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Abstract. We construct uncountably generated algebras inside the following sets of special functions: (i) Sierpiński-Zygmund functions, (ii) perfectly everywhere surjective functions, (iii) nowhere continuous Darboux functions. All conclusions obtained in this paper are improvements of some already known results.

1. Preliminaries and background

This paper is a contribution to the very recent trend in mathematical analysis of the search for large algebraic structures (linear spaces or algebras) enjoying what one could call “special” properties. As it has become a usual notion nowadays, given a certain property we say that the subset $M$ of a topological vector space $X$ which satisfies it is $\mu$-lineable (respectively, $\mu$-spaceable) if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space (respectively, a closed vector space) of dimension $\mu$ (finite or infinite). If $M$ contains an infinite-dimensional (closed) vector space, it shall be simply called lineable (respectively, spaceable) for short. These notions of lineability and algebrability were coined by V. I. Gurariy in the early 2000’s and first introduced in [4,42].

In recent years many examples of vector spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ enjoying certain special properties have been constructed. Although these latter notions of lineability and spaceability did not appear until recently, the origins of this theory date back to 1966, when a famous example due to Gurariy (32,33) showed that there exists an infinite-dimensional linear space every non-zero element of which is a continuous nowhere differentiable function on $C[0,1]$. (This result was later improved, and even Banach spaces of such functions were constructed.) More recently, many authors have become interested in this subject and have given a wide range of examples. For instance, in [4] it was shown that the set of everywhere surjective functions in $\mathbb{R}$ is $2^c$-lineable (where $c$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathbb{R}$) and that the set of differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which are nowhere monotone is lineable in $C(\mathbb{R})$. These behaviors occur, sometimes, in particularly interesting ways.
For example, in [34], Hencl showed that any separable Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of $C[0,1]$ whose non-zero elements are nowhere approximately differentiable and nowhere Hölder. We refer the interested reader to [2, 3, 10, 18, 15, 17, 20, 21, 27, 29, 39] for a wider range of results in this topic of lineability and spaceability.

Of course, one could go further and consider not just linear spaces but, instead, larger or more complex structures. For instance, in [1] the authors showed that there exists an uncountably generated algebra every non-zero element of which is an everywhere surjective function on $\mathbb{C}$, and in [5] it was shown that if $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is a set of measure zero and if $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the subset of $C(\mathbb{T})$ of continuous functions whose Fourier series expansion diverges at every point of $E$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$ contains an infinitely generated and dense subalgebra. These previous examples motivated the notion of algebrability. This notion was first introduced in [5, 6], and here we give a slightly simplified version of it that shall be sufficient for our purposes.

**Definition 1.1** ([5, 6]). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an algebra. A set $A \subset \mathcal{L}$ is said to be $\beta$-algebrable if there exists an algebra $\mathcal{B}$ so that $\mathcal{B} \subset A \cup \{0\}$ and $\text{card}(Z) = \beta$, where $\beta$ is a cardinal number and $Z$ is a minimal system of generators of $\mathcal{B}$. Here, by $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a minimal system of generators of $\mathcal{B}$ we mean that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}(Z)$ is the algebra generated by $Z$, and for every $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$, $z_{\alpha_0} \notin \mathcal{A}(Z \setminus \{z_{\alpha_0}\})$. We also say that $A$ is algebrable if $A$ is $\beta$-algebrable for $\beta$ infinite.

**Remark 1.2.**
(i) Notice that if $Z$ is a minimal infinite system of generators of $\mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{A}(Z') \neq \mathcal{B}$ for any $Z' \subset Z$ such that $\text{card}(Z') < \text{card}(Z)$.

The result is not true for finite systems of generators: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ with coordinate-wise multiplication. $X$ is a Banach algebra with unit $(1,1)$. The set $\{(1,0), (0,1)\}$ is a minimal system of generators of $X$. However, $X$ is also single generated by $u = (1,i)$: Consider $P : X \to X, P(s, t) = (s^2, t^2)$. Note that $P(u) = (1,-1)$, and so we get $\frac{1}{1+t^2}(u - P(u)) = (0, 1) \in X$. Similarly, we also have $(1,0) \in X$.

(ii) Of course, notice that algebrability implies lineability, and the converse (in general) does not hold. For instance (and as was proved in [30]), given any unbounded interval $I$, the set of Riemann-integrable functions on $I$ that are not Lebesgue-integrable is lineable and not algebrable.

There also exists a strengthening of the notion of algebrability (see [9]). Given a cardinality $\kappa$, we say that $A$ is a $\kappa$-generated free algebra if there exists a subset $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of $A$ such that any function $f$ from $X$ to some algebra $A'$ can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism from $A$ to $A'$. Then $X$ is called a set of free generators of the algebra $A$. A subset $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of a commutative algebra $B$ generates a free subalgebra $A$ if and only if for each polynomial $P$ and any $x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_n}$ we have $P(x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_n}) = 0$ if and only if $P = 0$. Also, let us recall that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset E$ is a set of free generators of a free algebra $A \subset E$ if and only if the set $\hat{X}$ of elements of the form $x_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} x_{\alpha_2}^{k_2} \cdots x_{\alpha_n}^{k_n}$ is linearly independent and all linear combinations of elements from $\hat{X}$ are in $E \cup \{0\}$. With this at hand, let us recall the following definition (see [9]).

**Definition 1.3.** We say that a subset $E$ of a commutative linear algebra $B$ is strongly $\kappa$-algebrable if there exists a $\kappa$-generated free algebra $A$ contained in $E \cup \{0\}$. 
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In general, there are subsets of linear algebras which are algebrable but not strongly algebrable (for instance, $c_{00}$ is algebrable in $c_0$ but is not strongly $1$-algebrable, as is shown in [9]).

This paper is mainly devoted to the thorough study of the following recently considered classes of functions:

- Sierpiński-Zygmund functions.
- Perfectly everywhere surjective functions.
- Nowhere continuous Darboux functions (nowhere continuous functions mapping connected sets to connected sets).

These latter classes have recently been considered in [23,26,28], where the authors proved the existence of infinite-dimensional linear spaces inside each of the previous classes. In particular, in [26] Theorem 5.6 it was proved that the set of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions is $c^+$-lineable. In [26] Theorem 2.6] the authors showed the $2^c$-lineability of the set of perfectly everywhere surjective functions, and in [27,28] similar results were obtained on the class of nowhere continuous functions mapping connected sets to connected sets. The aim of this paper is to improve all the previously mentioned results by obtaining algebrability and strong algebrability where only lineability was previously reached. In particular, and among other results, we shall prove that there exists an uncountably generated algebra every non-zero element of which is a nowhere continuous Darboux function (Theorem 4.6) and that both the set of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions (Theorem 2.6) and the set of perfectly everywhere surjective functions (Theorem 3.5) are (respectively) strongly $c^+$-algebrable and $2^c$-algebrable.

We shall use standard set-theoretical notation. As usual, $\omega$ shall denote the cardinality of $\mathbb{N}$ and $c$ shall denote the continuum. Also, we shall identify each cardinal number with the first ordinal of the same cardinality. Then a cardinal $\kappa$ is equal to the set of all ordinals less than $\kappa$, denoted $\kappa = \{\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$. The rest of the notation shall be rather usual. Classical real analysis and abstract algebra techniques together with set theory and cardinal theory shall be used throughout the paper.

2. Sierpiński-Zygmund functions

This section shall be devoted to a type of function that first appeared in the early 1920’s (see [43]) and is due to W. Sierpiński and A. Zygmund. A clear consequence of the classic Luzin’s Theorem is that, for every measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, of infinite measure, such that $f|_S$ is continuous. On the other hand, given any arbitrary function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, is it possible to find a dense set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_S$ is continuous? The answer to this question was given by Blumberg ([14]):

**Theorem 2.1** (Blumberg). **Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function. There exists a dense subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the function $f|_S$ is continuous.**

Checking the proof of Blumberg’s theorem (see [36] p. 154), it can be noticed that the set $S$ above is countable. Naturally, we could wonder whether we can choose the set $S$ in Blumberg’s theorem to be uncountable. This was partially answered (in the negative) by Sierpiński and Zygmund a year later in [43] (see also [36] pp. 165-166] for a modern reference).
Theorem 2.2 (Sierpiński-Zygmund). There exists a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ of cardinality the continuum, the restriction $f|_Z$ is not a Borel map (and, in particular, not continuous).

Notice that if the Continuum Hypothesis holds, the restriction of this function to any uncountable set is not continuous. The Continuum Hypothesis is necessary in this frame. Shinoda ([41]) showed that if both Martin’s Axiom and the negation of the Continuum Hypothesis hold, then for every $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists an uncountable set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_Z$ is continuous. Following the notation from [26], we say that a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Sierpiński-Zygmund function if it satisfies the condition in Sierpiński-Zygmund’s Theorem and the set of these functions is denoted by

$$SZ(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is a Sierpiński-Zygmund function} \}.$$

In [26, Theorems 5.6 and 5.10] it was proved that the set $SZ(\mathbb{R})$ is $c^+$-lineable and, also, $c$-algebrable. As a consequence, assuming that $c^+ = 2^c$ (which follows, for instance, from the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis or GCH), $SZ(\mathbb{R})$ is also $2^c$-lineable. Up until the writing of this paper it was not known whether any additional set-theoretical assumptions were needed in order to show the $2^c$-lineability of $SZ(\mathbb{R})$. In Theorem 2.6 we shall improve these latter results from [26] by providing a new technique that will show that the set of all Sierpiński-Zygmund functions is actually $\kappa$-algebrable for some $c^+ \leq \kappa \leq 2^c$.

Let us recall that, besides its intrinsic mathematical interest, this class of Sierpiński-Zygmund function has attracted the interest of many mathematicians, especially in the area of real analysis, since its appearance at the beginning of the 20th century (see, e.g., [8,18,19,40]). In [8] it was shown that there exists a Darboux function that is also in $SZ(\mathbb{R})$ and that there exists a model of ZFC in which there are no such functions.

Let us begin by giving certain results that shall be needed throughout this section.

Lemma 2.3. Let $P$ be a non-zero polynomial in $n + 1$ variables. Then the set

$$\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ P(x, y) = 0 \}$$

is finite.

Proof. Suppose that the set $\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \ P(x, y) = 0 \}$ is infinite. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the polynomial $x \mapsto P(x, y)$ in one variable has infinitely many zeros, and therefore it vanishes for every $x$. Hence $P \equiv 0$. □

Lemma 2.4. Let $P$ be a family of non-zero polynomials of cardinality less than $c$. Then there exists a set $Y = \{ y_\xi : \xi < c \} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(y_{\xi_1}, y_{\xi_2}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}) \neq 0$ for any $n \geq 1$, any polynomial $P \in P$ in $n$ variables and any $n$ distinct ordinals $\xi_i < c$.

Proof. Let $\lambda = |P|$. By $P_\lambda$ we denote the set of polynomials from $P$ in $n$ variables. Without loss of generality we may assume that $P$ is closed under taking permutations of variables; i.e. for any $n$, $P \in P_\lambda$ and any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, the polynomial $Q$ defined by

$$Q(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = P(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

is in $P$. □
We define \( \{ y_\xi : \xi < \alpha \} \subset \mathbb{R} \) by induction. Suppose that we have already defined \( Y_\alpha = \{ y_\xi : \xi < \alpha \} \subset \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & \forall n < \min\{ \omega, \alpha + 1 \} \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_n \forall \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \ldots < \xi_n < \alpha(P(y_{\xi_1}, y_{\xi_2}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}) \neq 0), \\
(2) \quad & \forall n \forall m < \min\{ n, \alpha + 1 \} \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_n \forall \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \ldots < \xi_m < \alpha \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}(P(y_{\xi_1}, y_{\xi_2}, \ldots, y_{\xi_m}, y) \neq 0).
\end{align*}
\]

We find \( y_\alpha \notin Y_\alpha \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(1') \quad & \forall n < \min\{ \omega, \alpha + 1 \} \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \forall \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \ldots < \xi_n < \alpha(P(y_{\xi_1}, y_{\xi_2}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}, y_\alpha) \neq 0), \\
(2') \quad & \forall n \forall m < \min\{ n, \alpha + 1 \} \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \forall \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \ldots < \xi_m < \alpha \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}(P(y_{\xi_1}, y_{\xi_2}, \ldots, y_{\xi_m}, y_\alpha, y) \neq 0).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that, by (2), for a given \( P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \) and \( \xi_1 < \ldots < \xi_n < \alpha \), the set \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : P(y_{\xi_1}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}, x) = 0 \} \) is finite. Therefore the set

\[
W := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n < \min\{ \omega, \alpha + 1 \} \exists P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \exists \xi_1 < \ldots < \xi_n < \alpha(P(y_{\xi_1}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}, x) = 0) \}
\]

is of cardinality less than \( \max\{ \omega, \alpha, \lambda \} < c \).

Now, by Lemma 2.3 and (2), we have that for a given \( P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \), \( m < \min\{ n, \alpha + 1 \} \) and \( \xi_1 < \ldots < \xi_m < \alpha \), the set \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : P(y_{\xi_1}, \ldots, y_{\xi_m}, x) = 0 \} \) for all \( y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \) is finite. Therefore the set

\[
X := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n \exists m < \min\{ n, \alpha + 1 \} \exists P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \exists \xi_1 < \ldots < \xi_n < \alpha \\
\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}(P(y_{\xi_1}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}, x, y) = 0) \}
\]

is of cardinality less than \( \max\{ \omega, \alpha, \lambda \} < c \). Since \( Y_\alpha \) is of cardinality less than \( c \), we can find \( y_\alpha \), which is not in \( Y_\alpha \cup W \cup X \). This finishes the inductive proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a family of non-zero real polynomials with no constant term and let \( X \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) both of cardinality less than \( c \). Then there exists a set \( Y = \{ y_\xi : \xi < c \} \subset \mathbb{R} \) such that \( P(y_{\xi_1}, y_{\xi_2}, \ldots, y_{\xi_n}) \notin X \) for any \( n \), any polynomial \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) and any \( n \) distinct ordinals \( \xi_i < c \).

**Proof.** Consider the family of polynomials \( \mathcal{P}' = \{ P - x : P \in \mathcal{P}, x \in X \} \). Then using Lemma 2.4 for \( \mathcal{P}' \) we easily obtain the assertion. \( \square \)

Before stating and proving the main result of this section, let us recall the set-theoretical notion of *almost disjoint sets*. Although this notion admits several variants depending on the framework we are working on, given \( A \) and \( B \) any two subsets of \( \mathbb{R} \) of cardinality \( c \), we shall say that \( A \) and \( B \) are almost disjoint if \( |A \cap B| < c \) (see, e.g., [21] Section 1). Let us recall that the existence of \( 2^c \) almost disjoint subsets of the real line, each of them of cardinality \( c \), follows, for instance, from Martin’s Axiom (MA) and CH (see, e.g., [37]). It is also known that, in general (see [21]), there exists an almost disjoint family of cardinality \( \kappa^+ \) on \( \kappa \).

Now, with this at hand (and in the usual framework of ZFC) it is time to state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.6.** The set of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions is strongly \( \kappa \)-algebrable, provided there exists a family of \( \kappa \) almost disjoint subsets of \( \mathbb{R} \).
Proof. Let \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\} \) be a well-ordering of all Borel functions and write \( \mathbb{R} = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\} \). Finally, let \( \{P_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\} \) denote the set of all non-zero polynomials without constant term. Define, inductively, \( \{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\} \) as a family of subsets of \( \mathbb{R} \), each of which has cardinality \( \xi \). At the stage \( \alpha \) we use Lemma \ref{lemma} for \( X := \{g_\lambda(x_\alpha) : \lambda \leq \alpha\} \) and \( P := \{P_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha\} \) to define \( Y_\alpha \). Next, let \( Y_\alpha = \{y^{\alpha}_{\xi} : \xi < \eta\} \). Let \( \{N_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \) be a set of almost disjoint subsets of \( \xi \), each of cardinality \( \xi \). For any \( \zeta < \kappa \) let \( \{\zeta(\xi) : \xi < \zeta\} \) be an increasing enumeration of \( N_\zeta \) and define \( f_\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( f_\zeta(x_\alpha) = y^{\alpha}_{\zeta(\alpha)} \). It suffices to show that \( \{f_\zeta : \xi < \kappa\} \) is a set of free generators of an algebra consisting of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions. Let \( \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \ldots < \zeta_n < \kappa \), \( P_\beta \) be a polynomial in \( n \) variables, \( g_\gamma \) be a Borel function and \( Z \) be any subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) of cardinality \( \kappa \). Since \( \{N_\zeta : \zeta < \kappa\} \) is an almost disjoint family of \( \xi \), there is \( \xi < \xi \) such that \( N_{\xi_1}, N_{\xi_2}, \ldots, N_{\xi_n} \) are disjoint above \( \zeta \) (i.e. \( N_{\xi_i} \cap (\xi, \xi) \) are pairwise disjoint, where \( (\xi, \xi) \) is the set consisting of all ordinals between \( \xi \) and \( \xi \)). Since \( Z \) is of cardinality \( \kappa \), there are \( \alpha < \xi \) with \( \alpha > \max\{\beta, \gamma, \zeta\} \) and \( x_\alpha \in Z \). Since \( \alpha \) is greater than \( \zeta \), then \( f_{\zeta_1}(x_\alpha), f_{\zeta_2}(x_\alpha), \ldots, f_{\zeta_n}(x_\alpha) \) are distinct points of \( Y_\alpha \). Since \( \alpha \) is greater than \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \), by construction \( P_\beta(f_{\zeta_1}, f_{\zeta_2}, \ldots, f_{\zeta_n}) \) differs from \( g_\gamma \) at the point \( x_\alpha \in Z \). Therefore \( P_\beta(f_{\zeta_1}, f_{\zeta_2}, \ldots, f_{\zeta_n}) \) is a Sierpiński-Zygmund function and the result follows. \( \square \)

Of course, we have the following consequences.

**Corollary 2.7.** The set of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions is strongly \( c^+ \)-algebrable.

**Corollary 2.8.** If one of the following set-theoretical assumption holds:

- Martin’s Axiom or
- CH or
- \( c^+ = 2^\omega \),

then the set of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions is strongly \( 2^\omega \)-algebrable.

Also, and from the previous corollary, we can obtain (as particular cases) \cite{26} Theorem 5.6] and \cite{26} Theorem 5.10]. The natural question now follows:

**Question 2.9.** Is it necessary to add any additional hypothesis to ZFC in order to obtain \( 2^\omega \)-algebraability (or even \( 2^\omega \)-lineability) of \( \mathcal{SZ}(\mathbb{R}) \)?

### 3. Perfectly everywhere surjective functions

In the last few years many authors have been interested in the study of different degrees of surjectivity of functions in \( \mathbb{R} \) (see, e.g., \cite{14} 6, \cite{23}). Lebesgue \cite{38} (see also \cite{31}) was probably the first to give an example of a function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) with the property that on every non-trivial interval \( I \), \( f(I) = \mathbb{R} \). In \cite{1} the authors proved that the set of these so-called everywhere surjective functions is \( 2^\omega \)-lineable, which is the best possible result in terms of dimension. One could think that, in terms of surjectivity, these everywhere surjective functions are somewhat the most pathological. Well, actually (and as we shall see in this and the following section) even more pathological surjective functions exist. For instance, there exists a function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that for every perfect set \( P \) and for every \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) the set \( \{x \in P : f(x) = r\} \) has cardinality \( \omega \) (see \cite{22} pp. 124-125) and \cite{26} Example 2.1]. The latter functions are called perfectly everywhere surjective. A perfectly everywhere surjective function actually attains every real value \( \omega \) times on any perfect set. Clearly, if \( f \) is perfectly everywhere surjective, then \( f \) is everywhere surjective.
and the converse is false (see [26, Example 2.2]). In this section we shall consider complex functions \( f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) and we shall say that \( f \) is perfectly everywhere surjective, provided \( f(P) = \mathbb{C} \) for every perfect subset \( P \) of the complex plane. Recently, it was proved that:

**Theorem 3.1** ([26]). The set of perfectly everywhere surjective functions on \( \mathbb{C} \) is \( \omega \)-algebraable.

Here, our aim is to improve the above theorem by proving that the set of perfectly everywhere surjective functions on \( \mathbb{C} \) is, actually, \( 2^\omega \)-algebraable, which (in terms of cardinalities and dimensions) is the best possible result. From now on we shall denote

\[
\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C}) = \{ f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is perfectly everywhere surjective} \}.
\]

Let us begin by recalling that a subset \( B \) of \( \mathbb{C} \) is called a Bernstein set if \( B \) and its complement \( B^c \) meet every perfect subset of \( \mathbb{C} \). Since every perfect set \( P \) contains \( \mathfrak{c} \) many perfect subsets, then \( P \cap B \) has cardinality \( \mathfrak{c} \). Note that any non-constant polynomial \( Q : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) is a surjective entire function, and thus if \( f \) is perfectly everywhere surjective, then so is \( Q(f) \). Let us start with the following remark:

**Remark 3.2.** Let \( P \neq 0 \) be a polynomial in \( n \) variables without constant term. Let \( \varepsilon_i \in \{0,1\} \) for every \( i \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \). Then the function \( P(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 x, \ldots, \varepsilon_n x) \) is either zero or a polynomial in one variable \( x \) with no constant terms.

The following proposition shall be necessary in order to prove the main result of this section, and its proof follows the same spirit as that in [26, Example 2.1].

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( B \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a Bernstein set. There exists \( f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C}) \) which is identically 0 on the complement of \( B \).

**Proof.** First of all let us recall that if \( P \) is a perfect set, then \( P \cap B \) has cardinality \( \mathfrak{c} \). Now, the cardinality of the collection of pairs \(( P \cap B, y) \) where \( P \) is a perfect set and \( y \in \mathbb{C} \) is \( \mathfrak{c} \). Let \( \{ A_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \} \) be a well-ordering of this set and notice that each perfect set \( P \) occurs \( \mathfrak{c} \) times at a first part of a pair \(( P \cap B, y) \).

Assume that \( A_0 = (P_0 \cap B, y_0) \), choose \( x_0 \in P_0 \cap B \) and define \( f(x_0) = y_0 \). Let us assume now that, for each \( \beta < \alpha \), \( A_\beta = (P_\beta \cap B, y_\beta) \) and that a point \( x_\beta \in P_\beta \cap B \) has been chosen in such a way that for every \( \gamma < \beta \), \( x_\beta \neq x_\gamma \) and with \( f(x_\beta) = y_\beta \). Next, let \( A_\alpha = (P_\alpha \cap B, y_\alpha) \). Since the cardinality of the set \( \{ x_\beta : \beta < \alpha \} \) is less than \( \mathfrak{c} \), there exists \( x_\alpha \in (P_\alpha \cap B) \setminus \{ x_\beta : \beta < \alpha \} \). Now, define \( f(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha \). Thus, for each \( \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \), a point has been chosen so that for \( \beta < \alpha \), \( x_\alpha \neq x_\beta \) and \( f(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha \). If \( x \notin \{ x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \} \), we define \( f(x) = 0 \). By doing this, \( f \) is now defined on \( \mathbb{C} \).

Now, if \( P \) is any perfect set and \( y \in \mathbb{C} \), then there exists \( \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \) such that \( (P \cap B, y) = A_\alpha \). Therefore there exists \( x = x_\alpha \in P \cap B \) with \( f(x) = y \), and thus \( f(P) \supseteq f(P \cap B) = \mathbb{C} \). Also, and by construction, it can be checked that \( f \) is identically 0 on \( B^c \).

Before carrying on, let us first recall the notion of independence in abstract set theory (see, e.g., [4]).

**Definition 3.4.** A family \( (A_\alpha) \) of subsets of \( \kappa \) (or any fixed set of cardinality \( \kappa \)) is called independent if the set \( A_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_n} \) has cardinality \( \kappa \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), distinct \( s_1, \ldots, s_n \) and any \( i_k \in \{0,1\} \), where we denote \( A^0 = A \) and \( A^1 \) stands for the complement of \( A \) for any set \( A \).
From a general result due to Balcar and Franěk (see [7]), it follows that for any set of cardinality \( \kappa \), there exists an independent family of its subsets of cardinality the largest possible, i.e. \( 2^\kappa \). This shall be used in the main result of this section, which we now state and prove.

**Theorem 3.5.** The set \( \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C}) \) is \( 2^\mathfrak{c} \)-algebrable.

**Proof.** Let \( \{A_\zeta : \zeta < 2^\mathfrak{c}\} \) be an independent family of subsets of \( \mathfrak{c} \). Let \( \{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \) be a family of pairwise disjoint Bernstein subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \). Let \( g_\alpha \) be a perfectly everywhere surjective function as in Proposition 3.3 (for \( B_\alpha \)). Let us define \( f_\zeta : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) as follows:

\[
  f_\zeta(x) = \begin{cases} 
    g_\alpha(x) & \text{if } x \in B_\alpha \text{ and } \alpha \in A_\zeta, \\
    0 & \text{otherwise.}
  \end{cases}
\]

We shall prove that \( \{f_\zeta : \zeta < 2^\mathfrak{c}\} \) generates an algebra consisting of the perfectly everywhere surjective functions. Let \( \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \ldots < \zeta_n < 2^\mathfrak{c} \) and let \( P \) be a polynomial in \( n \) variables. It suffices to show that \( P(f_{\zeta_1}, f_{\zeta_2}, \ldots, f_{\zeta_n}) \) is in \( \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C}) \) or is the zero function. Let \( \alpha \in A_{\zeta_1} \cap \ldots \cap A_{\zeta_n} \). Then \( P(f_{\zeta_1}, f_{\zeta_2}, \ldots, f_{\zeta_n})|_{B_{\alpha}} = P((1-i_1)g_\alpha, (1-i_2)g_\alpha, \ldots, (1-i_n)g_\alpha) \), so by Remark 3.2 it is either the zero function or it is the composition of \( g_\alpha \) with some non-zero one variable polynomial. In the last case \( P(f_{\zeta_1}, f_{\zeta_2}, \ldots, f_{\zeta_n})|_{B_{\alpha}} \) and, hence, \( P(f_{\zeta_1}, f_{\zeta_2}, \ldots, f_{\zeta_n}) \) belong to \( \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C}) \). Since \( \{A_\zeta : \zeta < 2^\mathfrak{c}\} \) is an independent family, then \( \{f_\zeta : \zeta < 2^\mathfrak{c}\} \) is linearly independent and, therefore, the algebra we just constructed is \( 2^\mathfrak{c} \)-generated. \( \square \)

4. Nowhere continuous Darboux functions

As is known (at an undergraduate level), if a function \( f \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R} \) is continuous, then it maps connected sets to connected sets (in other words, it is a Darboux function). Very recently (see [24] and [28, Theorem 1]) there was constructed a \( 2^\mathfrak{c} \)-dimensional linear space of functions in \( \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R} \) every non-zero element of which is nowhere continuous and Darboux. In this section we are interested in, again, moving from lineability to algebrability, trying to improve the result just mentioned. In order to do that, we shall need to define several notions that will be of help throughout this section.

Of course, and although there is no need in saying it here, if \( f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{R}) \), then \( f \) is nowhere continuous. As we mentioned in the previous section, a function \( f \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R} \) is called everywhere surjective (denoted \( f \in \mathcal{ES}(\mathbb{R}) \), [4]) if for every non-trivial interval \( I \) of \( \mathbb{R} \) we have \( f(I) = \mathbb{R} \). It is clear that \( \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{ES}(\mathbb{R}) \), which is a subset of the Darboux functions (see [26]).

If \( f \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R} \), we say that \( f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}) \) (\( f \) is a Jones function) if for every closed set \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) with uncountable projection on the \( x \)-axis, we have \( f \cap K \neq \emptyset \) (see [35]). As was proved in [27], the following holds:

\[ \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{ES}(\mathbb{R}). \]

Interestingly enough, it is known ([26][27]) that neither perfectly everywhere surjective functions nor Jones functions are measurable and, in contrast with Section 2 in this paper, we also have that ([27 Corollary 3.8]) \( \mathcal{SZ}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset \).

Throughout this section, we shall identify a function from \( \mathbb{R} \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) with its graph; that is, we shall consider that function as a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Nevertheless, sometimes
it is more convenient to use the opposite procedure, and we shall also consider a set $E \in \mathbb{R}^2$ as a correspondence between $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}$. Let us also write

$$D(E) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } (x, y) \in E \},$$

which is nothing but a projection of $E$ on the first coordinate. Although the set of Jones functions is $2^c$-lineable (see [23, 27]), it is easy to see that it is not algebrable. Indeed, if $f \in J(\mathbb{R})$, then $f^2$ is not even surjective. In [28] the authors defined a dual concept to that of Jones functions but on the complex plane, and they showed that (in the complex setting) this set is actually algebrable.

The following result, although of independent interest, provides a relatively easy way to see that the set of Jones functions is $c$-lineable (see also [28, Theorem 2.2] for the best possible result in this direction).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $f \in J(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be surjective. Then $\varphi \circ f \in J(\mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $\Phi(z, w) = (z, \varphi(w))$. Obviously $\Phi$ is a continuous function. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed set with $D(K)$ uncountable. The set $\Phi^{-1}(K)$ is clearly closed. Besides, we have $D((\Phi^{-1}(K))) \supset D(K)$. Indeed, if $z \in D(K)$, there exists $w$ such that $(z, w) \in K$. As $\varphi$ is surjective, there exists $w'$ such that $\varphi(w') = w$. So, $\Phi(z, w') = (z, \varphi(w')) \in K$. That is, $(z, w') \in \Phi^{-1}(K)$, and so $z \in D((\Phi^{-1}(K)))$. Therefore, $D((\Phi^{-1}(K)))$ is uncountable, and hence, since $f \in J(\mathbb{R})$, we have $f \cap \Phi^{-1}(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $(z, w) \in f \cap \Phi^{-1}(K)$. Then we have $w = f(z)$ and $(z, \varphi(w)) = \Phi(z, w) \in K$. This means that $(z, (\varphi \circ f)(z)) \in K$, that is, $(\varphi \circ f) \cap K \neq \emptyset$.

As we said right before the previous result and since $\dim(C(\mathbb{R})) = c$, it suffices to take $f \in J(\mathbb{R})$ and the linear space

$$\{\varphi \circ f : \varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})\}$$

to achieve the $c$-lineability of $J(\mathbb{R})$.

The following result will be useful in the proof of the main result of this section.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $f \in ES(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\varphi \circ f$ is a Darboux function.

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.1 the result immediately follows if $\varphi$ is surjective. In general, take $I$ to be any connected subset of $\mathbb{R}$, that is, an interval. Take any $f \in ES(\mathbb{R})$; then (by definition) $f(I) = \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\varphi(f(I))$ is an interval and, therefore, connected. □

It can be seen (see [1, 26, 27]) that if $p$ is a non-constant polynomial in $\mathbb{R}$ and if $f \in ES(\mathbb{R})$, then $p \circ f$ is nowhere continuous. This last remark, together with the following corollary to Proposition 4.2 will be useful in the main result of this section.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let $f \in ES(\mathbb{R})$ and $p$ be any non-constant polynomial in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $p \circ f$ is nowhere continuous and Darboux.

**Remark 4.4.** One could think that by means of Corollary 4.3 we could easily obtain algebrability from the fact that the ring of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}$ is itself an algebra. Unfortunately this issue is more complicated than it might seem at first. The reason is that the ring of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}$ does not contain any infinitely generated algebra. Indeed, in $\mathbb{K}[x]$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$), suppose that $S$ is a subalgebra that contains $\mathbb{K}$. Let $P$ be a non-constant polynomial in $S$ and let $T$ be the subalgebra of $S$ generated by
1 and $P$. Then $x$ is algebraic over $T$, so $\mathbb{K}[x]$ is a finitely generated $T$-module. Note that $T$ is Noetherian and $S$ is a $T$-submodule of $\mathbb{K}[x]$, so $S$ is a finitely generated $T$-module. Hence $S$ is a finitely generated algebra. Obviously, this algebra has infinite dimension ($\omega$) as a linear space. For this reason we need a totally different argument to tackle this problem of algebraicity.

The following lemma, which is a slight variation of [23, Lemma 2.1], will be needed throughout this section (its proof follows the same idea as that of [23, Lemma 2.1], so we do not include the details of it here).

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Bernstein set. There exists $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

(i) $f|_B \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for every closed set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $D(K)$ is uncountable, and

(ii) $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \notin B$.

A classical result states that $\mathbb{R}$ can be decomposed as the disjoint union of “$\mathfrak{c}$ many” Bernstein sets. Thus, consider such a decomposition $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{R}} B_i$, where $B_i$ is a Bernstein set for every $i \in \mathbb{R}$ and the family $\{B_i : i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is disjoint. Now, use the previous lemma to obtain, for every $i \in \mathbb{R}$, a function $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_i|_{B_i} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for every closed set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $D(K)$ uncountable. Assume, also, that $f_i = 0$ outside $B_i$. Notice that (by construction)

$$(1) \quad f_i \cdot f_j = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j.$$ 

Now, let $\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra generated by $\{f_i : i \in \mathbb{R}\}$, that is, by (1), the linear span of the set $\{f_i^p : i \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

At this point it is worth mentioning that by considering the elements in the algebra $\mathcal{B}$, we have lost the surjectivity (and, thus, the everywhere surjectivity and the properties enjoyed by Jones functions) since, for instance, the square of any of the $f_i$’s is not even surjective. On the other hand, taking into account that $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{ES}(\mathbb{R})$ and Corollary 4.3 together with the fact that (by construction) the $f_i$’s have disjoint supports, it can be seen that every non-zero element of $\mathcal{B}$ is nowhere continuous and maps connected sets to connected sets (actually, to unbounded intervals!).

Clearly, the family $\{f_i : i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a generator system of $\mathcal{B}$. Let us now see that this generator system is actually minimal. Suppose otherwise; then there would exist $f_{i,j}$, $j \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,n\}$ (with $i_j \neq i_k$ if $j \neq k$) and a polynomial $P(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$ such that

$$f_{i_0} = P(f_{i_1},f_{i_2},\ldots,f_{i_n}).$$

By (1), there exist polynomials $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)$, $\ldots$, $p_n(x)$ such that

$$f_{i_0} = P(f_{i_1},f_{i_2},\ldots,f_{i_n}) = p_1(f_{i_1}) + p_2(f_{i_2}) + \cdots + p_n(f_{i_n}).$$

But, for every $j = 1,2,\ldots,n$, we have that (by construction) $p_j(f_{i_j})$ is a constant function on $B_{i_0}$. This is a contradiction, since $f_{i_0}$ is surjective on $B_{i_0}$. Thus, we have proved the following result:

**Theorem 4.6.** The set of nowhere continuous Darboux functions is $\mathfrak{c}$-algebraical.
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