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1. Introduction. The general solution due to Galerkin [1]1 of the field equations in classical elastostatics, was extended to elastokinetics by Iacovache [2] on the basis of a formal operational scheme originated by Gr. C. Moisil [3]. The method of derivation employed in [2], however, does not assure the completeness of the solution so obtained. In the present paper we supply a completeness proof for the generalized Galerkin solution and relate it to a generalization of Papkovich's [4] solution of the equilibrium equations. With a view toward the fact that the Galerkin vector of elastokinetics, in the absence of body forces, satisfies a repeated wave equation, we prove further that every solution of such an equation may be represented as the sum of two wave functions. This result is a generalization of a theorem due to Almansi [5] regarding the integration of the biharmonic equation.

2. Completeness of the generalized Galerkin solution. The displacement equations of motion in the linear theory of homogeneous and isotropic elastic media are given by

\[ \nabla^2 u + \frac{1}{1 - 2\nu} \nabla \nabla \cdot u - \frac{\rho}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{F}{\mu} = 0, \]

where \( u \) is the displacement vector, \( t \) stands for the time, \( F \) is the body force density, \( \rho \) the mass density, while \( \nu \) and \( \mu \) denote Poisson's ratio and the shear modulus, respectively. Let \( G(P, t) \) be\(^3\) four times continuously differentiable for \( P \) in an arbitrary region of space \( D \) and \( a < t < b \). Substitution into (1) confirms that every displacement field of the form

\[ u = \frac{1}{2\mu} [2(1 - \nu) \square^3 G - \nabla \nabla \cdot G] \]

satisfies (1) provided

\[ \square^2 \square^2 G = -\frac{F}{1 - \nu}, \]
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1Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

2Letters in boldface designate vectors; the symbols "·" and "×" indicate scalar and vector multiplication of two vectors, respectively. \( \nabla \) is the usual del-operator.

3We shall write consistently \( f(P, t) \) in place of \( f(x, y, z, t) \), \( P \) being a point with rectangular cartesian coordinates \( (x, y, z) \).
where

\[ \Box_i^2 = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c_i^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (4) \]

and

\[ c_1^2 = \frac{2(1 - \nu)}{1 - 2\nu} \frac{\mu}{\rho}, \quad c_2^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho}. \quad (5) \]

Equations (2), (3) constitute the solution of (1) discovered by Iacovache [2], when the body forces are absent. If \( G \) is independent of \( t \), this solution becomes identical with Galerkin's solution [1] of the equilibrium equations. We now prove the following completeness theorem:

Let \( D \), with the boundary \( B \), be a bounded (not necessarily simply connected) region of space. Let \( u(P, t) \) be continuous in \( D + B \), piecewise four times continuously differentiable for \( P \) in \( D \) and \( -\infty < t < \infty \). If \( u(P, t) \) is a solution of (1), then there exists a function \( G(P, t) \), of the same degree of smoothness, such that the representation (2), (3) holds.

By virtue of the Stokes-Helmholtz resolution, there exist \( \phi(P, t) \) and \( A(P, t) \), both having the degree of smoothness of \( u(P, t) \), such that

\[ 2\mu u = \nabla \phi + \nabla \times A. \quad (6) \]

Substitution of (6) into (1) yields, with the aid of (4) and (5),

\[ \frac{c_1^2}{c_2} \Box_1^2 \nabla \phi + \Box_1^2 \nabla \times A + 2F = 0. \quad (7) \]

Next define a function \( G(P, t) \) by means of

\[ G(P, t) = \frac{-1}{8\pi(1 - \nu)} \left[ \frac{c_1^2}{c_2} \nabla \phi_2 + \nabla \times A_1 \right], \quad (8) \]

in which \( \phi_2(P, t) \) and \( A_1(P, t) \) are the retarded potentials given by

\[ \phi_2(P, t) = \int_D \phi(Q, t - R/c_2) \, d\tau, \quad A_1(P, t) = \int_D A(Q, t - R/c_1) \, d\tau. \quad (9) \]

Here \( R \) is the distance from \( P \) to \( Q \) and the integrations are with respect to \( Q \). Clearly, \( G(P, t) \) has the required smoothness. Moreover, from the theory of retarded potentials, \( 6 \)

\[ \Box_2^2 \phi_2 = -4\pi \phi, \quad \Box_2^2 A_1 = -4\pi A, \quad (10) \]

whence (8) implies

\[ \Box_2^2 G = \frac{1}{2(1 - \nu)} \nabla \times A - \frac{c_1^2}{8\pi(1 - \nu)c_2} \Box_1^2 \nabla \phi_2. \quad (11) \]

\(^*\)See also Mindlin [6] and Westergaard [7].

\(^*\)See, for example, Phillips [8], p. 187.

\(^*\)See Phillips [8], Chapter IX.
By (10), (11),
\[ \square^2 \square^2 G = \frac{1}{2(1 - \nu)} \left( \frac{c_2^2}{c_3^2} \square^2 \nabla \phi + \square^2 \nabla \times A \right), \tag{12} \]
and (7), (12) imply (3).

In view of (8),
\[ \nabla \cdot G = -\frac{c_1^2}{8\pi(1 - \nu)c_2^2} \nabla^2 \phi_2, \tag{13} \]
while (6), (11) lead to
\[ 2\mu \mathbf{u} = \nabla \phi + 2(1 - \nu)\square^2 G + \frac{c_1^2}{4\pi c_2^2} \square^2 \nabla \phi_2. \tag{14} \]

It follows from (14), (10), and (4) that
\[ 2\mu \mathbf{u} = 2(1 - \nu)\square^2 G + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{c_1^2}{c_2^2} - 1 \right) \nabla \nabla^2 \phi_2 \tag{15} \]
and (15), (13), because of (5), yield (2). This completes the proof of the theorem.

If we define functions \( \phi(P, t) \) and \( \psi(P, t) \) through
\[ \phi(P, t) = -\nabla \cdot G - r \cdot \psi, \quad \psi(P, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \square^2 G, \tag{16} \]
in which \( r \) is the position vector of \( P \), (2) becomes
\[ 2\mu \mathbf{u} = \nabla(\phi + r \cdot \psi) - 4(1 - \nu)\psi. \tag{17} \]
On the other hand, we conclude from (16), with the aid of (3) and (4), that
\[ \square^2 \phi + r \cdot \square^2 \psi = 0, \quad \square^2 \psi = \frac{F}{2(1 - \nu)}. \tag{18} \]

If \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are independent of \( t \), Eqs. (17), (18) reduce to Papkovich's [4] general solution of the equilibrium equations which was independently discovered by Neuber [9].

3. A theorem on the integration of the repeated wave equation. According to (3), the generalized Galerkin vector \( G(P, t) \) satisfies an inhomogeneous repeated wave equation. If \( D \) is bounded while \( F(P, t) \) is continuous in \( D + B \) and piecewise twice continuously differentiable for \( P \) in \( D_1, -\infty < t < \infty \), a particular solution of (3) is obtainable through an iterated retarded potential. Indeed, such a solution is given by
\[ G(P, t) = \int_D \frac{E(Q, t - R/c_1)}{R} \, d\tau, \]
and
\[ E(P, t) = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2(1 - \nu)} \int_D \frac{F(Q, t - R/c_2)}{R} \, d\tau, \tag{19} \]
where \( R \) is again the distance from \( P \) to \( Q \). We now turn to the homogeneous repeated wave equation and prove the theorem:

---

\[ \text{See also Mindlin [6], [10]. The body forces are assumed to vanish in [4] and [9]; they are included in [10].} \]
Let $G(P, t)$ be four times continuously differentiable for $P$ in an arbitrary region $D$ and $a < t < b$. If $G(P, t)$ is a solution of

$$\square_1 \square_2 G = 0, \quad c_1^2 \neq c_2^2,$$

(20)

then it admits the representation

$$G = G_1(P, t) + G_2(P, t),$$

(21)

where

$$\square_2^2 G_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

(22)

and $G_i(P, t)$ is twice continuously differentiable in $D, a < t < b$.

It suffices to show that there exists a $G_i(P, t)$ which has the desired smoothness and simultaneously meets the equations

$$\square_2^2 G_1 = 0, \quad \square_2^2 (G - G_1) = 0.$$  

(23)

Once the existence of such a $G_1$ has been demonstrated, we define $G_2(P, t)$ by

$$G_2(P, t) = G - G_1,$$

(24)

and the proof is complete.

Equations (23), in view of (4), are equivalent to

$$\square_2^2 G_1 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 G_1}{\partial t^2} = g,$$

(25)

where

$$g(P, t) = \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2}{c_2^2 - c_1^2} \square_2^2 G$$

(26)

and, from (20),

$$\square_1^2 g = 0.$$  

(27)

Thus, we need only exhibit a function $G_1(P, t)$ satisfying (25), subject to (27).

Consider the function $H(P, t)$ defined by

$$H(P, t) = \int_0^t \int_0^\lambda g(P, \xi) \, d\xi \, d\lambda.$$  

(28)

Clearly, $G_1 = H$ conforms to the second of (25). Moreover, by (27), (28),

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \square_1^2 H = \square_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} = \square_1^2 g = 0,$$

(29)

whence

$$\square_1^2 H = \alpha(P) + t\beta(P).$$  

(30)

Next, consider the function $G_1(P, t)$ defined by

$$G_1(P, t) = H(P, t) + A(P) + tB(P),$$  

(31)
where $A$ and $B$ are particular solutions of the Poisson equations

$$\nabla^2 A = -\alpha, \quad \nabla^2 B = -\beta. \quad (32)$$

By virtue of (28), $G_1$ so defined still meets the second of (25), and according to (30), (32), it also satisfies the first of (25). Finally, $G_1(P, t)$ has the desired smoothness. The proof is now complete.

The foregoing theorem is the counterpart for the repeated wave equation, of Almansi’s [5] theorem regarding the representability of biharmonic functions in terms of harmonic functions. A different kind of extension of Almansi’s theorem was given in [11]. It should be noted that the present theorem, in contrast to those established in [5] and [11], does not involve any convexity restrictions on the region $D$.

As a consequence of the two theorems proved in this paper, and with reference to (2), (3), (4), the general solution of the equations of motion (1), in the absence of body forces, admits the alternative representation

$$2\mu u = \frac{\rho}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 G_2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \nabla \cdot (G_1 + G_2), \quad (33)$$

$$\Box G_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (34)$$

This reduces the problem of elastokinetics to the determination of appropriate solutions of the wave equation.
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