

LOG-CONCAVITY OF THE DUISTERMAAT-HECKMAN MEASURE FOR SEMIFREE HAMILTONIAN S^1 -ACTIONS

YUNHYUNG CHO

(Communicated by Lei Ni)

This paper is dedicated to my father

ABSTRACT. The Ginzberg-Knutson conjecture states that for any Hamiltonian Lie group G -action, the corresponding Duistermaat-Heckman measure is log-concave. It turns out that the conjecture is not true in general, but every well-known counterexample has non-isolated fixed points. In this paper, we prove that if the Hamiltonian circle action on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is semifree and all fixed points are isolated, then the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is log-concave. With the same assumption, we also prove that ω and every reduced symplectic form satisfy the hard Lefschetz property.

1. INTRODUCTION

In statistical mechanics, consider the relation $S(E) = k \log W(E)$, which is called *Boltzmann's principle*, where $W(E)$ is the number of states with given values of macroscopic parameters E (like energy, temperature, \dots), k is the Boltzmann's constant, and S is the entropy of the system which measures the degree of disorder in the system. For the additive values E , it is well-known that the entropy is always a concave function. (See [18] for more details.)

Now, consider a Hamiltonian G -manifold (M, ω) with the moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. The Liouville measure m_L is defined by

$$m_L(U) := \int_U \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$$

for any open set $U \subset M$. The push-forward measure $m_{\text{DH}} := \mu_* m_L$ is called the *Duistermaat-Heckman measure*. Then m_{DH} can be regarded as a measure on \mathfrak{g}^* such that for any Borel subset $B \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$, $m_{\text{DH}}(B) = \int_{\mu^{-1}(B)} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ tells us how many states of our system have momenta in B . By the Duistermaat-Heckman theorem [5], m_{DH} can be expressed in terms of the density function $\text{DH}(\xi)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{g}^* . Hence if we consider Boltzmann's principle in our Hamiltonian system with an identification $W = \text{DH}$, it is natural to ask whether the Duistermaat-Heckman measure m_{DH} is log-concave. As noted in [17], [11], and [14], V. Ginzburg and A. Knutson conjectured that for any closed Hamiltonian T -manifold, the corresponding Duistermaat-Heckman measure is log-concave.

Received by the editors July 22, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37J05, 53D20; Secondary 37J10.

Key words and phrases. Symplectic geometry, Duistermaat-Heckman measure.

The log-concavity problem of the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is proved by A. Okounkov [16] when M is a co-adjoint orbit of the classical Lie groups of type A_n , B_n , or C_n with the maximal torus action. Around the same time, W. Graham [7] proved that the log-concavity property holds for any holomorphic Hamiltonian circle action on any Kähler manifold. But the counterexample was found by Y. Karshon [11]. By using Lerman's symplectic cutting method, she constructed a closed 6-dimensional semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with two fixed components such that the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is not log-concave. Later, Y. Lin [14] generalized the construction of 6-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds which do not satisfy the log-concavity of the Duistermaat-Heckman measure. But all counterexamples of Karshon and Lin are the cases when each fixed component is of codimension two (i.e. non-isolated). So, the log-concavity problem is still open for the case when (M, ω) is a Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold whose fixed components are of codimension greater than two. In this paper, we will show that

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold with a semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -action whose fixed point set M^{S^1} consists of isolated points. Then the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is log-concave.*

The conditions “**semifree**” and “**isolated fixed points**” enable us to use the Tolman-Weitsman basis [19] of the equivariant cohomology $H_{S^1}^*(M)$. As you will see in Section 2, any semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with only isolated fixed points has a lot of remarkable properties. In fact, the cohomology ring and the equivariant cohomology ring of M are the same as those of $S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2$ with a diagonal semifree circle action. In particular, (M, ω) is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the product space of S^2 copies $(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2, \sigma)$ with some S^1 -invariant Kähler structure σ when $\dim M \leq 6$. (See [12] and [6].) Therefore, we may ask whether (M, ω) satisfies the properties which the diagonal circle action on $(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2, \sigma)$ satisfies. In this paper, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, ω) be a closed semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold whose fixed points are all isolated, and let μ be the moment map. Then ω satisfies the hard Lefschetz property. Moreover, the reduced symplectic form ω_t satisfies the hard Lefschetz property for every regular value t .*

In Section 2, we briefly review Tolman and Weitsman's work [19] which is very powerful to analyze the equivariant cohomology of the Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with isolated fixed points as we mentioned above. Especially we use the Tolman-Weitsman basis of the equivariant cohomology $H_{S^1}^*(M)$ which is constructed by using the equivariant version of Morse theory [20]. In Section 3, we express the Duistermaat-Heckman function explicitly in terms of the integration of some cohomology class on the reduced space. Then we compute the integration by using the Kirwan-Jeffrey residue formula [10]. Consequently, we will show that the log-concavity of the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is completely determined by the set of pairs $\{(\mu(F), m_F)_F \mid F \in M^{S^1}\}$, where $\mu(F)$ is the image of the moment map of F and m_F is the product of all weights of the S^1 -representation on $T_F M$ (Proposition 3.9). In Section 4, we will prove Theorem 1.1, and we will prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

2. TOLMAN-WEITSMAN BASIS
OF THE EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$

In this section we briefly review Tolman and Weitsman’s results in [19]. Throughout this section, we assume that (M^{2n}, ω) is a closed semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold whose fixed points are isolated. Note that for each fixed point $p \in M^{S^1}$, the index of p is the Morse index of the moment map at p , which is the same as twice the number of negative weights of the tangential S^1 -representation at p .

Proposition 2.1 ([19]). *Let N_k be the number of fixed points of index $2k$. Then $N_k = \binom{n}{k}$. Hence N_k is the same as that of the standard diagonal circle action on $(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2, \omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_n)$, where ω_i is the Fubini-Study form on S^2 of i -th factor.*

Theorem 2.2 ([19]). *Let $2^{[n]}$ be the power set of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then there exists a bijection $\phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ satisfying the following:*

- (1) *For each index- $2k$ fixed point $x \in M^{S^1}$, $|\phi(x)| = k$.*
- (2) *Let u be the generator of $H^*(BS^1, \mathbb{Z})$. For each index- $2k$ fixed point $x \in M^{S^1}$, there exists a unique cohomology class $\alpha_x \in H_{S^1}^{2k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ such that for any $x' \in M^{S^1}$,*
 - $\alpha_x|_{x'} = u^k$ if $\phi(x) \subset \phi(x')$.
 - $\alpha_x|_{x'} = 0$ otherwise.

Here, $\alpha|_{x'}$ means the image $\pi_{x'}(i^*(\alpha))$ where $i^* : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{Z})$ is a homomorphism induced by an inclusion $i : M^{S^1} \hookrightarrow M$, and $\pi_{x'} : H_{S^1}^*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(x'; \mathbb{Z})$ is a natural projection. Moreover $\{\alpha_x \mid x \in M^{S^1}\}$ forms a basis of $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

If we apply Theorem 2.2 to $(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2, \omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_n)$ with the diagonal semifree Hamiltonian circle action, we get a bijection $\psi : (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ and there is a basis $\{\beta_y \mid y \in (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1}\}$ of $H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ that satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.2. Hence we have an identification map

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1}$$

and $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi$ preserves the indices of the fixed points.

Note that $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi$ gives an identification between $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Let $a_i = \alpha_{\phi^{-1}\{i\}} \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $b_i = \beta_{\psi^{-1}\{i\}} \in H_{S^1}^2(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$. The following lemma is proved by Tolman and Weitsman in [19], but we give a complete proof here to use their idea in the rest of this paper.

Lemma 2.3 ([19]). *For each $x \in M^{S^1}$, we have $\alpha_x = \prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j$. Similarly, we have $\beta_y = \prod_{j \in \psi(y)} b_j$ for each $y \in (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1}$.*

Proof. For an inclusion $i : M^{S^1} \hookrightarrow M$, we have a natural ring homomorphism $i^* : H_{S^1}^*(M) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M^{S^1}) \cong H^*(M^{S^1}) \otimes H^*(BS^1)$. Kirwan’s injectivity theorem [13] implies that i^* is an injective ring homomorphism. Hence it is enough to show that $\alpha_x|_z = (\prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j)|_z$ for all $x, z \in M^{S^1}$. For any $x, z \in M^{S^1}$ with $\text{Ind}(x) = 2k$,

- $\alpha_x|_z = u^k$ if $\phi(x) \subset \phi(z)$.
- $\alpha_x|_z = 0$ otherwise.

On the other hand, $(\prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j)|_z = \prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j|_z$. Since $a_j|_z = u$ if and only if $j \in \phi(z)$, we have

- $(\prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j)|_z = u^k$ if $\phi(x) \subset \phi(z)$.
- $(\prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j)|_z = 0$ otherwise.

Therefore, we have $\alpha_x = \prod_{j \in \phi(x)} a_j$. The proof of the second statement is similar. □

Hence the $H^*(BS^1)$ -module isomorphism $f : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ which sends α_x to $\beta_{\psi^{-1} \circ \phi(x)}$ for each $x \in M^{S^1}$ is in fact a ring isomorphism by Lemma 2.3. To sum up, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 ([19]). *There is a ring isomorphism*

$$f : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$$

which sends α_x to $\beta_{\psi^{-1} \circ \phi(x)}$. Moreover, for any $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and any fixed point $x \in M^{S^1}$, we have $\alpha_x = f(\alpha)|_{\psi^{-1} \circ \phi(x)}$.*

3. THE DUISTERMAAT-HECKMAN FUNCTION AND THE RESIDUE FORMULA

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional closed Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with the moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We may assume that 0 is a regular value of μ such that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is non-empty. Choose two consecutive critical values c_1 and c_2 of μ so that the open interval (c_1, c_2) consists of regular values of μ and contains 0 . By the Duistermaat-Heckman's theorem [5], $[\omega_t] = [\omega_0] - et$ where e is the Euler class of S^1 -fibration $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M_0$, where M_0 is the symplectic reduction at 0 with the induced symplectic form ω_0 . Hence we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{DH}(t) = \int_{M_0} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} ([\omega_0] - et)^{n-1}$$

on $(c_1, c_2) \subset \text{Im} \mu$.

Note that a continuous function on an open interval $g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave if $g(tc + (1-t)d) \geq tg(c) + (1-t)g(d)$ for any $c, d \in (a, b)$ and for any $t \in (0, 1)$. We remark the basic property of a concave function as follows.

Remark 3.1. Let g be a continuous, piecewise smooth function on a connected interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then g is concave on I if and only if the derivative of g is decreasing, i.e. $g''(t) \leq 0$ for every smooth point $t \in I$ and $g'_+(c) - g'_-(c) < 0$ for every singular point $c \in I$, where $g'_+(c) = \lim_{t \rightarrow c, t > c} g'(t)$ and $g'_-(c) = \lim_{t \rightarrow c, t < c} g'(t)$.

Note that Duistermaat and Heckman proved that DH is a polynomial on a connected regular open interval $U \subset \mu(M)$. The following formula due to Guillemin, Lerman, and Sternberg describes the behavior of DH near the critical value of μ . In particular, it implies that DH is k -times differentiable at a critical value $c \in \mu(M)$ if and only if $\mu^{-1}(c)$ does not contain a fixed component whose codimension is less than $4 + 2k$.

Theorem 3.2 ([8]). *Assume that c is a critical value which corresponds to the fixed components C_i 's. Then the jump of $\text{DH}(t)$ at c is given by*

$$\text{DH}_+ - \text{DH}_- = \sum_i \frac{\text{vol}(C_i)}{(d_i - 1)! \prod_j w_j} (t - c)^{d_i - 1} + O((t - c)^{d_i}),$$

where the sum is over the components C_i of $M^{S^1} \cap \mu^{-1}(c)$, d_i is half the real codimension of C_i in M , and the w_j 's are the weights of the S^1 -representation on the normal bundle of C_i .

If c is a critical value which is not an extremum, then the codimension of the fixed point set in $\mu^{-1}(c)$ is at least 4. Therefore Theorem 3.2 implies that $\text{DH}(t)$ is continuous at non-extremal critical values and $\text{DH}'(t)$ jumps at c when d equals 2. In the case when $d = 2$, the two non-zero weights must have opposite signs, so the jump in the derivative is negative, i.e. $\text{DH}'(t)$ decreases when it passes through the critical value with $d = 2$. Since DH is continuous, the jump in $\frac{d}{dt} \ln \text{DH}(t)$ is negative at c . Combining with Remark 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (M, ω) be a closed Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with the moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the corresponding Duistermaat-Heckman function DH is log-concave on $\mu(M)$ if $(\log \text{DH}(t))'' \leq 0$ for every regular value $t \in \mu(M)$.*

Note that $(\log \text{DH}(t))'' = \frac{\text{DH}(t) \cdot \text{DH}''(t) - \text{DH}'(t)^2}{\text{DH}(t)^2}$. Therefore $(\log \text{DH}(t))'' \leq 0$ is equivalent to $\text{DH}(t) \cdot \text{DH}''(t) - \text{DH}'(t)^2 \leq 0$. Equation (3.1) implies that

$$(3.2) \quad \text{DH}(t) \cdot \text{DH}''(t) = (n - 1)(n - 2) \int_{M_0} e^2[\omega_t]^{n-3} \cdot \int_{M_0} [\omega_t]^{n-1}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{DH}'(t)^2 = (n - 1)^2 \left(\int_{M_0} e[\omega_t]^{n-2} \right)^2.$$

To compute the integrals appearing in equations (3.2) and (3.3), we need the following procedures. For an inclusion $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$, we have a ring homomorphism $\kappa : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(\mu^{-1}(0); \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(M_0; \mathbb{R})$ which is called the Kirwan map. Due to the Kirwan surjectivity [13], κ is a ring surjection. Now, consider a 2-form $\tilde{\omega} := \omega - d(\mu\theta)$ on $M \times ES^1$ where θ is the pull-back of the connection form on ES^1 along the projection $M \times ES^1 \rightarrow ES^1$. We denote $x = \pi^*u \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ where $\pi : M \times_{S^1} ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$ and u is a generator of $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{Z})$ such that the Euler class of the Hopf bundle $ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$ is $-u$. Some part of the following two lemmas is given in [1], but we give the complete proofs here.

Lemma 3.4. *$\tilde{\omega}$ is S^1 -invariant and closed, and $i_X \tilde{\omega} = 0$ so that $\tilde{\omega}$ represents a cohomology class in $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for any fixed component $F \in M^{S^1}$, we have $\kappa([\tilde{\omega}]) = [\omega_0]$ and $[\tilde{\omega}]|_F = [\omega]|_F + \mu(F)u$. In particular, if F is isolated, then $[\tilde{\omega}]|_F = \mu(F)u$.*

Proof. For the first statement, it is enough to show that $i_X \tilde{\omega}$ and $L_X \tilde{\omega}$ vanish. Note that $i_X \tilde{\omega} = i_X \omega - i_X d(\mu\theta) = -d\mu + di_X(\mu\theta) - L_X(\mu\theta)$ by Cartan's formula. Since $i_X(\mu\theta) = \mu$ and $\mu\theta$ is invariant under the circle action, we have $i_X \tilde{\omega} = -d\mu + d\mu = 0$. Moreover, it is obvious that $\tilde{\omega}$ is closed by definition. Hence $L_X \tilde{\omega} = 0$ by Cartan's formula again.

To prove the second statement, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^{-1}(0) \times ES^1 & \hookrightarrow & M \times ES^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mu^{-1}(0) \times_{S^1} ES^1 & \hookrightarrow & M \times_{S^1} ES^1 \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mu^{-1}(0)/S^1 \cong M_{\text{red}} & & \end{array}$$

Since $d\mu$ is zero on the tangent bundle $\mu^{-1}(0) \times ES^1$, the pull-back of $\tilde{\omega} = \omega - d\mu \wedge \theta - \mu d\theta$ to $\mu^{-1}(0) \times ES^1$ is the restriction $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(0) \times ES^1}$ and the push-forward of $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(0) \times ES^1}$ to $\mu^{-1}(0)/S^1$ is just a reduced symplectic form at the level 0. Hence $\kappa([\tilde{\omega}]) = [\omega_0]$.

To show the last statement, consider $[\tilde{\omega}]|_F = [\omega - d\mu \wedge \theta - \mu d\theta]|_F$. Since the restriction $d\mu|_{F \times ES^1}$ vanishes, we have $[\tilde{\omega}]|_F = [\omega]|_F - \mu(F) \cdot [d\theta]|_{ES^1} = [\omega]|_F + \mu(F)u$. In particular, if F is isolated, then we have $[\tilde{\omega}]|_F = \mu(F)u$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Consider a 2-form $d\theta$ on $M \times ES^1$, where θ is the pull-back of the connection form on ES^1 along the projection $M \times ES^1 \rightarrow ES^1$. Then we can push $d\theta$ forward to $M \times_{S^1} ES^1$ so that $d\theta$ represents a cohomology class in $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $[d\theta] = -x$ and $\kappa([d\theta]) = -\kappa(x) = e$, where e is the Euler class of the S^1 -fibration $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M_{\text{red}}$.*

Proof. Note that $i_X d\theta = L_X \theta - di_X \theta = 0$. Hence we can push $d\theta$ forward to $M \times_{S^1} ES^1$. For any fixed point $p \in M^{S^1}$, the restriction $[d\theta]|_p$ is the Euler class of $p \times ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$. Hence $[d\theta] = -u \cdot 1 = -x$. Here, the multiplication “ \cdot ” comes from the $H^*(BS^1)$ -module structure on $H_{S^1}^*(M)$. By the diagram in the proof of Lemma 3.4, $\kappa([d\theta])$ is just the Euler class of the S^1 -fibration $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)/S^1$. Therefore $\kappa([d\theta]) = -\kappa(x) = e$. \square

Combining equations (3.2), (3.3), Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. $DH(0) \cdot DH''(0) - DH'(0)^2 \leq 0$ if and only if

$$(n - 2) \int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta]^2 [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3}) \cdot \int_{M_0} \kappa([\tilde{\omega}]^{n-1}) - (n - 1) \left(\int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta][\tilde{\omega}]^{n-2}) \right)^2 \leq 0.$$

To compute the above integrals $\int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta]^2 [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3})$, $\int_{M_0} \kappa([\tilde{\omega}]^{n-1})$, and $\int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta][\tilde{\omega}]^{n-2})$, we need the residue formula due to Jeffrey and Kirwan. (See [10] and [9].)

Theorem 3.7 ([10]). *Let $\nu \in H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\int_{M_0} \kappa(\nu) = \sum_{F \in M^{S^1}, \mu(F) > 0} \text{Res}_{u=0} \left(\frac{\nu|_F}{e_F} \right).$$

Here, e_F is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to F so that we can regard $\nu|_F$ and e_F as polynomials with one variable u . $\text{Res}_{u=0}(f)$ means a residue of f where f is a rational function with one variable u .

Now, let's compute $\int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta]^2 [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3})$. By Theorem 3.7,

$$\int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta]^2 [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3}) = \sum_{F \in M^{S^1}, \mu(F) > 0} \text{Res}_{u=0} \left(\frac{[d\theta]^2 [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3}|_F}{e_F} \right).$$

Since $[\tilde{\omega}]|_z = \mu(z)u$ and $[d\theta]|_z = -u$ by Lemma 3.4 and 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta]^2 [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3}) &= \sum_{F \in M^{S^1}, \mu(F) > 0} \text{Res}_{u=0} \left(\frac{\mu(F)^{n-3} u^{n-1}}{e_F} \right) \\ &= \sum_{F \in M^{S^1}, \mu(F) > 0} \text{Res}_{u=0} \left(\frac{\mu(F)^{n-3} u^{n-1}}{m_F u^n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{F \in M^{S^1}, \mu(F) > 0} \frac{1}{m_F} \mu(F)^{n-3}, \end{aligned}$$

where m_F is the product of all weights of tangential S^1 -representation at F . Similarly, if $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value of μ , then we let $\tilde{\mu} = \mu - \xi$ be the new moment map. By the same argument, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *For a regular value ξ of the moment map μ , we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & \int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta]^2[\tilde{\omega}]^{n-3}) = \sum_{\substack{F \in M^{S^1}, \\ \mu(F) > \xi}} \frac{1}{m_F} (\mu(F) - \xi)^{n-3}. \\
 (2) \quad & \int_{M_0} \kappa([d\theta][\tilde{\omega}]^{n-2}) = \sum_{\substack{F \in M^{S^1}, \\ \mu(F) > \xi}} \frac{-1}{m_F} (\mu(F) - \xi)^{n-2}. \\
 (3) \quad & \int_{M_0} \kappa([\tilde{\omega}]^{n-1}) = \sum_{\substack{F \in M^{S^1}, \\ \mu(F) > \xi}} \frac{1}{m_F} (\mu(F) - \xi)^{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining Corollary 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.9. *Let (M, ω) be a closed Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with the moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that M^{S^1} consists of isolated fixed points. Then a density function of the Duistermaat-Heckman measure with respect to μ is log-concave if and only if*

$$\sum_{\substack{F \in M^{S^1}, \\ \mu(F) > \xi}} \frac{1}{m_F} (\mu(F) - \xi)^{n-3} \cdot \sum_{\substack{F \in M^{S^1}, \\ \mu(F) > \xi}} \frac{1}{m_F} (\mu(F) - \xi)^{n-1} - \left(\sum_{\substack{F \in M^{S^1}, \\ \mu(F) > \xi}} \frac{1}{m_F} (\mu(F) - \xi)^{n-2} \right)^2 \leq 0$$

for every regular value $\xi \in \mu(M)$, where m_F is the product of all weights of the S^1 -representation on $T_F M$. In particular, the log-concavity of the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is completely determined by the set $\{(\mu(F), m_F)_F | F \in M^{S^1}\}$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let (M^{2n}, ω) and (N^{2n}, σ) be two closed Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds with the moment maps μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively. Assume there exists a bijection $\phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow N^{S^1}$ which satisfies*

- (1) for each $F \in M^{S^1}$, $m_F = m_{\phi(F)}$, and
- (2) for each $F \in M^{S^1}$, $\mu_1(F) = \mu_2(\phi(F))$,

where m_F is the product of all weights of the tangential S^1 -representation at F . If N satisfies the log-concavity of the Duistermaat-Heckman measure with respect to μ_2 , then so does M with respect to μ_1 .

Remark 3.11. The integration formulae (1) and (3) in Lemma 3.8 are proved by Wu by using the stationary phase method. See Theorem 5.2 in [21] for the details.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

As noted in the introduction, if a Hamiltonian S^1 -action on the Kähler manifold is holomorphic, then the corresponding Duistermaat-Heckman function is log-concave by [7]. Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a closed semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with the moment map μ . Assume that all fixed points are isolated. Let DH be the corresponding Duistermaat-Heckman function with respect to μ . We will show that there

is a Kähler form $\omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_n$ on $S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2$ with the standard diagonal holomorphic semifree circle action such that a bijection $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ given in Section 2 satisfies the conditions in Corollary 3.10, which implies the log-concavity of DH. Now we start with the lemma below.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a closed semifree Hamiltonian circle action with the moment map μ . Assume that all fixed points are isolated. Then $\{(\mu(F), m_F)_F \mid F \in M^{S^1}\}$ is completely determined by $\mu(p_0^1), \mu(p_1^1), \dots, \mu(p_1^n)$, where the p_k^j 's are the fixed points of index $2k$ for $j = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{k}$.*

Proof. Consider an equivariant symplectic 2-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $M \times_{S^1} ES^1$ which is given in Section 3. Since the set $\{x, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is a basis of $H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$, we may assume

$$[\tilde{\omega}] = m_0x + m_1a_1 + \cdots + m_na_n$$

for some real numbers m_i . (See Section 2, the definition of x, a_1, \dots, a_n .) Therefore, for any fixed point $p_i^j \in M^{S^1}$, we have

$$[\tilde{\omega}]|_{p_i^j} = (m_0x + m_1a_1 + \cdots + m_na_n)|_{p_i^j}.$$

When $i = 0$ and $j = 1$, Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$[\tilde{\omega}]|_{p_0^1} = m_0u.$$

Since every a_i vanishes on p_0^1 , the right hand side is

$$(m_0x + m_1a_1 + \cdots + m_na_n)|_{p_0^1} = m_0u.$$

Hence $m_0 = \mu(p_0^1)$. Similarly,

$$[\tilde{\omega}]|_{p_1^i} = \mu(p_1^i)u$$

and

$$(m_0x + m_1a_1 + \cdots + m_na_n)|_{p_1^i} = m_0u + m_iu.$$

Hence we have $m_i = \mu(p_1^i) - m_0 = \mu(p_1^i) - \mu(p_0^1)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore $\{\mu(p_0^1), \mu(p_1^1), \dots, \mu(p_1^n)\}$ determines the coefficients m_i of $[\tilde{\omega}]$.

For p_k^j with $k > 1$, the relation $[\tilde{\omega}]|_{p_k^j} = (m_0x + m_1a_1 + \cdots + m_na_n)|_{p_k^j}$ implies

- $[\tilde{\omega}]|_{p_k^j} = \mu(p_k^j)u$ and
- $(m_0x + m_1a_1 + \cdots + m_na_n)|_{p_k^j} = m_0u + \sum_{i \in \phi(p_k^j)} m_iu.$

Therefore, for fixed k , the set $\{(\mu(p_k^j), m_{p_k^j})_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{k}\}$ is just

$$\{((m_0 + m_{i_1} + \cdots + m_{i_k}), (-1)^k)_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \mid \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\},$$

and this set does not depend on the ordering of p_k^j . Hence $\{(\mu(F), m_F)_F \mid F \in M^{S^1}\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{(\mu(p_k^j), m_{p_k^j})_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{k}\}$ is completely determined by $\mu(p_0^1), \mu(p_1^1), \dots, \mu(p_1^n)$. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 1.1). *Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold with a semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -action whose fixed point set M^{S^1} consists of isolated points. Then the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is log-concave.*

Proof. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a moment map and let $M^{S^1} = \{p_k^j \mid k = 0, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{k}\}$ be the fixed point set, where p_k^j is a fixed point of index $2k$ labeled by $j = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{k}$. Note that $\mu(p_0^1)$ is the minimum value of μ . Let $\phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ be the identification map between the fixed point set M^{S^1} and the power set $2^{[n]}$ defined in Theorem 2.2. Then we may assume (by re-labeling, if necessary) that $\phi(p_1^j) = \{j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We will show that there exists a semifree holomorphic Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold $(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2, \sigma)$ with the moment map μ' such that $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ preserves their indices, weights, and the values of the moment map, where $\psi : (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ is the identification map described in Theorem 2.2.

Let ω_i be the Fubini-Study form on S^2 such that the symplectic volume is $\mu(p_1^i) - \mu(p_0^1)$. Let S be the south pole and N be the north pole of S^2 so that S is the minimum (N is the maximum, respectively) of the moment map on (S^2, ω_i) with the standard semifree circle action on S^2 . Then $(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2, \omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_n)$ is a symplectic manifold with the diagonal semifree Hamiltonian circle action. Let $\mu' : S^2 \times \dots \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the moment map whose minimum is $\mu(p_0^1)$. Let $\psi : (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ be the identification map between the fixed point set $(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ and the power set $2^{[n]}$ such that

$$\psi^{-1}(\{i\}) := q_1^i = (S, \dots, S, N, S, \dots, S)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $q_1^i = (S, \dots, S, N, S, \dots, S)$ is a fixed point on $(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)$ of index 2 such that the i -th coordinate is N and the other coordinates are S . Then we can easily see that $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi(p_1^j) = q_1^j$ and $\mu(p_1^j) = \mu'(q_1^j) = \mu(p_1^j) - \mu(p_0^1)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\{(\mu(F), m_F)_F \mid F \in M^{S^1}\} = \{(\mu'(F), m_F)_F \mid F \in (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1}\},$$

and $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ satisfies the condition in Corollary 3.10. Therefore the Duistermaat-Heckman measure is log-concave on $\mu(M)$. \square

Remark 4.3 (Summary). Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional compact semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with isolated fixed points. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a moment map. Let $\phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ be the identification described in Theorem 2.2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we proved that there exists a Kähler form $\omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_n$ on $S^2 \times \dots \times S^2$ with the diagonal semifree Hamiltonian action with the moment map $\mu' : S^2 \times \dots \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following:

- There is an identification $\psi : (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1} \rightarrow 2^{[n]}$ such that $\psi^{-1}(\{i\}) = q_1^i = (S, \dots, S, N, S, \dots, S)$, where $q_1^i = (S, \dots, S, N, S, \dots, S)$ is a fixed point on $S^2 \times \dots \times S^2$ of index 2 such that the i -th coordinate is N and the other coordinates are S .
- The composition map $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ preserves their indices, weights, and the values of the moment map.
- By Corollary 2.4, $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \dots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ induces an isomorphism $f : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, by the proof of Lemma 4.1, f sends the equivariant symplectic class $[\tilde{\omega}]$ in $H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ to the one in $H_{S^1}^2(S^2 \times \dots \times S^2; \mathbb{R})$.

We will refer to Remark 4.3 in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

5. THE HARD LEFSCHETZ PROPERTY OF THE REDUCED SYMPLECTIC FORMS

For a Kähler manifold (N, σ) with a holomorphic circle action preserving σ , let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be any regular value of the moment map $H : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since the reduced space $N_t := H^{-1}(t)/S^1$ with the reduced symplectic form σ_t is again Kähler, σ_t satisfies the hard Lefschetz property for every regular value $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this section, we show that the same thing happens when (M, ω) is a closed semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold whose fixed points are all isolated. The following theorem is due to Tolman and Weitsman.

Theorem 5.1 ([20]). *Let (M, ω) be a closed Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that all fixed points are isolated and 0 is a regular value. Let M^{S^1} be the set of fixed points. Define $K_+^M := \{\alpha \in H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \alpha|_{F_+} = 0\}$ where $F_+ := M^{S^1} \cap \mu^{-1}(0, \infty)$ and $K_-^M := \{\alpha \in H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \alpha|_{F_-} = 0\}$ where $F_- := M^{S^1} \cap \mu^{-1}(-\infty, 0)$. Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\kappa} (M_{\text{red}}; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where κ is the Kirwan map.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.2 (Theorem 1.2). *Let (M, ω) be a closed semifree Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold whose fixed points are all isolated, and let μ be the moment map. Then ω satisfies the hard Lefschetz property. Moreover, the reduced symplectic form ω_t satisfies the hard Lefschetz property for every regular value t .*

Proof. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a moment map such that $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value of μ . For $M_{\text{red}} \cong \mu^{-1}(0)/S^1$ with the reduced symplectic form ω_0 , let $\kappa_M : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(M_{\text{red}}; \mathbb{R})$ be the Kirwan map for (M, ω) and let κ be the one for $(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2, \sigma)$, where $\sigma := \omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_n$ is chosen in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. (See also Remark 4.3.) As in Remark 4.3, we proved that there exists a semifree holomorphic Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold $(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2, \sigma)$ with the moment map μ' such that $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ preserves their indices, weights, and the values of the moment map. Also, the induced ring isomorphism $f : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ given in Corollary 2.4 satisfies $\alpha|_x = f(\alpha)|_{\psi^{-1} \circ \phi(x)}$ for any $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and any fixed point $x \in M^{S^1}$. Hence $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi$ identifies K_+^M with $K_+^{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}$ and K_-^M with $K_-^{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}$. Hence if $\alpha \in K_+^M$, then $f(\alpha) \in K_+^{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}$. Similarly for any $\alpha \in K_-^M$, we have $f(\alpha) \in K_-^{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}$. Therefore $f(\alpha)$ is in $\ker \kappa$ if and only if $\alpha \in \ker \kappa_M$ by Theorem 5.1.

Now, let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the equivariant symplectic form with respect to the moment map μ . (See Section 3.) Note that $\kappa(f([\tilde{\omega}]))$ is the cohomology class of the reduced symplectic form of $S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2$ at $\mu^{-1}(0)/S^1$. Since the Kähler quotient of the holomorphic action is again Kähler, $\kappa(f([\tilde{\omega}]))$ satisfies the hard Lefschetz property. Now, assume that ω_0 does not satisfy the hard Lefschetz property. Then there exists a positive integer $k (< n)$ and some non-zero $\alpha \in H^k(M_{\text{red}}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha \cdot [\omega_0]^{n-k} = 0$ in $H^{2n-k}(M_{\text{red}})$. By the Kirwan surjectivity theorem [13], we can find $\tilde{\alpha} \in H_{S^1}^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ with $\kappa(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Then $\tilde{\alpha} \cdot [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-k}$ is in $\ker \kappa_M$ and hence the image $f(\tilde{\alpha} \cdot [\tilde{\omega}]^{n-k})$ is in $\ker \kappa$. It implies that $f(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$ by the hard Lefschetz

condition for $f([\tilde{\omega}])$. Since $[\tilde{\alpha}] \in \ker \kappa_M$ if and only if $f([\tilde{\alpha}]) \in \ker \kappa$ and $[\tilde{\alpha}]$ is not in $\ker \kappa_M$, it is a contradiction.

It remains to show that (M, ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property. Recall that $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : M^{S^1} \rightarrow (S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2)^{S^1}$ induces an isomorphism

$$f : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{Z}),$$

which sends the equivariant symplectic class $[\tilde{\omega}]$ to $[\tilde{\sigma}]$ as we have seen in Section 4. (See Remark 4.3.) Here, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an equivariant symplectic form induced by $\sigma - d(\mu'\theta)$ in $H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{R})$. Since f is an $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R})$ -algebra isomorphism, it induces a ring isomorphism

$$f_u : \frac{H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})}{u \cdot H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow \frac{H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{R})}{u \cdot H_{S^1}^*(S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2; \mathbb{R})}.$$

Moreover, the quotient map $\pi_M : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \frac{H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})}{u \cdot H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})} \cong H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$ ($\pi_{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}$, resp.) is a ring homomorphism which comes from an inclusion $M \hookrightarrow M \times_{S^1} ES^1$ as a fiber. Therefore $\pi_M([\tilde{\omega}]) = [\omega]$ and $\pi_{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}([\tilde{\sigma}]) = [\sigma]$. Therefore the isomorphism f_u maps $[\omega]$ to $[\sigma]$. Since σ is a Kähler form, it satisfies the hard Lefschetz property. Hence so does ω . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Michèle Audin, *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, Second revised edition, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 93, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004. MR2091310 (2005k:53158)
- [2] Yunhyung Cho and Min Kyu Kim, *Log-concavity of complexity one Hamiltonian torus actions* (English, with English and French summaries), C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **350** (2012), no. 17–18, 845–848, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2012.07.004. MR2989389
- [3] Y. Cho, T. Hwang and D. Y. Suh, *Semifree Hamiltonian circle actions on 6-dimensional symplectic manifolds with non-isolated fixed point set*, arXiv:1005.0193 (2010).
- [4] Thomas Delzant, *Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment* (French, with English summary), Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), no. 3, 315–339. MR984900 (90b:58069)
- [5] J. J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman, *On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 2, 259–268, DOI 10.1007/BF01399506. MR674406 (84h:58051a)
- [6] Eduardo González, *Classifying semi-free Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2** (2011), 387–418. MR2764868 (2012g:53177)
- [7] William Graham, *Logarithmic convexity of push-forward measures*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996), no. 2, 315–322, DOI 10.1007/s002220050029. MR1374203 (96m:58081)
- [8] V. Guillemin, E. Lerman, and S. Sternberg, *On the Kostant multiplicity formula*, J. Geom. Phys. **5** (1988), no. 4, 721–750 (1989), DOI 10.1016/0393-0440(88)90026-5. MR1075729 (92f:58058)
- [9] Lisa C. Jeffrey, *The residue formula and the Tolman-Weitsman theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **562** (2003), 51–58, DOI 10.1515/crll.2003.077. MR2011331 (2005b:53135)
- [10] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*, Topology **34** (1995), no. 2, 291–327, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(94)00028-J. MR1318878 (97g:58057)
- [11] Yael Karshon, *Example of a non-log-concave Duistermaat-Heckman measure*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), no. 4, 537–540. MR1406018 (97f:58056)
- [12] Yael Karshon, *Periodic Hamiltonian flows on four-dimensional manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (1999), no. 672, viii+71. MR1612833 (2000c:53113)
- [13] Frances Clare Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984. MR766741 (86i:58050)
- [14] Yi Lin, *The log-concavity conjecture for the Duistermaat-Heckman measure revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **10** (2008), Art. ID rnn027, 19, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnn027. MR2429245 (2009i:53086)

- [15] Yi Lin, *Examples of non-Kähler Hamiltonian circle manifolds with the strong Lefschetz property*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), no. 2, 699–709, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2006.03.011. MR2304334 (2008h:53149)
- [16] Andrei Okounkov, *Log-concavity of multiplicities with application to characters of $U(\infty)$* , Adv. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 258–282, DOI 10.1006/aima.1997.1622. MR1448715 (99a:22028)
- [17] Andrei Okounkov, *Brunn-Minkowski inequality for multiplicities*, Invent. Math. **125** (1996), no. 3, 405–411, DOI 10.1007/s002220050081. MR1400312 (99a:58074)
- [18] Andrei Okounkov, *Why would multiplicities be log-concave?*, The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000), Progr. Math., vol. 213, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003, pp. 329–347. MR1995384 (2004j:20022)
- [19] Susan Tolman and Jonathan Weitsman, *On semifree symplectic circle actions with isolated fixed points*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 2, 299–309, DOI 10.1016/S0040-9383(99)00011-7. MR1722020 (2000k:53074)
- [20] Susan Tolman and Jonathan Weitsman, *The cohomology rings of symplectic quotients*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), no. 4, 751–773. MR2015175 (2004k:53140)
- [21] Siye Wu, *An integration formula for the square of moment maps of circle actions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **29** (1993), no. 4, 311–328, DOI 10.1007/BF00750965. MR1257832 (95b:58070)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY (KIAS), 87 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL, 130-722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: yhcho@kias.re.kr