PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 142, Number 6, June 2014, Pages 2047–2062
S 0002-9939(2014)11923-X
Article electronically published on March 12, 2014

LIMIT CYCLES BIFURCATING FROM A NON-ISOLATED ZERO-HOPF EQUILIBRIUM OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

JAUME LLIBRE AND DONGMEI XIAO

(Communicated by Yingfei Yi)

Abstract. In this paper we study the limit cycles bifurcating from a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of a differential system in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The unfolding of the vector fields with a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium is a family with at least three parameters. By using analysis techniques and the averaging theory of the second order, explicit conditions are given for the existence of one or two limit cycles bifurcating from such a zero-Hopf equilibrium. This result is applied to study three-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems in a paper by Bobieński and Żołądek (2005). The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of this system are given, and it is shown that two limit cycles can be bifurcated from the non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium under a general small perturbation of three-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems.

1. Introduction

Zero-Hopf equilibrium is an equilibrium point of three-dimensional autonomous differential systems which has a zero eigenvalue and a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues. Usually the zero-Hopf bifurcation is a two-parameter unfolding (or family) of three-dimensional autonomous differential systems with a zero-Hopf equilibrium. The unfolding has an isolated equilibrium with a zero eigenvalue and a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues if the two parameters take zero values, and the unfolding has complex dynamics in the small neighborhood of this isolated equilibrium as the two parameters vary in a small neighborhood of the origin. This zero-Hopf bifurcation has been studied by Guckenheimer and Holmes [8, 9], Scheurle and Marsden [23], and Kuznetsov [14], and the references therein. It has been shown that some complicated invariant sets of the unfolding could be bifurcated from the isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium under some conditions. Hence, zero-Hopf bifurcation could imply a local birth of "chaos" (cf. [5, 23]). Recently there has been some theoretical analysis and numerical simulations which show that three-dimensional or four-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems allow complicated dynamics such as chaotic behavior (cf. [1, 6, 25, 27] and the references therein). The question

Received by the editors November 12, 2011 and, in revised form, July 4, 2012.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37N25, 34C12, 34C28, 37G20.
The first author was supported by the grants MEC/FEDER MTM 2008-03437, CIRIT 2009SGR 410 and ICREA Academia.
The second author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China numbers 10831003 and 10925102 and the Program of Shanghai Subject Chief Scientists number 10XD1406200.

naturally asked is if a generalized Lotka-Volterra system can undergo the zero-Hopf bifurcation. However, we shall see that such differential systems cannot have an isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium in the set of all equilibria, but they may have non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium points. In other words, if a generalized Lotka-Volterra system has a zero-Hopf equilibrium, then it is not isolated in the set of equilibria points of the generalized Lotka-Volterra system. Under a general small perturbation of a generalized Lotka-Volterra system with non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium, what dynamics will happen is a challenging problem, since the unfolding of the vector fields with a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium is at least a three-parameter family. In 1973, Arnold in [2] proposed investigating bifurcations of a three-parameter family with a zero eigenvalue and a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues. As far as we know there are no works on the topic.

In this paper we first consider a three-dimensional polynomial differential system of degree two with a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium at the origin. Then we study bifurcations of the non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium under a small perturbation of the polynomial differential system of degree two which keeps the equilibrium at origin. In the neighborhood of the origin, we reduce this perturbation system to a 2π-periodic differential system in a kind of cylindrical coordinate and re-scale variables. Applying the averaging theory of second order to this periodic differential system, we obtain the explicit conditions for the existence of one or two limit cycles bifurcating from the non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium. To our knowledge, this is the first result on bifurcations from a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium.

As an application of the main theorem, we consider generalized Lotka-Volterra systems. It is well-known that n-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems are widely used as the first approximation for a community of n interacting species, each of which would exhibit logistic growth in the absence of other species in population dynamics. This system is of wide interest in different branches of science, such as physics, chemistry, biology, evolutionary game theory, economics, etc. We refer the reader to the book by Hofbauer and Sigmand [12] for its applications. The existence of limit cycles (isolated periodic orbits) for these models is interesting and significant both in mathematics and applications, since the existence of a stable limit cycle provides a satisfactory explanation for those species communities in which populations are observed to oscillate in a rather reproducible periodic manner (cf. [15, 16, 24] and the references therein). To study the bifurcation of non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium in the Lotka-Volterra class, we consider three-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems, which describe the interaction of three species in a constant and homogeneous environment.

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = x_i(t)(b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij}x_j(t)),\ i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{1.1}$$

where $x_i(t)$ is the number of individuals in the i-th population at time t and $x_i(t) \geq 0$, b_i is the intrinsic growth rate of the i-th population, the a_{ij} are interaction coefficients measuring the extent to which the j-th species affects the growth rate of the i-th, b_i and a_{ij} are parameters, and the values of these parameters are not usually very small.

Over the last several decades, many researchers have devoted their efforts to studying the existence and number of isolated periodic solutions for system (1.1). There have been a series of achievements and unprecedented challenges on the

theme even if system (1.1) is a competitive system (cf. [7, 10, 11, 13, 20, 28–30]). In [3], Bobieński and Żołądek gave four components of center variety in the three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra class and studied the existence and number of isolated periodic solutions by certain Poincaré-Melnikov integrals of a new type. As far as we know there are no results on periodic orbits bifurcating from a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems. Here we make an analysis on the whole twelve-dimensional parameter space of system (1.1) and give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of system (1.1). Hence, the three-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems with a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium form a subspace. We perturb the subspace in the space of three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems with a positive equilibrium point and look for the system arising from isolated periodic solutions (i.e. limit cycles). Because the zero-Hopf equilibrium is not isolated and these parameters are not very small for such systems, the approach to deal with zero-Hopf bifurcation with two parameters does not work for system (1.1). By using our main theorem, we obtain two limit cycles bifurcating from a three-parameter family of three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems with a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we study bifurcations of polynomial differential systems of degree two in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a small positive parameter ε. When $\varepsilon = 0$, this system has a continuum of equilibria which fill a segment, or half-straight line, in which there exists a unique non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of this system at the origin. When $\varepsilon \neq 0$, this system has a unique equilibrium at the origin. In a small neighborhood of the origin, we reduce this system to a 2π-periodic differential system in a kind of cylindrical coordinate and re-scale variables. Applying the averaging theory of second order to this periodic differential system, we obtain the explicit conditions for the existence of one or two limit cycles bifurcating from the non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium; see Theorem 2.4. In section 3 we do a preliminary analysis on the conditions for the existence of a positive zero-Hopf equilibrium for the Lotka-Volterra system (1.1) which will be non-isolated in the set of all equilibria, and we further reduce a normal form for a such non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium under a general perturbation in the Lotka-Volterra class. A three-parameter example inside the class of the Lotka-Volterra systems is provided to illustrate these results in the last section.

2. Limit cycles bifurcating from a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium

In this section we study polynomial differential systems of degree two in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a small positive parameter ε and other bounded parameters. When $\varepsilon = 0$, this system has a continuum of equilibria which fill a segment, or half-straight line, in which there exists a unique non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium at the origin. When $\varepsilon \neq 0$, this system has a unique equilibrium at the origin and some bifurcations occur. Limit cycles can be bifurcated from the non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium for this polynomial differential system of degree two in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Here a *limit cycle* means an isolated non-constant periodic orbit (or closed orbit) in phase space having the property that its neighboring trajectories are not periodic orbits and they spiral into it either as time approaches infinity or as time approaches negative infinity. To our knowledge there is no general theory for studying the existence and the

number of limit cycles which were born from this zero-Hopf equilibrium under a small perturbation. We will use the second order averaging method to study this problem. It is well known that the averaging method has been widely used to look for periodic orbits of differential systems (see [4], [9], [17], [18] and the references therein). For the reader's convenience, we recall some basic results on the second order averaging method. The reader is referred to Theorem 3.1 in [4] for details about Theorem 2.1 below.

Consider the differential system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \varepsilon F_1(t,x) + \varepsilon^2 F_2(t,x) + \varepsilon^3 Q(t,x,\varepsilon), \tag{2.1}$$

where F_1, F_2: $\mathbb{R}\times D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and $Q:\ \mathbb{R}\times D\times(-\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ are continuous vector functions and T-periodic in the first variable t. Here D is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $0<\varepsilon_0\ll 1$.

We further assume that $F_1(t,\cdot)\in C^1(D)$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $F_2(t,x)$, $Q(t,x,\varepsilon)$ and $D_xF_1(t,x)$ are locally Lipschitz with respect to x, and $Q(t,x,\varepsilon)$ is differentiable with respect to ε. We define

$$F_{10}(z) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_1(s,z)ds,\ F_{20}(z) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T (D_zF_1(s,z)y_1(s,z)+F_2(s,z))ds, \tag{2.2}$$

where $D_zF_1(s,z)$ is the Jacobian matrix of the derivatives of the components of F_1 with respect to the components of z, and $y_1(s,z)=\displaystyle\int_0^s F_1(t,z)dt$. Then

$$\dot{z}(t) = \varepsilon F_{10}(z) + \varepsilon^2 F_{20}(z) \tag{2.3}$$

is called the *averaging differential system of second order* of (2.1). The following lemma shows the relation between the existence of a non-degenerated equilibrium of the averaging differential system (2.3) and the existence of a T-periodic solution of system (2.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that for $D_0\subset D$, an open and bounded set, and for each $\varepsilon\in(-\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0)\setminus\{0\}$ there exists $a_\varepsilon\in D_0$ such that $F_{10}(a_\varepsilon)+\varepsilon F_{20}(a_\varepsilon)=0$ and the Brouwer degree of the function $F_{10}+\varepsilon F_{20}:\ D_0\to\mathbb{R}^n$ at the fixed point a_ε is not zero. Then for sufficiently small ε, $0<|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_0\ll 1$, there exists a T-periodic solution $\phi(t,\varepsilon)$ of system (2.1) such that $\phi(0,\varepsilon)=a_\varepsilon$.*

In Theorem 2.1, the non-zero Brouwer degree of the function $F_{10}+\varepsilon F_{20}$ at the fixed point a_ε can usually be verified by a sufficient condition, and the Jacobian of the function $F_{10}+\varepsilon F_{20}$ at a_ε is not zero, which implies that a_ε is a non-degenerated equilibrium of system (2.3). Moreover, if F_{10} is not identically zero in D_0, then the zeros of $F_{10}+\varepsilon F_{20}$ are mainly determined by the zeros of F_{10} for ε sufficiently small. In this case Theorem 2.1 provides the averaging theory of first order. If F_{10} is identically zero and F_{20} is not identically zero in D_0, then the zeros of $F_{10}+\varepsilon F_{20}$ are determined by the zeros of F_{20}. In this case Theorem 2.1 provides the averaging theory of second order.

We now consider the following differential system of degree two in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with an equilibrium at the origin:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dU}{dt} =& u\varepsilon U - vV + \sum_{i+j+k=2} a_{ijk}(\varepsilon)U^iV^jW^k,\\ \frac{dV}{dt} =& vU + u\varepsilon V + \sum_{i+j+k=2} b_{ijk}(\varepsilon)U^iV^jW^k,\\ \frac{dW}{dt} =& \varepsilon W + \sum_{i+j+k=2} c_{ijk}(\varepsilon)U^iV^jW^k,\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $a_{ijk}(\varepsilon)$, $b_{ijk}(\varepsilon)$ and $c_{ijk}(\varepsilon)$ for $i,j,k = 0,1,2$ are smooth functions with respect to ε at $\varepsilon = 0$, and u and $v > 0$ are bounded parameters. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\varepsilon > 0$. By linear algebra we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that $\varepsilon = 0$. Then system* (2.4) *has a continuum of equilibria which fill a segment, or half-straight line, passing through the origin if and only if $a_{002}(0) = b_{002}(0) = c_{002}(0) = 0$.*

For the sake of convenience we write

$$a_{ijk}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{l=0}^{1} a_{ijkl}\varepsilon^l+O(\varepsilon^2),\ b_{ijk}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{l=0}^{1} b_{ijkl}\varepsilon^l+O(\varepsilon^2),\ c_{ijk}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{l=0}^{1} c_{ijkl}\varepsilon^l+O(\varepsilon^2),$$

where $O(\varepsilon^2)$ denotes continuous functions with order at least two in ε. Assume that

$$(H_0):\quad a_{0020} = b_{0020} = c_{0020} = 0.$$

Then, by Proposition 2.2, we have:

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that $\varepsilon = 0$ and (H_0) holds. Then system* (2.4) *has a continuum of equilibria which fill a segment, or half-straight line, passing through the origin, in which the origin is a unique non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of system* (2.4).

To study the periodic orbits of system (2.4) when $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, we introduce a class of cylindrical coordinates in a small neighborhood of the origin for system (2.4). Let

$$U = R\cos\theta,\quad V = R\sin\theta,\quad W = RZ,\ R > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

This is a topological change of variables in a neighborhood of origin except at the origin.

Doing the transformation in (2.5), system (2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dR}{dt} =& u\varepsilon R + R^2 f_1(\sin\theta,\cos\theta,Z) \triangleq \mathbf{R}(\theta,R,Z),\\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} =& v + Rf_2(\sin\theta,\cos\theta,Z) \triangleq \Theta(\theta,R,Z),\\ \frac{dZ}{dt} =& (1-u)\varepsilon Z + Rf_3(\sin\theta,\cos\theta,Z) \triangleq \mathbf{Z}(\theta,R,Z).\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Note that $v > 0$, which implies that there exists a small neighborhood of $(R,Z) = (0,0)$ such that $\Theta(\theta,R,Z) \neq 0$ for all θ in this neighborhood. Therefore, we consider

an equivalent system of (2.6) in this neighborhood of $(R, Z) = (0, 0)$ taking θ as the new independent variable. Thus we get the system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dR}{d\theta} =& \frac{\mathbf{R}(\theta, R, Z)}{\Theta(\theta, R, Z)} \triangleq \mathcal{R}(\theta, R, Z), \\ \frac{dZ}{d\theta} =& \frac{\mathbf{Z}(\theta, R, Z)}{\Theta(\theta, R, Z)} \triangleq \mathcal{Z}(\theta, R, Z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathcal{R}(\theta, R, Z)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\theta, R, Z)$ are smooth 2π-periodic functions in the variable θ in a neighborhood of $(R, Z) = (0, 0)$.

In order to use the averaging method, we re-scale the variables (R, Z) of system (2.7) as

$$R = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\ r, \quad Z = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\ z.$$

Then system (2.7) can be written as in a power series of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{d\theta} =& \sqrt{\varepsilon}\ R_1(\theta, r, z) + \varepsilon\ R_2(\theta, r, z) + O(\varepsilon^{3/2}), \\ \frac{dz}{d\theta} =& \frac{r}{v}\left(c_{2000}\cos^2\theta + c_{1100}\cos\theta\sin\theta + c_{0200}\sin^2\theta\right) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\ Z_1(\theta, r, z) \\ &+ \varepsilon\ Z_2(\theta, r, z) + O(\varepsilon^{3/2}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $R_i(\theta, r, z)$ and $Z_i(\theta, r, z)$ are polynomials in the variables (r, z) with coefficients 2π-periodic functions in the variable θ for $i = 1, 2$.

To apply the averaging method for studying system (2.8), we use the assumption

$$(H_1): \quad c_{2000} = c_{1100} = c_{0200} = 0.$$

Under the assumption (H_1), we can obtain the existence of limit cycles from a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that (H_0) holds. Then system (2.4) has a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium at the origin when $\varepsilon = 0$. Moreover, if assumption (H_1) is satisfied, then there exists ε^*, $0 < \varepsilon^* \ll 1$, such that for any ε and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon^*$, system (2.4) has ε-families of limit cycles bifurcating from the origin. More precisely:*

(i) *System (2.4) has one family of limit cycles bifurcating from the origin if one of the following conditions holds:*
  (a1) $u(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) < 0$;
  (b1) $u = 0$ *and* $B_3(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$;
  (c1) $c_{0201} + c_{2001} = 0$, $uB_1 < 0$ *and* $B_2r_0^2 + 8v(1-u) \neq 0$;
  (d1) $a_{1010} + b_{0110} = 0$, $c_{0201} + c_{2001} \neq 0$, $uB_1 < 0$ *and* $B_2r_0^2 + 8v(1-u) \neq 0$.

(ii) *System (2.4) has two families of limit cycles bifurcating from the origin if one of the following conditions holds:*
  (a2) $c_{0201} + c_{2001} = 0$, $a_{1010} + b_{0110} \neq 0$, $uB_1 < 0$, $B_1 + B_2 < 0$, $B_2r_0^2 + 8v(1-u) \neq 0$ *and* $-B_1 + (B_1 + B_2)u \neq 0$;
  (b2) $B_3^2 - 256uv^2(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$, $u(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$ *and* $B_3(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$.

Here $r_0 = \sqrt{-8uv/B_1}$, *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 =& a_{0200}a_{1100} + a_{1100}a_{2000} + 2a_{0200}b_{0200} - b_{0200}b_{1100} - 2a_{2000}b_{2000} - b_{1100}b_{2000},\\
B_2 =& b_{1100}b_{2000} - a_{0200}a_{1100} - a_{1100}a_{2000} - 2a_{0200}b_{0200} + b_{0200}b_{1100} + 2a_{2000}b_{2000}\\
&+ 4a_{0200}c_{0110} + 4a_{2000}c_{0110} - 4b_{0200}c_{1010} - 4b_{2000}c_{1010},\\
B_3 =& 16v^2(a_{1010}c_{0201} + b_{0110}c_{0201} + a_{1010}c_{2001} + b_{0110}c_{2001}) - 8v(B_1 + B_1u + B_2u).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 we know that the origin is a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of system (2.4) when $\varepsilon = 0$.

If $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, then we consider system (2.8) under assumptions (H_0) and (H_1), which becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dr}{d\theta} =& \sqrt{\varepsilon}\ r_1(\theta, r, z) + \varepsilon\ r_2(\theta, r, z) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}),\\
\frac{dz}{d\theta} =& \sqrt{\varepsilon}\ z_1(\theta, r, z) + \varepsilon\ z_2(\theta, r, z) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1(\theta, r, z) =& \frac{r^2}{v}\left(a_{2000}\cos^3\theta + (a_{1100} + b_{2000})\cos^2\theta\sin\theta + (a_{0200} + b_{1100})\cos\theta\sin^2\theta\right.\\
&\left.+b_{0200}\sin^3\theta\right),\\
r_2(\theta, r, z) =& \frac{r}{v^2}\left(uv + vrz(a_{1010}\cos^2\theta + a_{0110}\cos\theta\sin\theta + b_{1010}\cos\theta\sin\theta\right.\\
&+b_{0110}\sin^2\theta) - r^2(b_{2000}\cos^3\theta - (a_{2000} - b_{1100})\cos^2\theta\sin\theta\\
&-(a_{1100} - b_{0200})\cos\theta\sin^2\theta - a_{0200}\sin^3\theta)(a_{2000}\cos^3\theta + (a_{1100}\\
&\left.+b_{2000})\cos^2\theta\sin\theta + (a_{0200} + b_{1100})\cos\theta\sin^2\theta + b_{0200}\sin^3\theta)\right),\\
z_1(\theta, r, z) =& -\frac{rz}{v}\left(a_{2000}\cos^3\theta - c_{1010}\cos\theta - c_{0110}\sin\theta + (a_{1100} + b_{2000})\cos^2\theta\sin\theta\right.\\
&\left.+(a_{0200} + b_{1100})\cos\theta\sin^2\theta + b_{0200}\sin^3\theta\right),\\
z_2(\theta, r, z) =& -\frac{1}{v^2}\left((-v + uv)z - rz^2(b_{2000}\cos^3\theta - a_{2000}\cos^2\theta\sin\theta\right.\\
&+b_{1100}\cos^2\theta\sin\theta - a_{1100}\cos\theta\sin^2\theta + b_{0200}\cos\theta\sin^2\theta - a_{0200}\sin^3\theta)\\
&(-c_{1010}\cos\theta + a_{2000}\cos^3\theta - c_{0110}\sin\theta + a_{1100}\cos^2\theta\sin\theta\\
&+b_{2000}\cos^2\theta\sin\theta + a_{0200}\cos\theta\sin^2\theta + b_{1100}\cos\theta\sin^2\theta + b_{0200}\sin^3\theta)\\
&-vr(c_{2001}\cos^2\theta + c_{1101}\cos\theta\sin\theta + c_{0201}\sin^2\theta - z^2(a_{1010}\cos^2\theta\\
&\left.+a_{0110}\cos\theta\sin\theta + b_{1010}\cos\theta\sin\theta + b_{0110}\sin^2\theta))\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence system (2.9) is changed into the normal form with respect to the parameter $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ for applying the averaging theory. We first consider the averaging differential system of first order for system (2.9). According to Theorem 2.1, by direct computation we have

$$F_{110}(r, z) = \int_0^{2\pi} r_1(\theta, r, z)d\theta \equiv 0,\ F_{120}(r, z) = \int_0^{2\pi} z_1(\theta, r, z)d\theta \equiv 0.$$

It is clear that the first order averaging theory does not provide any information about system (2.9). Therefore, we further consider the averaging differential system

of second order for system (2.9). From formula (2.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{11}(\theta,r,z) &= \int_0^\theta r_1(s,r,z)ds = \frac{r^2}{12v}\left(-4(a_{1100}+b_{2000})(-1+\cos^3\theta)\right.\\
&\quad + b_{0200}(8-9\cos\theta+\cos 3\theta) + 4(a_{0200}+b_{1100})\sin^3\theta\\
&\quad \left.+a_{2000}(9\sin\theta+\sin 3\theta)\right),\\
y_{12}(\theta,r,z) &= \int_0^\theta z_1(s,r,z)ds\\
&= -\frac{rz}{12v}\left(12c_{0110}(-1+\cos\theta) - 4(a_{1100}+b_{2000})(-1+\cos^3\theta)\right.\\
&\quad + b_{0200}(8-9\cos\theta+\cos 3\theta) - 12c_{1010}\sin\theta + 4(a_{0200}+b_{1100})\sin^3\theta\\
&\quad \left.+a_{2000}(9\sin\theta+\sin 3\theta)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{210}(r,z) &= \int_0^{2\pi}\left(y_{11}(\theta,r,z)\frac{\partial r_1(\theta,r,z)}{\partial r} + y_{12}(\theta,r,z)\frac{\partial r_1(\theta,r,z)}{\partial z} + r_2(\theta,r,z)\right)d\theta\\
&= \frac{r}{8v^2}\left(B_1r^2 + 8uv + 4v(a_{1010}+b_{0110})rz\right),\\
F_{220}(r,z) &= \int_0^{2\pi}\left(y_{11}(\theta,r,z)\frac{\partial z_1(\theta,r,z)}{\partial r} + y_{12}(\theta,r,z)\frac{\partial z_1(\theta,r,z)}{\partial z} + z_2(\theta,r,z)\right)d\theta\\
&= \frac{1}{8v^2}\left(B_2r^2z + 8v(1-u)z + 4vr(c_{0201}+c_{2001} - (a_{1010}+b_{0110})z^2)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the averaged system of second order of system (2.9) is

(2.10)
$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dr}{d\theta} &= \frac{r}{8v^2}\left(B_1r^2 + 8uv + 4v(a_{1010}+b_{0110})rz\right),\\
\frac{dz}{d\theta} &= \frac{1}{8v^2}\left(B_2r^2z + 8v(1-u)z + 4vr(c_{0201}+c_{2001}) - 4vr(a_{1010}+b_{0110})z^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We divide the study of the zeros of system (2.10) into three cases.

Case I: $c_{0201}+c_{2001}=0$. It can be checked that the following conclusions are true:

(1) System (2.10) has a non-degenerated equilibrium $(r_0,z_0)=(\sqrt{-8uv/B_1},0)$ if $uB_1<0$ and $B_2r_0^2+8v(1-u)\neq 0$.
(2) System (2.10) has two non-degenerated equilibria: $(r_0,z_0)=(\sqrt{-8uv/B_1},0)$ and $(r_1,z_1)=(\sqrt{-8v/(B_1+B_2)},-(B_1r_1^2+8uv)/(4vr_1(a_{1010}+b_{0110})))$ if $a_{1010}+b_{0110}\neq 0$, $uB_1<0$, $B_1+B_2<0$, $B_2r_0^2+8v(1-u)\neq 0$ and $-B_1+(B_1+B_2)u\neq 0$.

Case II: $c_{0201}+c_{2001}\neq 0$ *and* $a_{1010}+b_{0110}=0$. Then we obtain that system (2.10) has a non-degenerated equilibrium $(r_0,\bar{z}_0)=(\sqrt{-8uv/B_1},-4rv(c_{0201}+c_{2001})/(B_2r_0^2+8v(1-u)))$, if $uB_1<0$ and $B_2r_0^2+8v(1-u)\neq 0$.

Case III: $a_{1010}+b_{0110}\neq 0$ *and* $c_{0201}+c_{2001}\neq 0$. Then, from $F_{210}(r,z)=0$ and $r>0$, we obtain that $\tilde{z}=-(B_1r^2+8uv)/(4(a_{1010}+b_{0110})rv)$. If the equation $({B_1}^2+B_1B_2)r^4-B_3r^2+64uv^2=0$ has a positive root $\tilde{r}$, then system (2.10) has an equilibrium $(\tilde{r},\tilde{z})$. Hence we obtain the following conclusions.

System (2.10) has two non-degenerated equilibria: $(\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{z}_1)$ and $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{z}_2)$ if $B_3^2 - 256uv^2(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$, $u(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$ and $B_3(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$, where

$$\tilde{r}_1 = \left(\frac{B_3 - \sqrt{B_3^2 - 256uv^2(B_1^2 + B_1B_2)}}{2(B_1^2 + B_1B_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{z}_1 = -\frac{B_1\tilde{r}_1^2 + 8uv}{4(a_{1010} + b_{0110})\tilde{r}_1 v},$$

$$\tilde{r}_2 = \left(\frac{B_3 + \sqrt{B_3^2 - 256uv^2(B_1^2 + B_1B_2)}}{2(B_1^2 + B_1B_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{z}_2 = -\frac{B_1\tilde{r}_2^2 + 8uv}{4(a_{1010} + b_{0110})\tilde{r}_2 v}.$$

Also, system (2.10) has a non-degenerated equilibria $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{z}_2)$ if one of the following conditions holds:

(a) $u(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) < 0$,
(b) $u = 0$ and $B_3(B_1^2 + B_1B_2) > 0$.

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the three cases, the proof of Theorem 2.4 is completed. □

Remark. From the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can see that the averaging method of second order is valid if the origin is an isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium of system (2.4) as $\varepsilon = 0$. That is, only under assumption (H_2) can we prove that system (2.4) bifurcates at most two limit cycles from the zero-Hopf equilibrium by using a proof similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4.

3. The existence of a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium for Lotka-Volterra system (1.1)

In this section we discuss the existence of zero-Hopf equilibrium for the Lotka-Volterra system (1.1). It is clear that system (1.1) always has an equilibrium at $(0, 0, 0)$ which is not a zero-Hopf equilibrium for all 12 real parameters b_i and a_{ij}. Because of the biological meaning of $x_i(t)$, we consider system (1.1) in the first octant $\mathbb{R}_+^3$, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$. For the sake of convenience, we give the classification of equilibria for system (1.1). An equilibrium is called *axial* if only one of its coordinates is positive, *planar* if precisely two of its coordinates are positive, and *positive* if all of its coordinates are positive. An axial equilibrium of system (1.1) cannot become the zero-Hopf equilibrium for all real parameters, because it leaves an invariant straight line contained in the intersection of two invariant planes. Here invariant means it is invariant by the flow of system (1.1).

We now look for the conditions for the existence of positive equilibria of system (1.1), which is equivalent to finding the positive solutions of the following system:

$$b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij}x_j = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that equation (3.1) has finitely many solutions if and only if the determinant of the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{3\times 3}$ is not zero (i.e. $|A| \neq 0$). Hence system (1.1) has at most one positive isolated equilibrium for all parameters if $|A| \neq 0$. The following lemma follows easily using linear algebra.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $|A| \neq 0$. System (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium (x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}) if and only if the determinant of matrix B_i is not zero (i.e. $|B_i| \neq 0$)*

and $|A||B_i| < 0$ *for* $i = 1, 2, 3$, *where* $x_{10} = -|B_1|/|A|$, $x_{20} = -|B_2|/|A|$, $x_{30} = -|B_3|/|A|$ *and*

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ b_2 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ b_3 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_1 & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & b_2 & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & b_3 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \ B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & b_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & b_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that the determinant of the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{3\times 3}$ is zero (i.e. $|A| = 0$). Then equation (3.1) has infinitely many positive solutions if there exists one positive solution of (3.1). It is not difficult to check that the following lemma is true.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that* $|A| = 0$ *and let*

$$A_b = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & b_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & b_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then system (1.1) *has infinitely many positive equilibria* (x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}), *and these equilibria are not isolated if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *The rank of both matrices* A *and* A_b *is two, and system* (1.1) *has at least a planar equilibrium. In this case there exists either a unique segment or half-line which is filled with positive equilibria of system* (1.1).

(ii) *The rank of both matrices* A *and* A_b *is one, and system* (1.1) *has at least an axial equilibrium. In this case there exists either a unique simplicial* 2-*complex or a quarter-plane which is filled with positive equilibria of system* (1.1).

In the following we only consider the case that system (1.1) has at least one positive equilibrium. Without loss of generality we move the positive equilibrium (x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}) to the point $(1, 1, 1)$ by doing the change of variables $y_i = \frac{x_i}{x_{i0}}$, $\quad i = 1, 2, 3$. Then system (1.1) can be written as

$$\frac{dy_i}{dt} = y_i \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij} x_{j0}(y_j - 1), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.2}$$

In order to study the dynamics of system (3.2) in a small neighborhood of the positive equilibrium $(1, 1, 1)$, we compute the characteristic equation of system (3.2) at the equilibrium point $(1, 1, 1)$ and obtain that

$$\lambda^3 - \mathrm{tr}(M)\lambda^2 + m\lambda - |M| = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}(M) =& a_{11}x_{10} + a_{22}x_{20} + a_{33}x_{30}, \\ m =& (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_{10}x_{20} + (a_{11}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{31})x_{30}x_{10} \\ & + (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32})x_{20}x_{30}, \\ |M| =& (a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} \\ & - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{22}a_{33})x_{10}x_{20}x_{30} = |A|x_{10}x_{20}x_{30}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence equation (3.3) does not have a zero root if the positive equilibrium of system (3.2) is isolated (i.e. $|A| \neq 0$). Also, equation (3.3) has at least one zero root if system (3.2) has a continuum of positive equilibria containing the equilibrium $(1, 1, 1)$. We now consider the case that equation (3.3) has a zero root and a pair of

pure imaginary roots, i.e. when system (3.2) has a positive zero-Hopf equilibrium. Some computations allow us to obtain the next result.

Lemma 3.3. *System* (1.1) *has a positive zero-Hopf equilibrium* (x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}) *if and only if* (x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}) *is a non-isolated positive equilibrium of system* (1.1) *and* $\mathrm{tr}(M) = 0$ *and* $m > 0$*, where the expressions of* $\mathrm{tr}(M)$ *and* m *are given in* (3.4).

When $\mathrm{tr}(M) = 0$ and $m > 0$, if system (1.1) has a positive zero-Hopf equilibrium, then it has a continuum of positive equilibria. This continuum of positive equilibria fills a segment or a half-line. Moreover, after some easy computations we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *System* (1.1) *has a unique positive zero-Hopf equilibrium if and only if there exist real numbers* k_1 *and* k_2 *and at least a pair* (i, j), $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ *and* $i \neq j$ *such that system* (1.1) *can be written in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy_1}{dt} &= y_1\left(b_{11}(y_1-1) + b_{12}(y_2-1) + b_{13}(y_3-1)\right), \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &= y_2\left(b_{21}(y_1-1) + b_{22}(y_2-1) + b_{23}(y_3-1)\right), \\ \frac{dy_3}{dt} &= y_3\left((k_1b_{11} + k_2b_{21})(y_1-1) + (k_1b_{12} + k_2b_{22})\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\times\ (y_2-1) + (k_1b_{13} + k_2b_{23})(y_3-1)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $b_{ii}b_{jj} - b_{ij}b_{ji} \neq 0$, $b_{11} + b_{22} + b_{13}k_1 + b_{23}k_2 = 0$ *and* $b_{12}b_{21} - b_{11}b_{22} + k_1(b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}b_{22}) + k_2(b_{13}b_{21} - b_{11}b_{23}) < 0$.

Now we shall investigate the normal form of the zero-Hopf equilibrium under a small perturbation of Lotka-Volterra type.

In doing this study we shall emphasize that the point $(1, 1, 1)$ is also an equilibrium of the perturbed system (3.5) having eigenvalues ε, $\varepsilon u + vi$ and $\varepsilon u - vi$, where $v > 0$, $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$ and u are real parameters. Hence, when $\varepsilon = 0$, these eigenvalues are $0, vi$ and $-vi$, which implies that the perturbed system is a small perturbation of the zero-Hopf equilibrium $(1, 1, 1)$. Let $\Lambda \triangleq b_{13}(b_{21}b_{13} - b_{11}b_{23}) + b_{23}(b_{13}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{23})$. If $\Lambda \neq 0$, we consider a perturbed system (3.5) of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy_1}{dt} &= y_1\left(b_{11}(y_1-1) + b_{12}(y_2-1) + b_{13}(y_3-1)\right), \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &= y_2\left(b_{21}(y_1-1) + b_{22}(y_2-1) + b_{23}(y_3-1)\right), \\ \frac{dy_3}{dt} &= y_3\left(b_{31}(y_1-1) + b_{32}(y_2-1) + b_{33}(y_3-1)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $b_{31} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda}(b_{11}{}^2b_{13}b_{21} + b_{12}b_{13}b_{21}{}^2 + b_{11}b_{13}b_{21}b_{22} + b_{13}b_{21}b_{22}{}^2 - b_{11}{}^3b_{23} - 2b_{11}b_{12}b_{21}b_{23} - b_{12}b_{21}b_{22}b_{23} + b_{13}b_{21}v^2 - b_{11}b_{23}v^2 - b_{11}b_{13}b_{21}\varepsilon - b_{13}b_{21}b_{22}\varepsilon + b_{11}{}^2b_{23}\varepsilon + b_{12}b_{21}b_{23}\varepsilon - 2b_{11}b_{13}b_{21}u\varepsilon - 2b_{13}b_{21}b_{22}u\varepsilon + 2b_{11}{}^2b_{23}u\varepsilon + 2b_{12}b_{21}b_{23}u\varepsilon + b_{23}v^2\varepsilon + 2b_{13}b_{21}u\varepsilon^2 - 2b_{11}b_{23}u\varepsilon^2 + b_{13}b_{21}u^2\varepsilon^2 - b_{11}b_{23}u^2\varepsilon^2 + b_{23}u^2\varepsilon^3 \triangleq \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\varepsilon + \alpha_2\varepsilon^2 + \alpha_3\varepsilon^3$, $b_{32} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda}(b_{11}b_{12}b_{13}b_{21} + 2b_{12}b_{13}b_{21}b_{22} + b_{13}b_{22}{}^3 - b_{11}{}^2b_{12}b_{23} - b_{12}{}^2b_{21}b_{23} - b_{11}b_{12}b_{22}b_{23} - b_{12}b_{22}{}^2b_{23} + b_{13}b_{22}v^2 - b_{12}b_{23}v^2 - b_{12}b_{13}b_{21}\varepsilon - b_{13}b_{22}{}^2\varepsilon + b_{11}b_{12}b_{23}\varepsilon + b_{12}b_{22}b_{23}\varepsilon - 2b_{12}b_{13}b_{21}u\varepsilon - 2b_{13}b_{22}{}^2u\varepsilon + 2b_{11}b_{12}b_{23}u\varepsilon + 2b_{12}b_{22}b_{23}u\varepsilon - b_{13}v^2\varepsilon + 2b_{13}b_{22}u\varepsilon^2 - 2b_{12}b_{23}u\varepsilon^2 + b_{13}b_{22}u^2\varepsilon^2 - b_{12}b_{23}u^2\varepsilon^2 - b_{13}u^2\varepsilon^3) \triangleq \beta_0 + \beta_1\varepsilon + \beta_2\varepsilon^2 + \beta_3\varepsilon^3$, and $b_{33} = -b_{11} - b_{22} + \varepsilon + 2u\varepsilon \triangleq \gamma_0 + \gamma_1\varepsilon$.

It can be checked that the characteristic equation of system (3.6) at the equilibrium point $(1,1,1)$ has eigenvalues ε, $\varepsilon u + vi$ and $\varepsilon u - vi$ with $v > 0$.

We move the equilibrium $(1,1,1)$ to the origin; i.e. let $z_i = y_i - 1$, $i = 1,2,3$. Then system (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz_1}{dt} &= (z_1+1)\left(b_{11}z_1 + b_{12}z_2 + b_{13}z_3\right), \\ \frac{dz_2}{dt} &= (z_2+1)\left(b_{21}z_1 + b_{22}z_2 + b_{23}z_3\right), \\ \frac{dz_3}{dt} &= (z_3+1)\left((\sum_{i=0}^{3}\alpha_i\varepsilon^i)z_1 + (\sum_{i=0}^{3}\beta_i\varepsilon^i)z_2 + (\sum_{i=0}^{1}\gamma_i\varepsilon^i)z_3 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The Jacobian matrix M of system (3.7) at $(0,0,0)$ has eigenvalues ε, $\varepsilon u + vi$ and $\varepsilon u - vi$ with $v > 0$. By doing a convenient linear change of variables, the Jacobian matrix M of system (3.7) can be written in its real Jordan normal form $J = \begin{pmatrix} u\varepsilon & -v & 0 \\ v & u\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$. Indeed, assume that $b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}b_{22} \neq 0$. Then we consider the change of variables $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (U, V, W)$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \\ W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ p_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_{11} =& \frac{1}{v(b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}(b_{22}-\varepsilon))}\left(b_{23}u\varepsilon^2 + (b_{13}b_{21} - b_{11}b_{23})(1+u)\varepsilon \right. \\ & \left. -b_{11}b_{13}b_{21} - b_{13}b_{21}b_{22} + {b_{11}}^2 b_{23} + b_{12}b_{21}b_{23}\right), \\ p_{12} =& -\frac{1}{v(b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}(b_{22}-\varepsilon))}\left(b_{13}(b_{22}-\varepsilon)(b_{22}-u\varepsilon)\right. \\ & \left. +b_{12}(b_{13}b_{21} - (b_{11}+b_{22})b_{23} + b_{23}(1+u)\varepsilon)\right), \\ p_{13} =& \frac{1}{v(b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}(b_{22}-\varepsilon))}\left(b_{13}b_{23}(b_{11}-b_{22}) + b_{12}{b_{23}}^2 - {b_{13}}^2 b_{21}\right), \\ p_{21} =& \frac{1}{v(b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}(b_{22}+\varepsilon))}\left(b_{13}b_{21} - b_{11}b_{23} + b_{23}\varepsilon\right), \\ p_{31} =& -\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(b_{13}b_{21}(b_{22}-2u\varepsilon) - {b_{11}}^2 b_{23} + b_{11}(b_{13}b_{21} + 2b_{23}u\varepsilon)\right. \\ & \left. -b_{23}(b_{12}b_{21} + v^2 + u^2\varepsilon^2)\right), \\ p_{32} =& \frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(b_{12}(b_{13}b_{21} - b_{23}(b_{11}+b_{22}-2u\varepsilon)) + b_{13}(v^2 + (b_{22}-u\varepsilon)^2)\right). \end{aligned}$$

When $b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}b_{22} \neq 0$ and $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$, the linear transformation (3.8) is nonsingular. Thus, in the new variables (U, V, W) system (3.7) can be written as (2.4), in which the coefficients a_{ijk}, b_{ijk} and c_{ijk} for $i,j,k = 0,1,2$ are functions of the parameters b_{ij}, α_i, β_i and γ_i of system (3.7), and these functions are smooth at $\varepsilon = 0$. Their explicit expressions are too long and we omit them.

From the foregoing we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *If system* (1.1) *has a non-isolated positive zero-Hopf equilibrium* (x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30})*, then system* (1.1) *is topologically equivalent to system* (3.5)*. Furthermore, if* $\Lambda \neq 0$ *and* $b_{13}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{23} \neq 0$*, then there exists a small perturbation of system* (3.5) *such that the perturbed system has a non-isolated positive zero-Hopf equilibrium at* $(1,1,1)$*, which has the normal form* (2.4) *with* $0 < |\varepsilon| \ll 1$*.*

Therefore, we can apply the main result in the previous section to system (2.4) and obtain the conclusions on non-isolated zero-Hopf bifurcation for three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems (1.1). In the next section, we will provide an example of the three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra system to illustrate the conclusions.

4. An example of non-isolated zero-Hopf bifurcation for three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems

In this section we construct a concrete example of three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems according to Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5. It is shown that this system undergoes non-isolated zero-Hopf bifurcation, and two limit cycles can be bifurcated from a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium under some conditions.

We consider the following three-parameter Lotka-Volterra system in the first octant $\mathbb{R}^3_+$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{dt} &= x\left(2v - 2vx - vy + vz\right),\\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= y\left(-3v + vx + vy + vz\right),\\
\frac{dz}{dt} &= \frac{z}{5v^2}\big(10v^3 + 10uv\varepsilon^2 + 5u^2v\varepsilon^2 - x(10v^3 + 5v^2\varepsilon\\
&\quad +8uv^2\varepsilon + 6uv\varepsilon^2 + 3u^2v\varepsilon^2 + u^2\varepsilon^3) + y(-5v^3 - 2uv^2\varepsilon\\
&\quad -4uv\varepsilon^2 - 2u^2v\varepsilon^2 + u^2\varepsilon^3) + z(5v^3 + 5v^2\varepsilon + 10uv^2\varepsilon)\big),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.1}
$$

where $0 \leq \varepsilon \ll 1$, $v > 0$ and u are bounded parameters.

When $\varepsilon = 0$, there exists a segment l with endpoints $(0, 5/2, 1/2)$ and $(5/3, 0, 4/3)$ such that each point in l is a positive equilibrium of system (4.1), where $l = \left\{(x,y,z):\ x = 1+s,\ y = 1 - \frac{3}{2}s,\ z = 1 + \frac{1}{2}s,\ -1 \leq s \leq \frac{2}{3}\right\}$. It can be checked that there is a unique point $(1,1,1)$ on the segment l which is a zero-Hopf equilibrium of system (4.1). Hence, system (4.1) has a non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium at $(1,1,1)$ when $\varepsilon = 0$. We are interested in studying the number of limit cycles bifurcating from this non-isolated zero-Hopf equilibrium when $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

By doing the change of variables $X = x - 1,\ Y = y - 1,\ Z = z - 1$, we move the equilibrium $(1,1,1)$ to the origin and obtain the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dX}{dt} &= -v(1+X)(2X + Y - Z),\\
\frac{dY}{dt} &= v(1+Y)(X + Y + Z),\\
\frac{dZ}{dt} &= \frac{1+Z}{5v^2}\big(Z(5v^3 + 5v^2\varepsilon + 10uv^2\varepsilon) - X(10v^3 + 5v^2\varepsilon\\
&\quad +8uv^2\varepsilon + 6uv\varepsilon^2 + 3u^2v\varepsilon^2 + u^2\varepsilon^3) + Y(-5v^3 - 2uv^2\varepsilon\\
&\quad -4uv\varepsilon^2 - 2u^2v\varepsilon^2 + u^2\varepsilon^3)\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

The Jacobian matrix of system (4.2) at $(0,0,0)$ has eigenvalues ε, $\varepsilon u+vi$ and $\varepsilon u-vi$ with $v>0$. To obtain the real Jordan normal form of system (4.2) at the origin, we do the linear transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ V_1 \\ W_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ p_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p_{11} = 3+5u+\frac{u\varepsilon}{v}+\frac{10(1+u)v}{-2v+\varepsilon}$, $p_{12} = 2-\frac{u\varepsilon}{v}+\frac{5v}{-2v+\varepsilon}$,$p_{13} = -\frac{5v}{-2v+\varepsilon}$, $p_{21} = -1-\frac{5v}{-2v+\varepsilon}$, $p_{31} = -\frac{(v+u\varepsilon)(5v+u\varepsilon)}{5v^2}$, and $p_{32} = \frac{u\varepsilon(-4v+u\varepsilon)}{5v^2}$.

Then in the new variables (U_1, V_1, W_1) system (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU_1}{dt} &= u\varepsilon U_1 - vV_1 + \sum_{i+j+k=2} a_{ijk}(\varepsilon) U_1^i V_1^j W_1^k, \\ \frac{dV_1}{dt} &= vU_1 + u\varepsilon V_1 + \sum_{i+j+k=2} b_{ijk}(\varepsilon) U_1^i V_1^j W_1^k, \\ \frac{dW_1}{dt} &= \varepsilon W_1 + \sum_{i+j+k=2} c_{ijk}(\varepsilon) U_1^i V_1^j W_1^k, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $a_{ijk}(\varepsilon)$, $b_{ijk}(\varepsilon)$ and $c_{ijk}(\varepsilon)$ have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{200}(\varepsilon) = \frac{2v}{5} + \frac{\varepsilon}{5}(-3+8u) + O(\varepsilon^2),\ a_{110}(\varepsilon) = \frac{2v}{5} + \frac{\varepsilon}{5}(17+18u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&a_{101}(\varepsilon) = (6-2u)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),\ a_{020}(\varepsilon) = -10\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&a_{011}(\varepsilon) = -3v + (-7-6u)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),\ a_{002}(\varepsilon) = -10\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&b_{200}(\varepsilon) = \frac{12\varepsilon}{5} + O(\varepsilon^2),\ b_{110}(\varepsilon) = -2v + \frac{7\varepsilon}{5} + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&b_{101}(\varepsilon) = -12\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),\ b_{020}(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{5}(-6+7u)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&b_{011}(\varepsilon) = 6v - \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),\ b_{002}(\varepsilon) = 15\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&c_{200}(\varepsilon) = \frac{16u\varepsilon}{25} + O(\varepsilon^2),\ c_{110}(\varepsilon) = \frac{56u\varepsilon}{25} + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&c_{101}(\varepsilon) = \frac{2v}{5} + \frac{1}{5}(7-4u)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),\ c_{020}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{16u\varepsilon}{25} + O(\varepsilon^2), \\
&c_{011}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{4v}{5} + (\frac{6}{5} - \frac{22u}{5})\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),\ c_{002}(\varepsilon) = -3\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that system (4.3) satisfies hypotheses (H_1) and (H_2). Hence, by Theorem 2.4, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $u<0$ and $u \neq -1/8$. Then there exists a small positive number ε^* such that for any ε, $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon^*$, system (4.3) has two limit cycles that are near the points $(5\sqrt{-2u\varepsilon/v}\cos\theta, 5\sqrt{-2u\varepsilon/v}\sin\theta, 0)$ and $(5\sqrt{\varepsilon/(4v)}\cos\theta, 5\sqrt{\varepsilon/(4v)}\sin\theta, -(1+8u)\sqrt{\varepsilon}/(24v))$ at $t=0$, respectively.*

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which led to an improvement of the original manuscript.

References

[1] A. Arneodo, P. Coullet, and C. Tresser, *Occurrence of strange attractors in three-dimensional Volterra equations*, Phys. Lett. A **79** (1980), no. 4, 259–263, DOI 10.1016/0375-9601(80)90342-4. MR590579 (82j:58077)

[2] V. I. Arnold, Arnold's problems, translated and revised edition of the 2000 Russian original. Springer, PHASIS, 2004. MR2078115 (2005c:58001)

[3] Marcin Bobieński and Henryk Żołądek, *The three-dimensional generalized Lotka-Volterra systems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25** (2005), no. 3, 759–791, DOI 10.1017/S0143385704000902. MR2142944 (2006a:34123)

[4] Adriana Buică and Jaume Llibre, *Averaging methods for finding periodic orbits via Brouwer degree*, Bull. Sci. Math. **128** (2004), no. 1, 7–22, DOI 10.1016/j.bulsci.2003.09.002. MR2033097 (2004j:34102)

[5] A. R. Champneys and V. Kirk, *The entwined wiggling of homoclinic curves emerging from saddle-node/Hopf instabilities*, Phys. D **195** (2004), no. 1-2, 77–105, DOI 10.1016/j.physd.2004.03.004. MR2074637 (2005d:37103)

[6] L. Gardini, R. Lupini, and M. G. Messia, *Hopf bifurcation and transition to chaos in Lotka-Volterra equation*, J. Math. Biol. **27** (1989), no. 3, 259–272, DOI 10.1007/BF00275811. MR1000091 (90i:92024)

[7] Mats Gyllenberg, Ping Yan, and Yi Wang, *A 3D competitive Lotka-Volterra system with three limit cycles: a falsification of a conjecture by Hofbauer and So*, Appl. Math. Lett. **19** (2006), no. 1, 1–7, DOI 10.1016/j.aml.2005.01.002. MR2189809

[8] John Guckenheimer, *On a codimension two bifurcation*, Dynamical systems and turbulence, Warwick 1980 (Coventry, 1979/1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 898, Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 99–142. MR654886 (83j:58088)

[9] John Guckenheimer and Philip Holmes, *Nonlinear oscillations, dynamical systems, and bifurcations of vector fields*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 42, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. MR709768 (85f:58002)

[10] Morris W. Hirsch, *Systems of differential equations that are competitive or cooperative. V. Convergence in* 3*-dimensional systems*, J. Differential Equations **80** (1989), no. 1, 94–106, DOI 10.1016/0022-0396(89)90097-1. MR1003252 (92h:58110)

[11] Morris W. Hirsch, *Systems of differential equations that are competitive or cooperative. IV. Structural stability in three-dimensional systems*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990), no. 5, 1225–1234, DOI 10.1137/0521067. MR1062401 (92h:58109)

[12] Josef Hofbauer and Karl Sigmund, *Evolutionary games and population dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR1635735 (99h:92027)

[13] J. Hofbauer and J. W.-H. So, *Multiple limit cycles for three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. **7** (1994), no. 6, 65–70, DOI 10.1016/0893-9659(94)90095-7. MR1340732 (96g:34063)

[14] Yuri A. Kuznetsov, *Elements of applied bifurcation theory*, 3rd ed., Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 112, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. MR2071006 (2005b:37100)

[15] M. R. May, Limit cycles in predator-prey communities, *Science* **177** (1972), 900–902.

[16] Robert M. May and Warren J. Leonard, *Nonlinear aspects of competition between three species*, Special issue on mathematics and the social and biological sciences, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **29** (1975), no. 2, 243–253. MR0392035 (52 #12853)

[17] Jaume Llibre, Ana Cristina Mereu, and Marco Antonio Teixeira, *Limit cycles of the generalized polynomial Liénard differential equations*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **148** (2010), no. 2, 363–383, DOI 10.1017/S0305004109990193. MR2600146 (2011d:34064)

[18] Jaume Llibre and Clàudia Valls, *Hopf bifurcation for some analytic differential systems in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *via averaging theory*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **30** (2011), no. 3, 779–790, DOI 10.3934/dcds.2011.30.779. MR2784620 (2012e:34087)

[19] N. G. Lloyd, *Degree theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 73, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978. MR0493564 (58 #12558)

[20] Zhengyi Lu and Yong Luo, *Three limit cycles for a three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra competitive system with a heteroclinic cycle*, Comput. Math. Appl. **46** (2003), no. 2-3, 231–238, DOI 10.1016/S0898-1221(03)90027-7. MR2015434 (2004h:92019)

[21] Lawrence Perko, *Differential equations and dynamical systems*, 2nd ed., Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 7, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. MR1418638 (97g:34002)

[22] J. A. Sanders and F. Verhulst, *Averaging methods in nonlinear dynamical systems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 59, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. MR810620 (87d:34065)

[23] Jürgen Scheurle and Jerrold Marsden, *Bifurcation to quasiperiodic tori in the interaction of steady state and Hopf bifurcations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **15** (1984), no. 6, 1055–1074, DOI 10.1137/0515082. MR762963 (86c:58114)

[24] S. Smale, *On the differential equations of species in competition*, J. Math. Biol. **3** (1976), no. 1, 5–7. MR0406579 (53 #10366)

[25] J. A. Vano, J. C. Wildenberg, M. B. Anderson, J. K. Noel, and J. C. Sprott, *Chaos in low-dimensional Lotka-Volterra models of competition*, Nonlinearity **19** (2006), no. 10, 2391–2404, DOI 10.1088/0951-7715/19/10/006. MR2260268 (2007f:34083)

[26] Ferdinand Verhulst, *Nonlinear differential equations and dynamical systems*, 2nd ed., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. Translated from the 1985 Dutch original. MR1422255 (97g:34003)

[27] Ruiping Wang and Dongmei Xiao, *Bifurcations and chaotic dynamics in a 4-dimensional competitive Lotka-Volterra system*, Nonlinear Dynam. **59** (2010), no. 3, 411–422, DOI 10.1007/s11071-009-9547-3. MR2592924

[28] Dongmei Xiao and Wenxia Li, *Limit cycles for the competitive three dimensional Lotka-Volterra system*, J. Differential Equations **164** (2000), no. 1, 1–15, DOI 10.1006/jdeq.1999.3729. MR1761415 (2001d:34051)

[29] E. C. Zeeman and M. L. Zeeman, *From local to global behavior in competitive Lotka-Volterra systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 2, 713–734, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-02-03103-3. MR1932722 (2004a:37128)

[30] M. L. Zeeman, *Hopf bifurcations in competitive three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra systems*, Dynam. Stability Systems **8** (1993), no. 3, 189–217. MR1246002 (94j:34044)

Departament de Matemátiques, Universitat Autónoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

E-mail address: `jllibre@mat.uab.cat`

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: `xiaodm@sjtu.edu.cn`