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#### Abstract

It is proved that for a convex body with $C^{2}$ boundary and positive Gauss curvature, its Steiner symmetral is again a convex body with $C^{2}$ boundary and positive Gauss curvature.


## 1. Introduction

Denote $n$-dimensional Euclidean space by $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $K$ be a compact convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $e_{1}$ be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Steiner symmetral $K_{1}$ of $K$ with respect to the hyperplane $e_{1}^{\perp}$ orthogonal to $e_{1}$ is the set generated by translating all chords of $K$ parallel to $e_{1}$ so that their centers are on $e_{1}^{\perp}$. For over 150 years the Steiner symmetrization has been a fundamental geometric method for studying various isoperimetric problems, in particular, affine isoperimetric problems (see, e.g., [1,2,4-9, 11, 13-17). An important property of the Steiner symmetrization is that iterating Steiner symmetrizations of $K$ through a suitable sequence of directions, the sequence of successive Steiner symmetrals of $K$, converges to a Euclidean ball in the Hausdorff metric (see, e.g., 3 , 10 ).

In this paper, we study the smoothness of the Steiner symmetrization process. Kiselman [12] showed that $K_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$ need not be of class $C^{2}$ even if $K$ is of class $C^{\infty}$. This implies that the Steiner symmetral of a convex body of class $C^{\infty}$ need not even be of class $C^{2}$. Thus, the smoothness problem is not trivial. We prove the following result.
Theorem 1.1. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a convex body of class $C_{+}^{2}$, i.e., $K$ has $C^{2}$ boundary and positive Gauss curvature, then its Steiner symmetral $K_{1}$ is also of class $C_{+}^{2}$.

Let $K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $K$ onto the hyperplane $e_{1}^{\perp}$. The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.1, since $K_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}=K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}$.
Corollary 1.2. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a convex body of class $C_{+}^{2}$, then $K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}$ is a convex body of class $C_{+}^{2}$ in $e_{1}^{\perp}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

The setting will be Euclidean $n$-space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We write $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ for the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we will write $|x|=\sqrt{x \cdot x}$. A compact convex

[^0]set with nonempty interior is called a convex body. A convex body is strictly convex if its boundary does not contain a line segment of positive length. By int $K$ and $\partial K$ we denote, respectively, the interior and boundary of a convex body $K$.

A convex body $K$ is said to be of class $C^{k}$, for some nonnegative integer $k$, if its boundary hypersurface is a regular submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, in the sense of differential geometry, that is, $k$-times continuously differentiable. In this paper, smoothness of convex bodies is understood as smoothness of hypersurfaces in the sense of differential geometry. A convex body is of class $C_{+}^{k}$ if it is of class $C^{k}$ and the Gauss curvature at each point of $\partial K$ is positive.

Let $K$ be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the overgraph and undergraph functions are defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\ell}_{i}(x):=\max \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}: x+t e_{i} \in K\right\}, & x \in K \mid e_{i}^{\perp} \\
\underline{\ell}_{i}(x):=\min \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}: x+t e_{i} \in K\right\}, & x \in K \mid e_{i}^{\perp} \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

where $K \mid e_{i}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection of $K$ onto the hyperplane $e_{i}^{\perp}$. Note that $-\bar{\ell}_{i}$ and $\underline{\ell}_{i}$ are convex functions.

By (2.1) and (2.2), for any $x \in K \mid e_{i}^{\perp}$, it is easily seen that $\left(x, \bar{\ell}_{i}(x)\right),\left(x, \underline{\ell}_{i}(x)\right) \in$ $\partial K$. Moreover, for $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(K \mid e_{i}^{\perp}\right)$, the Gauss curvature $H_{n-1}$ of $K$ at the boundary point $\left(x, \underline{\ell}_{i}(x)\right)$ satisfies (see [11, p. 210])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n-1}\left(x, \underline{\ell}_{i}(x)\right)=\frac{\left|\nabla^{2} \underline{\ell}_{i}(x)\right|}{\left(1+\left|\nabla \underline{\ell}_{i}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\nabla^{2} \underline{\ell}_{i}\right|$ denotes the determinant of the Hessian matrix of $\underline{\ell}_{i}$ and $\left|\nabla \underline{\ell}_{i}\right|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of the gradient of $\underline{\ell}_{i}$. If $\underline{\ell}_{i}$ is twice differentiable, then $\underline{\ell}_{i}$ has positive semi-definite Hessian matrix on $\operatorname{int}\left(K \mid e_{i}^{\perp}\right)$ (see Theorem 1.5.13 in [16]). Therefore, by (2.3), if $K$ has $C^{2}$ boundary and $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(K \mid e_{i}^{\perp}\right)$, then $\partial K$ has positive curvature at $\left(x, \underline{\ell}_{i}(x)\right)$ if and only if $\underline{\ell}_{i}(x)$ has positive definite Hessian matrix.

The Steiner symmetral of $K$ with respect to the hyperplane $e_{1}^{\perp}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}:=\left\{x+t e_{1}: x \in K\left|e_{1}^{\perp},|t| \leq \frac{\bar{\ell}_{1}(x)-\underline{\ell}_{1}(x)}{2}\right\}\right. \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the above definition, the overgraph and undergraph functions of $K_{1}$ with respect to $e_{1}$, denoted by $\bar{\varrho}_{1}$ and $\varrho_{1}$, satisfy the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varrho}_{1}(x)=-\underline{\varrho}_{1}(x)=\frac{\bar{\ell}_{1}(x)-\underline{\ell}_{1}(x)}{2}, x \in K \mid e_{1}^{\perp} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily checked that $K_{1}$ is a convex body symmetric with respect to $e_{1}^{\perp}$. Moreover, if $K$ is strictly convex, then $\underline{\ell}_{1}(x)$ and $-\bar{\ell}_{1}(x)$ are strictly convex on $x \in K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}$. By (2.5), $-\bar{\varrho}_{1}(x)$ and $\underline{\varrho}_{1}(x)$ are also strictly convex on $x \in K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}$. Moreover, it is easily checked that $-\bar{\varrho}_{1}(x)=\underline{\varrho}_{1}(x)$ for $x \in \partial\left(K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}\right)$. Therefore, $K_{1}$ is also strictly convex.

It follows that if $K$ is a convex body of class $C_{+}^{2}$, then $K$ is strictly convex. Moreover, $\underline{\ell}_{1}(x)$ and $-\bar{\ell}_{1}(x)$ are $C^{2}$ and have positive definite Hessian matrices for $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}\right)$. Thus by (2.5), $\varrho_{1}$ and $-\bar{\varrho}_{1}$ are also $C^{2}$ smooth and have positive definite Hessian matrices on $\operatorname{int}\left(K \mid e_{1}^{\perp}\right)$, which implies that $\partial K_{1}$ is $C^{2}$ and has positive curvature at every point $x \in \partial K_{1} \backslash e_{1}^{\perp}$. Thus we only need to prove the $C^{2}$ smoothness and positive curvature for $x \in \partial K_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$.

For a fixed $x_{o} \in \partial K_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, choose a coordinate system so that $x_{o}$ is the origin, $x_{n}=0$ is a support hyperplane of $K_{1}$ at $x_{o}$ and $e_{n}$ points to the interior of $K_{1}$. For simplicity of notation, we let $\varrho_{n}(x), x \in K_{1} \mid e_{n}^{\perp}$, denote the undergraph function of $K_{1}$ with respect to $e_{n}$.

In order to prove that $\partial K_{1}$ is $C^{2}$ and has positive curvature at $x_{o}$, we need to prove that $\varrho_{n}$ has the following properties:
$C^{1}$ smoothness: $\varrho_{n}$ is differentiable at the origin and $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0)=0, i=1,2, \ldots$, $n-1$;
$C^{2}$ smoothness: The second partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(x), 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, exist on a neighborhood of the origin and are continuous at the origin;

Positive Hessian: $\varrho_{n}$ has positive definite Hessian matrix at the origin.
Let $h$ be a sufficiently small positive number such that
(2.6) $h<\min \left\{\varrho_{n}(x): x \in \partial\left(K_{1} \mid e_{n}^{\perp}\right)\right\}$ and $h<\min \left\{\underline{\ell}_{n}(x): x \in \partial\left(K \mid e_{n}^{\perp}\right)\right\}$.

For $h>0$ as in (2.6), let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1, h}=K_{1} \cap\left\{\left(x, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{n}<h\right\} \text { and } K_{h}=K \cap\left\{\left(x, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{n}<h\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D_{1}$ be the orthogonal projection of $K_{1, h}$ onto $e_{n}^{\perp}$. Let $D$ be the orthogonal projection of $K_{h}$ onto $e_{n}^{\perp}$. It is easily checked that for $x \in \partial D_{1}$ and $y \in \partial D$, $\varrho_{n}(x)=h=\underline{\ell}_{n}(y)$. Moreover, $D_{1}$ is the Steiner symmetral of $D$ with respect to $e_{1}^{\perp}$.

For $x \in D_{1}$, let $x=(r, z)$, where $r=x_{1}$ and $z=\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$. Let $r>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}:=\varrho_{n}(r, z) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8) and the definition of $\varrho_{n}$, we have $\left(r, z, x_{n}\right) \in \partial K_{1}$. Thus, by the strict convexity of $K_{1}$ and the definition of $\bar{\varrho}_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\bar{\varrho}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=s(r, z)=\underline{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=\underline{\ell}_{1}\left(z, \varrho_{n}(r, z)\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t:=t(r, z)=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, \varrho_{n}(r, z)\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.9), (2.5), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\bar{\varrho}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)-\underline{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)}{2}=\frac{t-s}{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10), (2.11) and the definitions of $\bar{\ell}_{1}$ and $\underline{\ell}_{1}$, we have $\left(s, z, x_{n}\right),\left(t, z, x_{n}\right) \in \partial K$. By $(r, z) \in D_{1},(s, z),(t, z) \in D$ and (2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\ell}_{n}(s, z)=\underline{\ell}_{n}(t, z)=x_{n}=\varrho_{n}(r, z) \text {. } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r=0$, then $x_{n}=\varrho_{n}(0, z)$ and $\left(0, z, x_{n}\right) \in \partial K_{1}$, so $0=\bar{\varrho}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}:=s_{1}(z)=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, \varrho_{n}(0, z)\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, z\right)=\varrho_{n}(0, z) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for fixed $z, s_{1}$ is the minimum of $\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ over $x_{1}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for fixed $z$ and $s, t, s_{1}$ as in (2.10), (2.11) and (2.14), we have $s<s_{1}<t$ and $s, t \rightarrow s_{1}$ when $r \rightarrow 0$.

For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, let $(-\delta, \delta)=\left\{x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}:\left(x_{1}, z\right) \in D_{1}\right\}$ and $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}:\left(x_{1}, z\right) \in D\right\}$. Then $\delta_{2}-\delta_{1}=2 \delta$. Since $K_{1}$ is a strictly convex body and symmetric with respect to $e_{1}^{\perp}, \varrho_{n}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ is an even and strictly convex function for $x_{1} \in(-\delta, \delta)$. Since $K$ is a strictly convex body, $\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ is a strictly convex function for $x_{1} \in\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$.

Moreover, for fixed $z$ and $s_{1}$ as in (2.14), the one-dimensional function $x_{n}=$ $\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ for $x_{1} \in\left[s_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ and the one-dimensional function $x_{1}=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)$ for $x_{n} \in$ [ $\left.\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, z\right), h\right)$ are inverse functions; $x_{n}=\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ for $x_{1} \in\left(\delta_{1}, s_{1}\right]$ and $x_{1}=\underline{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)$ for $x_{n} \in\left[\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, z\right), h\right)$ are inverse functions; $x_{n}=\varrho_{n}\left(x_{1}, z\right)$ for $x_{1} \in[0, \delta)$ and $x_{1}=\bar{\varrho}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)$ for $x_{n} \in\left[\varrho_{n}(0, z), h\right)$ are inverse functions. Since inverse functions have reciprocal slopes at reflected points, by (2.13) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(r, z)=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{\varrho}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right)^{-1},  \tag{2.17}\\
& \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)=\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right)^{-1}, \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$ and $s, t$ as in (2.10) and (2.11), for simplicity of notation, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha:=\alpha(r, z)=\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z), \quad \beta:=\beta(r, z)=\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.17), (2.18), (2.19), (2.12) and (2.20), for $r>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(r, z)=\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{\alpha-\beta} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of the main result

Lemma 3.1. $\varrho_{n}$ is differentiable at the origin and $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0)=0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.
Proof. For $r>0$, by $\varrho_{n}(0)=0$, (2.13), (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial_{+} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(0) & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\varrho_{n}(r, 0)-\varrho_{n}(0,0)}{r} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+}\left(\frac{t-s_{1}}{2 r} \cdot \frac{\underline{\ell}_{n}(t, 0)-\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, 0\right)}{t-s_{1}}+\frac{s-s_{1}}{2 r} \cdot \frac{\underline{\ell}_{n}(s, 0)-\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, 0\right)}{s-s_{1}}\right) \\
(3.1) & =0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\varrho_{n}\left(x_{1}, 0\right)$ is an even function with respect to $x_{1}$, the left derivative of $\varrho_{n}$ with respect to $x_{1}$ at the origin is also zero. Thus $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(0)=0$.

If $H$ is a support hyperplane of $K_{1}$ at the origin, by $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(0)=0$, then $H$ is parallel to $e_{1}$. Thus $H$ is also a support hyperplane of $K$ at the point $\left(s_{1}, 0\right)$, where $s_{1}$ as in (2.14). Since $K$ is of class $C_{+}^{2}$ and hence of class $C^{1}, K$ has a unique outer unit normal vector at the boundary point $\left(s_{1}, 0\right)$. Therefore, $K_{1}$ has a unique outer unit normal vector at the origin, which implies that $\varrho_{n}$ is differentiable at the origin (see Lemma 1.5.14 and Theorem 1.5.15 of [16]). Because $\varrho_{n}$ is a convex function and attains its minimum at the origin, $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0)=0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

By Lemma 3.1 and the arbitrary choice of $x_{o} \in \partial K_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}, K_{1}$ is of class $C^{1}$.
Lemma 3.2. For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$ and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\alpha}{\beta}=-1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (2.16) and $\underline{\ell}_{n} \in C^{2}$, for $s_{1}$ as in (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\ell}_{n}(t, z)=\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, z\right)+0\left(t-s_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\left(t-s_{1}\right)^{2}+o\left(\left(t-s_{1}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \underline{\ell}_{n}(s, z)=\underline{\ell}_{n}\left(s_{1}, z\right)+0\left(s-s_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\left(s-s_{1}\right)^{2}+o\left(\left(s-s_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)=c$. Since $\underline{\ell}_{n} \in C^{2}$ with positive definite Hessian matrix, we have $c>0$. By (3.3), (3.4) and $\underline{\ell}_{n}(t, z)=\underline{\ell}_{n}(s, z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} c\left(t-s_{1}\right)^{2}+o\left(\left(t-s_{1}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} c\left(s-s_{1}\right)^{2}+o\left(\left(s-s_{1}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.5) and $s, t \rightarrow s_{1}$ when $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left(t-s_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(s-s_{1}\right)^{2}}=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.20), (3.3), (3.4), (3.6) and $s<s_{1}<t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{c\left(s-s_{1}\right)+o\left(\left|s-s_{1}\right|\right)}{c\left(t-s_{1}\right)+o\left(\left|t-s_{1}\right|\right)}=-1 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, for $s, t$ and $s_{1}$ as in (2.10), (2.11) and (2.14), and for $i=2, \ldots, n-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)}=\frac{2 \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{n}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)>0$.
Proof. First,

$$
\frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)}=\frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)}-\frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)} \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)} .
$$

Since $\underline{\ell}_{n} \in C^{2}$ with positive definite Hessian matrix, $\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)>0$. By $\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)$ $=0$ and $s, t \rightarrow s_{1}$ when $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow s_{1}} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right) /\left(s-s_{1}\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right) /\left(s-s_{1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow s_{1}} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right) /\left(t-s_{1}\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right) /\left(t-s_{1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above three equalities, (2.20) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}}{(t, z)} & \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)
\end{aligned} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)} .
$$

The next three lemmas give the explicit values of the second order partial derivatives of $\varrho_{n}$ for $x \in D_{1} \backslash\left(D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}\right)$.
Lemma 3.4. For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}, r>0$ and $s, t, \alpha, \beta$ as in (2.10), (2.11) and (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(r, z)=\frac{4 \alpha^{3}}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z)-\frac{4 \beta^{3}}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By $t=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, \varrho_{n}(r, z)\right)$ and (2.19), we have
(3.10) $\frac{\partial t}{\partial r}=\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, \varrho_{n}(r, z)\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(r, z)=\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(r, z)$.

By (3.10), (2.21) and (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial t}{\partial r}=\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-\beta} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by $s=\underline{\ell}_{1}\left(z, \varrho_{n}(r, z)\right)$, (2.18), (2.21) and (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s}{\partial r}=\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha-\beta} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By partial differentiation of (2.21) with respect to $r$, (2.20), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(r, z) & =2 \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r} \beta+\alpha \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r}\right)(\alpha-\beta)-\alpha \beta\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r}-\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r}\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} \\
& =2 \frac{\alpha^{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z) \cdot \frac{\partial t}{\partial r}-\beta^{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z) \cdot \frac{\partial s}{\partial r}}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 \alpha^{3}}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z)-\frac{4 \beta^{3}}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.5. For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, for $r>0$ and $s, t, \alpha, \beta$ as in (2.10), (2.11) and (2.20), and for $i=2,3, \ldots, n-1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(r, z)= & 2 \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)\right) \cdot\left(\alpha^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z)-\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z)\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \\
& +2 \frac{\alpha^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(s, z)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (2.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1}{2}(t-s)=\frac{1}{2} \bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{n}=\varrho_{n}(r, z)$. Partial differentiation of (3.15) with respect to $x_{i}, i=$ $2, \ldots, n-1$, at $(r, z)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\frac{1}{2} & \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.18), (2.19), (2.20) and the above equality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)=\frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)-\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the chain rule, $x_{n}=\underline{\ell}_{n}(s, z)=\underline{\ell}_{n}(t, z)$, (2.14), (2.16), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=-\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)=-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z) / \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)=-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(3.18)

$$
\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)=-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z) / \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)=-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z) .
$$

Putting (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta} \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\beta}{\alpha-\beta} \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $t=\bar{\ell}_{1}\left(z, x_{n}\right), x_{n}=\varrho_{n}(r, z)$, (2.19), (2.20), (3.17) and (3.19), we have
(3.20) $\frac{\partial t}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(z, x_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)=\frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)}{\alpha-\beta}$.

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)}{\alpha-\beta} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)\right)}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z) \cdot \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(s, z) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\underline{\ell}}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)\right)}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\underline{\ell}}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z) \cdot \frac{\partial t}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(t, z) . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.20), (3.20), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23), partial differentiation of (2.21) with respect to $x_{i}$ at $(r, z)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(r, z)= & 2 \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{i}} \beta+\alpha \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{i}}\right)(\alpha-\beta)-\alpha \beta\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{i}}\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} \\
= & 2 \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)\right) \cdot\left(\alpha^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \ell_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z)-\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z)\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \\
& +2 \frac{\alpha^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(s, z)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.6. For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, for $r>0$ and $s, t, \alpha, \beta$ as in (2.10), (2.11) and (2.20), and for $i, j=2,3, \ldots, n-1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z)  \tag{3.24}\\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\underline{x_{i}}}(s, z)\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \ell_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}(s, z)\right) \cdot\left(\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} \ell_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(t, z)-\beta \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(s, z)\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{3}} \\
&+\frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}(s, z)\right) \cdot\left(\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\beta \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(s, z)\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} \\
&+\frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)\right) \cdot\left(\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{j}}(t, z)-\beta \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{j}}(s, z)\right)}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} \\
&+\frac{\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(t, z)-\beta \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(s, z)}{\alpha-\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)\right)}{\partial x_{j}}(r, z)=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}(t, z) \frac{\partial t}{\partial x_{j}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(t, z) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)\right)}{\partial x_{j}}(r, z)=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}(s, z) \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_{j}}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(s, z) . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.25) and (3.26), partial differentiation of (3.19) with respect to $x_{j}$ at $(r, z)$ gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z) & =\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(t, z)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}(t, z) \frac{\partial t}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \\
& -\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(s, z)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}(s, z) \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), (3.23), the right side of the above equality equals the right side of (3.24).

The following lemma gives the explicit values of the second order partial derivatives of $\varrho_{n}$ for $x \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$.

Lemma 3.7. For fixed $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, for $s_{1}$ as in (2.14) and $i, j=2, \ldots, n-1$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(0, z)=0=\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}(0, z),
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(0, z)=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)-\frac{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{j}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(0, z)=0$ and $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(r, z)$ is an odd function with respect to $r$, by (2.21) we have
(3.30) $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(0, z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(r, z)-\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(0, z)}{r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{2 \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z) \frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z) / r^{2}}{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z) / r-\frac{\partial \ell_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z) / r}$.

By (3.6) and $2 r=\left(t-s_{1}\right)+\left(s_{1}-s\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t-s_{1}}{r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{s_{1}-s}{r}=1 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.16) and (3.31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)}{r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{t-s_{1}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(s, z)}{r}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.30), (3.32) and (3.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(0, z)=\frac{-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \ell_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right)^{2}}{-2 \frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}=\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}(0, z)=0$ for any $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(0, z)=0$ is established.
Since $\varrho_{n}$ and $\underline{\ell}_{n}$ are $C^{1}$, by (3.19) and (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0, z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)=\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.19), (3.35), (3.2), (3.31) and $\underline{\ell}_{n} \in C^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)-\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0, z)}{r} \\
= & \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right)-\frac{\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)\right)}{r} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \lim _{t \rightarrow s_{1}^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(t, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{t-s_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \lim _{s \rightarrow s_{1}^{-}} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(s, z)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{s-s_{1}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) \\
(3.36)= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)$ is an even function with respect to $r$, by (3.36)
(3.37) $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\frac{\partial x_{i}}{}(r, z)-\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0, z)}}{r}=-\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)-\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0, z)}{r}=0$.

By (3.36) and (3.37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}(0, z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(r, z)-\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0, z)}{r}=0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.35) and $\underline{\ell}_{n} \in C^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(0, z)= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(0, z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-\frac{\partial \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(0, z)}{\varepsilon} \\
= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right), z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}(z), z\right)}{\varepsilon} \\
= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right), z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right), z\right)}{\varepsilon} \\
& +\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right), z\right)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(s_{1}(z), z\right)}{\varepsilon} \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) \cdot \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-s_{1}(z)}{\varepsilon} \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)-\frac{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial \underline{l}_{1} \partial x_{j}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)}{\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is obtained from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-s_{1}(z)}{\varepsilon}  \tag{3.40}\\
= & \left.\left.-\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}(z), z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}(z), z\right)\right) / \varepsilon}{\partial x_{1}}\left(z e_{j}\right), z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-\frac{\partial \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s_{1}(z), z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)\right) /\left(s_{1}\left(z+\varepsilon e_{j}\right)-s_{1}(z)\right) \\
= & -\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{j}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) / \frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\underline{l}}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(s_{1}, z\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemmas 3.4 3.7 show the existence of $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(x), 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, for $x \in D_{1}$. We will prove the continuity of $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$ at the origin and prove that $\varrho_{n}$ has positive definite Hessian matrix at the origin.
Lemma 3.8. For $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and any fixed compact set $S \subset D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z)$ converges to $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(0, z)$ uniformly on $S$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.
Proof. By Lemmas 3.2 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, taking the limit of $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$in (3.9), (3.14) and (3.24) shows that $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z)$ converges to $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(0, z)$ pointwise on $S$ as $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Moreover, since $\varrho_{n}$ is symmetric with respect to $e_{1}^{\perp}$, for $i, j=2, \ldots, n-1$, $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}(r, z)}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z)$ are even with respect to $r$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(r, z)$ is odd with respect to $r$. Therefore, $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z)$ converges to $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(0, z)$ pointwise on $S$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\left|s-s_{1}\right|+\left|t-s_{1}\right|=2 r$ is independent of $z$ and the second partial derivative of $\underline{\ell}_{n}$ is uniformly continuous on any compact subset of $D$, the left sides of the equalities (3.2) and (3.8) converge uniformly to their right sides, respectively. By (3.9), (3.14), (3.24) and the uniformly continuity of $\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{\ell}_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$, we have that $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(r, z)$ converges to $\frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{n}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(0, z)$ uniformly on $S$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 3.1. The second partial derivatives of $\varrho_{n}$ are continuous at the origin. Proof. For $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, if $z \rightarrow 0$, then $s_{1}(z) \rightarrow s_{1}(0)$. By (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) and $\underline{\ell}_{n} \in C^{2}$, the second partial derivatives of $\varrho_{n}$ are continuous at the origin when $z \in D_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$ and $z \rightarrow 0$. By the uniform convergence proved in Lemma 3.8 the second partial derivatives of $\varrho_{n}$ are continuous at the origin when $x \in D_{1}$ and $x \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 3.2. The Hessian matrix of $\varrho_{n}$ at the origin is positive definite.
Proof. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n-1, n-1}$ denote the Hessian matrix of $\varrho_{n}$ at the origin and let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{n-1, n-1}$ denote the Hessian matrix of $\underline{\ell}_{n}$ at the point $\left(s_{1}, 0\right)$. By (3.27), (3.28), (3.29), the $k$ th row $(k=2, \ldots, n-1)$ of $A$ can be obtained by adding the $k$ th row of $B$ by $-\frac{b_{k 1}}{b_{11}}$ times the first row of $B$. Thus $|A|=|B|$. Since $|B|>0$, $|A|>0$. Moreover, $\varrho_{n}$ is a convex function, so its Hessian matrix $A$ is semi-positive definite. By $|A|>0, A$ is positive definite.

By Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and the arbitrary choice of $x_{o} \in \partial K_{1} \cap e_{1}^{\perp}$, $K_{1}$ is of class $C_{+}^{2}$.

## 4. Open problems

Problem 4.1. For $3 \leq k \leq \infty$, is the Steiner symmetral of a convex body of class $C_{+}^{k}$ again of class $C_{+}^{k}$ ?

The following problem is provided by the referee.
Problem 4.2. Can Theorem 1.1 be obtained simply from Corollary 1.2?
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