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#### Abstract

This note is devoted to the intertwining operator in the onedimensional trigonometric Dunkl setting. We obtain a simple integral expression of this operator and deduce its positivity.


## 1. Introduction

We use the lecture notes [6] as a general reference about trigonometric Dunkl theory. In dimension 1, this special function theory is a deformation of Fourier analysis on $\mathbb{R}$, depending on two complex parameters $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, where the classical derivative is replaced by the Cherednik operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D f(x)=\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right) f(x)+\left\{\frac{k_{1}}{1-e^{-x}}+\frac{2 k_{2}}{1-e^{-2 x}}\right\}\{f(x)-f(-x)\}-\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}\right) f(x) \\
& =\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right) f(x)+\left\{\frac{k_{1}+k_{2}}{2} \operatorname{coth} \frac{x}{2}+\frac{k_{2}}{2} \tanh \frac{x}{2}\right\}\{f(x)-f(-x)\}-\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}\right) f(-x),
\end{aligned}
$$

the Lebesgue measure by $A(x) d x$, where

$$
A(x)=\left|2 \sinh \frac{x}{2}\right|^{2 k_{1}}|2 \sinh x|^{2 k_{2}},
$$

and the exponential function $e^{i \lambda x}$ by the Opdam hypergeometric function

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{i \lambda}(x) & =\overbrace{\varphi_{2 \lambda}^{k_{1}+k_{2}-\frac{1}{2}, k_{2}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}^{\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}+i \lambda, \frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}-i \lambda ; k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2} ;-\sinh ^{2} \frac{x}{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}+i \lambda}{2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}+1}(\sinh x) \underbrace{\varphi_{2 \lambda}^{k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}, k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}_{{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}+1+i \lambda, \frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}+1-i \lambda ; k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{3}{2} ;-\sinh ^{2} \frac{x}{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ denotes the Jacobi function and ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a, b ; c ; Z)$ the classical hypergeometric function.

In a series of papers $([2], 5], 7], 3], 8, ~ 9], 10, ~ 11, \ldots)$, Trimèche and his collaborators studied an intertwining operator $\mathcal{V}: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, which is characterized by

$$
\mathcal{V} \circ\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)=D \circ \mathcal{V} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{0} \circ \mathcal{V}=\delta_{0}
$$

[^0]and the dual operator $\mathcal{V}^{t}: C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, which satisfies
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{V} f(x) g(x) A(x) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y) \mathcal{V}^{t} g(y) d y
$$

Let us mention in particular the following facts.

- Eigenfunctions. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(x \longmapsto e^{i \lambda x}\right)=G_{i \lambda} .
$$

- Explicit expression. An integral representation of $\mathcal{V}$ was computed in [2] (and independently in [1]) under the assumption that $k_{1} \geq 0, k_{2} \geq 0$ with $k_{1}+k_{2}>0$.
- Analytic continuation. It was shown in 3 that the intertwining operator $\mathcal{V}$ extends meromorphically with respect to $k \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, with singularities in

$$
\left\{k \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \left\lvert\, k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \in-\mathbb{N}\right.\right\}
$$

- Positivity. On the one hand, the positivity of $\mathcal{V}$ was disproved in 2] by using the above-mentioned expression of $\mathcal{V}$ in the case $k_{1}>0, k_{2}>0$. On the other hand, the positivity of $\mathcal{V}$ was investigated in [8, [9], [10], 11] by using the positivity of a heat type kernel in the case $k_{1} \geq 0, k_{2} \geq 0$.

In Section 2, we obtain an integral representation of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{t}$ when $\operatorname{Re} k_{1}>0$ and $\operatorname{Re} k_{2}>0$. The expression is simpler and the proof is quicker than the previous ones in [2] or [1]. In Section 3, we deduce the positivity of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{t}$ when $k_{1}>0$, $k_{2}>0$, and comment on the positivity issue.

## 2. Integral representation of the intertwining operator

In this section, we resume the computations in [2, Section 2] and prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{Re} k_{1}>0$ and $\operatorname{Re} k_{2}>0$. Then

$$
\mathcal{V} f(x)=\int_{|y|<|x|} \mathcal{K}(x, y) f(y) d y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{*}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{V}^{t} g(y)=\int_{|x|>|y|} \mathcal{K}(x, y) g(x) A(x) d x
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{K}(x, y)=\frac{c}{4} A(x)^{-1} \int_{|y|}^{|x|} \sigma(x, y, z)\left(\cosh \frac{z}{2}-\cosh \frac{y}{2}\right)^{k_{1}-1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \times(\cosh x-\cosh z)^{k_{2}-1}\left(\sinh \frac{z}{2}\right) d z
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=2^{3 k_{1}+3 k_{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(k_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(k_{2}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x, y, z)=(\operatorname{sign} x)\left\{e^{\frac{x}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{x}{2}\right)-e^{-\frac{y}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{z}{2}\right)\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As observed in [2] and [5],

$$
\mathcal{V} f(x)=\int_{-|x|}^{+|x|} \mathcal{K}(x, y) f(y) d y
$$

is an integral operator whose kernel

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{4} K\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)+(\operatorname{sign} x)\left(\frac{k_{1}}{4}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right) A(x)^{-1} \widetilde{K}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \\
& -(\operatorname{sign} x) \frac{1}{2} A(x)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \widetilde{K}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

can be expressed in terms of the kernel

$$
\begin{align*}
K(x, y) & =2 c A(2 x)^{-1}|\sinh 2 x| \\
& \times \int_{|y|}^{|x|}(\cosh z-\cosh y)^{k_{1}-1}(\cosh 2 x-\cosh 2 z)^{k_{2}-1}(\sinh z) d z \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

of the intertwining operator in the Jacobi setting (see [4, Subsection 5.3]) and of its integral

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{K}(x, y) & =\int_{|y|}^{|x|} K(w, y) A(2 w) d w  \tag{2.6}\\
& =\frac{c}{k_{2}} \int_{|y|}^{|x|}(\cosh z-\cosh y)^{k_{1}-1}(\cosh 2 x-\cosh 2 z)^{k_{2}}(\sinh z) d z .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us integrate by parts (2.6) and differentiate the resulting expression with respect to $y$. This way, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{K}(x, y)=\frac{4 c}{k_{1}} \int_{|y|}^{|x|}(\cosh z-\cosh y)^{k_{1}}(\cosh 2 x-\cosh 2 z)^{k_{2}-1}  \tag{2.7}\\
\times(\cosh z)(\sinh z) d z
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \widetilde{K}(x, y)=-4 c(\sinh y) \int_{|y|}^{|x|}(\cosh z-\cosh y)^{k_{1}-1}(\cosh 2 x-\cosh 2 z)^{k_{2}-1}  \tag{2.8}\\
\times(\cosh z)(\sinh z) d z .
\end{gather*}
$$

We conclude by substituting (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) in (2.4) and more precisely (2.6), respectively (2.7), in

$$
(\operatorname{sign} x) \frac{k_{2}}{2} A(x)^{-1} \widetilde{K}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right), \quad \text { respectively } \quad(\operatorname{sign} x) \frac{k_{1}}{4} A(x)^{-1} \widetilde{K}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) .
$$

Remark 2.2. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|x|>|y|$. The expression (2.1) extends meromorphically with respect to $k \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, with singularities in $\left\{k \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \left\lvert\, k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \in-\mathbb{N}\right.\right\}$, produced by the factor $\Gamma\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ in (2.2). In the limit cases where either $k_{1}$ or $k_{2}$ vanishes, (2.1) reduces to the following expressions, already obtained in (2] and [1]:

- Assume that $k_{1}=0$ and $\operatorname{Re} k_{2}>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}(x, y) & =2^{k_{2}-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(k_{2}\right)}|\sinh x|^{-2 k_{2}}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \times(\cosh x-\cosh y)^{k_{2}-1}(\operatorname{sign} x)\left(e^{x}-e^{-y}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

- Assume that $k_{2}=0$ and $\operatorname{Re} k_{1}>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}(x, y) & =2^{k_{1}-2} \frac{\Gamma\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(k_{1}\right)}\left|\sinh \frac{x}{2}\right|^{-2 k_{1}}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \times\left(\cosh \frac{x}{2}-\cosh \frac{y}{2}\right)^{k_{1}-1}(\operatorname{sign} x)\left(e^{\frac{x}{2}}-e^{-\frac{y}{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Positivity of the intertwining operator

Corollary 3.1. Assume that $k_{1}>0$ and $k_{2}>0$. Then the kernel (2.1) is strictly positive for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|x|>|y|$. Hence the intertwining operator $\mathcal{V}$ and its dual $\mathcal{V}^{t}$ are positive.

Proof. Let us check the positivity of (2.3) when $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|x|>z>|y|$. On the one hand, if $x>0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(x, y, z) & =e^{\frac{x}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{x}{2}\right)-e^{-\frac{y}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{z}{2}\right) \\
& >\left(e^{\frac{x}{2}}-e^{-\frac{y}{2}}\right)\left(2 \cosh \frac{x}{2}\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $x<0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(x, y, z) & =e^{-\frac{y}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{z}{2}\right)-e^{\frac{x}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{x}{2}\right) \\
& >e^{-\frac{y}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{y}{2}\right)-e^{\frac{x}{2}}\left(2 \cosh \frac{x}{2}\right)=e^{-y}-e^{x}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.2. As already observed in [2], the positivity of (2.9), respectively (2.10), is immediate in the limit case where $k_{1}=0$ and $k_{2}>0$, respectively $k_{2}=0$ and $k_{1}>0$.

Remark 3.3. The positivity of $\mathcal{V}$ was mistakenly disproved in [2. Theorem 2.11] when $k_{1}>0$ and $k_{2}>0$. More precisely, by using a more complicated formula than (2.1), the density $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$ was shown to be negative when $x>0$ and $y \searrow-x$. The error in the proof lies in the expression $A_{1}$, which is equal to $\frac{k}{k^{\prime}} \frac{\sinh (2 x)-\sinh (2|y|)}{E}$ and which tends to $+2 \frac{k}{k^{\prime}} \frac{\cosh (2 x)}{\sinh (2 x)}>0$.
Remark 3.4. A different approach, based on the positivity of a heat type kernel, was used in [8, 9], [10] and [11] in order to tackle the positivity of $\mathcal{V}$. While [8] may be right, the same flaw occurs in (9], 10, [11, namely the cut-off $1_{Y_{\ell}}$ breaks down the differential-difference equations, which are not local.

In conclusion, this note settles in a simple way the positivity issue in dimension 1 and hence in the product case. Otherwise, the positivity of the interwining operator $\mathcal{V}$ and its dual $\mathcal{V}^{t}$, when the multiplicity function $k$ is $\geq 0$, remains an open problem in higher dimensions.
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