

## DEGREE-INVARIANT, ANALYTIC EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS WITHOUT PERFECTLY MANY CLASSES

ANTONIO MONTALBÁN

(Communicated by Mirna Džamonja)

ABSTRACT. We show that there is only one natural Turing-degree invariant, analytic equivalence relation with  $\aleph_1$  many equivalence classes: the equivalence  $X \equiv_{\omega_1} Y \iff \omega_1^X = \omega_1^Y$ . More precisely, under  $PD + \neg CH$ , we show that every Turing-degree invariant, analytic equivalence relation with  $\aleph_1$  many equivalence classes is equal to  $\equiv_{\omega_1}$  on a Turing cone.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A function  $f: 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$  is said to be *Turing-degree invariant* if  $X \equiv_T Y \Rightarrow f(X) \equiv_T f(Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in 2^\omega$ . There are not very many natural degree-invariant functions. The easy examples are the identity function, the constant functions, the Turing jump, and iterates of the Turing jump. Martin's famous conjecture states precisely that: Under AD, every degree-invariant  $f: 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$  is Turing equivalent to either a constant function, the identity function or a transfinite iterate of the Turing jump almost everywhere with respect to Martin's measure. Martin's measure is the one that assigns a set  $C \subseteq 2^\omega$  measure 1 if it contains a *Turing cone* (i.e., a set of the form  $\{X \in 2^\omega : X \geq_T Y\}$  for some  $Y$ ), and measure 0 if it is disjoint from a Turing cone. (Martin's Turing determinacy theorem states that, under AD, every degree-invariant set either contains or is disjoint from a cone.) Martin's conjecture was proved for uniformly degree-invariant functions and for order-preserving functions by Slaman and Steel [Ste82, SS88], but is still a major open question for non-uniformly degree-invariant functions (see [MSS] for a current survey).

In this paper, we consider equivalence relations instead of functions and, in particular, equivalence relations with  $\aleph_1$  many classes. An equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $2^\omega$  is said to be *degree-invariant* if  $X \equiv_T Y \Rightarrow X \sim Y$  for all  $X, Y \in 2^\omega$ . If  $\aleph_1 < 2^{\aleph_0}$ , there are not very many natural degree-invariant equivalence relations with  $\aleph_1$  many classes. An example is the equivalence relation

$$X \equiv_{\omega_1} Y \iff \omega_1^X = \omega_1^Y,$$

where  $\omega_1^X$  is the least non- $X$ -computable ordinal. Our theorem states that this is the only natural such equivalence relation.

**Theorem 1** (ZF+ $\Sigma_2^1$ -DET). *If  $\sim$  is a degree-invariant, analytic equivalence relation on  $2^\omega$  without perfectly many classes, then, on a cone,  $\sim$  is equal to either the trivial equivalence relation or to  $\equiv_{\omega_1}$ .*

---

Received by the editors January 23, 2016 and, in revised form, March 13, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03D99, 03E99.

The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0901169 and the Packard Fellowship.

By the *trivial equivalence relation*, we mean the relation where all reals are equivalent. When we say that an equivalence relation  $E$  has *perfectly many classes*, we mean that there exists a perfect subset of  $2^\omega$ , all of whose elements are non- $E$ -equivalent. Burgess’s theorem [Bur79] says that an analytic equivalence relation without perfectly many classes can have at most  $\aleph_1$  many classes. Note that if  $\aleph_1 < 2^{\aleph_0}$ , Burgess’s theorem is an equivalence.

Since almost all proofs in computability theory relativize, if  $E$  is a “natural” equivalence relation and one can prove that it is either equal to or different from  $\equiv_{\omega_1}$ , then one would expect that proof to relativize and hold in any cone. One would also expect that proof not to depend on whether  $\Sigma_2^1$ -DET holds or not. This is why we read Theorem 1 as saying that  $\sim_{\omega_1}$  is the only *natural*, degree-invariant, analytic equivalence relation with uncountably many, but not perfectly many, classes.

As a side note, let us remark that there are other natural, analytic, non-degree-invariant equivalence relations with  $\aleph_1$  many classes. The known examples are isomorphisms of well-orderings (letting non-well-orders be equivalent to each other) [Spe55], bi-embeddability of linear orderings [Mon07], bi-embeddability of torsion abelian groups [GM08], and isomorphism on the models of a counterexample to Vaught’s conjecture (if it exists) [Mon13]. See [Mon] for more on these.

## 2. THE PROOF

A key lemma that we will use a couple of times is the following:

**Lemma 2** (Martin). (*ZF*+ $\Sigma_2^1$ -DET) *Let  $f: 2^\omega \rightarrow \omega_1$  be a function invariant under Turing equivalence which has a  $\Sigma_2^1$  presentation; i.e., there is a  $\Sigma_2^1$  function  $g: 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$  such that, for every  $X$ ,  $g(X)$  is a well-ordering of  $\omega$  isomorphic to  $f(X)$ . If  $f(X) < \omega_1^X$  for every  $X$ , then  $f$  is constant on a cone.*

See [Mon13, Lemma 2.5] for a proof.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Assume  $\sim$  is not trivial on any cone, and let us prove that it must be equal to  $\equiv_{\omega_1}$  on some cone.

By a result of Burgess [Bur79, Corollary 1], there is a nested, decreasing sequence of Borel equivalence relations,  $\sim_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ , whose intersection is  $\sim$ , or in other words, such that  $X \sim Y \iff (\forall \alpha < \omega_1) X \sim_\alpha Y$ . Furthermore, if  $\sim$  is lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$ , we can also require that, for all  $X, Y \in 2^\omega$ ,

$$X \sim Y \iff X \sim_{\omega_1^{X \oplus Y}} Y$$

(see [Mon, Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2]). Note that by relativizing to an oracle if necessary, we can assume  $\sim$  is lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$ . Moreover, from the proof of [Mon, Lemma 2.1], we also get that  $\sim_\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^0$  uniformly in  $\alpha$  and that the sequence of  $\sim_\alpha$ ’s is continuous, i.e., that  $\sim_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \sim_\beta$  for all limit ordinals  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

By a result of Silver [Sil80], since each  $\sim_\alpha$  is Borel and does not contain perfectly many classes,  $\sim_\alpha$  can only have countably many classes. Thus each  $\sim_\alpha$  partitions the reals into countably many degree-invariant Borel parts. By Martin’s Turing determinacy, one of those parts contains a cone. On the other hand, every cone is partitioned by some equivalence relation  $\sim_\alpha$ , since we are assuming  $\sim$  is not trivial on any cone. Therefore, for every  $X \in 2^\omega$ , there is an ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $Y \not\sim_\alpha X$  for some  $Y \geq_T X$ . Let  $f(X) \in \omega_1$  be the least such  $\alpha$ . By our observation

above,  $f$  cannot be constant on any cone, because for each  $\sim_\alpha$ , there is a cone of reals all  $\sim_\alpha$ -equivalent to each other, and hence  $f(X) > \alpha$  on that cone.

We claim that  $f(X) \geq \omega_1^X$  on a cone. Suppose it is not and hence that the set

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{X \in 2^\omega : (\forall Y \geq X) Y \sim_{\omega_1^X} X\}$$

contains no cone.  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is a degree-invariant  $\Pi_2^1$  set of reals, and hence by Martin's Turing determinacy, it must be disjoint from a cone. Restricted to this cone, the function  $f$  has a  $\Sigma_2^1$  presentation (define  $f(X)$  to be the  $\Pi_1^{1,X}$  initial segment of  $\mathcal{H}^X$ , the Harrison linear ordering [Har68] relative to  $X$ , of all  $\beta$  satisfying  $(\forall Y \geq_T X) Y \sim_\beta X$ ). Notice that the function  $f$  not only is degree invariant, but also preserves order in the sense that  $X \leq_T Z$  implies  $f(X) \leq f(Z)$ . By Martin's Lemma 2,  $f$  must be constant on a cone, which we have already stated is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathcal{S}_1$  contains a cone  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

We now claim that, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_1$ ,

$$(1) \quad Y \geq_T X \quad \& \quad \omega_1^Y = \omega_1^X \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y \sim X.$$

The reason is that for such  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\omega_1^{X \oplus Y} = \omega_1^Y = \omega_1^X$ , and hence  $Y \sim_{\omega_1^X} X$  implies  $Y \sim_{\omega_1^{X \oplus Y}} X$ , which implies  $X \sim Y$ .

By a result of Harrington [Har78, Lemma 2.10] (see also [Mon13, Lemma 3.6]), for every  $X, Y$  with  $\omega_1^X = \omega_1^Y$ , there is a  $G$  such that

$$\omega_1^X = \omega_1^{X \oplus G} = \omega_1^G = \omega_1^{G \oplus Y} = \omega_1^Y.$$

If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , we can assume  $G \in \mathcal{C}_1$  too by relativizing to the base of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Using (1), we then get that

$$(2) \quad X \sim (X \oplus G) \sim G \sim (G \oplus Y) \sim Y.$$

We have shown that

$$(3) \quad \omega_1^X = \omega_1^Y \Rightarrow X \sim Y \quad \text{for every } X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

The second part of the proof is to show the reversal on some cone.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}_1} = \{\omega_1^X : X \in \mathcal{C}_1\} \subseteq \omega_1$ , which, by a result of Sacks [Sac76, Corollary 3.16], is the set of all ordinals that are admissible relative to the base of the cone  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . By (3), we can view  $\sim$  as an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ . We say that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$  is  *$\sim$ -new* if, for  $\beta < \alpha$  with  $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ , we have  $\beta \not\sim \alpha$  or, in other words, if  $\alpha$  is the least element of its  $\sim$ -equivalence class. Consider

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{X \in \mathcal{C}_1 : \omega_1^X \text{ is } \sim\text{-new}\} = \{X \in \mathcal{C}_1 : (\forall Y \in \mathcal{C}_1) Y \sim X \rightarrow \omega_1^Y \geq \omega_1^X\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{S}_2$  is  $\Pi_2^1$ . Thus, by Martin's Turing determinacy, it either contains or is disjoint from a cone  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . We claim that it cannot be disjoint from  $\mathcal{C}_2$ : If it was, consider the map  $g: \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \omega_1$  such that  $g(X)$  is the least  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$  such that  $\alpha \sim \omega_1^X$ . (Note that  $g$  has a  $\Sigma_2^1$  representation: Let  $g(X)$  be the initial segment of  $\mathcal{H}^X$  of all  $\beta$  such that there exists  $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$  with  $Y \sim X$  and  $\beta < \omega_1^Y$ .) Using Martin's Lemma 2 again,  $g$  must be constant on a cone, but then  $\sim$  would be trivial on that cone. Thus  $\mathcal{S}_2$  must contain a cone  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , we then have that  $X \sim Y \iff \omega_1^X = \omega_1^Y$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [Bur79] John P. Burgess, *A reflection phenomenon in descriptive set theory*, *Fund. Math.* **104** (1979), no. 2, 127–139. MR551663
- [GM08] Noam Greenberg and Antonio Montalbán, *Ranked structures and arithmetic transfinite recursion*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 3, 1265–1307, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-07-04285-7. MR2357696
- [Har68] Joseph Harrison, *Recursive pseudo-well-orderings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (1968), 526–543. MR0244049
- [Har78] Leo Harrington, *Analytic determinacy and  $0^\sharp$* , *J. Symbolic Logic* **43** (1978), no. 4, 685–693, DOI 10.2307/2273508. MR518675
- [Mon] Antonio Montalbán, *Analytic equivalence relations satisfying hyperarithmetic-is-recursive*, *Forum Math. Sigma* **3** (2015), e8, 11, DOI 10.1017/fms.2015.5. MR3376734
- [Mon07] Antonio Montalbán, *On the equimorphism types of linear orderings*, *Bull. Symbolic Logic* **13** (2007), no. 1, 71–99. MR2300904
- [Mon13] Antonio Montalbán, *A computability theoretic equivalent to Vaught’s conjecture*, *Adv. Math.* **235** (2013), 56–73, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2012.11.012. MR3010050
- [MSS] A. Marks, T. A. Slaman, and J. Steel, *Martin’s conjecture, arithmetic equivalence, and countable Borel equivalence relations*, To appear in *Ordinal definability and recursion theory: The Cabal Seminar, Volume III*.
- [Sac76] Gerald E. Sacks, *Countable admissible ordinals and hyperdegrees*, *Advances in Math.* **20** (1976), no. 2, 213–262. MR0429523
- [Sil80] Jack H. Silver, *Counting the number of equivalence classes of Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations*, *Ann. Math. Logic* **18** (1980), no. 1, 1–28, DOI 10.1016/0003-4843(80)90002-9. MR568914
- [Spe55] Clifford Spector, *Recursive well-orderings*, *J. Symb. Logic* **20** (1955), 151–163. MR0074347
- [SS88] Theodore A. Slaman and John R. Steel, *Definable functions on degrees*, *Cabal Seminar 81–85*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1333, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 37–55, DOI 10.1007/BFb0084969. MR960895
- [Ste82] John R. Steel, *A classification of jump operators*, *J. Symbolic Logic* **47** (1982), no. 2, 347–358, DOI 10.2307/2273146. MR654792

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720  
*E-mail address:* antonio@math.berkeley.edu  
*URL:* www.math.berkeley.edu/~antonio