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#### Abstract

We describe the structure of projective indecomposable modules for the subalgebra consisting of the elements of degree 0 in the hyperalgebra of the $r$-th Frobenius kernel for the algebraic group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(k)$, using the primitive idempotents which were constructed before by the author.


## 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $G$ be a connected, simply connected, and semisimple algebraic group over $k$ which is split over the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ of order $p$.

The representation theory of $G$ is closely related to that of the $r$-th Frobenius kernel $G_{r}$. Since the representation theory of $G$ can be identified with the locally finite representation theory of the corresponding (infinite-dimensional) $k$-algebra $\mathcal{U}$ which is called the hyperalgebra of $G$, and since the representation theory of $G_{r}$ can be identified with that of the corresponding finite-dimensional hyperalgebra $\mathcal{U}_{r}$, it is important to study the structure of projective indecomposable modules (PIMs) for $\mathcal{U}_{r}$. Thus it is worthwhile constructing primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$. Unfortunately, it seems that an explicit description of primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$ has not been known for general $G$. If $G$ is of type $A_{1}$ (i.e., $G=\mathrm{SL}(2, k)$ ), the explicit description is given in Seligman's paper 5 for $r=1$ and in the author's paper 6 for general $r$.

In this paper, using the primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$ given in the author's paper, we shall study the projective indecomposable modules for the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ consisting of the elements of degree 0 in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$. More concretely, since any idempotent in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}_{r}$, we can describe the structure of projective indecomposable $\mathcal{A}_{r^{-}}$ modules by giving that of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules generated by the primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$. Although the argument is not so difficult, the structure of these modules can be completely determined. This result enables us to see the primitivity of the idempotents without knowing dimensions of the simple $\mathcal{U}_{r}$-modules. It is also expected that the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules will be useful to study the structure of projective indecomposable $\mathcal{U}_{r}$-modules.

The main results will be given in Section 3. First we construct a basis of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module generated by a primitive idempotent, using a method which generalizes

[^0]the one to construct the idempotents in [6]. Then we describe the radical and socle series of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules. It turns out that each projective indecomposable $\mathcal{A}_{r^{-}}$ module is rigid and that each block algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ which corresponds to a primitive idempotent is symmetric.

## 2. Preliminaries

Assume $G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(k)$ in the rest of this paper. Let

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad Y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the standard basis in the simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbf{C})$. We define a subring $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ generated by $X^{(m)}=$ $X^{m} / m!$ and $Y^{(m)}=Y^{m} / m!$ with $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Set

$$
\binom{H+c}{m}=\frac{(H+c)(H+c-1) \cdots(H+c-m+1)}{m!}
$$

for $c \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The elements

$$
Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}
$$

with $m, m^{\prime}, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ form a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Z}}$. The $k$-algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} k$ is denoted by $\mathcal{U}$ or $\operatorname{Dist}(G)$, which is called the hyperalgebra of $G$. We use the same notation for the images in $\mathcal{U}$ of the elements in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}}$.

Let $\mathrm{Fr}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the $k$-algebra endomorphism which is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Fr}\left(X^{(m)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
X^{(m / p)} & \text { if } p \mid m, \\
0 & \text { if } p \nmid m,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \operatorname{Fr}\left(Y^{(m)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
Y^{(m / p)} & \text { if } p \mid m, \\
0 & \text { if } p \nmid m .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Then we also have

$$
\operatorname{Fr}\left(\binom{H}{m}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{H}{m / p} & \text { if } p \mid m, \\
0 & \text { if } p \nmid m .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^{0}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $\binom{H}{p^{i}}$ with $i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The elements $Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}$ with $m, m^{\prime}, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ form a $k$-basis of $\mathcal{U}$. We say that an element $z \in \mathcal{U}$ has degree $d$ if it is a $k$-linear combination of the elements $Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}$ with $m, m^{\prime}, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m^{\prime}-m=d$. For a positive integer $r \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, let $\mathcal{U}_{r}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $X^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ and $Y^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ with $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. This is a finite-dimensional algebra of dimension $p^{3 r}$ which has $Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}$ with $0 \leq$ $m, m^{\prime}, n \leq p^{r}-1$ as a $k$-basis, and it can be identified with the hyperalgebra of the $r$-th Frobenius kernel $G_{r}$ of $G$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $\binom{H}{p^{i}}$ with $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.

Let $\mathrm{Fr}^{\prime}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the $k$-linear map defined by

$$
Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)} \mapsto Y^{(m p)}\binom{H}{n p} X^{\left(m^{\prime} p\right)}
$$

This map is not a homomorphism of $k$-algebras, whereas its restriction to $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$ is (for details, see [1, §3] and [2, §1]). Clearly we have $\mathrm{Fr} \circ \mathrm{Fr}^{\prime}=i d_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ which is generated by $\mathcal{U}^{0}$ and $Y^{\left(p^{i}\right)} X^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ with $i \geq 0$. This subalgebra is commutative and has the elements $Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{(m)}$ with $m, n \in$ $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as a $k$-basis. For an integer $r>0$, set $\mathcal{A}_{r}=\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{U}_{r}$. This subalgebra is generated
by $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$ and $Y^{\left(p^{i}\right)} X^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ with $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ and has the elements $Y^{(m)}\binom{H}{n} X^{(m)}$ with $m, n \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{r}-1\right\}$ as a $k$-basis.

For a finite-dimensional (associative) $k$-algebra $R$, let $\operatorname{rad} R$ be the largest nilpotent ideal of $R$ which is called the Jacobson radical of $R$. For a finite-dimensional (left) $R$-module $M$ and a positive integer $n$, the $R$-submodule $(\operatorname{rad} R)^{n} M$ is denoted by $\operatorname{rad}_{R}^{n} M$ and is called the $n$-th radical of $M$. For convenience, set $\operatorname{rad}_{R}^{0} M=M$. If $n=1$, the submodule $\operatorname{rad}_{R}^{1} M$ is denoted by $\operatorname{rad}_{R} M$ and is called the radical of $M$.

In turn, for a finite-dimensional (left) $R$-module $M$ and a positive integer $n$, the $R$-submodule of $M$ consisting of the elements annihilated by $(\operatorname{rad} R)^{n}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{soc}_{R}^{n} M$, which is called the $n$-th socle of $M$. For convenience, set $\operatorname{soc}_{R}^{0} M=0$. If $n=1$, the submodule $\operatorname{soc}_{R}^{1} M$ is denoted by $\operatorname{soc}_{R} M$ and is called the socle of $M$, which is also the largest semisimple $R$-submodule of $M$.

## 3. PIMs For $\mathcal{A}_{r}$

To study the projective indecomposable $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules, we use the idempotents constructed in the author's paper [6].

For $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, set

$$
\mu_{a}=\binom{H-a-1}{p-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{-a-1}{p-1-i}\binom{H}{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}^{0} .
$$

This is a $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{0}$-weight vector of weight $a$ in the $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{0}$-module $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{0}: H \mu_{a}=a \mu_{a}$. Moreover, we have $\mu_{a}=\mu_{b}$ if and only if $a \equiv b(\bmod p)$, and all $\mu_{a}$ with $a \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ are pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{0}$ whose sum is $1 \in \mathcal{U}_{1}^{0}$.

Suppose for a moment that $p$ is odd. Set $\mathcal{S}=\{0,1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2\} \subset \mathbf{Z}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ again the image of the subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ under the natural map $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p}$. For $\varepsilon \in$ $\{0,1\}, a \in \mathbf{Z}, j \in \mathcal{S}$, and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we define polynomials $\varphi_{a, m}(x), \psi(x), \psi_{j}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \in$ $\mathbf{F}_{p}[x]$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{a, 0}(x)=1 \\
\varphi_{a, m}(x)=\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(x-i(i+a+1))
\end{gathered}
$$

if $m \neq 0$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi(x)=\prod_{i \in \mathbf{F}_{p}}\left(x-i^{2}\right)=x \prod_{i \in \mathcal{S}-\{0\}}\left(x-i^{2}\right)^{2}, \\
\psi_{j}^{(1)}(x)=\psi(x) /\left(x-j^{2}\right), \\
\psi_{0}^{(0)}(x)=\prod_{i \in \mathbf{F}_{p}^{\times}}\left(x-i^{2}\right)=\prod_{i \in \mathcal{S}-\{0\}}\left(x-i^{2}\right)^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{s}^{(0)}(x)=2 x\left(x+s^{2}\right) \prod_{i \in \mathbf{F}_{p}^{\times}-\{s, p-s\}}\left(x-i^{2}\right)=2 x\left(x+s^{2}\right) \prod_{i \in \mathcal{S}-\{0, s\}}\left(x-i^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

if $s \neq 0$. Clearly $\psi_{0}^{(0)}(x)=\psi_{0}^{(1)}(x)$, and we have

$$
\psi\left(x+((a+1) / 2)^{2}\right)=\varphi_{a, p}(x)
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{a, p}\left(\mu_{a} Y X\right)=\varphi_{-a, p}\left(\mu_{a} X Y\right)=0
$$

(see [6, Lemma 4.3]). Set $\mathcal{P}=\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\} \times \mathcal{S}$ and

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)=\psi_{j}^{(\varepsilon)}\left(\mu_{a} Y X+((a+1) / 2)^{2}\right) \cdot \mu_{a}
$$

for $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ and $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$. This element also can be written as

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)=\psi_{j}^{(\varepsilon)}\left(\mu_{a} X Y+((a-1) / 2)^{2}\right) \cdot \mu_{a} .
$$

Note also that $B^{(0)}(a, 0)=B^{(1)}(a, 0)$ for any $a \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$.
In turn, suppose that $p=2$. Then we consider the set

$$
\mathcal{P}=\{(0,1 / 2),(1,0),(1,1)\} \subset\{0,1\} \times(1 / 2) \mathbf{Z}
$$

instead of $\mathcal{P}=\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\} \times \mathcal{S}$ when $p$ is odd and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{(0)}(0,1 / 2) & =\mu_{0}, \quad B^{(1)}(0,1 / 2)=\mu_{0} Y X=\mu_{0} X Y, \\
B^{(0)}(1,0) & =B^{(1)}(1,0)=\mu_{1} Y X=\mu_{1} X Y+\mu_{1}, \\
B^{(0)}(1,1) & =B^{(1)}(1,1)=\mu_{1} Y X+\mu_{1}=\mu_{1} X Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any prime number $p$ and a pair $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$, set $E(a, j)=B^{(0)}(a, j)$. The elements $E(a, j)$ with $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$ are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{1}$ whose sum is the unity $1 \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$ (see [6, Proposition 4.5]).

To construct idempotents in $\mathcal{A}_{r}$, we make some preparation.
First we shall define $n^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)$ for each $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ and a pair $(a, j)$ in $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathcal{S}$ (when $p$ is odd) or $\mathcal{P}$ (when $p=2$ ) as follows: if $p$ is odd, $n^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)$ is the largest nonnegative integer $n$ satisfying

$$
\varphi_{a, n}(x) \mid \psi_{j}^{(\varepsilon)}\left(x+((a+1) / 2)^{2}\right)
$$

for $(a, j) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathcal{S}$, and if $p=2$, we set

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
n^{(0)}(0,1 / 2)=0, & n^{(0)}(1,0)=1, & n^{(0)}(1,1)=0, \\
n^{(1)}(0,1 / 2)=1, & n^{(1)}(1,0)=1, & n^{(1)}(1,1)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

We consider the following four conditions for each pair $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$ :
(A) $a$ is even and $(p-a+1) / 2 \leq j \leq(p-1) / 2$,
(B) $a$ is even and $0 \leq j \leq(p-a-1) / 2$,
(C) $a$ is odd and $0 \leq j \leq(a-1) / 2$,
(D) $a$ is odd and $(a+1) / 2 \leq j \leq(p-1) / 2$.

Note that if $p=2$, the pairs $(0,1 / 2),(1,0)$, and ( 1,1 ) in $\mathcal{P}$ satisfy (B), (C), and (D) respectively.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the following hold.
(i) $n^{(0)}(a, j)=(p-a-1) / 2+j$ and $n^{(1)}(a, j)=(3 p-a-1) / 2-j$ under $(\mathrm{A})$,
(ii) $n^{(0)}(a, j)=(p-a-1) / 2-j$ and $n^{(1)}(a, j)=(p-a-1) / 2+j$ under $(\mathrm{B})$,
(iii) $n^{(0)}(a, j)=(2 p-a-1) / 2-j$ and $n^{(1)}(a, j)=(2 p-a-1) / 2+j$ under (C),
(iv) $n^{(0)}(a, j)=j-(a+1) / 2$ and $n^{(1)}(a, j)=(2 p-a-1) / 2-j$ under (D).

Proof. It is clear when $p=2$. Suppose that $p$ is odd. Then $n^{(0)}(a, j)$ is determined in [6. Lemma 4.6], and so we only have to determine $n^{(1)}(a, j)$. We have $n^{(0)}(a, 0)=$ $n^{(1)}(a, 0)$ since $\psi_{0}^{(0)}(x)=\psi_{0}^{(1)}(x)$, and so the lemma holds for $j=0$. Suppose that $j \neq 0$. Then the definition of $n^{(1)}(a, j)$ implies that it is the second smallest nonnegative integer $n$ satisfying $x-n(n+a+1)=x+((a+1) / 2)^{2}-j^{2}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}[x]$, and hence $-n(n+a+1)=((a+1) / 2)^{2}-j^{2}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}$. Thus we obtain the result for $n^{(1)}(a, j)$ (see the second to fifth paragraphs in the proof of [6, Lemma 4.6]).

If $p$ is odd, we define an integer $\tilde{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)$ for $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}, a \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $j \in \mathcal{S}$ as $\tilde{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)=n^{(\varepsilon)}(-a, j)$. If $p=2$, set

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{n}^{(0)}(0,1 / 2)=0, & \tilde{n}^{(0)}(1,0)=0, & \tilde{n}^{(0)}(1,1)=1, \\
\tilde{n}^{(1)}(0,1 / 2)=1, & \tilde{n}^{(1)}(1,0)=0, & \tilde{n}^{(1)}(1,1)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

It is easy to see that Lemma 3.1 implies the following (see [6, Lemma 4.7]).
Lemma 3.2. Let $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the following hold
(i) $\tilde{n}^{(0)}(a, j)=(-p+a-1) / 2+j$ and $\tilde{n}^{(1)}(a, j)=(p+a-1) / 2-j$ under $(\mathrm{A})$,
(ii) $\tilde{n}^{(0)}(a, j)=(p+a-1) / 2-j$ and $\tilde{n}^{(1)}(a, j)=(p+a-1) / 2+j$ under (B),
(iii) $\tilde{n}^{(0)}(a, j)=(a-1) / 2-j$ and $\tilde{n}^{(1)}(a, j)=(a-1) / 2+j$ under (C),
(iv) $\tilde{n}^{(0)}(a, j)=(a-1) / 2+j$ and $\tilde{n}^{(1)}(a, j)=(2 p+a-1) / 2-j$ under $(\mathrm{D})$.

The following lemma is a generalization of [6, Lemma 4.8].
Lemma 3.3. Let $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the element $B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)$ with $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ can be written as

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)=\mu_{a} \sum_{m=n^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{p-1} c_{m}^{(\varepsilon)} Y^{m} X^{m}=\mu_{a} \sum_{m=\tilde{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{p-1} \tilde{c}_{m}^{(\varepsilon)} X^{m} Y^{m}
$$

for some $c_{m}^{(\varepsilon)}, \tilde{c}_{m}^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathbf{F}_{p}$ with $c_{n^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{(\varepsilon)} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{c}_{\tilde{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{(\varepsilon)} \neq 0$.
Proof. The equalities for $p=2$ are clear by the definition of $B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)$. Suppose that $p$ is odd. Then the equalities for $E(a, j)=B^{(0)}(a, j)$ are proved in [6] Lemma 4.8], using the integers $n^{(0)}(a, j), \tilde{n}^{(0)}(a, j)$, and the polynomial $\psi_{j}^{(0)}(x)$. The proof for $B^{(1)}(a, j)$ is similar, using $n^{(1)}(a, j), \tilde{n}^{(1)}(a, j)$, and $\psi_{j}^{(1)}(x)$.

Now we shall construct idempotents in $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ for $r \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. First of all, we give the primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$. For an integer $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, set

$$
\mu_{a}^{(r)}=\binom{H-a-1}{p^{r}-1} \in \mathcal{U}_{r}^{0} .
$$

This is a $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$-weight vector of weight $a$ in the $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$-module $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}:\binom{H}{n} \mu_{a}=\binom{a}{n} \mu_{a}$ for any $n \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{r}-1\right\}$. Moreover, we have $\mu_{a}^{(r)}=\mu_{b}^{(r)}$ if and only if $a \equiv b\left(\bmod p^{r}\right)$, and all $\mu_{a}^{(r)}$ with $a \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{r}-1\right\}$ are pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$ whose sum is $1 \in \mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$. For details, see [3, §4.7].

If $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies (A) or (C), then set $s(a, j)=(p-a+1) / 2$ if $p$ is odd and $a$ is even, $s(a, j)=(p-a) / 2$ if both $p$ and $a$ are odd, and $s(a, j)=1$ if $p=2$.

For $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ and $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$, we write

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)=\mu_{a} \sum_{m=n^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{p-1} c_{m}^{(\varepsilon)} Y^{m} X^{m}=\mu_{a} \sum_{m=\tilde{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{p-1} \tilde{c}_{m}^{(\varepsilon)} X^{m} Y^{m}
$$

following Lemma 3.3. Using this notation we define $Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ as

$$
Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))=\mu_{a} \sum_{m=n^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)}^{p-1} c_{m}^{(\varepsilon)} Y^{m} X^{m-s(a, j)} \operatorname{Fr}^{\prime}(z) X^{s(a, j)}
$$

if $(a, j)$ satisfies (A) or (C), and

$$
Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))=\operatorname{Fr}^{\prime}(z) B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)
$$

if $(a, j)$ satisfies (B) or (D). Clearly the map $Z^{(\varepsilon)}(-;(a, j)): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, z \mapsto$ $Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))$ is $k$-linear.

We introduce the following two lemmas to prove the main result.
Lemma 3.4. For a pair $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}$ and a nonzero element $z \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a nonzero element $z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U}$ which is independent of $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ such that

$$
Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))=\operatorname{Fr}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j)=B^{(\varepsilon)}(a, j) \operatorname{Fr}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)
$$

Moreover, if $z \in \mathcal{A}$, then $z^{\prime}$ and $Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))$ also lie in $\mathcal{A}$.
Remark 1. This lemma implies the following facts:
(a) If $p$ is odd and $j=0$ or if $p=2$ and $a=1$, then we have

$$
Z^{(0)}(z ;(a, j))=Z^{(1)}(z ;(a, j))
$$

since $B^{(0)}(a, j)=B^{(1)}(a, j)$. Otherwise $Z^{(0)}\left(z_{0} ;(a, j)\right)$ and $Z^{(1)}\left(z_{1} ;(a, j)\right)$ are linearly independent over $k$ for nonzero elements $z_{0}, z_{1} \in \mathcal{U}$.
(b) The $k$-linear map $Z^{(\varepsilon)}(-;(a, j))$ is injective.

Lemma 3.5. Let $u$ be an element of the $k$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by all $X^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ and $Y^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ with $i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. For $(a, j) \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$
u Z^{(\varepsilon)}(z ;(a, j))=Z^{(\varepsilon)}(\operatorname{Fr}(u) z ;(a, j))
$$

These lemmas are generalizations of Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.4(iv) in [6]. We can prove them similarly since Lemma 5.2 in [6] can be applied even if $\varepsilon=1$ (note that $n^{(1)}(a, j) \geq n^{(0)}(a, j)$ and $\tilde{n}^{(1)}(a, j) \geq \tilde{n}^{(0)}(a, j)$ by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2).

For a positive integer $r$, consider an $r$-tuple $\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1}=\left(\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.\left(a_{r-1}, j_{r-1}\right)\right)$ of pairs $\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{P}(0 \leq i \leq r-1)$. For convenience we shall write this as

$$
\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right)
$$

or $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)$.
For $r$-tuples $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r}$ and $\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, we define an element $B^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)}\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{U}$ as $B^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$ if $r=1$, and

$$
Z^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(B^{\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)
$$

if $r \geq 2$. We often denote this element by $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)$, $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right)$, and $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)$. Clearly all

$$
B^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)}\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right)
$$

lie in $\mathcal{A}_{r}$.
As in [6, Proposition 5.5(i)], for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)$ and an $r$-tuple $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=$ $\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, the element $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is a $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$-weight vector of $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$-weight $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b_{i} p^{i}$, where

$$
b_{i}= \begin{cases}a_{i}-p & \text { if }\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right) \text { satisfies (A) or (C) } \\ a_{i} & \text { if }\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right) \text { satisfies (B) or (D) }\end{cases}
$$

since $\mu_{\sum_{i=0}^{r=1} b_{i} p^{i}}^{(r)} B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.
The proposition below is used to remove duplicates from the elements $B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}^{r}$.

Proposition 3.6. For $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right), \tilde{\varepsilon}=\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r}$, and an $r$ tuple $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, we have $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ if $\varepsilon_{s}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{s}$ for any integer $s$ satisfying $j_{s} \neq 0$ when $p$ is odd or $a_{s} \neq 1$ when $p=2$.

Proof. If $r=1$, the proposition holds since $B^{(0)}(a, 0)=B^{(1)}(a, 0)$ for any $a \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ when $p$ is odd, and since $B^{(0)}(1, j)=B^{(1)}(1, j)$ for any $j \in\{0,1\}$ when $p=2$.

Suppose that $r \geq 2$ and that $\varepsilon_{s}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{s}$ if $j_{s} \neq 0$ when $p$ is odd or if $a_{s} \neq 1$ when $p=2$. By induction, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) & =Z^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(B^{\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =Z^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(B^{\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{r-1}\right)}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right),\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right)\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $j_{0} \neq 0$ when $p$ is odd or if $a_{0} \neq 1$ when $p=2$, we have $\varepsilon_{0}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{0}$, and hence $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. On the other hand, if $j_{0}=0$ when $p$ is odd or if $a_{0}=1$ when $p=2$, we have $B^{(0)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)=B^{(1)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$, and hence $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ by (a) in the remark of Lemma 3.4.

For an $r$-tuple $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, set $E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=B^{(0, \ldots, 0)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. The elements $E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\mathcal{U}_{r}$ whose sum is the unity $1 \in \mathcal{U}_{r}$. Actually it turns out that these idempotents are primitive, since we know the dimensions of all simple $\mathcal{U}_{r}$-modules (see [6, Proposition 5.5(iii)]). In this paper we will see the primitivity as the result in Theorem 3.11 without using them.

Let $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ denote an element of $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$ with 1 in the $i$-th entry and 0 elsewhere.
Proposition 3.7. For $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r},(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, and an integer $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1, Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)} B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is equal to

$$
\left(j_{s}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})+4 j_{s}^{2} B^{\left(\varepsilon+\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

if $\varepsilon_{s}=0$ and to

$$
\left(j_{s}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

if $\varepsilon_{s}=1$.
Remark 2. The coefficients $j_{s}^{2}-\left(\left(a_{s}+1\right) / 2\right)^{2}$ and $4 j_{s}^{2}$ make sense as elements in $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ even if $p=2$. Indeed, they are integers since $\left(a_{s}, j_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{P}=\{(0,1 / 2),(1,0),(1,1)\}$. Proof. We use induction on $r$. If $r=1$, we easily see that

$$
Y X B^{(0)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)=\left(j_{0}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{0}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{(0)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)+4 j_{0}^{2} B^{(1)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
Y X B^{(1)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)=\left(j_{0}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{0}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{(1)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)
$$

by the definition of $B^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$, and the claim follows.
Suppose that $r \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists an element $z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$ which is independent of $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\operatorname{Fr}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) B^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)=B^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right) \operatorname{Fr}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) .
$$

This shows the desired equality for $s=0$ as in the last paragraph, so we may assume $s \geq 1$. Set $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r-1}$ and $\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r-1}$. By Lemma 3.5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)} B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) & =Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)} Z^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(B^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =Z^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(Y^{\left(p^{s-1}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s-1}\right)} B^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, $Y^{\left(p^{s-1}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s-1}\right)} B^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\left(j_{s}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)+4 j_{s}^{2} B^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\mathbf{e}_{s}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

if $\varepsilon_{s}=0$ and to

$$
\left(j_{s}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

if $\varepsilon_{s}=1$, where $\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}$ denotes an element of $\mathbf{Z}^{r-1}$ with 1 in the $i$-th entry and 0 elsewhere. Now the proposition follows from the linearity of the map $Z^{\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left(-;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)$.

A partial order in $\{0,1\}^{r}$ can be defined as

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right) \leq\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{r-1}\right) \text { if } \varepsilon_{i} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i} \text { for each } i
$$

For $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{r-1}\right)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}=\left(\tilde{m}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{m}_{r-1}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{r}$, define the Hamming distance $d(\mathbf{m}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}})$ of $\mathbf{m}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}$ as the number of the integers $i$ with $m_{i} \neq \tilde{m}_{i}$ and the Hamming weight $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{m})$ of $\mathbf{m}$ as the number of the integers $i$ with $m_{i} \neq 0$.

For an $r$-tuple $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, define a subset $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ of $\{0,1\}^{r}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left\{\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r} \mid \varepsilon_{i}=0 \text { whenever } j_{i}=0\right\}
$$

if $p$ is odd and

$$
\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left\{\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r} \mid \varepsilon_{i}=0 \text { whenever } a_{i}=1\right\}
$$

if $p=2$.
From now on we shall fix $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$ unless otherwise stated in order to study the structure of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.
Theorem 3.8. For $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, the elements $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ form a $k$-basis of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.
Remark 3. This theorem implies some facts:
(a) For $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}),(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{j}}) \in \mathcal{P}^{r}, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{j}})$, we have

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{j}})=0
$$

if $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \neq(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{j}})$, since $B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and $B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{j}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{j}})$.
(b) For $\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, we have $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ if and only if $\varepsilon \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ if and only if $\varepsilon=\tilde{\varepsilon}$.
(c) The $k$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ has the elements $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ as a $k$-basis.

Proof. First we claim that the elements $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ for $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ are linearly independent over $k$. Note that $\mathcal{X}_{1}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$ is equal to $\{0,1\}$ if $j_{0} \neq 0$ when $p$ is odd, or if $a_{0} \neq 1$ when $p=2$, and to $\{0\}$ otherwise. In the former case $B^{(0)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$ and $B^{(1)}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$ are linearly independent over $k$ by Lemma 3.3. Hence the claim holds for $r=1$. Suppose that $r \geq 2$ and

$$
\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=0,
$$

where $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in k$. If we write $\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left(a_{i}, j_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1}^{r-1} \in \mathcal{P}^{r-1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{r-1}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, and $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{r-1}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{r-1}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} Z^{\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left(B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\theta_{0} \in \mathcal{X}_{1}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)} Z^{\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{r-1}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)} \alpha_{\left(\theta_{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)} B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\theta_{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)$ means $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. By (a) in the remark of Lemma 3.4 we have

$$
Z^{\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{r-1}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)} \alpha_{\left(\theta_{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)} B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right) ;\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

and hence $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{r-1}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)} \alpha_{\left(\theta_{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)} B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)=0$ for each $\theta_{0} \in \mathcal{X}_{1}\left(a_{0}, j_{0}\right)$. Since $B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{r-1}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)$ are linearly independent by induction, we obtain $\alpha_{\left(\theta_{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)}=0$ for each $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{r-1}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=0$ for each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, and the claim follows.

Next we claim that $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is spanned by all $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Let $V$ be the subspace spanned by all $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and
$\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Suppose that an element $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ satisfies $\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. For an integer $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1$, if $s$ satisfies $j_{s} \neq 0$ when $p$ is odd or $a_{s} \neq 1$ (i.e., $\left.\left(a_{s}, j_{s}\right)=(0,1 / 2)\right)$ when $p=2$, and if $\theta_{s}=0$, then $\boldsymbol{\theta}+\mathbf{e}_{s+1} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}+\mathbf{e}_{s+1} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Thus we see that $Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)} B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in V$ by Proposition 3.7. Since $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is a $\mathcal{U}_{r}^{0}$-weight vector, $V$ is closed under the action of $\mathcal{A}_{r}$. Moreover, since $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in V$, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \subseteq V$. To show the reverse inclusion, we have to check that $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. It is clear when $d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=0$ (i.e., $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ), so suppose that $d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})>0$. There exists an integer $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ such that $\varepsilon_{s}=0$ and $\theta_{s}=1$. For this integer $s$ note that $j_{s} \neq 0$ when $p$ is odd or $a_{s} \neq 1$ (i.e., $\left.\left(a_{s}, j_{s}\right)=(0,1 / 2)\right)$ when $p=2$. Then

$$
Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)} B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(j_{s}^{2}-\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})+4 j_{s}^{2} B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

by Proposition 3.7. Since $\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}$ is an element of $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $d\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)=d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})-1$, we obtain $B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ by induction. Moreover, since $4 j_{s}^{2} \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}$, we have

$$
B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\frac{1}{4 j_{s}^{2}}\left(Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)}-j_{s}^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

Therefore, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=V$, and the proof is complete.
The following lemma enables us to determine radical series of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right), \tilde{\varepsilon}=\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{r-1}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. Then the product $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is equal to zero if there is an integer $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ such that $\varepsilon_{s}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{s}=1$ and to $B^{(\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ otherwise. In the latter case $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}+\tilde{\varepsilon}$ also lies in $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.

Proof. Suppose that there is an integer $s$ satisfying $\varepsilon_{s}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{s}=1$. Note that $4 j_{s}^{2} \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}$. By Proposition 3.7 we have

$$
B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\frac{1}{4 j_{s}^{2}}\left(Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)}-j_{s}^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\varepsilon-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

and $Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)} B^{(\widetilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(j_{s}^{2}-\left(\left(a_{s}+1\right) / 2\right)^{2}\right) B^{(\widetilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
&=\frac{1}{4 j_{s}^{2}}\left(Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)}-j_{s}^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{\left(\varepsilon-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
& \quad=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, suppose that there are no integers $s$ satisfying $\varepsilon_{s}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{s}=1$. Clearly $\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon}$ lies in $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ again. We prove the lemma by induction on $\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})$. It is clear when $\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon})=0$, since $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\tilde{\varepsilon}=(0, \ldots, 0)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon})>0$. We may assume that there is an integer $s$ such that $\varepsilon_{s}=1$. By induction, the
product $B^{\left(\varepsilon-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is equal to $B^{\left(\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4 j_{s}^{2}}\left(Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)}-j_{s}^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\varepsilon-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) B^{(\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4 j_{s}^{2}}\left(Y^{\left(p^{s}\right)} X^{\left(p^{s}\right)}-j_{s}^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{s}+1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) B^{\left(\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon}-\mathbf{e}_{s+1}\right)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
&=B^{(\varepsilon+\tilde{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}),
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Since all $E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$ are central idempotents of $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ whose sum is 1 , the representation theory for the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ completely determines that for $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ (see [4, ch. 1, Theorem 4.7]). For a fixed $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{P}^{r}$, set $w=\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{j})$ if $p$ is odd, and $w=r-\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{a})$ if $p=2$ (i.e., $w$ is the number of the integers $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ satisfying $j_{s} \neq 0$ if $p$ is odd or $a_{s} \neq 1$ if $\left.p=2\right)$. Then for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ has dimension $2^{w-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}$ by Theorem 3.8. In particular, the $k$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ has dimension $2^{w}$, which is also the cardinality of $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.
Proposition 3.10. For a positive integer $i$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)\right)^{i} & =\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=i} \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
& =\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq i} k \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)\right)^{i}=0$ if and only if $i>w$.
Proof. The second equality follows immediately from Theorem 3.8, so we only have to show the first equality. Lemma 3.9 implies that the subspace

$$
\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq 1} k \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

is an ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and that a product of $w+1$ elements in the subspace is equal to 0 . Hence the subspace is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.8 we see that the nilpotent ideal has codimension one in $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and hence is equal to $\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)$. Thus the result for $i=1$ follows. The result for arbitrary $i$ follows easily from Lemma 3.9 using induction on $i$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\tau}=\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{r-1}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ be the element such that $\tau_{s}=0$ if $j_{s}=0$ when $p$ is odd or $a_{s}=1$ when $p=2$, and $\tau_{s}=1$ otherwise for $0 \leq s \leq r-1$. This is a unique element of $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ which has the largest Hamming weight $w$. Set $S_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})}=\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=k \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. Clearly this is a simple $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module.

Now we give radical series of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$.
Theorem 3.11. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right) & =\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=i, \boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \\
& =\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \geq i, \boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \varepsilon} k \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the Loewy length of $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is $w+1-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. Moreover, for an integer $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq w-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)$ the quotient

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right) / \operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i+1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)
$$

is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\left(\begin{array}{c}w-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\end{array}\right)$ copies of $S_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})}$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{r}$. $B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module whose head is isomorphic to $S_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})}$.
Proof. The first statement follows easily from Proposition 3.10 and Lemma 3.9 since

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)=\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)\right)^{i} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})
$$

if $i \geq 1$. Then the second statement follows easily from the first statement and Proposition 3.7

This theorem implies that all the idempotents $E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ are primitive and all $S_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})}$ form a complete set of nonisomorphic simple $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is a block algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ which has $S_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})}$ as a unique simple $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$-module.

The following theorem shows that the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-modules $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ are rigid (i.e., have identical radical and socle series).

Theorem 3.12. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. For an integer $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq w-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)=\operatorname{soc}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{w+1-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})-i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right) .
$$

In particular, the socle of the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is isomorphic to $S_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})}$.
Proof. It is clear when $i=0$ since the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$-module $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ has Loewy length $w+1-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)$. So we may assume $i \geq 1$. It is enough to show that

$$
\operatorname{soc}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{w+1-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)-i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right) .
$$

Suppose that an element $u \in \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ does not lie in $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)$. We only have to check that $u \notin \operatorname{soc}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{w+1-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)-i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)$. By Theorem 3.8, $u$ can be written as a $k$-linear combination of the elements $B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. By the assumption of $u$ and by Theorem 3.11, if we choose $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ where the coefficient of $B^{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ in $u$ is nonzero such that $d(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ is minimal, $d(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ must be smaller than $i$, and hence $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \leq i-1$. Then $B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) u$ is a nonzero multiple of $B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ by Lemma 3.9. Since $\boldsymbol{\tau}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ and

$$
\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})=\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\tau})-\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})=w-\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \geq w+1-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})-i
$$

the element $B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ must lie in

$$
\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq w+1-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)-i} k \cdot B^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)\right)^{w+1-\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})-i} .
$$

This means that $u \notin \operatorname{soc}_{\mathcal{A}_{r}}^{w+1-\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon)-i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)$. Therefore, the result follows.

Actually, the $k$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is symmetric.
Theorem 3.13. $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is a symmetric $k$-algebra.

Proof. Let $f: \mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) \rightarrow k$ be the $k$-linear map defined as follows: for $\varepsilon \in$ $\mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}), f\left(B^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)=0$ if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \neq \boldsymbol{\tau}$, and $f\left(B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})\right)=1$. Let $u$ be a nonzero element of $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. By Theorem 3.8, $u$ can be written as $\sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})} \alpha_{\varepsilon} B^{(\varepsilon)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \in k$. Choose an element $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \neq 0$ such that $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is minimal. Since $\boldsymbol{\tau}-\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$, the element $B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ lies in $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. By Lemma 3.9, we see that $B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j}) u=\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} B^{(\boldsymbol{\tau})}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. This fact implies that $\operatorname{Ker} f$ contains no nonzero ideals of $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ is a Frobenius algebra (see [4, ch. 2, Theorem 8.13]). But it is also symmetric since $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ (hence $\mathcal{A}_{r} \cdot E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j})$ ) is commutative.
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