same
result as ours for the case of connected polynomial Julia sets satisfying certain
additional hypotheses, including local connectivity of the Julia set and further
assumptions on the critical values.

Remark 1.1. There are also some known lower bounds on the Hausdorff dimension
of external angles of biaccessible points. The dimension is clearly zero for Julia
sets with no or only countably many biaccessible points. Bruin and Schleicher [4,
Section 14], [5] also showed that the dimension is zero for quadratic “Feigenbaum”
polynomials: these are limits of Julia sets with only countably many biaccessible
points. For all other quadratic polynomials with connected Julia sets, the dimension
is strictly positive. It is natural to ask how these results extend to polynomials of
higher degrees and whether the Hausdorff measure of the set of biaccessible angles
in the “right” dimension is finite and positive (at least when the dimension is strictly
positive).

Bruin and Schleicher [4, Section 14], [5] also showed that there can be no uni-
form upper bound on the Hausdorff dimension of biaccessible angles, even for fixed
degrees: there are quadratic polynomials close to $z \mapsto z^2 - 2$, where the biaccessible
points have Hausdorff dimension arbitrarily close to 1.

Further properties of biaccessible points in polynomial Julia sets have been stud-
ied by Zakeri and Schleicher [12, 15].

Remark 1.2. The concept of biaccessibility is a topological one, defined in terms
of homotopy classes of curves outside of the Julia set. However, all our arguments
are combinatorial and would allow us to restate the result in a combinatorial way
(that would actually be slightly stronger because not all dynamic rays must land).
We will briefly discuss this in Section 6.

2. Background

Let $p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, which we may as well assume to be
monic. The filled Julia set of $p$ is the set of all points in $\mathbb{C}$ with bounded forward
orbit under $p$, and the Julia set of $p$ is $J := \partial K$. It satisfies $J = p(J) = p^{-1}(J)$ [9,
Lemma 4.3].

The sets $K$ and $J$ are non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $K$ is full,
i.e., $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected. In this paper, we assume that $J$ (or equivalently $K$) is
connected. In this case, there is a Riemann map
\begin{equation}
\psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus K.
\end{equation}
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When $p$ is monic, then $\psi$ is unique by requiring $\psi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\lim_{z \to \infty} \psi(z)/z \to 1$. This Riemann map satisfies

$$\psi(z^d) = p(\psi(z));$$

i.e., it conjugates $p$ on its basin of $\infty$ to $z \mapsto z^d$.

For $t \in S^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, the image of the radial line $\{\psi(re^{2\pi it}) : r > 1\}$ is called the dynamic ray at (external) angle $t$ and denoted $R_t \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus K$; it satisfies $p(R_t) = R_{dt}$.

Consider the radial limit

$$\gamma(t) = \lim_{r \searrow 1} \psi(re^{2\pi it}).$$

It need not exist for all $t \in S^1$, but it is well known to exist for almost all $t$ \cite[Theorem 18.2]{note}. If this limit exists, one says that the ray $R_t$ lands at the point $\gamma(t) \in J$.

For all angles $t \in S^1$ for which $R_t$ lands, the ray $R_{dt}$ lands as well, and

$$\gamma(dt) = p(\gamma(t)).$$

Thus $\gamma$ is a semiconjugation of multiplication by $d$ on $S^1$ to the action of $p$ on the Julia set (restricted to those angles the rays of which land, and the corresponding landing points). In the particular case when the Julia set $J$ is locally connected, the map $\gamma : S^1 \to J$ is defined everywhere, and it is a continuous surjection $\gamma : S^1 \to J$ \cite[Theorem 18.3]{note}.

We will denote distance on $S^1$ by $\tau$ (normalized so as to inherit the metric locally from $\mathbb{R}$, and always measuring along the shorter of the two arcs connecting two points in $S^1$). For an interval $I \subset S^1$, let $\tau(I)$ denote its length.

A point $z \in J$ is called accessible if $z$ is the landing point of a dynamic ray (by Lindelöf’s theorem, this is equivalent to the existence of a curve in $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ converging to $z$). The point $z$ is called biaccessible if it is the landing point of at least two rays. If two dynamic rays $R_t$ and $R_{t'}$ land together, we call the external angles $t$ and $t'$ biaccessible angles, and we call $(t, t')$ a biaccessible angle pair. Let $\Lambda \subset S^1$ be the set of all angles in biaccessible angle pairs. A ray pair is a set of two dynamic rays that land at a common point (so that their external angles form a biaccessible angle pair).

As usual, a critical point of $p$ is a point $z$ with $p'(z) = 0$, and $p(z)$ is the corresponding critical value.

Remark 2.1. Note that $z$ is biaccessible if and only if $p(z)$ is biaccessible, unless $z$ is a critical point for $p$. This also means that $t$ is a biaccessible angle if and only if so is $dt$, except when $R_t$ lands at a critical point.

Remark 2.2. It may also happen that three or more rays land at a single point. We call such a point “poly-accessible”. It is well known and easy to see that such points always form a countable set \cite[Proposition 2.18]{note} (for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there can only be finitely many landing points of three rays, the angles of which have mutual distance at least $\varepsilon$). If three or more rays land at the same point that is not eventually periodic and not eventually critical, then this landing point is called a “wandering triangle” (or, more generally, a “wandering polygon”), and the number of rays at such points, as well as the number of such orbits, satisfy certain bounds depending on the degree of $d$; in particular, these numbers are finite (compare \cite{note} \cite{note} \cite{note}). If three or more rays land at a periodic point, then either the landing point is repelling or parabolic and the number of rays is finite or the landing point
is a Cremer point (in which case it is not known whether any rays may land at all; compare [12]). In total, there can thus be only countably many poly-accessible points, and except for Cremer points the total number of rays involved is countable.

3. Endpoints of the Julia set

Definition 3.1 (J-Endpoint). A point \( t \in \mathbb{S}^1 \) will be called a J-endpoint of a Julia set if there exists a sequence \( (t_n, t'_n) \) of biaccessible angle pairs such that

\[
t_n \to t, \quad t'_n \to t,
\]

and such that for all large \( n \), the point \( t \) lies in the shorter arc of \( \mathbb{S}^1 \) connecting \( t_n \) and \( t'_n \).

Lemma 3.2 (Trichotomy). For every connected polynomial Julia set \( J \), exactly one of the following three cases holds:

- \( J \) has no biaccessible points and \( \Lambda \) is empty;
- \( J \) is an interval and \( \Lambda \) is \( \mathbb{S}^1 \) minus two points;
- \( J \) has at least three J-endpoints and \( \Lambda \) is dense.

Proof. These three cases are clearly mutually exclusive. If \( \Lambda \) is non-empty, then the preimage of any biaccessible point in \( J \) is a biaccessible point, and it follows easily that \( \Lambda \) is infinite and dense.

Now we show that if \( \Lambda \) is non-empty, then \( J \) has at least two J-endpoints. Consider a biaccessible angle pair \((t, t')\). The angles \( t \) and \( t' \) separate the circle \( \mathbb{S}^1 \) into two open intervals, say \( I \) and \( I' \). Since \( \Lambda \) is dense, there is a biaccessible angle pair \((t_1, t'_1)\) with \( t_1, t'_1 \in I \). Let \( I_1 \subset I \) be the interval bounded by \( t_1 \) and \( t'_1 \). It has strictly smaller length than \( I \); in fact, choosing \( t_1 \) in the middle third of \( I \), we can assure that the length of \( I_1 \) is at most 2/3 of the length of \( I \). Iterating this argument, we find a biaccessible angle pair in arbitrarily small intervals inside \( I \) and hence at least one J-endpoint in \( I \) (i.e., this J-endpoint is in the impression of a ray with angle in \( I \)). In a similar way we find at least one J-endpoint in \( I' \). Thus the Julia set \( J \) has at least two J-endpoints.

If \( J \) has exactly two J-endpoints, then \( J \) is an interval by [17, Lemma 2] (and \( p \) is a Chebyshev polynomial, up to sign); see also [18]. (The idea is this: if a critical value is not a J-endpoint, then the corresponding critical point is a branch point of \( J \) and creates extra J-endpoints; moreover, J-endpoints always map to J-endpoints. This implies that if \( J \) has only two J-endpoints, then it is postcritically finite and has only two critical values. By conjugation, we may suppose that these two critical values are real. The polynomial \( p \) has a Hubbard tree without branch points, and all critical values are endpoints of this tree. The Hubbard tree is thus backwards invariant, so it equals the Julia set.)

For us, the only interesting case is when the Julia set has at least three J-endpoints. We will assume this from now on.

4. Narrow preimages of ray pairs

Let \((t, t')\) be a biaccessible angle pair and \((t_1, t'_1)\) one of its preimage biaccessible angle pairs, i.e., a biaccessible angle pair with \( dt_1 = t \) and \( dt'_1 = t' \). We call the preimage \((t_1, t'_1)\) narrow if \( \tau(t_1, t'_1) = \tau(t, t')/d \). An (iterated) preimage biaccessible angle pair \((t_n, t'_n)\) is called narrow of generation \( n \) if \((t_n, t'_n)\) is obtained from \((t, t')\)
by taking $n$ generations of preimages, and all intermediate preimages are narrow (so that $\tau(t_n, t'_n) = \tau(t, t')/d^n$).

Our assumption that the Julia set has at least three $J$-endpoints implies that there are three biaccessible angle pairs, say $(a, a')$, $(b, b')$ and $(c, c')$, so that none of them separates the other two: we may assume that the cyclic order of these six angles is $a, a', b, b', c, c'$. To simplify the reasoning, suppose that the longer of the two intervals of $S^1 \setminus \{a, a'\}$ contains $\{b, b', c, c'\}$, and similarly for $S^1 \setminus \{b, b'\}$ and $S^1 \setminus \{c, c'\}$. We will call biaccessible angle pairs with this property un-nested. Each Julia set with at least three $J$-endpoints clearly has three such angle pairs.

**Lemma 4.1** (Number of narrow preimage biaccessible angle pairs). Consider a polynomial $p$ of degree $d \geq 2$ and suppose it has three un-nested biaccessible angle pairs. For generations $n \geq 0$, let $s_n$ be the combined number of narrow preimage biaccessible angle pairs of all three biaccessible angle pairs. Then $s_{n+1} \geq ds_n - 2(d-1)$.

**Proof.** Denote the three given biaccessible angle pairs by $(a, a')$, $(b, b')$ and $(c, c')$. The set $\mathbb{C} \setminus R_a \cup R_{a'}$ consists of two components, say $B_a$ and $B_{a'}$. The assumption that the three given ray pairs are non-nested means that one of these components, say $B_{a'}$, contains the other two given ray pairs; define $B_b$ and $B_c$ analogously.

Suppose for simplicity that none of the ray pairs considered lands at a critical value. The immediate preimage of the biaccessible angle pair $(a, a')$ consists of $d$ disjoint biaccessible angle pairs that may or may not be nested. The corresponding ray pairs disconnect $\mathbb{C}$ into $d + 1$ open complementary domains $U_1, \ldots, U_{d+1}$. Each of the $U_j$ maps under $p$ as a proper holomorphic map onto a component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus R_a \cup R_{a'}$, so each $U_j$ has an associated mapping degree that equals the number of critical points on $U_j$ plus 1 (always counting multiplicities). A domain $U_j$ is narrow (i.e., $U_j$ is bounded by a single ray pair, and this ray pair is narrow) if and only if $U_j$ maps conformally onto $B_a$; this implies that $U_j$ does not contain a critical point.

The case with the maximal count of narrow components $U_j$ is when a single domain $U_{d+1}$ contains all $d - 1$ critical points and maps onto $B_{a'}$ with degree $d$, and all other domains $U_1, \ldots, U_d$ map conformally onto $B_a$ and are narrow.

If a domain $U_j$ contains a single critical point, then its mapping degree is 2; if $U_j$ maps to $B_a$, then the count of possible narrow domains $U_j$ decreases by 2. Each further critical point in $U_j$ decreases this count by 1. Therefore, the number of narrow components $U_j$ is at least $d$ minus twice the number of critical points the images of which are in $B_a$.

The same arguments apply to the angle pairs $(b, b')$ and $(c, c')$. Note that the domains $B_a$, $B_b$, and $B_c$ are disjoint, so each critical point that reduces the number of narrow components can count only for one of the three ray pairs. Therefore, the total number of narrow preimages of $(a, a')$, $(b, b')$ and $(c, c')$ is $s_1 \geq 3(d - 2(d - 1)) = d + 2$. All $s_1$ ray pairs are narrow and non-nested. Note that initially we had $s_0 = 3$ angle pairs, and $s_1 \geq ds_0 - 2(d - 1)$, as claimed.

For the inductive step, the three initial angle pairs are replaced by $s_n$ non-nested ray pairs; for these, the argument can be repeated: each of these $s_n$ has at least $ds_n$ narrow preimages minus twice the number of critical points that map into the narrow complementary component of any ray pair, and again each critical point can reduce the count of narrow preimages for only one ray pair, and only by two. This yields the formula $s_{n+1} \geq ds_n - 2(d - 1)$, as claimed.
Finally, if some ray pair lands at a critical value, then some of its preimage ray pairs merge, and the statement remains true for an appropriate choice of rays to form pairs.

Let $E$ be the set of external angles with the property that for each $t \in E$, there are infinitely many narrow biaccessible angle pairs $(t_k, t'_k)$ on the backwards orbit of $(a, a'), (b, b')$, or $(c, c')$ so that the interval $(t_k, t'_k) \subset S^1$ contains $t$, and so that the lengths of these intervals tend to 0. ($E$ stands for “endpoints” of the Julia set.)

**Lemma 4.2.** Angles in $E$ are not part of any biaccessible angle pair.

*Proof.* Suppose that $(t, t')$ is a biaccessible angle pair, but $t \in E$. Then there is a narrow biaccessible angle pair $(t_k, t'_k)$ with $\tau(t_k, t'_k) < \tau(t, t')$ and so that the interval $(t_k, t'_k)$ contains $t$; this interval thus cannot contain $t'$. This is a contradiction unless all the rays at angles $t_k, t'_k, t, t'$ land at the same point. This would imply that for all biaccessible angle pairs $(t_m, t'_m)$ of higher generation than $(t_k, t'_k)$ such that $t$ is contained in the interval $(t_m, t'_m)$, the rays $R_{t_m}$ and $R_{t'_m}$ must also land at the same point, so infinitely many rays would land at this point. We will now show that this is impossible.

Indeed, the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus R_{t_k} \cup R_{t'_k}$ consists of two components; let $U_k$ be the component containing $R_t$, and define $U_m$ similarly. We may assume that both angle pairs are on the backwards orbit of the same angle pair $(a, a'), (b, b')$, or $(c, c')$, and indeed that $(t_m, t'_m)$ is on the backwards orbit of $(t_k, t'_k)$, say after $s > 0$ iterations. Since all biaccessible angle pairs are narrow, this implies that $p^s : U_m \to U_k \supset U_m$ is a conformal isomorphism with conformal inverse $q : U_k \to U_m$. Iterating $q$, it follows that $t$ is a periodic angle, so the landing point is repelling or parabolic, and only finitely many rays land there (compare Remark 2.2).

So all we need to do is prove that $S^1 \setminus E$ has Hausdorff dimension less than 1 (most angles correspond to J-endpoints). We will prove the following result.

**Lemma 4.3.** The Hausdorff dimension of $S^1 \setminus E$ satisfies $\dim_H(S^1 \setminus E) < \eta < 1$, where $\eta$ depends only on $d$ and the lengths of $(a, a'), (b, b')$, and $(c, c')$.

*Remark 4.4.* Let $\alpha$ be the minimum of the three lengths of $(a, a'), (b, b')$, $(c, c')$. The quantity $\alpha$ is, in some sense, a measure of the “size” of branch points of the Julia set: in a locally connected Julia set, a branch point $q$ is the landing point of at least three dynamic rays, and its size can be defined as

$$s(q) = \sup\{\delta > 0 : \text{three rays at angles } t_1, t_2, t_3 \text{ land at } q \text{ with } \tau(t_i, t_j) > \delta \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

This definition coincides with the maximal possible value of $\alpha$ in the locally connected case, so $\alpha$ can indeed be seen as a measure of size of a branch point (a branch point is “small” if all the rays landing at it can be grouped into two sets so that the angles are contained in short intervals).

The proof of Lemma 4.3 will be given, in somewhat abstract form, in the next section.
5. Hausdorff Dimension

Let $g : S^1 \to S^1$ be multiplication of angles by $d \geq 2$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $I_1, I_2, I_3 \subset S^1$ be three disjoint open intervals, each of length $d^{-N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and each bounded by endpoints in $\mathbb{Z}/d^N$ (projected into $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$). Let $I_0 := \{I_1, I_2, I_3\}$. For $n \geq 1$, let $I_n$ be the set of all $g$-preimages of all intervals in $I_{n-1}$, except that $2(d-1)$ intervals are missing in $I_n$. Then $|I_n| = d^n + 2$, and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{I \in I_n} I$$

has Minkowski dimension at most $1 - 3/d^N \log d < 1$.

Proof. We have $|I_0| = 3 = d^0 + 2$ and $|I_{n+1}| = d|I_n| - 2(d-1) = d^{n+1} + 2$ by induction.

The condition on the endpoints assures that whenever $n' > n$, each interval in $I_{n'}$ is either disjoint from or contained in any interval in $I_n$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $A_n := \bigcup_{I \in I_n} I$, and let $c_n$ be the number of intervals of length $d^{-n}$ required to cover $S^1 \setminus A_n$.

The set $S^1 \setminus A_0$ consists of 3 intervals of length less than 1. The set $S^1 \setminus g^{-n}(A_0)$ thus consists of $3d^n$ intervals of length less than $d^{-n}$, for each $n$ (in this argument, we do not delete the $2(d-1)$ intervals in each generation).

In general, we have $c_{n+1} \leq dc_n + 2(d-2)$: taking preimages under $g$ increases the required number of intervals by a factor of $d$ (the length of the intervals decreases by a factor $d$), and each removed interval in $I_{n+1}$ might require an extra covering interval.

Choose $\beta > 0$ so that $\gamma := (1 + \beta)^N (1 - 3d^{-N}) < 1$. There is an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $c_{n+1} \leq (1 + \beta)dc_n$ for all $n \geq M$; possibly by enlarging $M$, suppose that $M$ is divisible by $N$.

The set $S^1 \setminus A_M$ can be covered by some number $C := c_M$ of intervals of length $d^{-M}$. Then $S^1 \setminus A_{M+N}$ can be covered by $c_{M+N} \leq d^N (1 + \beta)^N C$ intervals of length $d^{-(M+N)}$, and $S^1 \setminus (A_{M+N} \cup A_0)$ can be covered by $(d^N - 3)(1 + \beta)^N C = \gamma d^N C$ intervals of the same length, because one in $d^N$ intervals is contained in each of the intervals in $A_0$.

This argument can be repeated: $S^1 \setminus (A_{M+2N} \cup A_N)$ can be covered by $(1 + \beta)^N \gamma d^{2N} C$ intervals of length $d^{-(M+2N)}$, and for $S^1 \setminus (A_{M+2N} \cup A_N \cup A_0)$, at most $(1 - 3d^{-N})(1 + \beta)^N \gamma d^{2N} C = \gamma^2 d^{2N} C$ intervals are required.

Let us focus on the case $m = 0$ in the claim: we are interested in the set $S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n$, and this is contained in

$$S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{k \geq 0} A_{kN} \subset S^1 \setminus \left( A_{M+kN} \cup A_{(K-1)N} \cup A_{(K-2)N} \cup \cdots \cup A_N \cup A_0 \right),$$

and this latter set can be covered by $\gamma K d^{KN} C$ intervals of length $d^{-(M+KN)}$.

For the Minkowski dimension, we get the upper bound

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\log(\gamma K d^{KN} C)}{\log d^{M+KN}} = \frac{\log(\gamma d^N)}{\log d^N} = 1 + \frac{\log(1 - 3d^{-N}) + N \log(1 + \beta)}{\log d^N}.$$

Now $\beta$ can be chosen arbitrarily close to 0. Since $\log(1 - 3d^{-N}) < -3d^{-N}$, the dimension is bounded above by $1 - 3d^{-N}/\log d$. 
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Now we treat the case \( m > 0 \). The set \( S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n \) can be covered by \( \gamma^K d^K N C \) intervals of length \( d^{-M+K} \), for every \( K \geq 0 \), so \( S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n \) can be covered by \( (1+\beta)^m \gamma^K d^K N + m C \) intervals of length \( d^{-(M+K)+m} \), and this leads to the same dimension. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.2.** Using the notation of the previous lemma, the set

\[
S^1 \setminus \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n} I
\]

has Hausdorff dimension at most \( 1 - 3/d^N N \log d \).

**Proof.** By the lemma, each set \( B_m := S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n = S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n} I \) satisfies the same upper bound for Minkowski dimension, hence Hausdorff dimension. The set we are interested in is \( S^1 \setminus \bigcap_{m} \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n = \bigcup_m (S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n) = \bigcup_m B_m \), and Hausdorff dimension is stable under countable unions. \( \square \)

**Proof of Lemma 4.3.** If the Julia set has three J-endpoints, then there are three biaccessible angle pairs \( (a, a') \), \( (b, b') \), \( (c, c') \) so that the three intervals \( (a, a') \), \( (b, b') \), \( (c, c') \) (as subsets of \( S^1 \)) are disjoint. Let \( \alpha > 0 \) be the minimum of their lengths. Their combined number of narrow preimages of generation 1 is at least \( 3d - 2(d + 2) = d + 2 \) by Lemma 4.1. If \( d \geq 5 \), then at least one of these three intervals, say \( (a, a') \), has three narrow preimages of length \( \alpha/d \).

Call these intervals \( I_1, I_2, I_3 \). The number of narrow preimages of further generations grows as in Lemma 4.1. If we construct sets of intervals \( \mathcal{I}_n \) as above and set \( A_n := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n} I \), then we have \( E = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n \).

Our intervals do not yet satisfy the condition on the form of the endpoints, so we cannot directly apply the corollary. Restricting \( (a, a') \) to a subinterval of length at least \( 1/(2d) \) times the original length, we obtain an interval \( I_0 \subset (a, a') \) that is bounded by two numbers \( k/d^N \) and \( (k+1)/d^N \) for \( k, N \in \mathbb{N} \). This yields smaller sets \( A_n \) and a smaller set \( E \), hence a larger set \( S^1 \setminus E \). The corollary applied to this larger set shows that \( S^1 \setminus E \) has Hausdorff dimension less than 1.

If \( d \in \{3, 4\} \), then we might have to resort to narrow intervals of generation two, of which there are at least \( d^2 + 2 \geq 11 \) for all three intervals combined, and the argument proceeds as above (except that the dimension formula uses intervals of length \( \alpha/d^2 \), rather than \( \alpha/d \)).

Finally, if \( d = 2 \), then we have to go one generation further. \( \square \)

This also proves the theorem.

6. A REMARK ON LAMINATIONS AND ENTROPY

As mentioned earlier, our results can also be stated in terms of laminations of the Julia set, as developed by Thurston [11, Chapter II]. In the complex unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \), we identify the boundary \( \partial \mathbb{D} = S^1 / \mathbb{Z} \) with the set of external angles. For a given connected polynomial Julia set \( J \), we connect two angles \( \alpha, \beta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \) by a geodesic of \( \mathbb{D} \) whenever the two rays at angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) land at a common (biaccessible) point. One usually uses hyperbolic geodesics for this purpose because this yields clearer pictures, even though the results are equivalent. Every biaccessible point is thus represented by an arc in \( \mathbb{D} \); poly-accessible points are represented by polygons. The *lamination* \( \mathcal{L} \) is the closure of all these arcs (if the Julia set is locally connected,
then the set of arcs is closed to begin with), and each arc is called a leaf in this lamination. A degenerate leaf is one that connects an angle to itself (it is a point).

All our arguments, especially those in the key Section 4, are combinatorial in nature and can thus be stated entirely in terms of Julia set laminations or, more combinatorially, in terms of abstract laminations that satisfy certain natural invariance properties as discussed in [14, Chapter II] (for the relation of laminations to Julia sets, see the appendix in [14]). We thus obtain an estimate about the Hausdorff dimension of the set of endpoints of non-degenerate leaves in an invariant lamination. This setting relates to recent work of Thurston (as communicated in various talks and personal communications) as follows: if this dimension of endpoints is \( \eta \), then the union of the leaves has dimension \( 1 + \eta \). This dimension is related to the core entropy of a postcritically finite Julia set: such a Julia set has a Hubbard tree (defined as a minimal invariant tree connecting all postcritical points), and the core entropy is the entropy of the polynomial restricted to the Hubbard tree. If \( H \) denotes the core entropy, then \( \eta = H / \log d \). Thurston’s formula thus relates the topological concept of biaccessible points, and more precisely the geometric concept of the Hausdorff dimension of their angles, to the dynamic concept of entropy on the Hubbard tree.
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