

SEPARABLE QUOTIENTS IN $C_c(X)$, $C_p(X)$, AND THEIR DUALS

JERZY KĄKOL AND STEPHEN A. SAXON

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. The quotient problem has a positive solution for the weak and strong duals of $C_c(X)$ (X an infinite Tichonov space), for Banach spaces $C_c(X)$, and even for barrelled $C_c(X)$, but not for barrelled spaces in general. The solution is unknown for general $C_c(X)$. A locally convex space is *properly separable* if it has a proper dense \aleph_0 -dimensional subspace. For $C_c(X)$ quotients, *properly separable* coincides with *infinite-dimensional separable*. $C_c(X)$ has a properly separable *algebra* quotient if X has a compact denumerable set. Relaxing *compact* to *closed*, we obtain the converse as well; likewise for $C_p(X)$. And the weak dual of $C_p(X)$, which always has an \aleph_0 -dimensional quotient, has no *properly separable* quotient when X is a P-space of a certain special form $X = X_\kappa$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Here we assume topological vector spaces (tvs's) and their quotients are Hausdorff and infinite-dimensional. Banach's famous unsolved problem asks: *Does every Banach space admit a separable quotient?* [Popov's] ⟨Our⟩ negative [tvs] ⟨lcs⟩ solution found a [metrizable tvs] ⟨barrelled lcs⟩ without a separable quotient [16, 20]. (By *lcs* we mean *locally convex tvs over the real or complex scalar field*.)

The familiar Banach spaces admit separable quotients, as do all non-Banach Fréchet spaces [6, Satz 2] and (LF) -spaces [28, Theorem 3]. The non-Banach (LF) -space φ is an \aleph_0 -dimensional space with the strongest locally convex topology whose only quotients are copies of φ itself. While separable, φ is not *properly separable* by Robertson's *ad hoc* definition [21] (see Abstract). Saxon's answer [26] to her quarter-century-old question proves φ is the *only* non-Banach (LF) -space without a *properly separable* quotient if and only if every Banach space has a separable quotient.

Her notion, unexpectedly characterized in weak barrelledness terms [26], intrigues the more: When/how may separable *vs.* properly separable quotients exist/differ in an lcs? Section 2 explores differences but finds no lcs without a separable quotient other than the (rather exotic) examples we found earlier [16]. The main (third) section concentrates on the function spaces $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$, where

Received by the editors May 3, 2016 and, in revised form, June 1, 2016 and June 21, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46A08, 46A30, 54C35.

Key words and phrases. (Properly) separables quotients, $C(X)$, weak barrelledness, P-spaces.

Thanks to Professor Aaron R. Todd for vital discussions/encouragement/prequels.

The first author's research was supported by Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria d'Educació, Cultura i Esport, Spain, grant PROMETEO/2013/058, and by GACR grant 16-34860L and RVO 67985840 (Czech Republic).

the two notions of separable quotient coincide and beg the existential question (we fully answer) for separable *algebra* quotients. Robertson's notion keys new analytic characterizations (Theorems 23, 24) for P-spaces X of a certain form $X = X_\kappa$. The final section reviews open questions.

2. WEAK BARRELLEDNESS MOTIVATIONS, GENERAL LCS QUOTIENTS

Remarkably, dense subspaces of GM -spaces are barrelled [5]. A Banach space has no separable quotient if and only if its dense subspaces are barrelled [30, 31]. An lcs E has no separable quotient if and only if its dense subspaces are non- S_σ (defined below) [16]. E has no *properly* separable quotient if and only if its dense subspaces are primitive [26].

S_σ -spaces are those lcs's covered by increasing sequences of closed proper subspaces. An lcs E is [*inductive*] \langle *primitive* \rangle if ϕ is continuous whenever $\{E_n\}_n$ is an increasing covering sequence of subspaces and ϕ is a [seminorm] \langle linear form \rangle on E such that each restriction $\phi|_{E_n}$ is continuous. These notions from the study of weak barrelledness relate as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{barrelled} & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \text{non-}S_\sigma & \Rightarrow & \text{inductive} \Rightarrow \text{primitive.} \end{array}$$

Hence GM -spaces lack properly separable quotients. Quotients and countable-codimensional subspaces preserve each of the four properties. *Non- S_σ* and *primitive* are duality invariant properties, unlike *barrelled* and *inductive*. Under the Mackey topology, *inductive* \Leftrightarrow *primitive*. Under metrizable, *non- S_σ* \Leftrightarrow *primitive* [27, 29].

Easily, *properly* separable quotients and separable quotients coincide for metrizable spaces and for non- S_σ spaces, *e.g.*, for all $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$. Our negative barrelled solution [16] now follows from the two paragraphs above; we merely needed a GM -space that is non- S_σ , and such spaces exist (that are even Baire) [5].

An lcs E is properly separable if and only if E is separable and $E' \neq E^*$, a corollary to the fact that (\dagger) *finite*-codimensional subspaces of separable lcs's are separable [4]. Moreover, $(\dagger\dagger)$ *countable*- cannot replace *finite*- [4, 32].

The *separable quotient* analogs of (\dagger) and $(\dagger\dagger)$ hold:

Theorem 1. *If an lcs E has a separable quotient, so do the finite-codimensional subspaces of E .*

Proof. Immediate from (\dagger) and [23, Theorem 2(b)]. □

Example 2. A *countable*-codimensional subspace G of a barrelled space E does not necessarily admit a separable quotient when E does.

Proof. Let G be any non- S_σ GM -space and set $E = G \oplus \varphi$. □

The *properly separable quotient* story excludes Theorem 1:

Example 3. There is a Mackey space E with dense hyperplane H such that E admits a properly separable quotient and H does not.

Proof. Let (H, τ) be any S_σ GM -space, *e.g.*, φ . By [29, Theorem 3.2], H is a dense hyperplane of a non-primitive Mackey space (E, μ) with $(H, \mu)' = (H, \tau)'$. Thus all the dense subspaces of H are primitive. This section's first paragraph assures (i) E has a properly separable quotient, but (ii) H does not. □

3. $C_c(X)$, $C_p(X)$ AND THEIR DUALS

Throughout, X denotes an infinite completely regular Hausdorff topological space with Stone-Ćech compactification βX . Let $C(X)$ [resp., $C^b(X)$] denote the vector space of \mathbb{R} -valued continuous [resp., and bounded] functions on X . Let $C_c(X)$ denote $C(X)$ endowed with the compact-open topology. For $A \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we put $[A, \varepsilon] = \{f \in C(X) : |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in A\}$. Sets of the form $[K, \varepsilon]$ with K a compact (resp., finite) subset of X and $\varepsilon > 0$ constitute a base of neighborhoods of 0 for $C_c(X)$ (resp., for the lcs denoted by $C_p(X)$). By $C_u^b(X)$ we denote the Banach space whose unit ball is $[X, 1]$.

For compact X , Rosenthal [22] implies the Banach space c is an algebra quotient of $C_c(X)$ if X has a denumerable compact subset. We prove the result and its converse for arbitrary X . We prove $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ have separable algebra quotients if and only if X has a denumerable closed subset. We prove $C_c(X)$ admits a separable quotient when X is non-pseudocompact or a P-space or of pointwise countable type.

It is unknown whether $C_c(X)$ or $C_p(X)$ always has a separable quotient. Their weak and strong duals do [16]. The dual $L(X)$ of $C_p(X)$ given any topology compatible with the dual pairing, e.g., the weak dual $L_p(X)$ or the Mackey dual $L_m(X)$, even has an \aleph_0 -dimensional quotient [9]. When $L_p(X)$ does not have a *properly* separable quotient, $C_p(X)$ does, and when $C_p(X)$ does, so does $C_c(X)$ [Corollaries 11, 20]. Example 3 (with the same proof) holds for $H = L_m(X_\kappa)$ if and only if X_κ is a P-space, as we show later. Or, we could combine Theorem 23 with [2] to obtain [X_κ is a (non-discrete) P-space] \Leftrightarrow [every dense subspace of $L_m(X_\kappa)$ is primitive and not barrelled], adding new examples/characterizations to the previous section and recent work [9, 10, 24]. (Many other modern marriages of topology and analysis may be found in [13].)

Now X is compact if and only if $C_u^b(X) = C_c(X)$. Always, $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ are non- S_σ [18, II.4.7] since $C^b(X)$ is dense and $C_u^b(X)$ is non- S_σ . Density derives from a well-known corollary to [11, 3.11(a),(c)]:

Lemma 4. *If $K \subset \mathcal{O} \subset X$ with K compact and \mathcal{O} open, and $g \in C(K)$, then there exists $f \in C^b(X)$ such that $\sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\} = \sup\{|g(x)| : x \in K\}$, $f|_K = g$, and f vanishes on $X \setminus \mathcal{O}$.*

The next lemma, likely known, has a simple proof.

Lemma 5. *The following five statements are equivalent.*

- (1) X admits a non-trivial convergent sequence.
- (2) X admits a sequentially compact infinite set.
- (3) X admits a compact denumerable set.
- (4) X admits a countably compact denumerable set.
- (5) X admits a compact metrizable infinite set.

Proof. Obviously, (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4), and (5) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1). Moreover, (1) \Rightarrow (5), since any sequence $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ of distinct points converging to x_0 in X is clearly homeomorphic to $\{0, 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ in the (metrizable) unit interval $[0, 1]$.

Finally, note that $\neg(1) \Rightarrow \neg(4)$: Let $S = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of distinct points in X . By hypothesis S does not converge to y_1 , so there exists

some neighborhood N_1 of y_1 which misses a subsequence $S_1 = \{x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots\}$ of S . We inductively find a neighborhood N_k of y_k which misses a subsequence $S_k = \{x_{k1}, x_{k2}, \dots\}$ of S_{k-1} for $k = 2, 3, \dots$. The diagonal sequence $T = \{x_{11}, x_{22}, \dots\}$ of distinct points in S has no cluster point in S , since N_k is a neighborhood of y_k that contains at most $k-1$ points of T ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore S is not countably compact, denying (4). \square

The proof of [22, Corollary 2.6] explicitly uses a form of

Lemma 6. *If X is countably compact, at least one of the following two cases holds:*

Case 1. X admits a non-trivial convergent sequence.

Case 2. The derived set X^d of all cluster points is infinite and perfect.

Proof. If X^d is finite, then its union with any denumerable set in X verifies (4), hence (1). If X^d is not perfect, then there exists x_0 in $X^d \setminus X^{dd}$. Let V be a closed neighborhood of x_0 that misses $X^d \setminus \{x_0\}$, let x_1, x_2, \dots be distinct points in V , and define $S := \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Since $S^d \subset V \cap X^d = \{x_0\}$, the set S is countably compact by hypothesis; *i.e.*, (4) holds. Then so does (1). \square

In the simplest Case 1 examples, X is a convergent sequence of distinct points, making $C_c(X)$ isomorphic to the Banach space c of convergent scalar sequences, and $C_p(X)$ isomorphic to c with the topology induced by $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, both separable.

We can now sketch a proof from [22] of the seminal

Theorem 7 (Rosenthal). *When X is compact, the Banach space $C_c(X)$ has a (separable) quotient isomorphic to either c or the Hilbert space ℓ^2 .*

Proof. By Lemma 6, there are only two cases possible.

Case 1. X contains a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ of distinct points converging to some point $x_0 \neq x_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). The linear map $T : C_c(X) \rightarrow c$ defined by $f \mapsto (f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is obviously continuous and is onto the Banach space c by Lemma 4. Hence the quotient $C_c(X)/T^{-1}(0)$ is isomorphic to c .

Case 2. X contains a perfect infinite set. Via the Khinchin inequality (*cf.* [8]) one finds ℓ^2 is isomorphic to a subspace of $L^1[0, 1]$, and in Case 2, $L^1[0, 1]$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $L^1(X, \mathfrak{B}_X, \mu)$, with \mathfrak{B}_X the Borel sets in X and μ a non-negative, finite, regular Borel measure on X . In turn, the latter space is isomorphic to a subspace of the strong dual $C_c(X)'_{\beta}$ of $C_c(X)$. Therefore the reflexive ℓ^2 is a subspace of $C_c(X)'_{\beta}$ that is w^* -closed [22, Corollary 1.6, Proposition 1.2]. This yields a quotient of $C_c(X)$ isomorphic to ℓ^2 . \square

Rosenthal recalled on p. 180 of [22] that ℓ^{∞} may be identified with $C_u^b(\beta\mathbb{N})$, clearly aware of Corollaries 8, 9 below. Whether he actually observed Corollaries 10, 11 is less clear.

Corollary 8 (Rosenthal). $C_u^b(X) \approx C_c(\beta X)$ has a separable quotient.

Proof. The Stone-Ćech theorem [33], then Theorem 7. \square

Corollary 9 (Rosenthal). *Some quotient of ℓ^{∞} is isomorphic to ℓ^2 .*

Proof. $\ell^{\infty} = C_u^b(\mathbb{N}) \approx C_c(\beta\mathbb{N})$ and $(\beta\mathbb{N})^d = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is infinite and perfect, so Case 2 of Theorem 7 applies. \square

Corollary 10. *If X has an infinite compact subset Y , then $C_c(X)$ has a quotient isomorphic to c or ℓ^2 .*

Proof. The restriction map $f \mapsto f|_Y$ from $C_c(X)$ into $C_c(Y)$ is clearly linear and continuous. By Lemma 4, it is open. And quotient-taking is transitive. \square

Corollary 11. *If $C_p(X)$ has a separable quotient, then so does $C_c(X)$.*

Proof. Either $C_c(X) = C_p(X)$, or Corollary 10 applies. \square

Recall that an lcs E is a *GM-space* [5] if every linear map $t : E \rightarrow F$, where F is any metrizable lcs and t has closed graph, is necessarily continuous. Immediately from Mahowald's theorem, every *GM-space* is barrelled. No $C_u^b(X)$ is a *GM-space*, twice-proved: (i) no metrizable lcs F is a *GM-space*, since there is always a strictly finer metrizable locally convex topology on F ; (ii) *GM-spaces* lack properly separable quotients. Moreover,

Theorem 12. *Neither $C_c(X)$ nor $C_p(X)$ is a *GM-space*.*

Proof. Barrelled $C_c(X)$ spaces admit (properly) separable quotients [16]. And if $C_p(X)$ is barrelled, then $C_p(X) = C_c(X)$ [2]. \square

Corollary 13. *Always, there exists a discontinuous linear map with closed graph from $C_c(X)$ into some metrizable lcs.*

From Lemma 4, the closed ideals of $C_p(X)$ are precisely the spaces

$$\mathfrak{I}_A = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A\}$$

where A ranges over the closed subsets of X . These are also the closed ideals of $C_c(X)$ [7, Theorem 4.10.6]. An *algebra quotient* of $C_c(X)$ or $C_p(X)$ is one by a closed ideal, thus preserving vector multiplication. In Rosenthal's Case 1 the quotient is an algebra quotient, since the kernel of T is \mathfrak{I}_A with $A = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$.

Recall that X is *pseudocompact* if $C(X) = C^b(X)$. Algebra quotients add to a list [15, Theorem 1.1] of characterizations found jointly with Todd.

Theorem 14. *When X is non-pseudocompact, $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ admit separable quotients. In fact, the following seven statements are equivalent.*

- (1) X is not pseudocompact.
- (2) $C_c(X)$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- (3) $C_p(X)$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- (4) $C_c(X)$ admits a quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- (5) $C_p(X)$ admits a quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- (6) $C_c(X)$ admits an algebra quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- (7) $C_p(X)$ admits an algebra quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Moreover, if one (and thus each) of (1-7) holds, then $C_u^b(X)$ admits quotients isomorphic to ℓ^∞ and to ℓ^2 .

Proof. In [14] we showed that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), and since the topology on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is minimal, (2) \Rightarrow (3). In every lcs, each copy of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is complemented [19, 2.6.5(iii)], so (2) \Rightarrow (4) and (3) \Rightarrow (5).

Now let M be any closed subspace of $C_c(X)$ [resp., of $C_p(X)$]. If X is pseudocompact, then M is a closed subspace of $C_u^b(X)$. The topology of the Banach space $C_u^b(X)/M$ is finer than that of $C_c(X)/M$ [resp., of $C_p(X)/M$], so, by the

open mapping theorem, the latter cannot be the non-Banach Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. This shows (the contrapositive of) (4) \Rightarrow (1) [resp., (5) \Rightarrow (1)]. Thus (1-5) are equivalent.

[(1) \Rightarrow (6),(7)]. By definition, the non-pseudocompact X admits a disjoint sequence $\{U_n\}_n$ of non-empty open sets that is locally finite; *i.e.*, each point in X has a neighborhood which meets only finitely many of the U_n . Choose x_n in U_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the linear map $T : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ so that, for all $f \in C(X)$,

$$T(f) = (f(x_n))_n.$$

T is continuous on $C_p(X)$, and thus also on $C_c(X)$. By Lemma 4, for each n there exists $f_n \in [K, 1]$ with $f_n(x_n) = 1$ and $f_n(X \setminus U_n) = \{0\}$. For an arbitrary scalar sequence $(a_n)_n$, the pointwise sum $\sum_n a_n f_n$ is in $C(X)$ by local finiteness. If K is compact in X , it is countably compact and meets U_k only for those k in some finite set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$W = \{(a_n)_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : |a_k| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for each } k \in \sigma\},$$

then for each $(a_n)_n \in W$ we have $\sum_n a_n f_n \in [K, \varepsilon]$ with $T(\sum_n a_n f_n) = (a_n)_n$. Hence $T([K, \varepsilon]) \supset W$, so that T is an open map both from $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$, and $T^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{S}_A$, where $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is obviously closed. Thus (6) and (7) hold.

Trivially, (7) and (6) imply (5) and (4), respectively, completing the proof that (1-7) are equivalent.

The $C_u^b(X)$ case remains. If (1-7) hold, then T exists as above, and the restriction $T|_{C^b(X)}$ is clearly continuous and open from $C_u^b(X)$ onto the Banach space ℓ^∞ . Thus ℓ^∞ is a quotient of $C_u^b(X)$, as is ℓ^2 by Corollary 9 and transitivity. \square

In [15] we proved that $C_c(X)$ contains a copy of a dense subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if X is not Warner bounded. (X is *Warner bounded* if for every disjoint sequence $(U_n)_n$ of non-empty open sets in X there exists a compact $K \subset X$ such that $U_n \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.)

Lemma 15. *Let A be a closed infinite subset of X . Then $C_p(X)/\mathfrak{S}_A$ is isomorphic to a dense subspace of $C_p(A)$, itself a dense subspace of the product space \mathbb{R}^A . If A is also compact, then $C_c(X)/\mathfrak{S}_A$ is isomorphic to the Banach space $C_c(A)$.*

Proof. Let q denote the quotient map. One may use Lemma 4 to see that: (i) in both cases, the map $q(f) \mapsto f|_A$ is an isomorphism from the quotient onto its image in $C(A)$; (ii) the image is a dense subspace of \mathbb{R}^A since some f in $C(X)$ achieves arbitrarily prescribed values on any given finite subset of A ; (iii) the map is onto $C(A)$ when A is compact. \square

Rosenthal’s Banach algebra quotient (Case 1) generalizes, with converse:

Theorem 16. *Statements (1-5) of Lemma 5 are equivalent to the next four.*

- (6) $C_c(X)$ admits an algebra quotient isomorphic to c .
- (7) $C_c(X)$ admits a separable Banach algebra quotient.
- (8) $C_c(A)$ is isomorphic to c for some $A \subset X$.
- (9) $C_c(A)$ is a separable Banach space for some $A \subset X$.

Proof. If A is closed in X and $C_c(X)/\mathfrak{S}_A$ is normable, then for some compact K the quotient map q takes $[K, 1]$ into a bounded set. If we suppose that $K \not\subset A$, then Lemma 4 provides $f \in \mathfrak{S}_K \setminus \mathfrak{S}_A$. But then the span \mathcal{L} of f is in $[K, 1]$ and

$q(f) \neq 0$, so the unbounded line $q(\mathcal{L})$ is in $q([K, 1])$, a contradiction. Therefore K must contain the closed set A , and A must be compact. We combine this with Lemma 15 to see that (6) \Leftrightarrow (8) and (7) \Leftrightarrow (9).

If A consists of a non-trivial convergent sequence and its limit, then it is clear from Case 1 that $C_c(A) \approx c$; i.e., (1) \Rightarrow (8). Trivially, (8) \Rightarrow (9).

Finally, the Krein-Krein criterion [17] merely says (9) \Leftrightarrow (5). \square

Lemma 17. *If X has no closed denumerable sets, then $C_p(X)$ is not separable.*

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C(X)$ be arbitrary. We desire $y_1 \neq y_2$ in X with

$$|f_n(y_1) - f_n(y_2)| \leq 1$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By hypothesis, every denumerable set has more than one cluster point in X . Fix a cluster point y_1 in X . Continuity allows us to choose a strictly decreasing sequence of closed neighborhoods V_n of y_1 so that each $f_n(V_n)$ has diameter no larger than 1. We choose $x_n \in V_n \setminus V_{n+1}$ and let y_2 be a cluster point of $\{x_n\}_n$ distinct from y_1 . Since all but finitely many of the x_k are in a given V_n , this closed set contains the cluster point y_2 . Indeed, then, the displayed inequality holds for each n .

Lemma 4 provides $h \in C(X)$ with $h(y_1) = 5$ and $h(y_2) = 9$. If we assume some $f_n \in h + [\{y_1, y_2\}, 1]$, we have $|f_n(y_1) - f_n(y_2)| \geq (9 - 5) - 1 - 1 = 2$, a contradiction. Thus the arbitrary sequence is not dense in $C_p(X)$. \square

Theorem 18. *The following three statements are equivalent.*

- (1) X admits a closed denumerable set D .
- (2) $C_c(X)$ admits a separable algebra quotient.
- (3) $C_p(X)$ admits a separable algebra quotient.

Proof. [(1) \Rightarrow (2)]. If D admits a compact infinite subset, the previous theorem ensures c is a (separable) algebra quotient of $C_c(X)$. If D has no such subset, we may assume D has no cluster points (Lemma 5), so that $C_c(X)/\mathfrak{S}_D = C_p(X)/\mathfrak{S}_D$ (Lemma 4), which is isomorphic to a dense subspace of the metrizable separable \mathbb{R}^D by Lemma 15. Hence the algebra quotient is separable.

[(2) \Rightarrow (3)]. If $C_c(X)/\mathfrak{S}_A$ is separable, so is $C_p(X)/\mathfrak{S}_A$.

[(3) \Rightarrow (1)]. If A is closed in X and $C_p(X)/\mathfrak{S}_A$ is separable, then so is $C_p(A)$ by Lemma 15. Since A is infinite, (the contrapositive of) Lemma 17 shows A has a closed denumerable subset D . Thus D is closed in X , and (1) holds. \square

Thus $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ have separable algebra quotients if X has an infinite closed subset that is metrizable, e.g., if X is a tvs. Since $\beta\mathbb{N}$ lacks a closed denumerable set, $C_c(\beta\mathbb{N})$ and $C_p(\beta\mathbb{N})$ lack separable algebra quotients, although $C_c(\beta\mathbb{N})$ contains a copy of c , as do all Banach spaces of the form $C_c(X)$.

If countable intersections of open sets are open, X is called a P -space; then denumerable sets are closed, not compact, so one may apply Theorem 18, not 16:

Corollary 19. *If X is a P -space, then $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ admit separable algebra quotients.*

Corollary 20. *Both $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ have properly separable quotients when $L_m(X)$ does not.*

Proof. By hypothesis, dense subspaces of $L_m(X)$ are primitive [26], including $L_m(X)$. Therefore X is a P -space [9, 10] and the previous corollary applies. \square

X is of *pointwise countable type* (Arkhangel'skii) if every point in X is in some compact set K for which there exists a sequence of open sets U_n in X with the properties that (i) each U_n contains K and (ii) some U_n is contained in U whenever U is an open set containing K . Obviously, X is of pointwise countable type if X is first countable, and conversely when every compact set K is finite. Only the most extreme P-spaces are of pointwise countable type. Indeed,

Theorem 21. *Assume X is of pointwise countable type. The following five statements are equivalent.*

- (1) X is discrete.
- (2) X is a P-space.
- (3) No compact set in X is infinite.
- (4) No compact set in X is denumerable, and X is first countable.
- (5) $C_c(X) = C_p(X) = \mathbb{R}^X$.

Proof. Trivially, (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose (2) holds. Then every denumerable set in X is closed and not compact. Therefore there are no denumerable subsets of compact sets, thus no infinite compact sets in X ; i.e., (2) \Rightarrow (3). Since X is of pointwise countable type, (3) \Rightarrow (4).

[(4) \Rightarrow (1)]. Suppose (4) holds and not (1). Then there is some $x_0 \in X$ such that $\{x_0\}$ is not open in X . First countability posits a countable base $\{V_n\}_n$ of open neighborhoods of x_0 . We may assume each $V_n \supset V_{n+1}$ and inductively choose distinct points x_1, x_2, \dots with each $x_n \in V_n$. Clearly, this sequence converges to x_0 , and $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is a denumerable compact set in X , a contradiction of (4); the desired implication follows.

We now have (1)-(4) are equivalent. Since [(1) \Rightarrow (5)] and [(5) \Rightarrow (3)] are obvious, the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 22. *If X is of pointwise countable type, then $C_c(X)$ has a quotient isomorphic to either $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, c , or ℓ^2 .*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a quotient of \mathbb{R}^X . If $C_c(X) \neq \mathbb{R}^X$, then X contains an infinite compact set Y , and Corollary 10 applies. \square

The weak and strong duals of $C_c(X)$ have separable quotients [16], but not always *properly* separable quotients (e.g., when X is discrete). We re-examine the dual of $C_p(X_\kappa)$, adding new analytic P-space characterizations for the special Tichonov spaces X_κ defined below (see [2, 9, 10]).

If $\{f_n\}_n$ is a sequence in the dual E' of an lcs E , the *eventually zero subspace* $\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n$ is that subspace of E defined by writing

$$\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n = \{x \in E : f_n(x) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Two facts are useful, now and later:

(*) [29, Theorem 3.11(a)] *Let F be a dense primitive subspace of an lcs E . Every subspace between F and E is primitive if and only if $\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n = E$ whenever $\{f_n\}_n \subset E'$ and $\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n \supset F$.*

(**) [26, Theorem 1(iii)] *E has a properly separable quotient if and only if $\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n$ is dense and proper in E for some $\{f_n\}_n$ in E' .*

If κ is an infinite cardinal, let X_κ denote the closed interval $[0, \kappa]$ of ordinals with the topology whose open sets are precisely those which either omit κ or contain the closed interval $[\alpha, \kappa]$ for some ordinal $\alpha < \kappa$. Certainly, X_κ is an infinite completely

regular Hausdorff space. The *cofinality* of κ , denoted $\text{cof}(\kappa)$, is the least cardinality of the cofinal subsets of the well-ordered interval $[0, \kappa)$.

By Theorem 18, all $C_p(X_\kappa)$ and $C_c(X_\kappa)$ admit separable algebra quotients. Easily, $[X_\kappa \text{ is a P-space}] \Leftrightarrow [\text{cof}(\kappa) \neq \aleph_0] \Leftrightarrow [X_\kappa \text{ has no infinite compact set}] \Leftrightarrow [X_\kappa \text{ has no denumerable compact set}]$, and a real-valued function h on X_κ is continuous if it is continuous at κ . If $\text{cof}(\kappa) \neq \aleph_0$, then h is continuous if and only if h is constant on the closed interval $[\alpha, \kappa]$ for some $\alpha < \kappa$.

Theorem 23. *The following six assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) X_κ is a P-space.
- (2) $\text{cof}(\kappa) \neq \aleph_0$
- (3) $L_m(X_\kappa)$ is primitive.
- (4) Every dense subspace of $L_m(X_\kappa)$ is primitive.
- (5) Every dense subspace of $L_m(X_\kappa)$ is inductive.
- (6) No quotient of $L_m(X_\kappa)$ is properly separable.

Proof. Obviously, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), and (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) by [9, Theorem 6]. Always, *inductive* \Rightarrow *primitive*, and for Mackey spaces, *primitive* \Leftrightarrow *inductive* [29, box 4 of Fig. 3], so Theorem 3.12 of [29] yields (4) \Leftrightarrow (5). And [(4) \Leftrightarrow (6)] is a part of [26, Theorem 1]. Trivially, (4) \Rightarrow (3).

We are left to prove [(2) \Leftrightarrow (6)]. In the usual manner, we identify X_κ with a Hamel basis for $L(X_\kappa)$ and $C(X_\kappa)$ with the dual of $L_m(X_\kappa)$. Let $\{f_n\}_n \subset C(X_\kappa)$ be given with eventually zero subspace $Z = \text{ez}\{f_n\}_n$ in $L_m(X_\kappa)$. Now (2) implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is some $\alpha_n < \kappa$ such that f_n is constant on $[\alpha_n, \kappa]$, and $\sup\{\alpha_n\}_n = \alpha < \kappa$. Thus each f_n is constant on the infinite closed interval $[\alpha, \kappa]$. This ensures the vector $\beta - \alpha$ is in Z for every $\beta \in [\alpha, \kappa]$. If h is a linear form on $L_m(X_\kappa)$ that vanishes on Z , then h is constant on $[\alpha, \kappa]$, hence continuous on X_κ , thus also on $L_m(X_\kappa)$. Therefore the kernel h^\perp is closed, which implies Z is closed, as well, and cannot be both dense and proper in $L_m(X_\kappa)$. By (**), then, (6) holds. \square

Theorem 24. X_κ is a P-space if and only if the weak dual $C_c(X_\kappa)'_\sigma$ of $C_c(X_\kappa)$ has no properly separable quotient.

Proof. Always, $L_p(X)$ is a dense subspace of $C_c(X)'_\sigma$, so the latter has a properly separable quotient if the former does. Thus by Theorem 23 and duality invariance, $C_c(X_\kappa)'_\sigma$ has a properly separable quotient if X_κ is *not* a P-space.

Conversely, if X_κ is a P-space, then all compact sets in X_κ are finite, so that $C_c(X_\kappa)'_\sigma = L_p(X)_\kappa$ has no properly separable quotient, again by Theorem 23 and duality invariance. \square

Precisely the X_κ that are P-spaces provide a wealth of simple lcs's $L_m(X_\kappa)$ to which the proof of Example 3 applies:

Example 25. Suppose X_κ is a P-space; equivalently, every dense subspace of $L_m(X_\kappa)$ is primitive. The S_σ space $L_m(X_\kappa)$ [9] dominates a dense hyperplane H of some non-primitive lcs (E, τ) with $(H, \tau)' = L_m(X_\kappa)'$ [29, Theorem 3.2]. The non-primitive E must admit a properly separable quotient, but, via duality invariance, its hyperplane H cannot (see first paragraph of Section 2).

Cofinality is similarly crucial in [25]. If E is a linear space with infinite Hamel basis B of size $|B|$, define the subspace $E^{B,|B|}$ of the algebraic dual E^* by writing

$$E^{B,|B|} = \{f \in E^* : |\{x \in B : f(x) \neq 0\}| < |B|\}.$$

The lcs that E becomes under the Mackey topology $\mu(E, E^{B,|B|})$, denoted E_B , is never \aleph_0 -barrelled and has dense subspaces of codimension $|B|$, the largest possible [25, Theorems 2, 3]. Moreover,

Theorem 26. *The following seven statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\text{cof}(|B|) \neq \aleph_0$.
- (2) E_B is primitive.
- (3) [Every] \langle Some \rangle dense hyperplane in E_B is non-Mackey.
- (4) Every dense proper subspace in E_B is non-Mackey.
- (5) Every dense subspace in E_B is primitive.
- (6) Every dense subspace in E_B is inductive.
- (7) E_B does not admit a properly separable quotient.

Proof. By [25, Theorems 2, 3], (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). In particular, the [Every] and \langle Some \rangle versions of (3) are equivalent.

[(3) \Leftrightarrow (4)]. If F is a dense proper subspace in E_B , there is a hyperplane H in E_B with $F \subset H$; if F is also Mackey, so is H , routinely, which contrapositively proves [(3) \Rightarrow (4)]. The converse is trivial.

[(5) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Leftrightarrow (7)]. Suppose (5) holds. Then the primitive Mackey space E_B is inductive [29], which, combined with (5), implies (6) [29, Theorem 3.12]. The converse is obvious, so (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). Theorem 1(ii) of [26] equates (5) and (7).

[(5) \Rightarrow (2)]. Trivially. To complete the proof, we show:

[(1) \Rightarrow (7)]. Given any $\{f_n\}_n \subset E'_B$,

$$B_0 = \{x \in B : f_n(x) \neq 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a countable union of sets of size $< |B|$, so if (1) holds, then $|B_0| < |B|$ and all superspaces of $\text{sp}(B \setminus B_0)$ are closed by definition of E'_B . In particular, $\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n$ is closed and cannot be dense and proper in E_B ; thus (7) holds via (**). \square

Since each E_B is S_σ , the process of Examples 3 and 25 applies to precisely those $E_B = H$ with $\text{cof}(|B|) \neq \aleph_0$. Conversely, no other process avails, since

Theorem 27. *If an lcs E admits a properly separable quotient and a dense hyperplane H does not, then H is S_σ and E is not primitive.*

Proof. Theorem 1 implies H has a separable quotient Q . Thus H must be S_σ , since Q would otherwise be properly separable, contrary to hypothesis.

Assume E is primitive. Let $\{f_n\}_n \subset E'$ with $Z = \text{ez}\{f_n\}_n$ dense in E . Let $\overline{H \cap Z}^H$ and $\overline{H \cap Z}^E$ denote the closure of $H \cap Z$ in H and E , respectively. Clearly,

$$\text{codim}_H(\overline{H \cap Z}^H) \leq \text{codim}_E(\overline{H \cap Z}^E).$$

If $Z \subset H$, then by density both codimensions are null. If there exists $x \in Z \setminus H$, then $Z = H \cap Z + \text{sp}x$, and $E = \overline{Z} = \overline{H \cap Z}^E + \text{sp}x$: Both codimensions are ≤ 1 . In every case, then,

$$\text{codim}_H(\overline{H \cap Z}^H) \leq \text{codim}_E(\overline{H \cap Z}^E) \leq 1.$$

By hypothesis, every dense subspace of H is primitive. If G is a subspace between $H \cap Z$ and $\overline{H \cap Z}^H$, it has codimension ≤ 1 in a dense, hence primitive, subspace of H , and therefore G itself is primitive [29, Theorem 2.9]. Application of (*) to the primitive subspace $H \cap Z$, dense in $\overline{H \cap Z}^H$, yields the fact that $Z = \text{ez}\{f_n\} \supset \overline{H \cap Z}^H$. Consequently, $\overline{H \cap Z}^H = H \cap Z$ and

$$\text{codim}_E(Z) \leq \text{codim}_H(H \cap Z) + \text{codim}_E(H) = \text{codim}_H(\overline{H \cap Z}^H) + 1 \leq 2.$$

Therefore every subspace between the dense Z and the primitive E has codimension ≤ 2 and is, itself, primitive. Now (*) implies $Z = \text{ez}\{f_n\}_n = E$. Hence there is no $\{f_n\}_n \subset E'$ with $\text{ez}\{f_n\}_n$ dense and proper in E ; i.e., E has no properly separable quotient, a contradiction of the hypothesis. We must conclude the assumption is false; E is not primitive. \square

4. REMAINING QUESTIONS

Despite the strong dual solution [1], the Banach problem remains. Analogs implicate P-spaces and weak barrelledness. We have clear answers as to when

- the strong and weak duals of $C_c(X)$ have separable quotients (always [16])
- the weak dual of $C_c(X_\kappa)$ has a properly separable quotient (when X_κ is not a P-space, Theorem 24)
- the weak dual of $C_p(X_\kappa)$ has a separable quotient (always [9]) or a properly separable quotient (when X_κ is not a P-space, Theorem 23)
- proper (LF) -spaces have separable quotients (always [28]) or properly separable quotients (almost always [26])
- non-normable Fréchet spaces have separable quotients (always [6, Satz 2])
- GM -spaces have properly separable quotients (never) or separable quotients (when they are S_σ [16])
- $C_c(X)$, $C_p(X)$ have separable algebra quotients (Theorem 18)
- barrelled $C_c(X)$, $C_p(X)$ have separable quotients (always [16])

Q1. Must arbitrary $C_c(X)$ have separable quotients? (Rosenthal and Theorem 18 leave only the case where X is countably compact and not compact.)

Q2. If $C_c(X)$ has separable quotients, must $C_p(X)$? (See Corollary 11.)

Q3. If X is compact, must $C_p(X)$ have separable quotients?

So far, only certain GM -spaces have been shown to lack separable quotients [16]. Are there Schwartz spaces or nuclear spaces, for example, that lack separable quotients? Our answer is positive, albeit pedestrian: Any non-trivial variety \mathcal{V} of lcs's, the nuclear and Schwartz varieties included, must contain the smallest non-trivial variety \mathcal{W} of all lcs's having their weak topology [3]. Let E be a non- S_σ GM -space. Then $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$ is in $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ and does not admit separable quotients.

Q4. Does some Schwartz or nuclear space not in \mathcal{W} lack separable quotients? (Both varieties have separable universal generators not in \mathcal{W} [12].)

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Argyros, P. Dodos, and V. Kanellopoulos, *Unconditional families in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. **341** (2008), no. 1, 15–38, DOI 10.1007/s00208-007-0179-y. MR2377468
- [2] Henri Buchwalter and Jean Schmets, *Sur quelques propriétés de l'espace $C_s(T)$* (French), J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **52** (1973), 337–352. MR0333687

- [3] J. Diestel, Sidney A. Morris, and Stephen A. Saxon, *Varieties of linear topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **172** (1972), 207–230. MR0316992
- [4] Lech Drewnowski and Robert H. Lohman, *On the number of separable locally convex spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1976), 185–188. MR0417728
- [5] Volker Eberhardt and Walter Roelcke, *Über einen Graphensatz für lineare Abbildungen mit metrisierbarem Zielraum* (German, with English summary), Manuscripta Math. **13** (1974), 53–68. MR0355517
- [6] M. Eidelheit, *Zur Theorie der Systeme Linearer Gleichungen*, Studia Math. **6** (1936), 130–148.
- [7] R. E. Edwards, *Functional analysis. Theory and applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Toronto-London, 1965. MR0221256
- [8] S. Yu. Favorov, *A generalized Kahane-Khinchin inequality*, Studia Math. **130** (1998), no. 2, 101–107. MR1623352
- [9] J. C. Ferrando, Jerzy Kałol, and Stephen A. Saxon, *The dual of the locally convex space $C_p(X)$* , Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **50** (2014), no. 2, 389–399, DOI 10.7169/facm/2014.50.2.11. MR3229067
- [10] J. C. Ferrando, Jerzy Kałol, and Stephen A. Saxon, *Characterizing P -spaces X in terms of $C_p(X)$* , J. Convex Anal. **22** (2015), no. 4, 905–915. MR3436693
- [11] Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto-London-New York, 1960. MR0116199
- [12] Hans Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, Mathematische Leitfäden. [Mathematical Textbooks], B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981. MR632257
- [13] Jerzy Kałol, Wiesław Kubiś, and Manuel López-Pellicer, *Descriptive topology in selected topics of functional analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, vol. 24, Springer, New York, 2011. MR2953769
- [14] Jerzy Kałol, Stephen A. Saxon, and Aaron R. Todd, *Pseudocompact spaces X and df -spaces $C_c(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 6, 1703–1712 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07279-X. MR2051131
- [15] Jerzy Kałol, Stephen A. Saxon, and Aaron R. Todd, *The analysis of Warner boundedness*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **47** (2004), no. 3, 625–631, DOI 10.1017/S001309150300066X. MR2097264
- [16] Jerzy Kałol, Stephen A. Saxon, and Aaron R. Todd, *Barrelled spaces with(out) separable quotients*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **90** (2014), no. 2, 295–303, DOI 10.1017/S0004972714000422. MR3252012
- [17] Mark Krein and Selim Krein, *On an inner characteristic of the set of all continuous functions defined on a bicomact Hausdorff space*, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.) **27** (1940), 427–430. MR0003453
- [18] W. Lehner, *Über die Bedeutung gewisser Varianten des Baire'schen Kategorienbegriffs für die Funktionenräume $C_c(T)$* , Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München, 1979.
- [19] Pedro Pérez Carreras and José Bonnet, *Barrelled locally convex spaces*, Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 113, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1987. MR880207
- [20] M. M. Popov, *Codimension of subspaces of $L_p(\mu)$ for $p < 1$* (Russian), Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **18** (1984), no. 2, 94–95. MR745720
- [21] W. J. Robertson, *On properly separable quotients of strict (LF) spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **47** (1989), no. 2, 307–312. MR1008844
- [22] Haskell P. Rosenthal, *On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\nu)$* , J. Functional Analysis **4** (1969), 176–214. MR0250036
- [23] Stephen A. Saxon, *Every countable-codimensional subspace of an infinite-dimensional (non-normable) Fréchet space has an infinite-dimensional Fréchet quotient (isomorphic to ω)*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **39** (1991), no. 3-4, 161–166. MR1194484
- [24] Stephen A. Saxon, *Weak barrelledness versus P -spaces*, Descriptive topology and functional analysis, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 80, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 27–32, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-05224-3_2. MR3238201

- [25] Stephen A. Saxon, *Mackey hyperplanes/enlargements for Twedde's space*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM **108** (2014), no. 2, 1035–1054, DOI 10.1007/s13398-013-0159-x. MR3249992
- [26] Stephen A. Saxon, *(LF)-spaces with more-than-separable quotients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **434** (2016), no. 1, 12–19, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.09.005. MR3404545
- [27] Stephen Saxon and Mark Levin, *Every countable-codimensional subspace of a barrelled space is barrelled*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 91–96. MR0280972
- [28] Stephen A. Saxon and P. P. Narayanaswami, *Metrisable (LF)-spaces, (db)-spaces, and the separable quotient problem*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **23** (1981), no. 1, 65–80, DOI 10.1017/S0004972700006900. MR615133
- [29] S. A. Saxon and L. M. Sánchez Ruiz, *Reinventing weak barrelledness*, Journal of Convex Analysis **24** (2017), no. 3.
- [30] Stephen A. Saxon and Albert Wilansky, *The equivalence of some Banach space problems*, Colloq. Math. **37** (1977), no. 2, 217–226. MR0511780
- [31] Wiesław Śliwa, *The separable quotient problem and the strongly normal sequences*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **64** (2012), no. 2, 387–397. MR2916073
- [32] Manuel Valdivia, *On weak compactness*, Studia Math. **49** (1973), 35–40. MR0333644
- [33] Russell C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 83, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1974. MR0380698

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, 60-769 POZNAŃ,
MATEJKI 48-49, POLAND – AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
ŽITNA 25, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: kakol@math.amu.edu.pl

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, P.O. BOX 118105, GAINESVILLE,
FLORIDA 32611-8105

E-mail address: stephen.saxon@yahoo.com