# RHOMBIC TILINGS AND BOTT-SAMELSON VARIETIES 

LAURA ESCOBAR, OLIVER PECHENIK, BRIDGET EILEEN TENNER, AND ALEXANDER YONG

(Communicated by Patricia Hersh)


#### Abstract

S. Elnitsky (1997) gave an elegant bijection between rhombic tilings of $2 n$-gons and commutation classes of reduced words in the symmetric group on $n$ letters. P. Magyar (1998) found an important construction of the Bott-Samelson varieties introduced by H. C. Hansen (1973) and M. Demazure (1974). We explain a natural connection between S. Elnitsky's and P. Magyar's results. This suggests using tilings to encapsulate Bott-Samelson data (in type A). It also indicates a geometric perspective on S. Elnitsky's bijection. We also extend this construction by assigning desingularizations of Schubert varieties to the zonotopal tilings considered by B. Tenner (2006).


## 1. Introduction

Let $X=\operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ be the variety of complete flags $\mathbb{C}^{0} \subset F_{1} \subset F_{2} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the variety $X$ by change of basis, as does its subgroup $B$ of invertible upper triangular matrices and its maximal torus $T$ of invertible diagonal matrices. The T-fixed points are in bijection with permutations $w$ in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ : they are the flags $F_{\bullet}^{(w)}$ defined by $F_{k}^{(w)}=\left\langle\vec{e}_{w(1)}, \vec{e}_{w(2)}, \ldots, \vec{e}_{w(k)}\right\rangle$, where $\vec{e}_{i}$ is the $i$-th standard basis vector. The Schubert variety $X_{w}$ is the B -orbit closure of $F_{\bullet}^{(w)}$.

There is longstanding interest in singularities of Schubert varieties; see, for example, the text by S. Billey-V. Lakshmibai BL00. Famously, H. C. Hansen Han73 and M. Demazure Dem74 independently presented (in all Lie types) resolutions of singularities $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)}$ of $X_{w}$, one for each reduced word $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ of w. M. Demazure called these resolutions Bott-Samelson varieties in reference to a related construction of R. Bott-H. Samelson [BS55]. In more recent work, P. Magyar Mag98 found an important description of Bott-Samelson varieties.

We propose a canonical connection between P. Magyar's work and the rhombic tilings of S. Elnitsky Eln97. P. Magyar Mag98, §1.3] describes a Bott-Samelson variety as a subvariety of a product of Grassmannians determined by some incidence relations among the vector spaces. Our description in terms of rhombic tilings gives an alternate description of the points in this variety. In this way, tilings graphically encapsulate Bott-Samelson data.

[^0]Other ways to present Bott-Samelsons via combinatorial diagrams have been previously described, such as X. Viennot's heaps Vie89; see also N. Perrin's Per07, and B. Jones-A. Woo's JW13]. In addition, R. Vakil Vak06] introduces quilts to describe particular Bott-Samelson resolutions of certain Schubert varieties; these quilts can be seen as deformations of certain Elnitsky tilings. Our connection to the work of Elnitsky however is new and leads to natural generalizations.


Figure 1. The rhombic tiling picture of Bott-Samelson varieties, for the polygon E (7456312)

In order to state the main result of this work, we must introduce the primary objects and notation. We do this briefly, for now, postponing a more thorough treatment to Section 2,

Given a permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, the Elnitsky $\mathbf{2 n}$-gon $\mathrm{E}(w)$ has sides labeled, in order, by $1,2, \ldots, n, w(n), w(n-1), \ldots, w(1)$, in which the first $n$ sides form half of a regular $2 n$-gon, and sides with equal labels are parallel and congruent. Figure 1 shows the Elnitsky 14 -gon for the permutation $7456312 \in \mathfrak{S}_{7}$, without edge labels, and this example will be referenced later in this work.

Let $\mathcal{T}(w)$ be the set of rhombic tilings of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ in which the rhombi's edges are parallel and congruent to edges of $\mathrm{E}(w)$. The main result of S. Elnitsky's aforementioned work is that the set $\mathcal{T}(w)$ is in bijection with the commutation classes of reduced words for $w$ Eln97, Theorem 2.2].

For a tiling $T \in \mathcal{T}(w)$, we introduce the notion of a $T$-flag of subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Starting with the vertex between the edges labeled 1 and $w(1)$, label the vertices of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ in clockwise order by

$$
H_{0}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}, G_{n-1}, G_{n-2}, \ldots, G_{1}
$$

A $T$-flag is an assignment $\mathcal{V}$ of a linear subspace $V_{x} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ to each vertex $x$ in the tiling $T$, subject to the following conditions:

- the dimension of $V_{x}$ is the minimal path length from $H_{0}$ to $x$ along tile edges;
- $V_{H_{i}}$ is the span of the first $i$ standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$; and
- for adjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ in $T$ with $y$ further from $H_{0}$, we have $V_{x} \subset V_{y}$. (In Figure 1, we have only labeled the external vertices, identifying $V_{H_{i}}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{i}$.) Now we define a parameter space $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ for $T$-flags by

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{T}:=\left\{\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)}: \mathcal{V} \text { is a } T \text {-flag }\right\} \subset \prod_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)} \operatorname{Gr}_{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{x}\right)}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Gr}_{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the Grassmannian of $k$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Define the map $\pi: \mathcal{Z}_{T} \rightarrow X$ by forgetting all vector spaces except those associated to the vertices $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n-1}$. In our example, $\pi$ maps the point depicted in Figure to the complete flag

$$
\mathbb{C}^{0} \subset V_{G_{1}} \subset V_{G_{2}} \subset V_{G_{3}} \subset V_{G_{4}} \subset V_{G_{5}} \subset V_{G_{6}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{7}
$$

The following theorem suggests a Schubert-geometric interpretation of tilings of Elnitsky polygons.

Theorem 1.1. For $T \in \mathcal{T}(w), \mathcal{Z}_{T}$ is a Bott-Samelson variety, i.e., a desingularization $\pi: \mathcal{Z}_{T} \rightarrow X_{w}$. Conversely, every Bott-Samelson variety $B S^{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ for some $T \in \mathcal{T}(w)$, where $w=s_{i_{1}} \ldots s_{i_{\ell}(w)}$ and $T$ is given in an explicit manner by Eln97, Theorem 2.2].

A feature of this construction is that it extends naturally to the more general zonotopal tilings of the Elnitsky $2 n$-gon studied in Ten06. That is, for each such zonotopal tiling $Z$, we construct in Section 4 an analogous parameter space $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ of $Z$-flags that is again a desingularization of the appropriate Schubert variety. To the best of our knowledge, these generalized Bott-Samelson varieties have not previously appeared in the literature. They can be seen in some sense as interpolating between Bott-Samelson resolutions.

As promised, we devote Section 2 to a discussion of the relevant results of Elnitsky and Magyar. The reader who is already familiar with these objects may choose to skip ahead to Section 3, where we prove Theorem 1.1. The remainder of this paper concerns other Bott-Samelson data encoded by tilings. In Section 4 , we explain how the hexagon flips of [Eln97, Section 3] may be interpreted geometrically. This naturally leads to consideration of zonotopal tilings and generalized Bott-Samelsons. We collect some additional discussion in Section [5] in particular, we explain how coloring rhombi of a tiling describes T-fixed points as well as a standard stratification of a Bott-Samelson variety.

## 2. Background

2.1. Elnitsky's polygon. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ can be generated by the simple reflections $\left\{s_{i}: 1 \leq i<n\right\}$, where $s_{i}$ is the permutation transposing $i$ and $i+1$, while leaving all other elements fixed. Simple reflections satisfy the Coxeter relations
(Cox.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { Cox.1) } & s_{i}^{2} & =e ; \\
(\text { Cox.2) } & s_{i} s_{j} & =s_{j} s_{i}, \text { when }|i-j|>1 ; \text { and } \\
(\text { Cox.3) } & s_{i} s_{i+1} s_{i} & =s_{i+1} s_{i} s_{i+1}, \text { when } i<n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ can be written (in infinitely many ways) as a product of simple reflections.

The fewest simple reflections needed to represent a permutation $w$ is the length of $w$, denoted $\ell(w)$. A realization of $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ as a product of exactly $\ell(w)$ simple reflections is a reduced word for $w$. The collection of all reduced words for $w$ is denoted $R(w)$.

Example 2.1. It is quick to see that

$$
s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{1}=s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} s_{3}=s_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}=3241 \in \mathfrak{S}_{4}
$$

and that no shorter product can produce this permutation. Thus $\ell(3241)=4$ and

$$
R(3241)=\left\{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{1}, s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} s_{3}, s_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right\} .
$$

Note that although $s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} s_{3} s_{1} s_{1}=3241$ as well, this product has more than four terms and so is not a reduced word for 3241.

Two reduced words for $w$ are commutation equivalent, denoted $\sim$, if they can be obtained from each other using only the second relation (Cox.2) listed above. This notion yields an equivalence relation on the set $R(w)$ of reduced words for $w$, and we write $C(w):=R(w) / \sim$ for the set of commutation classes defined by this equivalence.

Example 2.2. Because $s_{3} s_{1}=s_{1} s_{3}$, we have

$$
C(3241)=\left\{\left\{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{1}, s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} s_{3}\right\},\left\{s_{2} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}\right\}\right\} .
$$

In Eln97, Theorem 2.2], Elnitsky gave a bijection between the commutation classes $C(w)$ of reduced words for any $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and the rhombic tilings of a particular $2 n$-gon $\mathrm{E}(w)$. As described in Section 1 the Elnitsky $2 n$-gon $\mathrm{E}(w)$ is a polygon with sides labeled, in order, by $1,2, \ldots, n, w(n), w(n-1), \ldots, w(1)$. The first half of these labels form half of a regular $2 n$-gon, and the remaining sides are oriented so that sides with the same label are parallel and congruent. The set $\mathcal{T}(w)$ consists of the rhombic tilings of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ in which all internal edges are also parallel and congruent to edges of $\mathrm{E}(w)$. It is not hard to see that each $T \in T(w)$ consists of exactly $\ell(w)$ rhombi.

The specific orientation of $\mathbf{E}(w)$ does not matter. In fact, one could allow the first $n$ edges to form half of any convex $2 n$-gon, regardless of angles and side lengths, so long as edges with the same labels are always parallel and congruent, and interior edges in any rhombic tiling are also parallel and congruent to the edges of $\mathrm{E}(w)$.

In this paper, we will orient our polygons so that the "first" edge, labeled 1, is horizontal and at the bottom of the picture, and the "next" edges are labeled in clockwise order. (Note that this is a reflection of the orientation depicted in Eln97.) We will refer to this particular ordering of the edges, where the edges labeled $1,2, \ldots, n$ are the first $n$ edges, in the proof of Theorem [2.4. The vertex between the edges labeled by 1 and $w(1)$ (that is, the node at the counterclockwise end of the first edge) will be called the source.

Example 2.3. The Elnitsky 8-gon $\mathrm{E}(3241)$ appears in Figure 2 (a), and the two elements of $\mathcal{T}$ (3241) appear in Figure 2(b). Note that, just as $|C(3241)|=2$, we have $|\mathcal{T}(3241)|=2$ as well.

We now state the main result of Elnitsky's work. In later discussions, it will be helpful to understand his bijection between tilings and commutation classes, so we briefly present his bijection here. Details of this argument can be found in Eln97.
(a)

(b)


Figure 2. The Elnitsky 8-gon for $3241 \in \mathfrak{S}_{4}$, and its two rhombic tilings. In each figure, the source has been marked with a black dot. For clarity, we use a square to mark the vertex that is halfway around the 8 -gon from the source.

Theorem 2.4 (Eln97, Theorem 2.2]). For any permutation $w$, there is a bijection between the rhombic tilings $\mathcal{T}(w)$ and the reduced word commutation classes $C(w)$.

Proof. Fix $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$.
Consider a tiling $T \in \mathcal{T}(w)$ in which the edges of $T$ that coincide with edges of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ inherit the labels of those edges, and we label the interior edges of $T$ so that parallel edges have the same labels. Let $B_{0}$ be the base boundary of $\mathrm{E}(w)$, formed by the first $n$ edges of the polygon. Pick any rhombus $R_{1}$ of $T$ that shares two edges with $B_{0}$. Set $i_{1}:=d_{1}+1$, where $d_{1}$ is the distance from the source to $R_{1}$, i.e., the least number of edges between the source and a vertex of $R_{1}$. Remove $R_{1}$ and define a new boundary, $B_{1}$, from $B_{0}$ by using the other two edges of $R_{1}$ instead. Now repeat this process: pick any rhombus $R_{2}$ that shares two edges with $B_{1}$; set $i_{2}:=d_{2}+1$, where $d_{2}$ is the minimum distance from the source to $R_{2}$; remove $R_{2}$ and form a new boundary $B_{2}$. Iterating this process an additional $\ell(w)-2$ times produces $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)$, for which $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ represents a commutation class of reduced words for $w$.

For the other direction of the bijection, consider $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)$ representing a commutation class for $w$ (that is, $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots$ is a reduced word for $w$ ). From this, we construct an ordered tiling of $\mathrm{E}(w)$, as follows. For $k \geq 1$, set $w^{(k)}:=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{k}}$. For $1 \leq k \leq \ell(w)$, the values $w^{(k)}\left(i_{k}\right)$ and $w^{(k)}\left(i_{k}+1\right)$ label adjacent edges of the boundary $B_{k-1}$. Place a rhombus, $R_{k}$, so that two of its edges coincide with the edges labeled $w^{(k)}\left(i_{k}\right)$ and $w^{(k)}\left(i_{k}+1\right)$ in $B_{k-1}$, and define the new boundary $B_{k}$ from $B_{k-1}$ by using the other two edges of $R_{k}$.

The procedure in one direction of Elnitsky's bijection is, perhaps, best understood through a large example. After this, we will return to our smaller example, the permutation $3241 \in \mathfrak{S}_{4}$.

Example 2.5. Consider the tiling $T \in \mathcal{T}$ (7456312) depicted in Figure 1 . One way to select the rhombi $\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ described in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is shown in Figure 3, where we have recorded only the subscript $k$ of the rhombus $R_{k}$. The labeling in this figure represents the commutation class of the reduced word

$$
s_{3} s_{4} s_{2} s_{5} s_{6} s_{5} s_{3} s_{4} s_{3} s_{2} s_{1} s_{5} s_{2} s_{3} s_{6} s_{4} s_{5}
$$

for the permutation 7456312. Any other such labeling of these tiles would produce a different, but commutation equivalent, reduced word. For example, the labeling obtained by swapping the selections for $R_{14}$ and $R_{15}$, both of which share two edges with the boundary $B_{15}$, as indicated in Figure 3, produces the commutationequivalent reduced word

$$
s_{3} s_{4} s_{2} s_{5} s_{6} s_{5} s_{3} s_{4} s_{3} s_{2} s_{1} s_{5} s_{2} s_{6} s_{3} s_{4} s_{5}
$$



Figure 3. A labeling of the rhombi in an element of $\mathcal{T}$ (7456312), corresponding to the reduced word $s_{3} s_{4} s_{2} s_{5} s_{6} s_{5} s_{3} s_{4} s_{3} s_{2} s_{1} s_{5} s_{2} s_{3} s_{6} s_{4} s_{5}$ for the permutation 7456312. The boundary $B_{15}$ is indicated by thick line segments.

We conclude this section with a demonstration of Elnitsky's correspondence between rhombic tilings and commutation classes of reduced words.

Example 2.6. The correspondence between rhombic tilings $\mathcal{T}$ (3241) and commutation classes $C(3241)$ is depicted in Figure 4.


Figure 4. Elnitsky's bijection between rhombic tilings and commutations classes, for the permutation $3241 \in \mathfrak{S}_{4}$.
2.2. P. Magyar's description of the Bott-Samelson desingularization. Let Flags $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, j\right)$ denote the variety of partial flags consisting of sequences of subspaces $\mathbb{C}^{0} \subset F_{1} \subset F_{2} \subset \cdots \subset F_{j-1} \subset F_{j+1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{i}\right)=i$. Let $p_{j}: \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, j\right)$ denote the projection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j}\left(\mathbb{C}^{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbb{C}^{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{j-1} \subset F_{j+1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The fiber product of two complete flag varieties with respect to $\operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, j\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \times_{\text {Flags }\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, j\right)} \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\{\left(F_{\bullet}^{1}, F_{\bullet}^{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \mid p_{j}\left(F_{\bullet}^{1}\right)=p_{j}\left(F_{\bullet}^{2}\right)\right\}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. it consists of pairs of complete flags such that $F_{\bullet}^{2}$ agrees with $F_{\bullet}^{1}$ everywhere except possibly on the $j$-th subspace. P. Magyar [Mag98, Theorem 1] proves that the Bott-Samelson variety of $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ is isomorphic as a $B$-variety to the fiber product

$$
\begin{equation*}
B S^{\mathbf{i}}=F_{\bullet}^{(i d)} \times_{\text {Flags }\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, i_{1}\right)} \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times_{\text {Flags }\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, i_{2}\right)} \cdots \times_{\text {Flags }\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, i_{m}\right)} \operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the definition of a Bott-Samelson variety we use in this paper. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced word for $w$. It follows from P. Magyar's isomorphism that the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\mathbf{i}}: B S^{\mathbf{i}} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \\
\left(F_{\bullet}^{(i d)}, F_{\bullet}^{1}, \ldots, F_{\bullet}^{\ell(w)}\right) & \mapsto F_{\bullet}^{\ell(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a desingularization of the Schubert variety $X_{w}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now show that $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ set-theoretically describes the points of $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)}$. P. Magyar Mag98, Theorem 1] describes the points in $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)}$ as lists
$\left(F_{\bullet}^{0}, \ldots, F_{\bullet}^{\ell(w)}\right)$ of $\ell(w)+1$ flags where $F_{\bullet}^{0}$ is the base flag, and such that $F_{\bullet}^{k}$ agrees with $F_{\bullet}^{k-1}$ everywhere except possibly on the $i_{k}$-th subspace. Such a list of flags transparently corresponds in a one-to-one fashion to a point in $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ :

- Given a $T$-flag $\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)}$ let $F_{\bullet}^{0}$ be the base flag which is on the base boundary $B_{0}$ and, in general, $F_{\bullet}^{k}$ be the flag $\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in B_{k}}$. This list of flags is in $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)}$.
- Let $\left(F_{\bullet}^{0}, \ldots, F_{\bullet}^{\ell(w)}\right)$ be a list of flags in $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)}$. Given a vertex $x \in$ $B_{0}$, let $V_{x}=F_{d}^{0}$, where $d$ is the distance from $x$ to $H_{0}$. For $k=1, \ldots, \ell(w)$, given a vertex $x \in B_{k} \backslash B_{k-1}$ let $V_{x}=F_{d}^{k}$, where $d$ is the distance from $x$ to $H_{0}$. Then $\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)}$ is a point in $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$.
Suppose that $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is commutation equivalent to $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)$. It is well known to experts that $B S^{\mathbf{i}}$ and $B S^{\mathbf{j}}$ are isomorphic varieties, but we include a proof for completeness. It suffices to prove this when $\mathbf{j}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k+1}, i_{k}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)$ differs from $\mathbf{i}$ only in positions $k$ and $k+1$. The general result then follows by induction. Now, $\left(F_{\bullet}^{0}, \ldots, F_{\bullet}^{\ell(w)}\right)$ is equivalent to a list of subspaces $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots\right)$ satisfying:
- $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{k}\right)=i_{k} ;$
- $\mathbb{C}^{i_{1}-1} \subset V_{1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{i_{1}+1}$; that is, $V_{1}$ is contained in the $\left(i_{1}+1\right)$-dimensional subspace of $F_{\bullet}^{0}$ and contains the $\left(i_{1}-1\right)$-dimensional subspace of $F_{\bullet}^{0}$;
- $V_{2}$ is contained in the $\left(i_{2}+1\right)$-dimensional subspace of $F_{\bullet}^{1}$ and contains the ( $i_{2}-1$ )-dimensional subspace of $F_{\bullet}^{1}$; and so on.
Since $\left|i_{k+1}-i_{k}\right|>1$, the $\left(i_{k}+1\right)-,\left(i_{k}-1\right)-,\left(i_{k+1}+1\right)$-, and $\left(i_{k+1}-1\right)$-dimensional subspaces of $F_{\bullet}^{k}$ are precisely the subspaces of $F_{\bullet}^{k-1}$ with those dimensions. So if a generic element of $B S^{\mathbf{i}}$ is $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots\right)$, then a generic element of $B S^{\mathbf{j}}$ is $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.V_{k+1}, V_{k}, \ldots\right)$. That is, the isomorphism by switching factors,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{k}: \operatorname{Gr}_{i_{1}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Gr}_{i_{k}} & \left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}_{i_{k+1}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \cdots  \tag{3}\\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{i_{1}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Gr}_{i_{k+1}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}_{i_{k}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \times \cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

restricts to a canonical isomorphism from $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)}$ to $B S^{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k+1}, i_{k}, \ldots\right)}$. In other words, $\mathcal{T}(w)$ indexes Bott-Samelson varieties up to commutation equivalence.

Given $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)$ representing a commutation class for $w$, the inverse map to S. Elnitsky's bijection constructs an ordered tiling $T$ of $\mathrm{E}(w)$. For this $T$, we have that $\mathcal{Z}_{T} \cong B S^{\mathbf{i}}$, as desired. (The incidence relations that we obtain for the vector spaces $\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)}$ are equivalent to those in P. Magyar Mag98, §1.3].)

## 4. Flips and zonotopal tilings

4.1. Flips. Any pair of rhombic tilings of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ is connected by a sequence of hexagon "flips" Eln97, Section 3]. The effect of a single flip is depicted in Figure 5

This flip has a geometric interpretation. Let $T, T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}(w)$ be two rhombic tilings that differ by a single flip. Let $T_{H}$ be the tiling of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ obtained from $T$ (or, equivalently, from $T^{\prime}$ ) by erasing the three internal edges by which $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ differ and placing a hexagonal tile in the flip location. As before, associate vector spaces $V_{x}$ to each vertex $x$ in $T_{H}$, where $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{x}\right)$ equals the distance from $x$ to the source $H_{0}$. The resulting space $\mathcal{Z}_{T_{H}}$ is similar to a Bott-Samelson variety: instead of being $\ell(w)$-fold iterated $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundles over the base flag, we replace three


Figure 5. Two elements of $\mathcal{T}$ (7456312), related by a hexagon flip.
of these $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundles (corresponding to either triple of rhombi in the hexagon) by a Flags $\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$-bundle. (We describe this variety in more detail below.) We then have

where the two maps are the projections determined by forgetting the vector space attached to the internal vertex of the hexagon.
4.2. Zonotopal tilings. The tiling $T_{H}$ described above is a special case of the "zonotopal" tilings of Elnitsky polygons, which were studied by the third author in Ten06. To be precise, a $\mathbf{2}$-zonotope is the projection of a regular $q$-dimensional cube onto the ( 2 -dimensional) plane; equivalently, a 2 -zonotope is a centrally symmetric convex polygon. A zonotopal tiling of a region is a tiling by 2-zonotopes. Figure 6 shows a zonotopal tiling of $\mathrm{E}(87465312)$ using one octagon, three hexagons, and ten rhombi.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$ be the collection of zonotopal tilings of $\mathrm{E}(w)$, in which the tiles (2-zonotopes) have sides of length one and edges parallel to edges of $\mathbf{E}(w)$. Because rhombi are a type of 2-zonotope, we have $\mathcal{T}(w) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$.

Given a zonotopal tiling $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$, we can define its corresponding generalized Bott-Samelson variety $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ by extending the construction from Section 1 , Define a $Z$-flag to be an assignment $\mathcal{V}$ of a linear subspace $V_{x} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ to each vertex $x$ in the zonotopal tiling $Z$, subject to the conditions:

- the dimension of $V_{x}$ is the minimal path length from $H_{0}$ to $x$ along tile edges;
- $V_{H_{i}}$ is the span of the first $i$ standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$; and
- for adjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ in $Z$ with $y$ further from $H_{0}$, we have $V_{x} \subset V_{y}$.

Now $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ is defined to be the parameter space

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{Z}:=\left\{\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(Z)}: \mathcal{V} \text { is a } Z \text {-flag }\right\} \subset \prod_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(Z)} \operatorname{Gr}_{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{x}\right)}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
$$

Let $T$ be a rhombic tiling that refines $Z ; \mathcal{Z}_{T}$ may be constructed as iterated $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundles over a point. In the analogous construction of $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$, for each $2 k$-gon of


Figure 6. A zonotopal tiling for the permutation 87465312
$Z$, we replace $k \mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundles with a $\operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$-bundle. It therefore follows that the variety $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ is smooth of dimension $\ell(w)$. Define $\pi_{Z}: \mathcal{Z}_{Z} \rightarrow X_{w}$ by forgetting all vector spaces except those labeled by the vertices $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n-1}$.

Theorem 4.1. Given a zonotopal tiling $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$, its corresponding generalized Bott-Samelson variety $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ together with the map $\pi_{Z}: \mathcal{Z}_{Z} \rightarrow X_{w}$ is a resolution of singularities.

Proof. Let $\pi_{T}: \mathcal{Z}_{T} \rightarrow X_{w}$ be a Bott-Samelson resolution where $T$ is any rhombic tiling that refines $Z$. By Mag98, Theorem 1], $\pi_{T}$ is birational, so let $\pi_{T}^{\prime}$ be its rational inverse. Let $f: \mathcal{Z}_{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ be the projection determined by forgetting the vector spaces attached to the internal vertices of $T$ that are not vertices of $Z$. Since $f$ is surjective, the image of $\pi_{Z}$ is indeed $X_{w}$, and the following commutative diagram implies that $f \circ \pi_{T}^{\prime}$ is a rational inverse to $\pi_{Z}$ :


It follows that $\pi_{Z}: \mathcal{Z}_{Z} \rightarrow X_{w}$ is also a resolution of singularities.
There are many characteristics of these zonotopal resolutions on which further study is warranted. For example, it would be interesting to have a characterization of those zonotopal tilings $Z$ that give rise to small resolutions.

The zonotopal tilings $\mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$ of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ have a natural poset structure, as studied by the third author in Ten06. The order relation in this poset is given by reverse edge inclusion. Thus the rhombic tilings are the minimal elements in the poset. A pair of rhombic tilings differs by a single hexagon flip if and only if they are covered by a common element. Similarly, one can get a broader sense of how closely two rhombic tilings (equivalently, two commutation classes of reduced words for $w$ ) are
related by determining their least upper bound in this poset. Geometrically, the relations in the poset $\mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$ correspond to the projections $\mathcal{Z}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{Z^{\prime}}$ between two generalized Bott-Samelsons for $X_{w}$.

By [Ten06, Theorem 6.13], the poset of zonotopal tilings of $\mathrm{E}(w)$ has a unique maximal element $\hat{Z}$ exactly in the case that $w$ avoids the patterns 4231, 4312, and 3421. In this case, there is a distinguished $\mathcal{Z}_{\hat{Z}}$ with a projection $\mathcal{Z}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\hat{Z}}$ from every other generalized Bott-Samelson. Such permutations have been enumerated by T. Mansour Man06.

For comparison, consider those Elnitsky polygons all of whose zonotopal tilings contain no hexagonal tiles (equivalently, those polygons with a unique zonotopal tiling). These correspond to 321 -avoiding permutations, which are exactly those whose reduced words contain no long braid moves [BJS93, Theorem 2.1] (see also [Ten17, Section 3] for more general results relating pattern avoidance and reduced words). The unique tiling in this case is a deformation of the skew shape associated to the permutation by considering its Rothe diagram and removing empty rows and columns. A standard filling orders the tilings in the sense of Eln97] (and the final paragraph of the proof of Theorem (1.1).

We now have the following result (cf. [Ele15, Remark 3.1], where this fact for ordinary Bott-Samelsons is noted).

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {zono }}(w)$ and that the number of $2 i$-sided tiles in $Z$ is $t_{i}$, for each $i \geq 1$. Then the Poincaré polynomial of the cohomology ring $H^{\star}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{Z}\right)$ is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\ell(w)} \operatorname{dim} H^{2 k}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{Z}\right) q^{k}=\prod_{i \geq 1}[i]_{q}!^{t_{i}},
$$

where $[i]_{q}:=1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{i-1}$ and $[i]_{q}!:=[i]_{q}[i-1]_{q} \cdots[1]_{q}$.
Proof. The variety $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ is constructed as iterated flag bundles over a point, where $t_{i}$ of the fibrations are by Flags $\left(\mathbb{C}^{i}\right)$. It is a standard fact (following from the Schubert decomposition of Flags $\left.\left(\mathbb{C}^{i}\right)\right)$ that the Poincaré polynomial of $H^{\star}\left(\operatorname{Flags}\left(\mathbb{C}^{i}\right)\right)$ is $[i]_{q}$ ! (indeed, $[i]_{q}$ ! is the ordinary generating function for $\mathfrak{S}_{i}$ with each permutation weighted by Coxeter length). The proposition now follows from the Leray-Hirsch theorem (cf. [Hat02, Theorem 4D.1]).

## 5. Additional discussion

One may reformulate certain results about $B S^{\mathbf{i}}$ in terms of rhombic colorings; we refer to [Esc16, Section 3.2] for background as well as further references.

Proposition 5.1. For $T \in \mathcal{T}(w)$, the $T$-fixed points of $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ (under the diagonal action) are in one-to-one correspondence with bipartitions of the rhombi of $T$.

Before proving this proposition, we remark that the T-fixed points of the generalized Bott-Samelson variety $\mathcal{Z}_{Z}$ corresponding to a zonotopal tiling $Z$ do not correspond to bipartitions of the tiles. The Elnitsky polygon $\mathrm{E}\left(w_{0}\right)$ for $w_{0}=$ $[n, n-1, \ldots, 1]$ is a zonotope. Let $Z_{0}$ be the tiling that only consists of the tile $\mathrm{E}\left(w_{0}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{Z_{0}}$ equals the flag variety Flags $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which has $n$ ! T-fixed points.


Figure 7. A 2-coloring corresponding to a T -fixed point of $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Consider a 2-coloring of the rhombi of $T$ representing the bipartition (as shown in Figure 7). There is a unique way to choose $\left\{V_{x}\right\}_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)}$ such that
(1) each $V_{x}$ is the span of a subset of the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and,
(2) for any rhombus, its two vector spaces of common dimension are the same (resp., different) if the rhombus is light-colored (resp., dark-colored).
Since the T-action is diagonal, if $\left\{V_{x}\right\}_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)}$ is a T-fixed point of $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$, then each $V_{x}$ must be T-fixed, i.e., each $V_{x}$ must be spanned by a subset of the standard basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. Using the required containment relations, we can inductively determine $V_{x}$ for each vertex of $T$ by following an ordering of the rhombi given by a representative of the commutation class of $T$. At a particular colored rhombus, we make the two vector spaces of common dimension the same (resp., different) if the rhombus is light-colored (resp., dark-colored):

$$
V_{b}=V_{a} \oplus\left\langle e_{b}\right\rangle
$$

Conversely, every T-fixed point can be indicated by such a coloring.

Demazure Dem74 used the T-fixed points to prove that the image of $B S^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)}$ under the Bott-Samelson map $\pi$ is indeed the Schubert variety $X_{s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \ldots}$. These fixed points are also useful in the study of moment polytopes of Bott-Samelson varieties. Bott-Samelson varieties are symplectic manifolds and the T-action is Hamiltonian. Therefore $B S^{\mathbf{i}}$ has a moment map $\Phi: B S^{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and, by Ati82, GS82, the image of $\Phi$ is the convex hull of the image under $\Phi$ of the T-fixed points. This polytope is the moment polytope of $B S^{\mathbf{i}}$. In Esc16], the first author studied the moment polytope of the general fiber of the Bott-Samelson resolution. Other uses of the T-fixed points include describing the equivariant cohomology of Bott-Samelson varieties varieties, e.g., Wil06.

These 2-colorings also correspond to a stratification of $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ by smaller BottSamelsons. The unique smallest stratum corresponds to the all-light coloring, whereas the unique largest stratum corresponds to the all-dark one.

Proposition 5.2. Given a 2-coloring $C$ of the rhombi of $T$, let
$\mathcal{S}(C):=\left\{\left(V_{x}\right)_{x \in \operatorname{Vert}(T)} \mid V_{x}=V_{y}\right.$ if the rhombus containing $x$ and $y$ is light-colored $\}$.
The variety $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$ is stratified by the $\mathcal{S}(C)$ for any 2 -coloring $C$ of $T$, and each $\mathcal{S}(C)$ is a Bott-Samelson variety.

Proof. To verify that the $\mathcal{S}(C)$ give a stratification we must check that they are varieties, their union equals $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$, and the intersection of two of these varieties is the union of finitely many $\mathcal{S}(C)$. Let $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)$ be a reduced word corresponding to $T$, as constructed in Theorem [2.4. A 2-coloring $C$ corresponds to the subword $\mathbf{j}$ of $\mathbf{i}$ that uses only the entries of $\mathbf{i}$ coming from dark-colored rhombi. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{S}(C)$ is isomorphic to $B S^{\mathbf{j}}$, so the proposed sets are varieties. Since the coloring $C_{\text {dark }}$ with all tiles dark-colored gives the stratum $\mathcal{S}\left(C_{\text {dark }}\right)=\mathcal{Z}_{T}$, the union of all the strata equals $\mathcal{Z}_{T}$. Finally, given two colorings $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, let $C \wedge C^{\prime}$ be the coloring obtained by making a rhombus light-colored if the rhombus is light-colored in either $C$ or $C^{\prime}$. We then have that $\mathcal{S}(C) \cap \mathcal{S}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(C \wedge C^{\prime}\right)$.

This stratification is used by R. Vakil (together with similar diagrams) Vak06, for example, to study certain degenerations of Richardson varieties in Grassmannians.

In Eln97, the author extends his main construction to the other Weyl groups of classical Lie type. We suspect that these generalized tilings can be used to describe the Bott-Samelsons for Schubert varieties in parabolic quotients of the associated Lie groups. It seems interesting to us, and potentially useful, to determine if this is the case.
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