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Abstract. In this paper, we consider the weighted $p$-Laplacian Lichnerowicz equation

$$
\triangle_{p, f} u+c u^{\sigma}=0
$$

on smooth metric measure spaces, where $c \geq 0, p>1$, and $\sigma \leq p-1$ are real constants. A local gradient estimate for positive solutions to this equation is derived, and as applications, we give a corresponding Liouville property and Harnack inequality.

## 1. Introduction

We mainly consider some existence results for positive solutions to $p$-Laplacian Lichnerowicz type equations on smooth metric measure space. Smooth metric measure space is a triple ( $M, g, d \mu$ ), where ( $M, g$ ) is a complete $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $d \mu:=e^{-f} d v$ with $f$ a fixed smooth real-valued function on $M$. Denote by $\nabla, \triangle$, and Hess the gradient, Laplace, and Hessian operators, and by $d v$ the Riemannian volume measure. The smooth metric measure space carries a natural analog of the Ricci curvature, the so-called m-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, which is defined as

$$
R i c_{f}^{m}:=\operatorname{Ric}+H e s s f-\frac{\nabla f \otimes \nabla f}{m-n} \quad(n<m \leq \infty)
$$

In particular, when $m=\infty, \operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{\infty}:=\operatorname{Ric}_{f}:=$ Ric + Hessf is the classical Bakry Émery Ricci curvature, which was introduced by Bakry-Émery [2] in the study of diffusion processes and has been extensively investigated in the theory of Ricci flow. The case where $m=n$ is only defined when $f$ is a constant function. There is also an analog of the $p$-Laplacian, that is, the weighted $p$-Laplacian, which is defined by

$$
\triangle_{p, f}:=e^{f} \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) .
$$

It is also understood in the distribution sense.
Gradient estimates are an important tool in geometric analysis and have been used, among other things, to derive Liouville theorems and Harnack inequalities for positive solutions to a variety of nonlinear equations on Riemannian manifolds. Kotschwar and Ni [6] established a local gradient estimate for $p$-harmonic functions

[^0]under the assumption that the sectional curvature is bounded from below. However, their computation involves the Hessian of the distance function when the cut-off function is introduced, so the condition about the sectional curvature has to be assumed. Recently, Wang and Zhang [15] studied $p$-harmonic functions and derived a local gradient estimate and Harnack inequality with constants depending only on the lower bound of the Ricci curvature, the dimension of manifolds, and the radius of the ball. For the weighted $p$-Laplacian equation on metric measure space, some results about gradient estimates and the Liouville property were given in [12 and (13.

For the $p$-Laplacian Lichnerowicz equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{p, f} u+c u^{\sigma}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on noncompact smooth metric measure space, here $c \geq 0, p>1, \sigma \leq p-1$. This equation can be seen as a simple version of the Lichnerowicz equation which arises from the Hamiltonian constraint equation for the Einstein-scalar field system in general relativity (see [3, 4, 11 and the references therein). When $p=2$, Ma $7-10$ studied the existence and stability of positive solutions to the Lichnerowicz equation. Li and Zhu [5] also studied the simple Lichnerowicz equation and derived corresponding gradient estimates. The first author of this paper proved some gradient estimates for this equation, which can be referred to in [17-19]. However, if $p>1$, the $p$-Laplacian Lichnerowicz equation is referred to as a generalized scalar curvature type equation; it is an extension of the equation of prescribed scalar curvature. The problem of positive solutions to the $p$-Laplacian Lichnerowicz equation was considered in [4] in the case of a compact manifold, and then Benalili and Maliki [1] extended the corresponding results to the complete Riemannian manifolds.

In this paper, we will establish the local gradient estimate for positive solutions to equation (1.1).
Theorem 1.1. Let $(M, g, d \mu)$ be a smooth metric measure space with Ric flm $\geq$ $-(m-1) K$, where $K$ is a positive constant. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution to (1.1) on the ball $B_{o}(R) \subset M$ under the condition $\sigma \leq p-1, p>1$. Then there exists a constant $C_{p, m}$ such that

$$
\frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq C_{p, m} \frac{(1+\sqrt{K} R)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{R} .
$$

As applications of Theorem 1.1] we can obtain the following two corollaries.
Corollary 1.2. If the positive solution $u$ is defined globally on manifolds, then we get only constant solutions to equation (1.1).
Corollary 1.3. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 1.1, given any $x, y \in$ $B_{o}\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$ and any minimal geodesic $\gamma(s):[0,1] \rightarrow B_{o}\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$ with $\gamma(0)=x, \gamma(1)=y$, the following Harnack inequality holds:

$$
u(x) \leq u(y)^{\rho(x, y) C_{p, m} \frac{1+\sqrt{K} R}{R}}
$$

where $\rho=\rho(x, y)$ denotes the geodesic distance $x$ and $y$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that $u$ is a positive solution to (1.1). The linearized operator of the weighted $p$-Laplacian at point $u \in C^{2}(M)$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{f}(\psi)=e^{f} \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f}|\nabla u|^{p-2} A(\nabla \psi)\right),
$$

where

$$
A_{i j}=g_{i j}+(p-2) \frac{\nabla u \otimes \nabla u}{|\nabla u|^{2}} .
$$

We should mention that since equation (2.1) can be either degenerate or singular at the points such that $|\nabla u|=0$, we usually use an $\epsilon$-regularization technique by replacing the linearized operator $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ with its approximate operator, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{f, \epsilon}(\psi)=e^{f} \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f} w_{\epsilon}^{\frac{p}{2}-1} A_{\epsilon}(\nabla \psi)\right)
$$

where $\epsilon>0, w_{\epsilon}=\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\epsilon, A_{\epsilon}=g_{i j}+(p-2) \frac{\nabla u_{\epsilon} \otimes \nabla u_{\epsilon}}{|\nabla u|^{2}}$, and $u_{\epsilon}$ is a solution to the approximate equation

$$
e^{f} \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f} w_{\epsilon}^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)+c u_{\epsilon}^{\sigma}=0 .
$$

In order to avoid tedious presentation, we omit the details. The interested reader can refer to [7] for details.
Lemma 2.1 (See [14]). Let $(M, g, d \mu)$ be a smooth metric measure space. Given a $C^{3}$ function $u$, if $|\nabla u| \neq 0$, then
$\mathcal{L}_{f}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}\right)=p|\nabla u|^{2 p-4}\left(\mid\right.$ Hess $\left.\left.u\right|_{A} ^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)+p|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \triangle_{p, f} u\right\rangle$, where $\mid$ Hess $\left.u\right|_{A} ^{2}=A^{i k} A^{j l} u_{i j} u_{k l}$.

Set $v=(p-1) \log u$. From Lemma 2.1 we can obtain

$$
\mathcal{L}_{f}\left(|\nabla v|^{p}\right)=p|\nabla v|^{2 p-4}\left(|H e s s ~ v|_{A}^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla v, \nabla v)\right)+|\nabla v|^{p-2}\left\langle\nabla v, \nabla \triangle_{p, f} v\right\rangle .
$$

Moreover, in terms of $v$, equation (1.1) has the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle_{p, f} u+c u^{\sigma} & =e^{f} \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f}\left|\nabla e^{\frac{v}{p-1}}\right|^{p-2} \nabla e^{\frac{v}{p-1}}\right)+c e^{\frac{v \sigma}{p-1}} \\
& =(p-1)^{1-p} e^{v}\left(|\nabla v|^{p}+\triangle_{p, f} v\right)+c e^{\frac{v \sigma}{p-1}} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is to say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{p, f} v=-c(p-1)^{p-1} e^{\left(\frac{\sigma}{p-1}-1\right) v}-|\nabla v|^{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w=|\nabla v|^{2}$. Note that in terms of $w$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle_{p, f} w & =e^{f} \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f} w^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla v\right) \\
& =w^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \triangle_{f} v+\frac{p-2}{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, equation (2.1) has the equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \triangle_{f} v+\frac{p-2}{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle=-c(p-1)^{p-1} e^{\left(\frac{\sigma}{p-1}-1\right) v}-w^{\frac{p}{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $Q=|\nabla v|^{p}$. Then
$\mathcal{L}_{f}(Q)=p w^{p-2}\left[\mid\right.$ Hess $\left.\left.v\right|_{A} ^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla v, \nabla v)\right]-p w^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\langle\nabla v, \nabla Q\rangle-\operatorname{cph}\left(\frac{\sigma}{p-1}-1\right) Q$, where $h=(p-1)^{p-1} e^{\left(\frac{\sigma}{p-1}-1\right) v}$.

Now we are in position to estimate $|\nabla \nabla v|_{A}^{2}$. We only need to estimate it over the points where $w>0$. Choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ near any such given point so that $\nabla v=|\nabla v| e_{1}$. Then $w=v_{1}^{2}, w_{1}=2 v_{i 1} v_{i}=2 v_{11} v_{1}$, and for $j \geq 2, w_{j}=2 v_{j 1} v_{1}$. Hence $2 v_{j 1}=\frac{w_{j}}{w^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

From (2.2), we immediately deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=2}^{n} v_{j j} & =-\operatorname{ch} w^{1-\frac{p}{2}}-\left(\frac{p}{2}-1\right) \frac{w_{1} v_{1}}{w}-v_{11}+f_{1} v_{1}-w \\
& =-c h w^{1-\frac{p}{2}}-(p-1) v_{11}+f_{1} v_{1}-w
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mid H e s s ~ & \left.v\right|_{A} ^{2}
\end{array}=\mid \text { Hess }\left.v\right|^{2}+\frac{(p-2)^{2}}{4 w^{2}}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle^{2}+\frac{p-2}{2 w}|\nabla w|^{2} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \text { Hess }\left.v\right|_{A} ^{2} \geq & (p-1)^{2} v_{11}^{2}+2(p-1) \sum_{j=2}^{n} v_{1 j}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{n-1}\left(-c h w^{1-\frac{p}{2}}-(p-1) v_{11}+f_{1} v_{1}-w\right)^{2} \\
\geq & \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j 1}^{2}+\frac{1}{m-1}\left(c h w^{1-\frac{p}{2}}+w\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{2(p-1) v_{11}}{m-1}\left(c h w^{1-\frac{p}{2}}+w\right)-\frac{\left(f_{1} v_{1}\right)^{2}}{m-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=\min \left\{2(p-1), \frac{m(p-1)^{2}}{m-1}\right\}$, and we applied the inequality $(a-b)^{2} \geq \frac{a^{2}}{1+\delta}-\frac{b^{2}}{\delta}$ with $\delta=\frac{m-n}{n-1}>0$. Substituting the identities,

$$
2 w v_{11}=\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle, \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j 1}^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{|\nabla w|^{2}}{w}
$$

we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid H e s s ~ \\
&\left.v\right|_{A} ^{2} \geq \frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{|\nabla w|^{2}}{w}+\frac{w^{2}}{m-1}\left(c h w^{-\frac{p}{2}}+1\right)^{2} \\
&+\frac{p-1}{m-1}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle-\frac{\left(f_{1} v_{1}\right)^{2}}{m-n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption that $R i c_{f}^{m} \geq-(m-1) K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{f}(Q)= & \mathcal{L}_{f}\left(w^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \\
\geq & p w^{p-2}\left[\frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{|\nabla w|^{2}}{w}+\frac{1}{m-1}\left(w+c h\left(c h w^{1-\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{p-1}{m-1}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle\right] \\
& -p w^{p-2} R i c_{f}^{m}(\nabla v, \nabla v)-p w^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left\langle\nabla v, \nabla w^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\rangle-c p h\left(\frac{\sigma}{p-1}-1\right) w^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
= & \frac{\alpha p}{4} w^{p-3}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{p}{m-1} w^{p}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{p(p-1)}{m-1} w^{p-2}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle \\
& -p(m-1) K w^{p-1}-p w^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left\langle\nabla v, \nabla w^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\rangle-c p h\left(\frac{\sigma}{p-1}-1\right) w^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
\geq & \frac{\alpha p}{4} w^{p-3}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{p}{m-1} w^{p}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{p(p-1)}{m-1} w^{p-2}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle \\
& -p(m-1) K w^{p-1}-\frac{p^{2}}{2} w^{p-2}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle \\
= & \frac{\alpha p}{4} w^{p-3}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{p}{m-1} w^{p}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{p(p-1)}{m-1}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)-\frac{p^{2}}{2}\right] w^{p-2}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle-p(m-1) K w^{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality holds wherever $w$ is strictly positive. Let $K=\{x \in \Omega$ : $w(x)=0\}$; here $\Omega \subset M$ is an open set. Then for any nonnegative function $\psi$ with compact support in $\Omega \backslash K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\frac{1}{2} w^{p-2} \nabla w+\frac{1}{2}(p-2) w^{p-3}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle \nabla v, \nabla \psi\right\rangle \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\alpha p}{4} w^{p-3}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{p}{m-1} w^{p}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\left[\frac{p(p-1)}{m-1}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)-\frac{p^{2}}{2}\right] w^{p-2}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle-p(m-1) K w^{p-1}\right) \psi .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, let $\epsilon>0$ and $\psi=w_{\epsilon}^{b} \eta^{2}$, where $w_{\epsilon}=(w-\epsilon)^{+}, \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{R}\right)$ is nonnegative; $b>1$ is to be determined later. Then direct computation yields

$$
\nabla \psi=b w_{\epsilon}^{b-1} \eta^{2} \nabla w+2 w_{\epsilon}^{b} \eta \nabla \eta
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left\langle\frac{1}{2} w^{p-2} \nabla w+\frac{1}{2}(p-2) w^{p-3}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle \nabla v, b w_{\epsilon}^{b-1} \eta^{2} \nabla w+2 w_{\epsilon}^{b} \eta \nabla \eta\right\rangle \\
\geq & \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(\frac{\alpha p}{4} w^{p-3}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{p}{m-1} w^{p}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)^{2}\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left.+\left[\frac{p(p-1)}{m-1}\left(1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}\right)-\frac{p^{2}}{2}\right] w^{p-2}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle-p(m-1) K w^{p-1}\right) w_{\epsilon}^{b} \eta^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

There are two terms on the left hand side of (2.3) we need to estimate. Under the condition $1<p \leq 2$, we can estimate them as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{\frac{p-1}{2}} w_{\epsilon}^{b-1}|\nabla w|^{2}+(p-2) \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\langle\nabla v, \nabla w\rangle w_{\epsilon}^{b-1} \\
& \quad \geq(p-1) \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{\frac{p-1}{2}} w_{\epsilon}^{b-1}|\nabla w|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $v \in C^{1, \alpha}, w \in C^{\alpha}$, and $\nabla w \in L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha>0$ and $\gamma>1$. Thus, all the other terms in (2.3) converge to the corresponding form without $\epsilon$. By passing $\epsilon$ to 0 and letting $\beta=1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{b(p-1)}{2} w^{p+b-3} \eta^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}-\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-2} \eta\langle\nabla w, \nabla \eta\rangle \\
& -\int_{B_{o}(R)}(p-2) w^{p+b-3} \eta\langle\nabla w, \nabla \eta\rangle\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle \\
\geq & \int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{\alpha p}{4} w^{p+b-3}|\nabla w|^{2} \eta^{2}+\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{\beta^{2}}{m-1} w^{p+b} \eta^{2} \\
& +\int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(\frac{p-1}{m-1} \beta-\frac{p}{2}\right) w^{p+b-2} \eta^{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle-\int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} K \eta^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-2} \eta\langle\nabla w, \nabla \eta\rangle-\int_{B_{o}(R)}(p-2) w^{p+b-3} \eta\langle\nabla w, \nabla \eta\rangle\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle \\
& \leq \quad(1+|p-2|) \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-2}|\nabla w||\nabla \eta| \eta,
\end{aligned}
$$

with these inequalities we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+|p-2|) & \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-2}|\nabla w||\nabla \eta \| \eta|+\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{p}{2} w^{p+b-2} \eta^{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle \\
\geq & \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left[\frac{\alpha}{4}+\frac{b(p-1)}{2}\right] w^{p+b-3} \eta^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}+\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{\beta^{2}}{m-1} w^{p+b} \eta^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(\frac{p-1}{m-1} \beta\right) w^{p+b-2} \eta^{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle-\int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} K \eta^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on we use $a_{1}, a_{1}, \cdots$ and $d_{1}, d_{1}, \cdots$ to denote constants depending only on $p$ and $m$. The constant $b>1$ is to be determined later.

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+|p-2|) \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-2}|\nabla w||\nabla \eta||\eta| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{(p-1) b}{6} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-3}|\nabla w|^{2} \eta^{2}+\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{a_{1}}{b} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{p}{2} w^{p+b-2} \eta^{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle & \leq \frac{p}{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-\frac{3}{2}} \eta^{2}|\nabla w| \\
& \leq \frac{(p-1) b}{6} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-3}|\nabla w|^{2} \eta^{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{b} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{o}(R)} & \left(\frac{p-1}{m-1} \beta\right) w^{p+b-2} \eta^{2}\langle\nabla w, \nabla v\rangle \\
& \geq-\int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(\frac{p-1}{m-1} \beta\right) w^{p+b-\frac{3}{2}} \eta^{2}|\nabla w| \\
& \geq-\frac{(p-1) b}{6} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-3}|\nabla w|^{2} \eta^{2}-\frac{a_{3}}{b} \int_{B_{o}(R)} \beta^{2} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these inequalities, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{\alpha}{4} w^{p+b-3} \eta^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{b} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{3}}{b}-\frac{1}{m-1}\right) \int_{B_{o}(R)} \beta^{2} w^{p+b} \eta^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{a_{1}}{b} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \nabla \eta|^{2} \\
& \quad \geq-\int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} K \eta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by requiring that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{3}}{b}-\frac{1}{m-1} \leq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\beta=1+c h w^{\frac{-p}{2}} \geq 1$, we get

$$
\left(\frac{a_{3}}{b}-\frac{1}{m-1}\right) \int_{B_{o}(R)} \beta^{2} w^{p+b} \eta^{2} \leq\left(\frac{a_{3}}{b}-\frac{1}{m-1}\right) \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{\alpha}{4} w^{p+b-3} \eta^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{m-1}-\frac{a_{2}}{b}-\frac{a_{3}}{b}\right) \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad+\int_{B_{o}(R)} \frac{a_{1}}{b} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \nabla \eta|^{2} \leq \int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} K \eta^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the first term on the LHS of (2.5), we use

$$
\left|\nabla\left(w^{\frac{p+b-1}{2}} \eta\right)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{(b+p-1)^{2}}{2} w^{b+p-3}|\nabla w|^{2} \eta^{2}+2 w^{b+p-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2}
$$

Substituting it into the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left|\nabla\left(w^{\frac{p+b-1}{2}} \eta\right)\right|^{2}+b d_{1} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2} \\
& \quad \leq a_{4} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+K b d_{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} \eta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove the main theorem, we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. Let $(M, g, d \mu)$ be an n-dimensional complete noncompact smooth metric measure space. If Ric $f_{f}^{m} \geq-(m-1) K$ for some nonnegative constant $K$ and $m>n \geq 2$, then there exists a constant $C$, depending on $m$, such that for all $B_{o}(R) \subset M$ we have for $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{o}(R)\right)$,

$$
\int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(|\phi|^{\left.\frac{2 m}{m-2}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \leq e^{C(1+\sqrt{K} R)} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} R^{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+R^{-2} \phi^{2}\right) d \mu, ~, ~, ~}\right.
$$

where $V$ means weighted volume of $B_{o}(R)$.

Remark 2.3. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.5 in [16.
Lemma 2.4. For $b_{0}>0$ large enough and $R>0$, there exists $d_{3}=d_{3}(m, p)>0$ such that

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\left(b_{0}+p-1\right)} \frac{m}{m-2}\left(B_{o}\left(\frac{3}{4} R\right)\right)} \leq d_{3} b_{0}^{\frac{b_{0}+p}{b_{0}+p-1}} V^{\frac{m-2}{m\left(b_{0}+p-1\right)}} R^{-2}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{(p+b-1) \frac{m}{m-2}} \eta^{\frac{2 m}{m-2}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \\
& \quad \leq e^{C(1+\sqrt{K} R)} V^{-\frac{2}{m}}\left(R^{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(\left|\nabla\left(w^{\frac{b+p-1}{2}} \eta\right)\right|^{2}+\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{b+p-1} \eta^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad \leq e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}}\left(a_{4} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+K b d_{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} \eta^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-b d_{1} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}+\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{b+p-1} \eta^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{0}=c_{1}(m, p)(1+\sqrt{K} R)$ with $c_{1}$ large enough to make $b_{0}$ satisfy (2.4). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{(p+b-1) \frac{m}{m-2}} \eta^{\frac{2 m}{m-2}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}}+b d_{1} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad \leq a_{4} R^{2} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2} \\
& \quad+K b d_{2} R^{2} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)}(m-1) w^{p+b-1} \eta^{2}+e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{b+p-1} \eta^{2} \\
& \quad \leq a_{4} R^{2} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+a_{5} b_{0}^{2} b e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{b+p-1} \eta^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $a_{5} b_{0}^{2} b<\frac{1}{2} b d_{1} R^{2} w^{p+b}$ when $w>a_{6} b_{0}^{2} R^{2}$. Thus in the evaluation of the second term on the right hand side of inequality (2.6), we decompose $\omega$ into subregions: one over the places $w>a_{6} b_{0}^{2} R^{2}$ and the second region being the complement of the first region. With this decomposition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{5} b_{0}^{2} b e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{b+p-1} \eta^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} b d_{1} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}+a_{5} b_{0}^{2} b e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{1-\frac{2}{m}}\left(\frac{a_{6} b_{0}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{b+p-1} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} b d_{1} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} V^{-\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}+a_{7}^{b_{0}} b_{0}^{3} V^{1-\frac{2}{m}}\left(\frac{b_{0}}{R}\right)^{2 b+2 p-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\eta_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy $0 \leq \eta_{1} \leq 1, \eta_{1} \equiv 1$ in $B_{o}\left(\frac{3}{4} R\right),\left|\nabla \eta_{1}\right| \leq \frac{\widetilde{C}}{R}$, and let $\eta=\eta_{1}^{p+b}$. The first term on the right hand side of (2.6) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{4} R^{2} e^{c_{2} b_{0}} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1}|\nabla \eta|^{2} & \leq a_{8} b^{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b-1} \eta^{\frac{2(b+p-1)}{b+p}} \\
& \leq a_{8} b^{2}\left(\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}\right)^{\frac{2(b+p-1)}{b+p}} V^{\frac{1}{b+p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} b d_{1} R^{2} \int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{p+b} \eta^{2}+b^{b+p} d_{1}^{p+b-1} R^{-2(p+b-1)} V
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{(p+b-1) \frac{m}{m-2}} \eta^{\frac{2 m}{m-2}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \leq d_{2} b_{0}^{b_{0}+p} d_{1}^{b+p-1} R^{-2(p+b-1)} .
$$

Assuming that $b_{0}=b$ and $b_{1}=\left(p+b_{0}-1\right) \frac{m}{m-2}$, we can get

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\left(b_{0}+p-1\right)} \frac{m}{m-2}\left(B_{o}\left(\frac{3}{4} R\right)\right)} \leq d_{3} b_{0}^{\frac{b_{0}+p}{b_{0}+p-1}} V^{\frac{m-2}{m\left(b_{0}+p-1\right)}} R^{-2} .
$$

Now we begin to prove the main theorem. From (2.6), by ignoring the second item on the left hand side, we have

$$
\left(\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{(p+b-1) \frac{m}{m-2}} \eta^{\frac{2 m}{m-2}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \leq a_{9} \frac{e^{c_{2}} b_{0}}{V^{\frac{2}{m}}} \int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(b_{0}^{2} b \eta^{2}+R^{2}|\nabla \eta|^{2}\right) w^{p+b-1} .
$$

Set

$$
b_{l+1}=b_{l} \frac{m-2}{m}, \quad b_{l}=b+p-1, \quad \omega_{l}=B\left(o, \frac{R}{2}+\frac{R}{4 l}\right), \quad l=1,2 \ldots
$$

and choose $\eta_{l} \equiv 1$ in $\omega_{l+1}, \eta_{l} \equiv 0$ in $B_{o}(R) \backslash \Omega_{l},\left|\nabla \eta_{l}\right| \leq \frac{C 4^{l}}{R}$.
We have

$$
\left(\int_{B_{o}(R)} w^{b_{l+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{b_{l+1}}} \leq\left(a_{9} \frac{e^{c_{2}} b_{0}}{V^{\frac{2}{m}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{b_{l}}}\left(\int_{B_{o}(R)}\left(b_{0}^{2} b \eta^{2}+R^{2}|\nabla \eta|^{2}\right) w^{p+b-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{b_{l}}}
$$

which yields

$$
\|w\|_{L^{b_{l+1}}\left(\Omega_{l+1}\right)} \leq\left(a_{10} \frac{e^{c_{2}} b_{0}}{V^{\frac{2}{m}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{b_{l}}}\left(b_{0}^{2} b_{l}+16^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{b_{l}}}\|w\|_{L^{b_{l}}\left(\Omega_{l}\right)} .
$$

Noting that $\sum_{l=1}^{l=\infty} \frac{1}{b_{l}}=\frac{m}{2 b_{1}}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{b_{i}}=\frac{m^{2}}{4 b_{1}}$, we obtain

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{o}\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)\right)} \leq\left(a_{10} \frac{e^{c_{2}} b_{0}}{V^{\frac{2}{m}}}\right)^{\frac{M}{2 b_{l}}}(17)^{\frac{m}{4 b_{l}}}\|w\|_{L^{\left(b_{0}+p-1\right) \frac{m}{m-2}}\left(B_{o}\left(\frac{3 R}{4}\right)\right)} .
$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{o}\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)\right)} \leq a_{11} b_{0}^{\frac{\left(b_{0}+p\right)}{b_{0}+p-1}} \frac{1}{R^{2}}
$$

We can choose $b_{0}$ large enough to make $\frac{\left(b_{0}+p\right)}{b_{0}+p-1} \leq \frac{3}{2}$, which yields

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{o}\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)\right)} \leq a_{11}\left(c_{1}(m, p)(1+\sqrt{K} R)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{R^{2}} .
$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem [1.1] For the proof of Corollary [1.3, we leave it to the reader.
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