

ON CONTRACTIBLE ORBIFOLDS

ALEXANDER LYTCHAK

(Communicated by Alexander N. Dranishnikov)

ABSTRACT. We prove that a contractible orbifold is a manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Following [Dav10], we call an orbifold X *contractible* if all of its orbifold homotopy groups $\pi_i^{orb}(X), i \geq 1$, vanish. We refer the reader to [Dav10] and the literature therein for basics about orbifolds. Davis has asked in [Dav10] whether any contractible orbifold X must be developable. In this note we answer this question affirmatively.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth contractible orbifold. Then it is a manifold.*

Proof. Since X is contractible, it is orientable. Let n be the dimension of X . Define on X a Riemannian metric and let the smooth manifold M be the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames on X (cf. [Hae84]). Then $G = SO(n)$ acts effectively and almost freely on M with $X = M/G$.

Let E denote a contractible CW complex on which G act freely, with quotient $E/G = BG$, the classifying space of G . Then $\hat{X} = (M \times E)/G$ is a model for the *classifying space of X* (cf. [Hae84]). By definition, the orbifold homotopy groups of X are the usual homotopy groups of \hat{X} . Thus, by our assumption, the topological space \hat{X} is contractible.

The projection $M \times E \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a homotopy fibration. Thus the contractibility of \hat{X} implies that the embedding of any orbit of G into $M \times E$ is a homotopy equivalence between G and $M \times E$. Since E is contractible, the projection $M \times E \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy equivalence as well. Therefore, for any $p \in M$, the composition $o_p : G \rightarrow G \cdot p \rightarrow M$ given by orbit map $o_p(g) := g \cdot p$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Assume now that X is not a manifold. Then G does not act freely on M . Thus, for some $p \in M$, the stabilizer G_p of p is a finite non-trivial group. Then the orbit map $o_p : G \rightarrow M$ factors through the quotient map $\pi_p : G \rightarrow G/G_p$. Since the orbit map is a homotopy equivalence, there must exist some map $i : G/G_p \rightarrow G$ such that $i \circ \pi : G \rightarrow G$ is a homotopy equivalence. However, the manifolds G and G/G_p are orientable and the map $G \rightarrow G/G_p$ is a covering of degree $|G_p|$. Thus, for $m = \dim(G) = n(n-1)/2$, the image of π_p^* in $H^m(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $H^m(G, \mathbb{Z})$ of index $|G_p|$. In particular, $(i \circ \pi)^*$ cannot be surjective, a contradiction. \square

Received by the editors November 11, 2011 and, in revised form, November 23, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R18.

Key words and phrases. Contractible orbifold, classifying space.

The author was supported by a Heisenberg grant of the DFG and by the SFB 878 Groups, Geometry and Actions.

A small observation on the proof above: If we do not assume X to be contractible but merely k -connected, then the orbit map $o_p : G \rightarrow M$ is k -connected as well. Thus, for any $l < k$, the map $H^l(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^l(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Since the orbit map $o_p : G \rightarrow M$ factorizes through $\pi_p : G \rightarrow G/G_p$, we deduce as above that $H^l(G/G_p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^l(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective, for all $l < k$. If X is not a manifold, i.e., if some G_p is non-trivial, the above contradiction shows that $k \leq n(n-1)/2$. However, recall that the free part of $H^*(G)$ is generated by elements of degree at most $2n-3$ ([Hat02], p. 300). Hence, if G_p is non-trivial, the map $H^l(G/G_p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^l(G, \mathbb{Z})$ cannot be surjective for all $l \leq 2n-3$. We deduce that X is a manifold if it is $(2n-2)$ -connected.

We believe that this observation is far from optimal in high dimensions. In fact, we do not know of a single example of a 4-connected non-developable orbifold. We would like to finish the note by formulating two problems.

Problem 1.2. Do highly connected non-developable orbifolds exist?

Problem 1.3. Does an analogue of Theorem 1.1 hold true for non-smooth orbifolds? Does it hold true for étale groupoids of isometries?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Mike Davis and Burkhard Wilking for helpful discussions. He is also indebted to the anonymous referee for finding a mistake in an extension of the main theorem.

REFERENCES

- [Dav10] M. Davis. Lectures on orbifolds and reflection groups. In *Transformation groups and moduli spaces of curves*, Higher Education Press, pages 63–93. Springer-Verlag, 2010. MR2883685
- [Hae84] A. Haefliger. Groupoïdes d’holonomie et classifiants. *Asterisque*, 116:70–97, 1984. MR755163 (86c:57026a)
- [Hat02] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002. MR1867354 (2002k:55001)

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

E-mail address: lytchak@math.uni-bonn.de

Current address: Universität zu Köln, Mathematisches Institut, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Germany

E-mail address: alytchak@math.uni-koeln.de