

## TRAVELING WAVE FRONT FOR PARTIAL NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

EDUARDO HERNÁNDEZ AND JIANHONG WU

(Communicated by Wenxian Shen)

ABSTRACT. By using Schauder's point fixed theorem we study the existence of a traveling wave front for reaction-diffusion differential equations of the neutral type. Some examples arising in populations dynamics are presented.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Using Schauder's point fixed theorem and monotonicity, we study the existence of a traveling wave front for neutral differential equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt}[u(t, x) - G(u_t)(x)] = \mathcal{D}\Delta u(t, x) + F(u_t)(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = \text{diag}(d_i)$  is a matrix of order  $N \times N$ ,  $d_i > 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $F \in C(C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $G \in C^1(C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)$  ( $\tau > 0$ ) are functions to be specified later.

The literature on the existence and qualitative properties of traveling waves for reaction-diffusion equations is extensive. We cite the early papers by Fisher [4], Kolmogorov, Petrovskii and Piskunov [10], Britton [1], Fife [3], Murray [17] and Volpert et al. [20] regarding related differential equations. For the case of delayed differential equations, we refer the reader to Schaaf [19], Ma [16], Zou and Wu [21, 25] and the references therein.

To the best of our knowledge, the paper [14] is the unique work treating traveling waves for partial neutral differential equations. Using a variable transform which allows one to study neutral equations with discrete delay via a differential equation with an infinite number of constant delays, results on existence and invariance in reaction diffusion equations and techniques on the construction of upper and lower solutions, in [14] are proved some results on the existence and qualitative properties of traveling waves for neutral differential equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(u(t, x) - bu(t - r, x)) &= d\Delta[u(t, x) - bu(t - r, x)] \\ &+ f(u(t, x) - bu(t - r, x), u(t, x), u(t - r, x)). \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors March 17, 2017 and, in revised form, May 4, 2017 and May 12, 2017.  
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35K57, 35C07, 34K40.

*Key words and phrases*. Traveling wave front, reaction-diffusion equations, neutral differential equations, point fixed, monotonicity, upper solution, lower solution.

The work of the first author was supported by Fapesp Grant 2014/25818-9 and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. This work was developed during the first author's visit to York University.

Concerning partial neutral differential equations, we cite the early paper by Hale [8], where are proved some results on the existence, uniqueness and qualitative properties of solutions of neutral equations of the form  $\partial_t \mathcal{L}(u_t)(\xi) = \partial_{\xi\xi} \mathcal{L}u_t(\xi) + f(u_t)(\xi)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$  is a bounded linear operator on  $C([-r, 0]; C(S^1; \mathbb{R}))$ . In [23], Wu and Xia derived a neutral difference-differential system with diffusion from a ring array of coupled lossless transmission lines and investigated the problem of self-sustained oscillations of the considered transmission lines and the existence of multiple large amplitude phase-locked periodic solutions in the corresponding neutral system. In [24], Wu and Xia continued their studies in [23], proved some general results on the existence and global continuation of rotating waves for neutral partial differential equations and applied their results to study a concrete neutral problem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(u(t, x) - bu(t - r, x)) &= d\Delta[u(t, x) - bu(t - r, x)] - au(t, x) \\ &\quad - abu(t - r, x) - g(u(t, x) - bu(t - r, x)). \end{aligned}$$

In the theory developed in [7, 18], the internal energy and the heat flux are described as functionals of the temperature  $u(\cdot)$  and their derivative  $u_x(\cdot)$ . The system

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^t k_1(t-s)u(s, x)ds) = d\Delta u(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^t k_2(t-s)\Delta u(s, x)ds.$$

has been used to describe this phenomena; see [15]. In this problem,  $d$  is a physical constant and  $k_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are the internal energy and the heat flux relaxation respectively. If we assume that the solution  $u(\cdot)$  is known on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , we obtain a neutral equation with unbounded delay. Partial neutral differential equations can also be derived from the theory of population dynamic (see [2, 5, 6, 11–13]) where diffusion arises from the tendency of biological species to migrate from high to low population density regions.

In this work, for Banach spaces  $X, Y$  we use the symbol  $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  for the space of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  endowed with the usual norm denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}$ , and for  $z \in Z$  and  $l > 0$ ,  $B_l(z, Z) = \{x \in Z : \|z - x\|_Z \leq l\}$ . A function  $H : C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  is described in the form  $H(\psi) = (H_1(\psi), \dots, H_M(\psi))$ . For  $c > 0$  and  $\psi \in C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ , we denote by  $H^c(\cdot)$  and  $\psi^c(\cdot)$  the functions  $H^c : C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $\psi^c \in C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N)$  given by  $H^c(\psi) = H(\psi^c)$  and  $\psi^c(\theta) = \psi(c\theta)$ . If  $H(\cdot)$  is a  $C^1$  function,  $DH(\cdot)$  denotes the differential of  $H(\cdot)$  and  $(DH)^c : C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^M)$  is given by  $(DH)^c(\psi) = (DH)(\psi^c)$ . In this case, we note that  $((DH)^c(\psi))^c(\phi) = (DH)(\psi^c)(\phi^c)$  and  $((DH)^c(\psi))^c(\phi)_i = (DH_i)(\psi^c)(\phi^c)$  for all  $\phi \in C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, M$ .

A traveling wave solution of (1.1) is a solution of the form  $u(t, x) = \phi(x + ct)$ , where  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . If  $u(t, x) = \phi(x + ct)$  is a traveling wave of (1.1),  $F \in C(C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $G \in C^1(C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\phi(\cdot)$  is a solution of the ordinary problem

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{D}w''(\xi) - cw'(\xi) + c((DG)^c(w_\xi))^c(w'_\xi) + F^c(w_\xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we write  $u \leq v$  if  $u_i \leq v_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $u < v$  if  $u \leq v$  and  $u \neq v$ . A function  $H : C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is described in the form  $H(\psi) = (H_1(\psi), \dots, H_N(\psi))$ .

For  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} g(s) = 0$ , we use the notation  $C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^p)$  for the space formed by all the continuously differentiable functions  $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  such that  $\|\xi\|_{C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^p)} = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} g(s)(\|\xi(s)\| + \|\xi'(s)\|) < \infty$ , endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^p)}$ . The definition of  $(C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^p), \|\cdot\|_{C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^p)})$  is similar.

This paper has three sections. In the next section we study the existence of a traveling wave front for (1.1). In the last section some examples are presented.

2. EXISTENCE OF A TRAVELING WAVE FRONT

Let  $\eta_1 < 0 < \eta_2$ . In the next lemmas, for  $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  we use the notation  $Y(\xi)$  and  $Z(\xi)$  for the functions  $Y(\xi), Z(\xi) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $Y(\xi)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\eta_1(t-s)} \xi(s) ds$  and  $Z(\xi)(t) = \int_t^{\infty} e^{\eta_2(t-s)} \xi(s) ds$ . The proof of our first lemma is easy and we omit it.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  and assume that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \xi(t) = \beta_{\pm\infty}$ . Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} Y(\xi)(t) = -\frac{\beta_{\pm\infty}}{\eta_1}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} Z(\xi)(t) = \frac{\beta_{\pm\infty}}{\eta_2}$ , the functions  $Y(\xi), Z(\xi)$  are differentiable,  $Y(\xi)' = \eta_1 Y(\xi) + \xi$ ,  $Z(\xi)' = \eta_2 Z(\xi) - \xi$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} Y(\xi)'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} Z(\xi)'(t) = 0$ . If, in addition,  $\xi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\xi'$  is bounded, then  $Y(\xi), Z(\xi) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $Y(\xi)' = Y(\xi)'$  and  $Z(\xi)' = Z(\xi)'$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $0 < \theta < \min\{-\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $g(\cdot) = e^{-\theta|\cdot|}$ , then the map  $W : B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  given by  $W(\xi) = Y(\xi) + Z(\xi)$  is completely continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}))$  and  $\xi \in B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}))$  such that  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \xi$  in  $C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $e^{-\theta|s|} \|\xi_n(s) - \xi(s)\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$ . For  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\theta|t|} |Y(\xi_n)(t) - Y(\xi)(t)| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\eta_1(t-s)} e^{-\theta|t|+\theta|s|} e^{-\theta|s|} |\xi_n(s) - \xi(s)| ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\eta_1(t-s)} e^{-\theta|t|+\theta|s|} ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left[ \frac{1}{-\eta_1 - \theta} + \frac{1}{-\eta_1 + \theta} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $Y : B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  is continuous.

We prove now that  $Y(\cdot)$  is a compact map. From Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that  $\|Y'(\xi)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})} \leq 2r$  and  $\|Y(\xi)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{r}{-\eta_1}$  for all  $\xi \in B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}))$ , which implies that  $Y(B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})))_{|[-l, l]} = \{Y(\xi)_{|[-l, l]} : \xi \in B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}))\}$  is relatively compact in  $C([-l, l]; \mathbb{R})$  for all  $l > 0$ .

Let  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}))$ . From the above remarks, there exists  $\xi \in B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}))$  and a subsequence of  $(Y(\xi_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (which we denote in the same form) such that  $Y(\xi_n) \rightarrow \xi$  uniformly on compact set. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $K > 0$  and  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $e^{-\theta K} 2 \frac{r}{-\eta_1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $\|Y(\xi_n) - \xi\|_{C([-K, K]; \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$ . Under these conditions, for  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$  we see that

$$\|Y(\xi_n) - \xi\|_{C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})} \leq \sup_{|s| \leq K} |Y(\xi_n)(s) - \xi(s)| + e^{-\theta K} \frac{2r}{-\eta_1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which proves that  $(Y(\xi_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \xi$  in  $C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is arbitrary, we infer that  $Y(B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})))$  is relatively compact in  $C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  and  $Y : B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  is a compact map.

From the above,  $Y : B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  is completely continuous and a similar procedure proves that  $Z : B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  is completely continuous. Finally, since  $W(\xi)' = \eta_1 Y(\xi) + \eta_2 Z(\xi)$  we can conclude that  $W : B_r(0, C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_g(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  is completely continuous.  $\square$

From Hirsch et al. [9] and Gopalsamy [6] we note the followings results.

**Lemma 2.3** ([9]). *If  $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  and  $v^+ = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) > \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) = v^-$ , then there exist sequences of real numbers  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \infty, (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \infty, v'(t_n) = v'(s_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, v^+ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(t_n)$  and  $v^- = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(s_n)$ .*

**Lemma 2.4** ([6]). *If  $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)$  exists and  $v'(\cdot)$  is uniformly continuous, then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v'(t) = 0$ .*

Next, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we use the symbol  $\widehat{x}$  for the function  $\widehat{x} \in C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N)$  given by  $\widehat{x}(\theta) = x$  for all  $\theta \in [-c\tau, 0]$ . For a function  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ , we denote by  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  the limits  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \psi(t)$ , when the limit exists.

We include now the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume that  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a twice continuously differentiable solution of (1.2),  $\psi(\cdot)$  is bounded, monotone nondecreasing, the functions  $F, (DG)$  takes bounded sets into bounded sets and  $\{((DG)^c(\psi_t))^c(\psi'_t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is bounded. Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi'(t) = 0, F(\widehat{\psi_{\pm}}) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} ((DG)^c(\psi_t))^c(\psi'_t) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* To begin, we prove that  $\psi'$  is bounded. Assume that  $\psi'$  is unbounded on  $[0, \infty)$  and let  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi'_i(t) = \infty$ . If  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi'_i(t) = \infty$ , then  $\psi_i$  is unbounded, which is absurd. If  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi'_i(t) < \infty$ , from Lemma 2.3 there exists a sequence of real numbers  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\psi''_i(t_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi'_i(t_n) = \infty$ . Using this fact, we infer that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c[-\psi'_i(t_n) + (((DG)^c(\psi_{t_n}))^c(\psi'_{t_n}))_i] = -F_i^c(\widehat{\psi_+}),$$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (((DG)^c(\psi_{t_n}))^c(\psi'_{t_n}))_i = \infty$ , which is contrary to the assumptions. From the above, we have that  $\psi'$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ . A similar argument proves that  $\psi'$  is bounded on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , which completes the proof that  $\psi'$  is bounded.

From the above and (1.2) we infer that  $\psi''$  is bounded which implies that  $\psi'$  is uniformly continuous. Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi(s)$  exists, from Lemma 2.4 it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi'(t) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi'_t = \widehat{0}$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} ((DG)^c(\psi_t))^c(\psi'_t) = 0$ . Moreover, from (1.2) we obtain that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mathcal{D}\psi''(t) = -F^c(\widehat{\psi_{\pm}})$ , which allows us to conclude that  $\psi''$  is uniformly continuous. Finally, from Lemma 2.4 we have that  $F_i^c(\widehat{\psi_{\pm}}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi''(t) = 0$ .  $\square$

To begin our studies on the existence of a traveling wave front for (1.1), we consider the quasi-monotone case.

**2.1. The quasi-monotone case.** By considering Lemma 2.5, in the remainder of this work we assume that there is  $K \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $0 < K, F(\widehat{0}) = F(\widehat{K}) = G(\widehat{0}) = G(\widehat{K}) = 0$  and  $F(L) \neq 0$  for all  $0 < L < K$ . Next, we always suppose that  $F, G, (DG)$  are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constants  $L_F, L_G$  and  $L_{DG}$  respectively. We introduce now the next condition.

$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^1$  There are diagonal matrices  $\gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  and  $\zeta = \text{diag}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$  such that  $\gamma_i > 0, \zeta_i > 0$  for all  $i = \dots, N$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad [F_i^c(\psi) - F_i^c(\phi)] + \gamma_i(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) \geq 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_{1,i}c[G_i^c(\psi) - G_i^c(\phi)] + \zeta_i(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) \geq 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_{2,i}c[G_i^c(\psi) - G_i^c(\phi)] + \zeta_i(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) \geq 0,$$

for all  $\psi, \phi \in C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $0 \leq \phi \leq \psi \leq K$ , where  $\lambda_{1,i} = \frac{c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta_i d_i}}{2d_i}$ ,  $\lambda_{2,i} = \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta_i d_i}}{2d_i}$  and  $\beta_i = \gamma_i + \zeta_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

From the general theory of traveling waves, we introduce the followings concepts.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $\bar{\rho} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called an upper solution of (1.2) if  $\mathcal{D}\bar{\rho}''(t) - c\bar{\rho}'(t) + c\frac{d}{dt}G^c(\bar{\rho}_t) + F^c(\bar{\rho}_t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The concept of lower solution of (1.2) is defined reversing the last inequality.

In the remainder of this section we always assume that the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^1$  is satisfied,  $\theta$  is a real number such that  $0 < \theta < \min\{-\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i} : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $g(\cdot) = e^{-\theta|\cdot|}$  and  $\bar{\rho}, \underline{\rho}$  are an upper and a lower solution of (1.2) such that  $0 \leq \underline{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho} \leq \widehat{K}$ ,  $\underline{\rho} \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{\rho}(t) = 0$ . For  $M > 0$ ,  $U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  is the set defined by

$$(2.5) \quad U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M = \{\xi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N) : 0 \leq \xi'_i \leq M, i = 1, \dots, N, \text{ and } \underline{\rho} \leq \xi \leq \bar{\rho}\}.$$

We introduce now the map  $\Gamma : U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M \subset C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  given by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Gamma u)_i(t) &= \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} (F_i^c(u_s) + (\gamma_i + \zeta_i)u_i(s) + c((DG_i)^c(u_s))^c(u'_s)) ds \\ &+ \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} (F_i^c(u_s) + (\gamma_i + \zeta_i)u_i(s) + c((DG_i)^c(u_s))^c(u'_s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_i = \frac{1}{d_i(\lambda_{2,i} - \lambda_{1,i})}$ . The function  $\Gamma u(\cdot)$  is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}w''(\xi) - cw'(\xi) - (\gamma_i + \zeta_i)w(\xi) \\ = -F^c(u_\xi) - (\gamma_i + \zeta_i)u(\xi) - c((DG)^c(u_\xi))^c(u'_\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $\frac{d}{ds}e^{\lambda_{j,i}(t-s)}G_i^c(u_s) = -\lambda_{j,i}e^{\lambda_{j,i}(t-s)}G_i^c(u_s) + e^{\lambda_{j,i}(t-s)}((DG_i)^c(u_s))^c(u'_s)$  we obtain that  $\Gamma u = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Gamma^i u$  where

$$(2.7) \quad (\Gamma^1 u)_i(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} \widetilde{F}_i(u)(s) ds,$$

$$(2.8) \quad (\Gamma^2 u)_i(t) = \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} \widetilde{F}_i(u)(s) ds,$$

$$(2.9) \quad (\Gamma^3 u)_i(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} \widetilde{G}_i(u)(s) ds,$$

$$(2.10) \quad (\Gamma^4 u)_i(t) = \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} \widetilde{G}_i(u)(s) ds,$$

and  $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{G}, \widehat{G} : C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  are given by  $(\widetilde{F})_i(\psi)(s) = F_i^c(\psi_s) + \gamma_i\psi(s)$ ,  $(\widetilde{G})_i(\psi)(s) = \lambda_{1,i}cG_i^c(\psi_s) + \zeta_i\psi(s)$  and  $(\widehat{G})_i(\psi)(s) = \lambda_{2,i}cG_i^c(\psi_s) + \zeta_i\psi(s)$ .

From [21, Lemma 3.1], we have the next result.

**Lemma 2.6** ([21, Lemma 3.1]). *Assume that the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G}}^1$  is satisfied,  $\phi, \psi \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  and  $\phi \leq \psi$ . If  $H(\cdot)$  is some of the functions  $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \widehat{G}$ , then  $H(\phi)(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $H(\phi)(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing and  $H(\phi)(t) \leq H(\psi)(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** *If the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G}}^1$  is satisfied and  $u \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ , then  $\Gamma u$  is nondecreasing and  $\underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma \underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma u \leq \Gamma \bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $u_{s+h} \geq u_s$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}, h > 0$ , from Lemma 2.6 we have that  $(\tilde{G})_i(u)(s+h) - (\tilde{G})_i(u)(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h > 0$ , we get

$$(\Gamma^3 u)_i(t+h) - (\Gamma^3 u)_i(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} [\tilde{G}_i(u)(s+h) - \tilde{G}_i(u)(s)] ds \geq 0,$$

which implies that  $(\Gamma^3 u)_i(t+h) \geq (\Gamma^3 u)_i(t)$ . The same argument allows us to show that  $(\Gamma^j u)_i(t+h) \geq (\Gamma^j u)_i(t)$  for  $j = 1, 2, 4, i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , which proves that  $\Gamma u$  is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We now prove the second assertion. Let  $W = \bar{\rho} - \Gamma \bar{\rho}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\Gamma \bar{\rho})''(t) - c(\Gamma \bar{\rho})'(t) - \beta(\Gamma \bar{\rho})(t) + F^c(\bar{\rho}_t) + \beta \bar{\rho}(t) + c((DG)^c(\bar{\rho}_t))^c(\bar{\rho}'_t) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}\bar{\rho}''(t) - c\bar{\rho}'(t) - \beta \bar{\rho}(t) + F^c(\bar{\rho}_t) + \beta \bar{\rho}(t) + c((DG)^c(\bar{\rho}_t))^c(\bar{\rho}'_t) &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{D}W'' - cW' - \beta W(t) + \tau(t) = 0$  for some nonnegative bounded continuous function  $\tau(\cdot)$ . Since  $W(\cdot)$  is a  $C^2$  bounded function, we get

$$W_i(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} \tau_i(s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} \tau_i(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which implies that  $W_i(t) \geq 0$  and  $\Gamma \bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}$ . A similar argument proves that  $\Gamma(\underline{\rho}) \geq \underline{\rho}$ .

On the other hand, noting  $\bar{\rho}_t \geq u_t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , from Lemma 2.6 we see that  $\tilde{G}(\bar{\rho})(t) - \tilde{G}(u)(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$(\Gamma^3 \bar{\rho})_i(t) - (\Gamma^3 u)_i(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} (\tilde{G}_i(\bar{\rho})(s) - \tilde{G}_i(u)(s)) ds \geq 0,$$

which shows that  $(\Gamma^3 \bar{\rho})_i(t) - (\Gamma^3 u)_i(t) \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . A similar procedure proves that  $(\Gamma^j \bar{\rho})_i(t) - (\Gamma^j u)_i(t) \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 4$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . From the above we have that  $\Gamma u \leq \Gamma \bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}$ . The proof that  $\underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma \underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma u$  is similar.  $\square$

We can prove now our first theorem on the existence of a traveling wave for (1.1). In this result,  $\widehat{L}(\widehat{G}) = (2L_G \|\bar{\rho}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)} + \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|(DG)^c(\bar{\rho}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)})$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G}}^1$  hold and assume  $2 \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i\} c\widehat{L}(\widehat{G})\sqrt{N} < 1$ .*

*Then there exists a nondecreasing traveling wave front solution  $u(\cdot)$  of the problem (1.1) such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = K$ .*

*Proof.* To begin, we select  $M > 0$  large enough such that

$$(2.11) \quad 2(\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i \beta_i K_i\} + \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i K_i\} L_F + \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i\} c\widehat{L}(\widehat{G})M)\sqrt{N} < M.$$

Since the sets of functions  $\{\beta_i u_i : u \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M, i = 1, \dots, N\}$  and  $\{s \rightarrow H_i^c(u_s) : u \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M, i = 1, \dots, N, H_i = F_i, \lambda_{1,i}, cG_i^c, \lambda_{2,i} cG_i^c\}$  are bounded in  $C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$ , from Lemma 2.2 we have that the map  $\Gamma : U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M \subset C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  defined by

(2.7)-(2.10) is completely continuous. To prove that  $\Gamma(U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M) \subset U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ , we use the decomposition  $\Gamma u = \sum_{j=1}^3 (\Upsilon^j u)_i$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (\Upsilon^1 u)_i(t) &= \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} F_i^c(u_s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} F_i^c(u_s) ds, \\ (\Upsilon^2 u)_i(t) &= \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} \beta_i u_i(s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} \beta_i u_i(s) ds, \\ (\Upsilon^3 u)_i(t) &= \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} \lambda_{1,i} c G_i^c(u_s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} \lambda_{2,i} c G_i^c(u_s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ . Using that  $u \leq \bar{\rho} \leq K$  and  $F(0) = 0$ , from Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Upsilon^2 u)'_i(t)| &\leq \theta_i \beta_i K_i (-\lambda_{1,i} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} ds + \lambda_{2,i} \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} ds) \leq 2\theta_i \beta_i K_i, \\ |(\Upsilon^1 u)'_i(t)| &\leq \theta_i L_F K_i (-\lambda_{1,i} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} ds + \lambda_{2,i} \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} ds) \leq 2\theta_i L_F K_i. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $|(\Upsilon^j u)'_i(t)|$ , for  $j = 3, i = 1, \dots, N$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|c(DG)^c(u_i)\|_{\mathcal{L}(C([-c\tau; 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq \|c(DG)^c(u_t) - c(DG)^c(\bar{\rho}_t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(C([-c\tau; 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\quad + c\|(DG)^c(\bar{\rho}_t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(C([-c\tau; 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq cL_G 2\|\bar{\rho}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)} + c \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|(DG)^c(\bar{\rho}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(C([-c\tau; 0]; \mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{R}^N)} = c\widetilde{L(G)}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $c\|((DG)^c(u_t))^c(u'_i)\|_{\mathbb{R}^N} \leq c\widetilde{L(G)}M$ . Using now Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Upsilon^3 u)'_i(t)| &\leq -\theta_i \lambda_{1,i} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} |c((DG_i)^c(u_s))^c(u'_s)| ds \\ &\quad + \theta_i \lambda_{2,i} \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} |c((DG_i)^c(u_s))^c(u'_s)| ds \\ &\leq -\theta_i \lambda_{1,i} c\widetilde{L(G)}M \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} ds + \theta_i \lambda_{2,i} c\widetilde{L(G)}M \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq 2c\theta_i \widetilde{L(G)}M. \end{aligned}$$

From the above estimates and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma u\|'(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 (\Upsilon^j u)'_i(t) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^N (2\theta_i \beta_i K_i + 2\theta_i L_F K_i + 2\theta_i c\widetilde{L(G)}M)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (2.12) \quad &\leq 2 \left( \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i \beta_i K_i\} + \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i K_i\} L_F + \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i\} c\widetilde{L(G)}M \right) \sqrt{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows  $\|\Gamma u\|'(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, from Lemma 2.7 we have that  $\Gamma u$  is nondecreasing and  $\underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma u \leq \bar{\rho}$ , which complete the proof that  $\Gamma(U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M) \subset U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ .

From the above remarks, there exists  $u \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  such that  $\Gamma u = u$ . Since  $u(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing and  $\underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma u \leq u = \Gamma u \leq \Gamma \bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}$ , we have that  $u_- = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0$ . Moreover, using that  $u'(\cdot)$  is bounded and  $\underline{\rho} \neq 0$ , from Lemma 2.5 we infer that  $F(\widehat{u}_+) = 0$  and  $u_+ = K$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Our result depends on the existence of upper and lower solutions, which is usually a nontrivial problem. Considering this fact and the developments in [16], we introduced the concepts of super and sub-solutions for the problem (1.2).

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $\rho \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called a super solution of (1.2) if there exist numbers  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  such that  $\rho''$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ ,  $\rho'$  and  $\rho''$  are bounded, the function  $t \rightarrow G^c(\rho_t)$  is differentiable a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{D}\rho''(t) - c\rho'(t) + c\frac{d}{dt}G^c(\rho_t) + F^c(\rho_t) \leq 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$ . A sub-solution is defined in the same form by reversing the last inequality.

*Remark 2.1.* Arguing as in the proof of [16, Lemma 2.5], we can prove that if  $\rho$  is a super-solution of (1.2) such that  $\rho'(t^+) \leq \rho'(t^-)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  (resp. if  $\varrho$  is a sub-solution of (1.2) such that  $\varrho'(t^+) \geq \varrho'(t^-)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ), then  $\Gamma\rho$  (resp.  $\Gamma\varrho$ ) is an upper solution (resp. a lower) solution of (1.2). Moreover, from the proof of [16, Lemma 2.5] we also infer that  $\Gamma(\rho) \leq \rho$  ( resp.  $\Gamma(\varrho) \geq \varrho$ ).

**2.2. The nonquasi-monotone case.** To prove the results of this section and considering the results in [21], we introduce the following condition:

$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  There are positive matrix  $\gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ ,  $\zeta = \text{diag}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$  such that  $G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi) \leq 0$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad [F_i^c(\phi) - F_i^c(\psi)] + \gamma_i(\phi(0) - \psi(0)) \geq 0,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \lambda_{2,i}c[G_i^c(\phi) - G_i^c(\psi)] + \zeta_i(\phi_i(0) - \psi_i(0)) \geq 0,$$

for all  $\psi, \phi \in C([-c\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq \phi \leq K$  and the function  $e^{(\gamma+\zeta)(\cdot)}[\phi(\cdot) - \psi(\cdot)]$  is nondecreasing on  $[-c\tau, 0]$ .

*Remark 2.2.* In what follows, for  $v, w \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $s > 0$ , we use the notation  $v^s$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{v,w}$  for the functions  $v^s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{v,w} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  given by  $v^s(t) = v(t+s)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_{v,w})_i(t) = e^{\beta_i t}[v_i(t) - w_i(t)]$ . We also introduce the set

$$(2.15) \quad S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M = \{\phi \in U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M : \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \phi}, \mathcal{L}_{\phi, \underline{\rho}}, \mathcal{L}_{\phi^s, \phi} \text{ are nondecreasing on } \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } s > 0\}.$$

To prove our next theorem we need some additional lemmas.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $u \in S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  and  $s > 0$ . If  $c > 1 - \min\{\beta_i d_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$  and the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  is verified, then  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma u}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma u, \underline{\rho}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma u)^s, \Gamma u}$  are nondecreasing.*

*Proof.* To begin we prove that  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma u)^s, \Gamma u}$  is nondecreasing. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma u)^s, \Gamma u})(t)\right)_i &= e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i(\beta_i + \lambda_{1,i}) \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-\tau)} (\tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau+s) - \tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i(\beta_i + \lambda_{2,i}) \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-\tau)} (\tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau+s) - \tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i(\beta_i + \lambda_{1,i}) \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-\tau)} (\tilde{G}_i^c(u)(\tau+s) - \tilde{G}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i(\beta_i + \lambda_{2,i}) \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-\tau)} (\hat{G}_i^c(u)(\tau+s) - \hat{G}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i(\tilde{G}_i^c(u)(t+s) - \tilde{G}_i^c(u)(t)) \\ &\quad - e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i(\hat{G}_i^c(u)(t+s) - \hat{G}_i^c(u)(t)). \end{aligned}$$



From condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G}}^2$  and the fact that  $\lambda_{1,i}c < 0$ , we have that  $(\tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau + s) - \tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau)) \geq 0$ ,  $(\tilde{G}_i^c(u)(\tau + s) - \tilde{G}_i^c(u)(\tau)) \geq 0$  and  $(\hat{G}_i^c(u)(\tau + s) - \hat{G}_i^c(u)(\tau)) \geq 0$  for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Moreover, since  $c > 1 - \min\{\beta_i d_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ , we note that  $(\beta_i + \lambda_{j,i}) \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , which allows us to conclude that the first four terms in the previous decomposition are nonnegative. In addition, from condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G}}^2$  we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\tilde{G}_i^c(u)(t + s) - \tilde{G}_i^c(u)(t)) - e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\hat{G}_i^c(u)(t + s) - \hat{G}_i^c(u)(t)) \\ = e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_{2,i}) (cG_i^c(u)(t + s) - cG_i^c(u)(t)) \\ = -\frac{ce^{\beta_i t}}{d_i} (G_i^c(u)(t + s) - G_i^c(u)(t)) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above remarks we obtain that  $\frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma u)^s, \Gamma u}(t))_i \geq 0$ , which shows that  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma u)^s, \Gamma u}$  is nondecreasing.

To prove that  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma u}$  is nondecreasing, we note that  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma u} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma \bar{\rho}} + \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma \bar{\rho}, \Gamma u}$  and we show that  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma \bar{\rho}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma \bar{\rho}, \Gamma u}$  are nondecreasing. Arguing as above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma \bar{\rho}, \Gamma u})_i &= e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\beta_i + \lambda_{1,i}) \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-\tau)} (\tilde{F}_i^c(\bar{\rho})(\tau) - \tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\beta_i + \lambda_{2,i}) \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-\tau)} (\tilde{F}_i^c(\bar{\rho})(\tau) - \tilde{F}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\beta_i + \lambda_{1,i}) \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-\tau)} (\tilde{G}_i^c(\bar{\rho})(\tau) - \tilde{G}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} \theta_i (\beta_i + \lambda_{2,i}) \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-\tau)} (\hat{G}_i^c(\bar{\rho})(\tau) - \hat{G}_i^c(u)(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{\beta_i t} c}{d_i} (G_i^c(\bar{\rho})(t) - G_i^c(u)(t)), \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to conclude that  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma \bar{\rho}, \Gamma u}$  is nondecreasing. □

We study now the function  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma \bar{\rho}}$ . Let  $w = \bar{\rho} - \Gamma \bar{\rho}$ . Using that  $\bar{\rho}$  is an upper solution, we have that there exists a nonnegative bounded integrable function  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_N) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\mathcal{D}w''(\xi) - cw'(\xi) - \beta w(\xi) + h(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . From the above, there exist real numbers  $q_i, l_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  such that

$$(2.16) \quad w_i(t) = p_i e^{\lambda_{1,i} t} + l_i e^{\lambda_{2,i} t} + \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds.$$

Since the functions  $w_i$  are bounded, we have that  $p_i = l_i = 0$  for all  $i$  and

$$(2.17) \quad w_i(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using this representation, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma \bar{\rho}})_i(t) &= e^{\beta_i t} (\beta_i + \lambda_{1,i}) \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds \\ &\quad + e^{\beta_i t} (\beta_i + \lambda_{2,i}) \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

which permit us to conclude that  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma \bar{\rho}}$  is nondecreasing and completes the proof that  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, \Gamma u}$  is nondecreasing.

Arguing as above and using that  $\underline{\rho}$  is a lower solution, we can prove that  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma u, \Gamma \underline{\rho}}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma \underline{\rho}, \underline{\rho}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma u, \underline{\rho}}$  are nondecreasing. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The proof of the next lemma follows from the proof of [21, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma 2.9.** *Assume  $c > 1 - \min\{\beta_i d_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$  and the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  is verified. If  $u \in S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ , then  $\underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma \underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma u \leq \Gamma \bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}$  and  $\Gamma u$  is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\rho}, u}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{u^s, u}$  are nondecreasing, from the proof of [21, Lemma 4.1 (ii),(iii)] it follows that  $H(\underline{\rho}) \leq H(u) \leq H(\bar{\rho})$  and  $H(u)$  is nondecreasing for  $H = \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \hat{G}$ . From the above and the definition of  $\Gamma$  it is easy to see that  $\Gamma \underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma u \leq \Gamma \bar{\rho}$ . Moreover, from the proof of Lemma 2.8 (see (2.17)) we have that

$$(2.18) \quad \bar{\rho}(t) - \Gamma \bar{\rho}(t) = \theta_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda_{1,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds + \theta_i \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{2,i}(t-s)} h_i(s) ds,$$

where  $h_i(\cdot)$  is a nonnegative bounded integrable function. This implies that  $\Gamma \bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}$ . The proof that  $\underline{\rho} \leq \Gamma \underline{\rho}$  is similar. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the next theorem,  $\widetilde{L}(\widetilde{G})$  is the number introduced in Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $c > 1 - \min\{\beta_i d_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ , the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  is satisfied and  $2 \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i\} c \widetilde{L}(\widetilde{G}) \sqrt{N} < 1$ , then there exists a nondecreasing traveling wave solution  $u(\cdot)$  of (1.1) such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M > 0$  and  $\Gamma : S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M \subset C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow C_g^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. It is easy to see that  $S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  is a closed and convex subset of  $U_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  and from the proof of Theorem 2.1 we infer that  $\|(\Gamma \xi)'\| \leq M$  for all  $\xi \in S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$  and that  $\Gamma$  is completely continuous. Moreover, from Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 it follows that  $\Gamma(S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M) \subset S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ , which implies that  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point  $u \in S_{\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}}^M$ .

From the above,  $u(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing and  $\underline{\rho} \leq u \leq \bar{\rho}$ , which implies that  $u_+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$  exists and  $u_- = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0$ . Finally, since  $u'(\cdot)$  is bounded and  $\underline{\rho} \neq 0$ , from Lemma 2.5 we obtain that  $F(\hat{u}_+) = 0$  and  $u_+ = K$ .  $\square$

### 3. EXAMPLES

In this section we present some examples motivated by ordinary neutral differential equations arising in population dynamic; see [2, 5, 6, 11–13]. For sake of simplicity, we assume  $N = d = 1$  and  $\eta$  is a positive number. To begin, we study the neutral problem

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt}[u(t, x) + \eta u(t - \tau, x)] = \Delta u(t, x) + u(t, x)(1 - u(t - \tau, x)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To study this problem, we consider the equation

$$(3.2) \quad w''(t) - cw'(t) - \eta cw'(t - \tau) + w(t)[1 - w(t - \tau c)] = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

submitted to the condition

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 1.$$

Let  $F^c(\cdot)$  and  $G^c(\cdot)$  be given by  $F^c(\phi) = \phi(0)[1 - \phi(-\tau c)]$  and  $G^c(\phi) = -\eta \phi(-\tau c)$ . Next, we study the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  and we construct a super- and a sub-solution.

If  $\phi, \psi$  are the function in condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$ , we note that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi) &= -\eta(\phi(-\tau c) - \psi(-\tau c)) \leq 0, \\ F^c(\phi) - F^c(\psi) &\geq (\phi(0) - \psi(0))(1 - \phi(-\tau c) - \psi(0)e^{\beta\tau c}) \\ (3.5) \quad &\geq -(\phi(0) - \psi(0))e^{\beta\tau c}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) we have that (2.13) is satisfied if  $\gamma - e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ . For simplicity, we take  $c > 2$ ,  $\zeta = \gamma > 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma + \zeta$  and we assume  $\tau$  small so that  $\frac{\beta}{2} - e^{\beta\tau c} = \gamma - e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda_{1,1} = \frac{c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta}}{2}$  and  $\lambda_{2,1} = \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta}}{2}$ , we suppose  $\eta > 0$  small such that  $\frac{\beta}{2} - \lambda_{2,1}c\eta e^{\beta\tau c} = \zeta - \lambda_{2,1}c\eta e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ . Under these conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{2,1}c[G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi)] + \zeta(\phi(0) - \psi(0)) \\ = -\lambda_{2,1}c\eta[\phi(-\tau c) - \psi(-\tau c)] + \zeta(\phi(0) - \psi(0)) \\ \geq (-\lambda_{2,1}c\eta e^{\beta\tau c} + \frac{\beta}{2})(\phi(0) - \psi(0)) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above remarks we have that the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  is satisfied.

To obtain an upper and a lower solution, we construct a super-solution  $\rho$  and sub-solution  $\varrho$  such that  $\rho'(t^+) \leq \rho'(t^-)$  and  $\varrho'(t^+) \geq \varrho'(t^-)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ; see Remark 2.1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - (c + \eta ce^{-\tau c \lambda})\lambda + 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = \frac{c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4}}{2}$ . Since  $f(\lambda_1) = -\eta ce^{-\tau c \lambda_1} < 0$  and  $f(0) = 1$ , there exists  $\vartheta_1 \in (0, \lambda_1)$  such that  $f(\vartheta_1) = 0$ . Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $\rho(t) = \min\{e^{\vartheta_1 t}, 1\}$ . For  $t \leq 0$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho''(t) - c\rho'(t) - \eta c\rho'(t - \tau c) + F(\rho_t) \\ = e^{\vartheta_1 t}[\vartheta_1^2 - (c + \eta ce^{-\tau c \vartheta_1})\vartheta_1 + 1] - \rho(t)\rho(t - \tau c) \\ = -\rho(t)\rho(t - \tau c) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which permit us to conclude that  $\rho$  is a super-solution.

We now construct a sub-solution. Noting that  $2\vartheta_1 - c < 2\lambda_1 - c < 0$  and assuming  $\eta$  small enough, we have that  $f'(\vartheta_1) = 2\vartheta_1 - c + \eta c(\vartheta_1 \tau c - 1)e^{-\vartheta_1 \tau c} < 0$ . In this case, we select  $\vartheta_1 > \varepsilon > 0$  small and  $M > 1$  large such that  $f(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) < 0$  and  $-Mf(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) - 1 > 0$ . Let  $\varrho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $\varrho(t) = \max\{e^{\vartheta_1 t}(1 - Me^{\varepsilon t}), 0\}$  and  $t^* < 0$  such that  $\varrho(t^*) = 0$ . For  $t \leq t^*$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho''(t) - c\varrho'(t) - \eta c\varrho'(t - \tau c) + F^c(\varrho_t) \\ = e^{\vartheta_1 t}[\vartheta_1^2 - c\vartheta_1 + 1] - Me^{(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)t}[(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - c(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) + 1] \\ + [-\eta c\vartheta_1 e^{\vartheta_1 t} e^{-\vartheta_1 \tau c} + M\eta c(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)e^{(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)t} e^{-(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)\tau c}] - \varrho(t)\varrho(t - \tau c) \\ \geq e^{\vartheta_1 t}[\vartheta_1^2 - (c + \eta ce^{-\vartheta_1 \tau c})\vartheta_1 + 1] \\ - Me^{(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)t}[(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - (c + \eta ce^{-(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)\tau c})(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) + 1] \\ - e^{2\vartheta_1 t} e^{-\vartheta_1 \tau c} (1 - Me^{\varepsilon t})(1 - Me^{\varepsilon(t - \tau c)}) \\ \geq -Me^{(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)t}[(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - (c + \eta ce^{-(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)\tau c})(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) + 1] - e^{2\vartheta_1 t} e^{-\vartheta_1 \tau c} \\ \geq e^{(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)t}[-Mf(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) - 1] \geq e^{(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon)t}[-Mf(\vartheta_1 + \varepsilon) - 1] \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,  $\varrho$  is a sub-solution. Moreover, it is easy to see that  $0 \leq \varrho \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\rho'(t^+) \leq \rho'(t^-)$  and  $\varrho'(t^+) \geq \varrho'(t^-)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , which implies that there exists an upper and a lower solution  $\bar{\rho}, \underline{\rho}$  verifying the general assumptions in Section 2.

From the above and Theorem 2.2, we have the next result. In this result, the condition  $\frac{c\eta}{\sqrt{c^2+4\beta}} < 1$  is concerning the inequality  $2 \max_{i=1,\dots,N} \{\theta_i\} cL(G)\sqrt{N} < 1$  in Theorem 2.2.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\zeta = \gamma > 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma + \zeta$ ,  $c > 2$  and assume  $\tau, \eta$  are small enough such that  $\beta - 2e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ ,  $\beta - 2\lambda_{2,1}\eta ce^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$  and  $\frac{c\eta}{\sqrt{c^2+4\beta}} < 1$ . Then there exists a nondecreasing traveling wave front solution of (3.1) satisfying (3.3).*

In the next example we study the existence of a traveling wave for the problem

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}[u(t, x) + \eta u(t, x)u(t - \tau, x)] = \Delta u(t, x) + u(t)[1 - u(t - \tau, x)], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To this end, we study the equation

$$(3.7) \quad w''(t) - cw'(t) - \eta c(w(t)w(t - \tau))' + w(t)[1 - w(t - \tau c)] = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

submitted to the condition (3.3). Next,  $F^c(\cdot)$  is the function introduced in the first example and  $G^c(\cdot)$  is given by  $G^c(\psi) = -\eta\psi(0)\psi(-\tau c)$ .

Let  $\gamma = \zeta > 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma + \zeta$  and  $c > 2$ , and assume  $\tau, \eta$  small enough such that  $\beta - 2e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$  and  $\beta - 2\lambda_{2,1}c\eta(1 + e^{\beta\tau c}) \geq 0$ . From the first example, we infer that the inequality (2.13) is satisfied. Moreover, if  $\phi, \psi$  are the functions in condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} [G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi)] &= -\eta[(\phi(0) - \psi(0))\phi(-\tau c) + \psi(0)(\phi(-\tau c) - \psi(-\tau c))] \leq 0. \\ c[G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi)] &\geq -\eta c[(\phi(0) - \psi(0))\phi(-\tau c) + \psi(0)e^{\beta\tau c}(\phi(0) - \psi(0))] \\ &\geq -\eta c(\phi(0) - \psi(0))(\phi(-\tau c) + \psi(0)e^{\beta\tau c}) \\ &\geq -\eta c(\phi(0) - \psi(0))(1 + e^{\beta\tau c}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (2.14) is verified since  $\beta - 2c\lambda_{2,1}\eta(1 + e^{\beta\tau c}) \geq 0$ . From the above we have that the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  is satisfied. Next, we construct a super- and a sub-solution.

Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\rho(t) = \min\{e^{\lambda_1 t}, 1\}$ . For  $t \geq 0$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho''(t) - c\rho'(t) - ac(\rho(t)\rho(t - \tau c))' + F(\rho_t) \\ \leq d\rho''(t) - c\rho'(t) + F(\rho_t) = e^{\lambda_1 t}[d\lambda_1^2 - c\lambda_1 + 1] - \rho(t)\rho(t - \tau c) \\ = -\rho(t)\rho(t - \tau c) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,  $\rho$  is a super-solution of (3.7).

Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $g(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - c\lambda + 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_1$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \leq \frac{c}{2}$  and  $g(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) < 0$ . Let  $M > 1$  such that  $-Mg(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) > 1$ ,  $\varrho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function given by  $\varrho(t) = \max\{e^{\lambda_1 t}(1 - Me^{\varepsilon t}), 0\}$  and  $t^* < 0$  such that  $\varrho(t^*) = 0$ . For  $t \leq t^*$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[-\eta c\varrho(t)\varrho(t - \tau c)] &\geq \eta c[-2\lambda_1 e^{2\lambda_1 t} e^{-\lambda_1 \tau c} - 2M^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)\tau c}] \\ &\geq \eta c[-2M^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{2\lambda_1 t} - 2M^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{(2\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}] \\ &= -2\eta c(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)M^2(e^{2\lambda_1 t} + e^{(2\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}) \\ &\geq -4\eta cM^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{2\lambda_1 t}, \end{aligned}$$

$$-\varrho(t)\varrho(t - \tau c) = -e^{\lambda_1 t}(1 - Me^{\varepsilon t})e^{\lambda_1(t - \tau c)}(1 - Me^{\varepsilon(t - \tau c)}) \geq -e^{(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}e^{(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon)t}.$$

From the above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varrho''(t) - c\varrho'(t) - [\eta c\varrho(t)\varrho(t - c\tau)]' + F^c(\varrho t) \\ &\geq e^{\lambda_1 t}[\lambda_1^2 - c\lambda_1 + 1] - e^{(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}M[(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - c(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) + 1] \\ &\quad - 4\eta cM^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{2\lambda_1 t} - \varrho(t)\varrho(t - \tau c) \\ &\geq -e^{(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}M[(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - c(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) + 1] - 4\eta cM^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{2\lambda_1 t} \\ &\quad - e^{(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}e^{(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon)t} \\ &\geq e^{(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)t}[-Mg(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) - 4\eta cM^2(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)e^{(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon)t} - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\eta$  is sufficiently small such that  $-Mg(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) - 1 - 4\eta cM^2(\varepsilon + \lambda_1) > 0$ , we have that  $\varrho$  is a sub-solution of (3.7). Moreover, we note that  $0 \leq \varrho \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\rho'(t^+) \leq \rho'(t^-)$  and  $\varrho'(t^+) \geq \varrho'(t^-)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The next result follows from Theorem 2.2. In this result, the condition  $\frac{12c\eta}{\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta}} < 1$  is equivalent to the inequality  $2 \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \{\theta_i\} cL(\widetilde{G})\sqrt{N} < 1$  in Theorem 2.2.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\zeta > 1$ ,  $\gamma = \zeta$ ,  $\beta = \gamma + \zeta$  and  $c > 2$ . Let  $M$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\varepsilon$  be defined as above. Assume  $\tau, \eta$  small enough such that  $\beta - 2e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ ,  $\beta - 2\lambda_{2,1}c\eta(1 + e^{\beta\tau c}) \geq 0$ ,  $-Mg(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) - 4\eta c^2M^2(\varepsilon + \lambda_1) > 1$  and  $\frac{12c\eta}{\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta}} < 1$ . Then there exists a traveling wave front of (3.6) satisfying (3.3).*

To finish this section, we study the problem

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt}[u(t, x) - \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)u(t + s, x)ds] = \Delta u(t, x) + u(t)[1 - u(t - \tau, x)],$$

where  $\xi \in L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$  and  $0 < \tau$ . We study this problem via the equation

$$(3.9) \quad w''(t) - cw'(t) + c \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)w(t + cs)ds + w(t)[1 - w(t - \tau c)] = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

submitted to the condition (3.3).

Let  $F^c(\cdot)$  be defined as above and  $G^c(\cdot)$  be given by  $G^c(\phi) = \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)\phi(cs)ds$ . Let  $\gamma = \zeta > 1$ ,  $\beta = \zeta + \gamma, c > 0$  and assume  $\tau$  and  $\|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)}$  are small enough such that  $\beta - 2e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ ,  $\beta - 2\lambda_{2,1}c\|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)}e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_1rc < 1$ . If  $\phi, \psi$  are the functions in condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$ , then

$$(3.10) \quad [G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi)] = \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)[\phi(sc) - \psi(sc)]ds \leq 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda_{2,1}c[G^c(\phi) - G^c(\psi)] \geq -(\phi(0) - \psi(0))\lambda_{2,1}c\|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)}e^{\beta c\tau}.$$

From the above we have that the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}}^2$  is satisfied.

Let  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $h(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - c\lambda + \lambda \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)e^{\lambda sc}ds + 1$ . Since  $h(\lambda_1) = \lambda_1 \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)e^{\lambda_1 sc}ds < 0$  and  $h(0) = 1$ , there exists  $\vartheta_2 \in (0, \lambda_1)$  such that  $h(\vartheta_2) = 0$ . Noting that  $h'(\vartheta_2) \leq (\lambda_1\tau c - 1)\|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)} + 2\lambda_1 - c < 0$ , we can select  $0 < \varepsilon < \vartheta_2$  small and  $M > 0$  large such that  $h(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon) < 0$  and  $-Mh(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon) > 1$ .

Let  $\varrho, \rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\varrho(t) = \max\{e^{\vartheta_2 t} - Me^{(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon)t}, 0\}$ ,  $\rho(t) = \min\{e^{\vartheta_2 t}, 1\}$  and  $t^* < 0$  such that  $\varrho(t^*) = 0$ . It is easy to show that  $\rho$  is a super-solution. In addition, for  $t \leq t^*$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho''(t) - c\varrho'(t) + c\frac{d}{dt}G^c(\varrho)(t) + F^c(\varrho_t) \\ & \geq e^{\vartheta_2 t}[\vartheta_2^2 - c\vartheta_2 + c\vartheta_2 \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)e^{\vartheta_2 s c} ds + 1] - e^{(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon)t}e^{(\vartheta_2 - \varepsilon)t} \\ & \quad - Me^{(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon)t}[(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon)^2 - c(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon) + c(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon) \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(s)e^{(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon)sc} ds + 1] \\ & \geq e^{(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon)t}[-Mh(\vartheta_2 + \varepsilon) - 1] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\varrho(\cdot)$  is a sub-solution.

Proposition 3.3 below is a consequence of Theorem 2.2. We note that the inequality  $\frac{6c\eta}{\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta}} \|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)} < 1$  is related to the inequality in the statement of Theorem 2.2.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\gamma = \zeta > 1$ ,  $\beta = \zeta + \gamma$  and  $c > 2$ . Suppose,  $\beta - 2e^{\beta\tau c} \geq 0$ ,  $\beta - 2\lambda_{2,1}c \|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)} e^{\beta c\tau} \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_1\tau c < 1$  and  $\frac{6c\eta}{\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta}} \|\xi\|_{L^1([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^-)} < 1$ . Then there exists a nondecreasing traveling wave front of (3.8) verifying (3.3).*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the referees and the editor responsible for this paper, for their valuable comments and suggestions. The first author would like to thank York University and Jianhong Wu for the collaboration and great hospitality.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. F. Britton, *Reaction-diffusion equations and their applications to biology*, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London, 1986. MR866143
- [2] Hui Fang and Jibin Li, *On the existence of periodic solutions of a neutral delay model of single-species population growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **259** (2001), no. 1, 8–17, DOI 10.1006/jmaa.2000.7340. MR1836440
- [3] Paul C. Fife, *Mathematical aspects of reacting and diffusing systems*, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, vol. 28, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. MR527914
- [4] R. A. Fisher, *The wave of advance of advantageous gene*, Ann. Eugen. **7** (1937) 355–369
- [5] H. I. Freedman and Yang Kuang, *Some global qualitative analyses of a single species neutral delay differential population model*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **25** (1995), no. 1, 201–215, DOI 10.1216/rmj/1181072278. Second Geoffrey J. Butler Memorial Conference in Differential Equations and Mathematical Biology (Edmonton, AB, 1992). MR1340003
- [6] K. Gopalsamy, *Stability and oscillations in delay differential equations of population dynamics*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 74, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992. MR1163190
- [7] Morton E. Gurtin and A. C. Pipkin, *A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **31** (1968), no. 2, 113–126, DOI 10.1007/BF00281373. MR1553521
- [8] Jack K. Hale, *Partial neutral functional-differential equations*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **39** (1994), no. 4, 339–344. MR1317773
- [9] Warren M. Hirsch, Herman Hanisch, and Jean-Pierre Gabriel, *Differential equation models of some parasitic infections: methods for the study of asymptotic behavior*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), no. 6, 733–753, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160380607. MR812345

- [10] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovskii, and N. Piskunov, *Study of a diffusion equation that is related to the growth of a quality of matter, and its application to a biological problem*, Byul. Mosk. Gos. Univ. Ser. A Mat. Mekh. **1** (1937) 1–26.
- [11] Yang Kuang and Alan Feldstein, *Boundedness of solutions of a nonlinear nonautonomous neutral delay equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **156** (1991), no. 1, 293–304, DOI 10.1016/0022-247X(91)90398-J. MR1102613
- [12] Yang Kuang, *Global stability in one or two species neutral delay population models*, Canad. Appl. Math. Quart. **1** (1993), no. 1, 23–45. MR1226768
- [13] Yang Kuang, *Qualitative analysis of one- or two-species neutral delay population models*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), no. 1, 181–200, DOI 10.1137/0523009. MR1145167
- [14] Yubin Liu and Peixuan Weng, *Asymptotic pattern for a partial neutral functional differential equation*, J. Differential Equations **258** (2015), no. 11, 3688–3741, DOI 10.1016/j.jde.2015.01.016. MR3322982
- [15] Alessandra Lunardi, *On the linear heat equation with fading memory*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990), no. 5, 1213–1224, DOI 10.1137/0521066. MR1062400
- [16] Shiwang Ma, *Traveling wavefronts for delayed reaction-diffusion systems via a fixed point theorem*, J. Differential Equations **171** (2001), no. 2, 294–314, DOI 10.1006/jdeq.2000.3846. MR1818651
- [17] J. D. Murray, *Mathematical biology*, Biomathematics, vol. 19, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. MR1007836
- [18] Jace W. Nunziato, *On heat conduction in materials with memory*, Quart. Appl. Math. **29** (1971), 187–204, DOI 10.1090/qam/295683. MR0295683
- [19] Klaus W. Schaaf, *Asymptotic behavior and traveling wave solutions for parabolic functional-differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (1987), no. 2, 587–615, DOI 10.2307/2000859. MR891637
- [20] Aizik I. Volpert, Vitaly A. Volpert, and Vladimir A. Volpert, *Traveling wave solutions of parabolic systems*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 140, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994. Translated from the Russian manuscript by James F. Heyda. MR1297766
- [21] Jianhong Wu and Xingfu Zou, *Traveling wave fronts of reaction-diffusion systems with delay*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations **13** (2001), no. 3, 651–687, DOI 10.1023/A:1016690424892. MR1845097
- [22] Jianhong Wu and Xingfu Zou, *Erratum to: “Traveling wave fronts of reaction-diffusion systems with delay” [J. Dynam. Differential Equations **13** (2001), no. 3, 651–687; MR1845097]*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations **20** (2008), no. 2, 531–533, DOI 10.1007/s10884-007-9090-1. MR2385719
- [23] Jianhong Wu and Huaxing Xia, *Self-sustained oscillations in a ring array of coupled lossless transmission lines*, J. Differential Equations **124** (1996), no. 1, 247–278, DOI 10.1006/jdeq.1996.0009. MR1368068
- [24] J. Wu and H. Xia, *Rotating waves in neutral partial functional-differential equations*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations **11** (1999), no. 2, 209–238, DOI 10.1023/A:1021973228398. MR1695243
- [25] Xingfu Zou and Jianhong Wu, *Existence of traveling wave fronts in delayed reaction-diffusion systems via the monotone iteration method*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 9, 2589–2598, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-97-04080-X. MR1415345

DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTAÇÃO E MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE FILOSOFIA CIÊNCIAS E LETRAS DE RIBEIRÃO PRETO UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, CEP 14040-901 RIBEIRÃO PRETO, SP, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* lalohm@ffclrp.usp.br

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M3J 1P3

*E-mail address:* wujh@mathstat.yorku.ca