

ON EXTENDIBILITY OF A MAP INDUCED BY THE BERS ISOMORPHISM

HIDEKI MIYACHI AND TOSHIHIRO NOGI

(Communicated by Michael Wolf)

ABSTRACT. Let S be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g(\geq 2)$ and set $\dot{S} = S \setminus \{\hat{z}_0\}$. Then we have the composed map $\varphi \circ r$ of a map $r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow F(S)$ and the Bers isomorphism $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$, where $F(S)$ is the Bers fiber space of S , $T(X)$ is the Teichmüller space of X and U is the upper half-plane.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the map $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ has a continuous extension to some subset of the boundary $T(S) \times \partial U$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Teichmüller space. Let S be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g(\geq 2)$. Consider any pair (R, f) of a closed Riemann surface R of genus g and a quasiconformal map $f : S \rightarrow R$. Two pairs (R_1, f_1) and (R_2, f_2) are said to be *equivalent* if $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1} : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is homotopic to a biholomorphic map $h : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$. Let $[R, f]$ be the equivalence class of such a pair (R, f) . We set

$$T(S) = \{[R, f] \mid f : S \rightarrow R : \text{quasiconformal}\}$$

and call $T(S)$ the *Teichmüller space* of S .

For any $p_1 = [R_1, f_1], p_2 = [R_2, f_2] \in T(S)$, the *Teichmüller distance* is defined to be

$$d_T(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_g \log K(g),$$

where g runs over all quasiconformal maps from R_1 to R_2 homotopic to $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$ and $K(g)$ means the maximal dilatation of g . The Teichmüller space is topologized with the Teichmüller distance.

It is known that S can be represented as U/G , where U is the upper half-plane and G is a torsion free Fuchsian group. Let $L_\infty(U, G)_1$ be the space of measurable functions μ on U satisfying:

- (1) $\|\mu\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in U} |\mu(z)| < 1$,
- (2) $(\mu \circ g) \frac{\bar{g}'}{g}$ for all $g \in G$.

Received by the editors February 10, 2012 and, in revised form, June 13, 2012 and January 8, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30F60, 32G15, 20F67.

The first author was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 21540177.

The second author was partially supported by the JSPS Institutional Program for Young Research Overseas Visits “Promoting international young researchers in mathematics and mathematical sciences led by OCAMI”.

For any $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$, there is a unique quasiconformal map w of U onto U satisfying normalization conditions $w(0) = 0, w(1) = 1$ and $w(\infty) = \infty$. Let $Q(G)$ be the set of all normalized quasiconformal maps w such that wGw^{-1} is also Fuchsian. We write $w = w_\mu$. Two maps $w_1, w_2 \in Q(G)$ are said to be *equivalent* if $w_1 = w_2$ on the real axis \mathbb{R} . Let $[w]$ be the equivalence class of $w \in Q(G)$. We set

$$T(G) = \{[w] \mid w \in Q(G)\}$$

and call $T(G)$ the *Teichmüller space* of G .

Then we have a canonical bijection

$$(1.1) \quad T(G) \ni [w_\mu] \mapsto [U/G_\mu, f_\mu] \in T(S),$$

where $G_\mu = w_\mu G w_\mu^{-1}$ and f_μ is the map induced by $w_\mu : U \rightarrow U$. Throughout this paper, we always identify $T(G)$ with $T(S)$ via the bijection (1.1).

1.2. Bers fiber space. For any $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$, there is a unique quasiconformal map w^μ of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $w^\mu(0) = 0, w^\mu(1) = 1, w^\mu(\infty) = \infty$ such that w^μ satisfies the Beltrami equation $w_{\bar{z}} = \mu w_z$ on U and is conformal on the lower half-plane L . The *Bers fiber space* $F(G)$ over $T(G)$ is defined by

$$F(G) = \{([w_\mu], z) \in T(G) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid [w_\mu] \in T(G), z \in w^\mu(U)\}.$$

Take a point $z_0 \in U$ and denote by A the set of all points $g(z_0), g \in G$. Let

$$v : U \rightarrow U - A$$

be a holomorphic universal covering map. We define

$$\dot{G} = \{h \in \text{Aut } U \mid v \circ h = g \circ v \text{ for some } g \in G\}.$$

We see that $U/\dot{G} = U/G - \{\pi(z_0)\}$, where $\pi : U \rightarrow S = U/G$ is the natural projection. Set $\dot{S} = U/\dot{G}$. By Lemma 6.3 of Bers [2], every point in $F(G)$ is represented as a point $([w_\mu], w^\mu(z_0))$ for some $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$. For $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$, we define $\nu \in L_\infty(U, \dot{G})_1$ by

$$\mu(v(z)) \frac{\overline{v'(z)}}{v'(z)} = \nu(z).$$

Then, Bers' isomorphism theorem asserts that the map

$$\varphi : ([w_\mu], w^\mu(z_0)) \mapsto [w_\nu]$$

is a biholomorphic bijection map (cf. Theorem 9 of [2]). Moreover, we define a map $r : T(G) \times U \rightarrow F(G)$ by

$$([w_\mu], z) \mapsto ([w_\mu], h_{[w_\mu]}(z)),$$

where U is the universal covering of S and $h_{[w_\mu]} : U \rightarrow w^\mu(U)$ is the Teichmüller mapping in the class of w^μ . We remark that our definition of r is different from Bers'. See the proof of Lemma 6.4 of [2]. This map r is not real analytic, but it is a homeomorphism. This difference does not influence our purpose.

Via the bijection (1.1), the Bers fiber space $F(S)$ over $T(S)$ is defined by

$$F(S) = \{([R_\mu, f_\mu], z) \in T(S) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid [R_\mu, f_\mu] \in T(S), z \in w^\mu(U)\}$$

with the projection

$$F(S) \ni ([R_\mu, f_\mu], z) \mapsto [R_\mu, f_\mu] \in T(S).$$

Similarly, we have the isomorphism $F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ and the homeomorphism $T(S) \times U \rightarrow F(S)$, and we denote them by the same symbols φ and r , respectively.

1.3. The Bers embedding. The Teichmüller space $T(S)$ can be regarded canonically as a bounded domain of a complex Banach space $B_2(L, G)$ in the following way: Let $B_2(L, G)$ consist of all holomorphic functions ϕ defined on L such that

$$\phi(g(z))g'(z)^2 = \phi(z) \text{ for } g \in G \text{ and } z \in L$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in L} |(\text{Im}z)^2 \phi(z)| < \infty.$$

For any $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$, we denote by ϕ^μ the Schwarzian derivative of w^μ on L ; that is,

$$\phi^\mu(z) = \{w^\mu, z\} = \frac{(w^\mu)'''(z)}{(w^\mu)'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{(w^\mu)''(z)}{(w^\mu)'(z)} \right)^2 \text{ for } z \in L.$$

If $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$, then $\phi^\mu \in B_2(L, G)$ and the Bers embedding $T(S) \ni [R_\mu, f_\mu] \mapsto \phi^\mu \in B_2(L, G)$ is a biholomorphic bijection of $T(S)$ onto a holomorphically bounded domain in $B_2(L, G)$. From now on, we will identify $T(S)$ with its image in $B_2(L, G)$.

Similarly, we define the Bers embedding of $T(\dot{S})$ into $B_2(L, \dot{G})$. Since $F(S)$ is a domain of $B_2(L, G) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $T(\dot{S})$ is a bounded domain in $B_2(L, \dot{G})$, we define the topological boundaries of them naturally. Let $\overline{F(G)}$ denote the closure of $F(G)$ in $B_2(L, G) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

1.4. Main theorem. Zhang [17] proved that the Bers isomorphism φ cannot be continuously extended to $\overline{F(S)}$ if the dimension of $T(S)$ is greater than zero. Then we have the following question: Is there some subset of $\overline{F(S)} - F(S)$ to which φ can be continuously extended?

To do this, we compose the isomorphism $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ and the map $r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow F(S)$; then we obtain new map $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$. Let \mathbb{A} be a subset of ∂U consisting of all points filling S (cf. §3.3). Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *The map $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ has a continuous extension to $T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$.*

The idea of the proof of this is as follows. For any sequence $\{(p_m, z_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $T(S) \times U$ converging to $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$, we put $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m) \in T(\dot{S})$. We need to prove that the sequence $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converges without depending on the choice of a convergent sequence to $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$.

Let q_0 be the basepoint of $T(\dot{S})$. It is known that the image of the Bers embedding is canonically identified with the slice $T(\dot{S}) \times \{\bar{q}_0\}$ in the quasifuchsian space which is biholomorphic to $T(\dot{S}) \times T(\bar{S})$ (cf. Chapter 8 of Bers [3]). For each pair $(q_m, \bar{q}_0) \in T(\dot{S}) \times T(\bar{S})$, there is a unique quasifuchsian group Γ_m up to conjugation such that the conformal boundaries of a hyperbolic manifold $N_m = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_m$ correspond to the pair (q_m, \bar{q}_0) .

We assume throughout the paper that quasifuchsian groups Γ_m and manifolds N_m are marked by a homomorphism and homotopy equivalence, respectively.

For our purpose, it is sufficient to show that a limit Γ_∞ of the sequence $\{\Gamma_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is uniquely determined. To do this, we show the following key lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Given $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}$, there exists a filling lamination λ with the following property. For any sequence $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = z_\infty$ and $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m)$ as above, there exists a sequence of simple closed curves $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *the lengths $\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m)$ of α_m in N_m are bounded and*
- (2) *the sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converges to λ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S})$.*

Here the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S})$ will be given in §2 and §3. We remark that λ is identified with an ending lamination by Klarreich’s work in [9].

From this lemma, we see that the limit Γ_∞ of $\{\Gamma_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a singly degenerate Kleinian group; that is, the region of discontinuity of Γ_∞ is simply connected. Then by using the ending lamination theorem for surface groups of [6], Γ_∞ is uniquely determined by (λ, \bar{q}_0) up to conjugation, and it is the only possible limit.

2. GROMOV-HYPERBOLIC SPACES

In this section, we shall give the boundary at infinity of hyperbolic space. For details, see Klarreich [9].

Let (Δ, d) be a metric space. If Δ is equipped with a basepoint 0, we define the *Gromov product* $\langle x|y \rangle$ of points x and y in Δ by

$$\langle x|y \rangle = \langle x|y \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{d(x, 0) + d(y, 0) - d(x, y)\}.$$

For $\delta \geq 0$, the metric space Δ is said to be δ -hyperbolic if

$$\langle x|y \rangle \geq \min\{\langle x|z \rangle, \langle y|z \rangle\} - \delta$$

holds for every $x, y, z \in \Delta$. We say that Δ is *hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov* if Δ is δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$.

If Δ is a hyperbolic space, we can define a boundary of Δ in the following way: We say that a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of points in Δ *converges at infinity* if it satisfies $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m|x_n \rangle = \infty$. Given two sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that converge at infinity, they are said to be *equivalent* if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m|y_n \rangle = \infty$. Since Δ is hyperbolic, we see that this is an equivalence relation (\sim). We set

$$\partial_\infty \Delta = \{ \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \mid \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ converges at infinity} \} / \sim$$

and call $\partial_\infty \Delta$ the *boundary at infinity* of Δ . If $\xi \in \partial_\infty \Delta$, then we say that a sequence of points in Δ *converges to* ξ if the sequence belongs to the equivalence class ξ . We put

$$\overline{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \partial_\infty \Delta.$$

3. LEININGER, MJ AND SCHLEIMER’S WORK

3.1. The curve complex. Let $S = U/G$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g(\geq 2)$ and $\pi : U \rightarrow S$ be the natural projection. We take a point z_0 in U and set $\hat{z}_0 = \pi(z_0)$. Put $\dot{S} = S \setminus \{\hat{z}_0\}$.

The curve complex $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a simplicial complex which is defined as follows. The vertices of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ are homotopy classes of nontrivial simple closed curves on S . Two curves are connected by an edge if they can be realized disjointly on S , and in general a collection of curves spans a simplex if the curves can be realized disjointly on S . We define $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ similarly, with vertices consisting of nontrivial, nonperipheral simple closed curves on \dot{S} .

We give $\mathcal{C}(S)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$) a metric structure by making every simplex a regular Euclidean simplex whose edges have length 1, and define the distance $d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$ (resp. $d_{\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})}$) by taking shortest paths.

Theorem 3.1 (Masur and Minsky [12], Theorem 1.1). *The spaces $\mathcal{C}(S)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ are δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta > 0$.*

We put $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(S) = \mathcal{C}(S) \cup \partial_\infty \mathcal{C}(S)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S}) = \mathcal{C}(\dot{S}) \cup \partial_\infty \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$, respectively.

3.2. Definition of Φ . Denote by $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ the group of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S onto itself. Let $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ be a group which consists of all elements in $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ isotopic to the identity map id .

We define the evaluation map

$$ev : \text{Diff}^+(S) \rightarrow S$$

by $ev(f) = f(\hat{z}_0)$. A theorem of Earle and Eells asserts that $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ is contractible. Hence, for the map $ev|_{\text{Diff}_0(S)}$, there is a unique lift

$$\tilde{ev} : \text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow U$$

satisfying the condition that $\tilde{ev}(id) = z_0$.

Following Leininger, Mj and Schleimer [10], we will define a map $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{C}(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$. To give an idea of the definition of $\tilde{\Phi}$, we consider the case of $\mathcal{C}^0(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S)$, where $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$ is the 0-skeleton of $\mathcal{C}(S)$. Take a point $(v, f) \in \mathcal{C}^0(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S)$. From now on, if no confusion is possible, we identify the homotopy class v with the geodesic representative. Then there is an isotopy f_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, between $f_0 = id$ and $f_1 = f$. Setting $C(t) = f_t(\hat{z}_0)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$, we have a path C from \hat{z}_0 to $f(\hat{z}_0)$ on S . Move a point in S from $f(\hat{z}_0)$ to \hat{z}_0 along C and drag v back along the moving point. Then we obtain a new simple closed curve on \dot{S} and denote the curve by $f^{-1}(v)$. Thus we define $\tilde{\Phi}(v, f) = f^{-1}(v)$.

However, when $f(\hat{z}_0) \in v$, we cannot define $\tilde{\Phi}(v, f)$ as above. We solve this problem in the following way: Now choose $\{\epsilon(v)\}_{v \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ so that the $\epsilon(v)$ -neighborhood $N(v) = N_{\epsilon(v)}$ of v has the following properties:

- (i) $N(v)$ is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times [0, 1]$,
- (ii) $N(v_1) \cap N(v_2) = \emptyset$ if $v_1 \cap v_2 = \emptyset$.

Let $N^\circ(v)$ be the interior of $N(v)$ and v^\pm the boundary components of $N(v)$. Notice that $\epsilon(v)$ is depending only on the length of the geodesic representative of v (cf. [7]).

If $v \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$ is a simplex with vertices $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, then we consider the barycentric coordinates for points in v :

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k s_j v_j \mid \sum_{j=0}^k s_j = 1 \text{ and } s_j \geq 0, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

For a point (v, f) with v a vertex of $\mathcal{C}(S)$, we can define $\tilde{\Phi}$ as follows. If $f(\hat{z}_0) \notin N^\circ(v)$, we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v, f) = f^{-1}(v)$$

as above.

If $f(\hat{z}_0) \in N^\circ(v)$, then $f^{-1}(v^+)$ and $f^{-1}(v^-)$ are not isotopic in \dot{S} . We set

$$t = \frac{d(v^+, f(\hat{z}_0))}{2\epsilon(v)},$$

where $d(v^+, f(\hat{z}_0))$ is the distance inside $N(v)$ from $f(\hat{z}_0)$ to v^+ . Then we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v, f) = tf^{-1}(v^+) + (1 - t)f^{-1}(v^-)$$

in barycentric coordinates on the edge $[f^{-1}(v^+), f^{-1}(v^-)]$.

In general, for a point $(x, f) \in \mathcal{C}(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S)$ with $x = \sum_{j=0}^k s_j v_j$, we define $\tilde{\Phi}(x, f)$ as follows. If $f(\hat{z}_0) \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^k N^\circ(v_j)$, we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x, f) = \sum_j s_j f^{-1}(v_j).$$

If $f(\hat{z}_0) \in N^\circ(v_i)$ for exactly one i , we set

$$t = \frac{d(v^+, f(\hat{z}_0))}{2\epsilon(v_i)}$$

and define

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(x, f) = s_i(tf^{-1}(v_i^+) + (1 - t)f^{-1}(v_i^-)) + \sum_{j \neq i} s_j f^{-1}(v_j).$$

Finally, by Proposition 2.2 in [10], if $\tilde{e}v(f_1) = \tilde{e}v(f_2)$ in U , we see that $\tilde{\Phi}(x, f_1) = \tilde{\Phi}(x, f_2)$. From this, we have a map $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(S) \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ satisfying $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi \circ (id \times \tilde{e}v)$.

3.3. Extendibility of Φ . A subsurface of S is said to be *essential* if it is either a component of the complement of a geodesic multicurve in S , the annular neighborhood $N(v)$ of some geodesic $v \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$, or else S .

Given an essential subsurface Y , if a point $x \in \partial U$ has the properties

- (i) for every geodesic ray $r \subset U$ ending at x and for every $v \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$ which nontrivially intersects an essential subsurface Y , we have $\pi(r) \cap v \neq \emptyset$, and
- (ii) there is a geodesic ray $r \subset U$ ending at x such that $\pi(r) \subset Y$,

we call such a point x a *filling point* for Y (or simply, x *fills* Y). We set

$$\mathbb{A} = \{x \in \partial U \mid x \text{ fills } S\}.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 3.2 ([10], Theorem 1.1 and 3.6). *For any $v \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, the map*

$$\Phi(v, \cdot) : U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$$

can be continuously extended to

$$\bar{\Phi}(v, \cdot) : U \cup \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S}).$$

Moreover, for every $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}$, $\bar{\Phi}(v, z_\infty)$ does not depend on v .

4. MAIN THEOREM

Let γ be a nontrivial simple closed curve on a Riemann surface R . Denote by $\text{Mod}(A)$ the modulus of an annulus in R whose core curve is homotopic in R to γ . We define the extremal length $\text{Ext}(\gamma)$ of γ on R by

$$\text{Ext}_R(\gamma) = \inf_A 1/\text{Mod}(A),$$

where the infimum is over all annuli $A \subset R$ whose core curve is homotopic in R to γ (cf. Chapter 4 of Ahlfors [1]).

Given any point $p = [R, f] \in T(S)$ and a nontrivial simple closed curve α on S , we define the extremal length $\text{Ext}_p(\alpha)$ by

$$\text{Ext}_p(\alpha) = \text{Ext}_R(f(\alpha)).$$

Theorem 4.1. *The map $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ has a continuous extension to $T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. Let $\{(p_m, z_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be any sequence in $T(S) \times U$ converging to $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$. Put $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m)$. We regard $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ as the sequence $\{(q_m, \bar{q}_0)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in a Bers slice of $T(\dot{S}) \times T(\bar{S})$ where q_0 is the base point (\dot{S}, id) of $T(\dot{S})$.

For each pair $(q_m, \bar{q}_0) \in T(\dot{S}) \times \{\bar{q}_0\}$, there is a unique quasifuchsian group Γ_m up to conjugation such that it uniformizes (q_m, \bar{q}_0) . For each Γ_m , the quotient space $N_m = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_m$ is a hyperbolic manifold, where \mathbb{H}^3 is upper half space.

To prove that $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converges, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Given $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}$, there exists a filling lamination λ with the following property. For any sequence $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = z_\infty$ and $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m)$ as above, there exists a sequence of simple closed curves $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *the lengths $\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m)$ of α_m in N_m are bounded and*
- (2) *the sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converges to λ in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S})$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.1. First we pick any simple closed curve α on S and fix it. By Theorem 3.2, $\Phi(\alpha, z_m) \rightarrow \lambda$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S})$ and λ does not depend on α .

Next we produce a sequence of curves which satisfies (1) and (2) as follows. Let S_m be the underlying Riemann surface for p_m and \hat{h}_m the Teichmüller map from S onto S_m . Then $p_m = (S_m, \hat{h}_m)$. Take $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \text{Diff}_0(S)$ with $\tilde{e}v(f_m) = z_m$. Then the point $[S_m - \{\hat{h}_m(\hat{z}_m)\}, \hat{h}_m \circ f_m]$ represents q_m in $T(\dot{S})$, where \hat{z}_m is the image in S of z_m via the projection $U \rightarrow S$. We choose α_m to be $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m)$ if \hat{z}_m is not contained in $N^\circ(\alpha)$, and otherwise let α_m be a vertex of $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m)$ with weight at least $1/2$ in barycentric coordinates on the edge of $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m)$ (cf. (3.1)).

We show that the sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ satisfies (1) and (2). By Theorem 3.2, $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m) = \Phi(\alpha, z_m) \rightarrow \lambda$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S})$, which implies (2).

To see (1), first we set

$$E_0 = 1/\text{Mod}(N(\alpha)).$$

Suppose that $\hat{z}_m = f_m(\hat{z}_0) \notin N^\circ(\alpha)$. Then the interior of the annulus $N(\alpha)$ is embedded in $S - \{\hat{z}_m\}$. Let p_0 be the basepoint of $T(S)$. Since $\{d_T(p_m, p_\infty)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence, by using the triangle inequality we see that $\{d_T(p_m, p_0)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is also a bounded sequence. Hence we may assume that $K(\hat{h}_m) < K$ for every m with a sufficiently large $K (> 1)$. Since every \hat{h}_m satisfies

$$\text{Mod}(\hat{h}_m(N(\alpha))) \geq 1/(KE_0),$$

we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ext}_{q_m}(\alpha_m) \leq KE_0.$$

Suppose $\hat{z}_m \in N^\circ(\alpha)$. Let α^* be the core geodesic of $N(\alpha)$ and denote by α^\pm the components of $\partial N(\alpha)$. Take a conformal (not isometric) coordinate

$$g_m : \alpha^* \times [-\epsilon(\alpha), \epsilon(\alpha)] \rightarrow N(\alpha)$$

such that $\alpha^* \times \{0\}$ maps to the core geodesic of $N(\alpha)$ and for each t , $\alpha^* \times \{t\}$ is sent to the equidistant circle to the core geodesic. Let $t_m \in [-\epsilon(\alpha), \epsilon(\alpha)]$ be such that $\hat{z}_m \in g_m(\alpha^* \times \{t_m\})$. We suppose $t_m > 0$. The case $t_m \leq 0$ can be dealt with in the same manner.

Let A_m be the component of $N(\alpha) \setminus g_m(\alpha^* \times \{t_m\})$ which is containing α^* . Since g_m is conformal,

$$\text{Mod}(A_m) \geq \text{Mod}(N(\alpha))/2.$$

Thus

$$\text{Mod}(\hat{h}_m(A_m)) \geq 1/(2KE_0).$$

By the definition of α_m , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Ext}_{q_m}(\alpha_m) = \text{Ext}_{q_m}(f_m^{-1}(\alpha^-)) \leq 2KE_0.$$

From (4.1) and (4.2), we conclude that $\text{Ext}_{q_m}(\alpha_m)$ are bounded above. By Maskit’s comparizon theorem of [11], we see that $\ell_{q_m}(\alpha_m)$ are bounded above. Here for any point $q = [\dot{R}, \dot{f}] \in T(\dot{S})$ and a nontrivial simple closed curve γ on \dot{S} the symbol $\ell_q(\gamma)$ means the length of the geodesic representative of the homotopy class of $\dot{f}(\gamma)$ in the hyperbolic metric on \dot{R} . Therefore by Bers’ inequality, we have

$$\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m) \leq 2\min\{\ell_{q_m}(\alpha_m), \ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)\},$$

and hence $\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m)$ are uniformly bounded, which implies (1). □

We now return to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider the normalized sequence $\{\alpha_m/\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$. This sequence has a convergent subsequence (represented by the same indices) to a measured lamination ν , which by Theorem 1.4 of [9] has the same support as λ from Lemma 4.1 (2).

For a hyperbolic manifold N with marked homotopy equivalence $\dot{S} \rightarrow N$ and a measured lamination ξ on \dot{S} , we denote by $\underline{\ell}_N(\xi)$ the extended length of ξ in N (see Brock [5]). Any quasifuchsian group uniformizing (q_m, \bar{q}_0) admits a natural marked homotopy equivalence inherited from that of q_m . By Brock’s continuity theorem we get

$$\underline{\ell}_{N_m} \left(\frac{\alpha_m}{\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)} \right) \rightarrow \underline{\ell}_{N_\infty}(\nu) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $N_\infty = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_\infty$ is a marked hyperbolic manifold and Γ_∞ is an algebraic limit of the subsequence $\{\Gamma_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$. (Cf. Theorem 2 of [5]. See also Lemma 3.1 of Ohshika [16].) On the other hand, from (2) of Lemma 4.1, because α_m tends to infinity in $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$, in the fixed metric q_0 , we must have $\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, from (1) in Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\ell}_{N_m} \left(\frac{\alpha_m}{\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)} \right) &= \frac{1}{\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)} \underline{\ell}_{N_m}(\alpha_m) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ (} m \rightarrow \infty \text{),} \end{aligned}$$

and thus the length of ν in N_∞ is zero. Since the support of ν contains λ as its support, the length of λ in N_∞ is also zero. Hence λ is not realizable in N_∞ . Since λ is filling, it follows that Γ_∞ is a singly degenerate Kleinian group. By using the ending lamination theorem for surface groups of [6], Γ_∞ is uniquely determined by (λ, \bar{q}_0) up to conjugation. By Theorem 3.2, λ depends only on z_∞ . Thus the sequence $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converges without depending on the choice of a convergent sequence to $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$. Hence we conclude that the map $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ has a continuous extension to $T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the referee for valuable suggestions on the improvement of the proof of their main theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lars V. Ahlfors, *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1973. MR0357743 (50 #10211)
- [2] Lipman Bers, *Fiber spaces over Teichmüller spaces*, Acta. Math. **130** (1973), 89–126. MR0430318 (55 #3323)
- [3] Lipman Bers, *Finite-dimensional Teichmüller spaces and generalizations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **5** (1981), no. 2, 131–172, DOI 10.1090/S0273-0979-1981-14933-8. MR621883 (82k:32050)
- [4] Lipman Bers, *An inequality for Riemann surfaces*, Differential geometry and complex analysis, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 87–93. MR780038 (86h:30076)
- [5] J. F. Brock, *Continuity of Thurston's length function*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 4, 741–797, DOI 10.1007/PL00001637. MR1791139 (2001g:57028)
- [6] Jeffrey F. Brock, Richard D. Canary, and Yair N. Minsky, *The classification of Kleinian surface groups, II: The ending lamination conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **176** (2012), no. 1, 1–149, DOI 10.4007/annals.2012.176.1.1. MR2925381
- [7] Peter Buser, *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 106, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1992. MR1183224 (93g:58149)
- [8] Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi, *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1992. Translated and revised from the Japanese by the authors. MR1215481 (94b:32031)
- [9] E. Klarreich, *The boundary at infinity of the curve complex and relative Teichmüller spaces*, preprint.
- [10] Christopher J. Leininger, Mahan Mj, and Saul Schleimer, *The universal Cannon-Thurston map and the boundary of the curve complex*, Comment. Math. Helv. **86** (2011), no. 4, 769–816, DOI 10.4171/CMH/240. MR2851869 (2012h:57002)
- [11] Bernard Maskit, *Comparison of hyperbolic and extremal lengths*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **10** (1985), 381–386. MR802500 (87c:30062)
- [12] Howard A. Masur and Yair N. Minsky, *Geometry of the complex of curves. I. Hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 1, 103–149, DOI 10.1007/s002220050343. MR1714338 (2000i:57027)
- [13] Katsuhiko Matsuzaki and Masahiko Taniguchi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and Kleinian groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. MR1638795 (99g:30055)
- [14] Yair N. Minsky, *Teichmüller geodesics and ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Topology **32** (1993), no. 3, 625–647, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(93)90013-L. MR1231968 (95g:57031)
- [15] Yair N. Minsky, *On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1994), no. 3, 539–588, DOI 10.2307/2152785. MR1257060 (94m:57029)
- [16] Ken'ichi Ohshika, *Limits of geometrically tame Kleinian groups*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), no. 1, 185–203, DOI 10.1007/BF01234417. MR1029395 (91c:30087)
- [17] Chaohui Zhang, *Nonextendability of the Bers isomorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 8, 2451–2458, DOI 10.2307/2161274. MR1249895 (95j:32035)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, MACHI-KANEYAMA 1-1, TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: miyachi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, SUMI-YOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: toshihironogi8@gmail.com